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Abstract: Fast dissolving oral films are key for researcher in pharma field, it is the most recent and advanced form of oral solid dosage form due to more comfort and flexibility. Over the past few decades, researcher create attraction and improve to innovative drug delivery systems, there has been increased interest for innovative drug delivery system to improve the efficacy safety, and patient compliance. For discover and develops new chemical entity is a very complex, expensive and take more time i.e. time consuming process. Anybody wants to improve and develops existing drugs and designing and developing recent innovative drug delivery systems. From of those, drug delivery system being very eminent among pediatrics and geriatrics is orally disintegrating films (ODFs). It improve the efficacy of Active pharmaceutical ingredients by dissolving within minute in oral cavity after the contact with less saliva as compared to fast dissolving tablets, without chewing and no need of water or any liquid for administration. These films have valuable superiority over fast disintegrating tablets as the latter are associated with the risks of choking and friability. This drug delivery system has various advantages over conventional fast disintegrating tablets as they can be used for dysphasic and schizophrenic patients and are taken without water due to their ability to disintegrate when it comes in contact with saliva within a few seconds releasing medication in mouth. Various approaches are employed for manufacturing of ODFs and, from which solvent casting and spraying methods are largely used. Mostly, hydrophilic polymers i.e. water loving polymers along with other excipients are used for preparing ODFs which allow films to carry out quickly disintegration and releasing incorporated active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) within seconds. Generally, Intra-gastric, sublingual or buccal route of administration also has been used for local action. Fast dissolving oral films are found to be good enough for a particular need in many situations like allergic conditions, cold and cough, nausea, sore throat, pain, mouth ulcers, CNS disorders and CVS disorders. The main objective of this present review attempts to focus on benefits, composition, approaches for formulation and evaluation of ODFs. Orally disintegrating films have valuable and play a key role for business and market exploitation because of their valuable of benefits over orally disintegrating tablets.

Index Terms: Innovative drug delivery, fast dissolving oral films, hydrophilic polymers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Oral route of drug administration is a most suitable and preferred route due to its ease of administration, non-invasiveness, adaptability, patient compliance and acceptability. Regarding oral route of drug administration, many substitutes have continuously been presented by using recent novel technologies for pediatrics, geriatrics, nauseous and non-compliance patients. Fast dissolving oral films were first introduced in the market as breath fresheners, mouth fresheners and personal care products such as dental strips and soap strips. Orally disintegrating films (ODFs), when placed on tongue, immediately hydrates [i.e. contact with water and saliva following disintegration and/or dissolution releasing active pharmaceutical agent from the dosage form] (Chauhan et al., 2012). ODFs are kind of formulations which are commonly prepared using hydrophilic polymers i.e. water loving polymers enabling rapid dissolution and disintegration upon contact with saliva. Oral disintegrating tablets (ODTs) and oral disintegrating films (ODFs) are the typical examples of orally disintegrating drug delivery systems. Today, these are proven to be acceptable for Over the Counter medications and are in the early to mid-development stages for prescription drug. Zulpenz is the first oral soluble disintegrating film approved by the FDA as a prescriptions medication. These consists of thin oral films which get absorbed in the buccal cavity with the help of saliva, as hydrating agent without requirement of water and give their effect through pre-gastric absorption from mouth, pharynx and oesophagus as the saliva passes down into stomach A typical ODF is usually looking like postal stamp and having equal to the size of a postal stamp. In market place, the introduction of ODT was strongly associated with counseling of patients about the appropriate administration by giving instruction like “do not chew/do not swallow”. However, in spite of these instructions, incidents regarding chewing and swallowing were often reported. But, ODFs united the masses from these adverse events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Advantages of oral disintegration film</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No risk of choking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenient and accurate dosing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to low dose, low side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No need of water for administration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of swallowing for geriatrics and pediatrics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid onset of action with increased bioavailability due to bypassing hepatic first pass effect and stability (Choudhary et al., 2012).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenient for dysphasic patients having difficulty in swallowing tablets and capsules.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oral disintegration films have high mechanical strength, reduced choking risks and no expensive lyophilization. (Arya et al., 2010). ODFs have achieving remarkable significance in pharmaceutical industry for the reason of possessing unique with valuable properties and fast disintegration time ranging from seconds to one minute (Choudhary et al., 2012). ODFs design permits to incorporate a variety of drugs for their pharmacological effects e.g., anti-tussive, anti-epileptic, anti-asthmatic, expectorant, etc. (Arya et al., 2010). High temperature and moisture sensitivity necessitating expensive packaging and inability of high dose loading are some disadvantages of ODFs.

1. FORMULATION

ODFs are fast disintegrating thin films having an area ranging from 5 to 20 cm² in which drug is incorporated in the form of matrix using hydrophilic polymer. Active pharmaceutical ingredient can be incorporated up to 15 mg along with other excipients i.e., plasticizers, colorants, sweeteners, taste masking agents, etc. Ideal characteristics of oral film was mention in table 3.

Table 2. Disadvantages of oral disintegration film

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disadvantages of oral disintegration film</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restriction of eating and drinking for sometime after consumption of oral film.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only small dose of drug can be administered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not suitable for drugs which irritate and are unstable at buccal pH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packing requires special equipment. So, difficult to pack.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Ideal characteristics of oral films

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of oral films</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Should not be bitter and have quick onset of action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should exhibit suitable tensile strength.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should not stick to the packing material and fingers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should be ionized at pH of oral cavity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose upto 40mg can be incorporated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plasticizer increases workability, spreadability and flexibility of films thereby reducing the glass transition temperature of polymers. The general composition of an ODF is shown in Table 4 (Arya et al., 2010).

Table 4: Composition of oral thin films

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of the excipient</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>1-25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Film forming polymer</td>
<td>40-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Plasticizer</td>
<td>0-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Saliva stimulating agent</td>
<td>2-6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sweetening agent</td>
<td>3-6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Surfactant</td>
<td>Q.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Flavouring agent</td>
<td>Q.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Colouring agent</td>
<td>Q.S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1. Active pharmaceutical ingredient

Various classes of drugs can be incorporated into ODFs e.g., anti-histamine, anti-diarrheal, anti-depressants, vasodilators, anti-asthmatic, anti-emetetic, etc. (Chauhan et al., 2012). Dimenhydrinate can also be incorporated into ODFs for taste masking. Common examples of drugs incorporated into ODFs are salbutamol sulfate, rizatriptan benzoate, verapamil, ondansetron, dexamethasone, rofecoxib, cetirizine, pilocarpine, tianeptine sodium, indomethacin, etc. An ODF of anti-emetetic agent like prochlorperazine was also formulated by employing microcrystalline cellulose and other film forming polymers

2.2. Hydrophilic polymers

For successful development of an ODF, most important is a function of justified selection and concentration of polymers as the mechanical strength of films is strongly associated with these factors. Generally, they can be used either alone or in combination with other polymers to modify film properties. The concentration of used polymers is also important factor while developing an ODF. The integrity of fast dissolving oral films is dependent upon careful selection of polymer nature and concentration. Generally, polymer concentration used in preparing ODFs is around 45% w/w of total weight of dry thin strip; however, it can be certain properties (Table 4).

In recent era, both natural and artificial polymers are used for developing ODF formulation (Table 3) (Chauhan et al., 2012). Different polymers are employed to modulate diverse properties of films. Pullulan has number of property like increase solubility next to the property of enhancing flexibility and films incorporating pullulan have high tensile strength and have also stability over a wide range of temperature. Molecular weights of gelatins affect the properties of prepared films and a significantly appealing film can be attained by using polymers with higher average molecular weight. The combination of chitosan and high methoxy pectin (HMP) or low methoxy pectin (LMP) provides excellent quality of strip. Cellulose derived film forming polymers
vz hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC), hydroxypropyl cellulose (HPC), methyl cellulose (MC) and carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) give films with less water vapor barrier due to their hydrophilic nature. Polyethylene glycol (PEG) also has increased up to 60–65% w/w in order to attain the film of desired attributes and characteristics. HPMC is a very good film former and different grades viz Methocel E3, Methocel E5, Methocel E15 Premium LV, etc. are available. The development of fast dissolving film of triicosin prepared by using different grades of HPMC indicated that Methocel E15 Premium LV resulted into the films with appropriate properties. Fast dissolving film of famotidine fabricated using HPMC and polyethylene glycol (PEG) depicted desired physico-chemical properties. A water insoluble drug (piroxicam) was incorporated into fast dissolving films prepared using maltodextrins (MDX) and equivalent low dose dextrose. ODFs of nebivolol HCl prepared from HPMC, pullulan, polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) illustrated that changing polymers concentration profoundly affects mechanical properties and percentage drug release. As polymers govern the release profile, mono- and double-layered buccoadhesive films of chlorhexidine were prepared to portray this fact. Films prepared with alginate and/or HPMC and/or chitosan controlled drug release in a better way. ODFs of granisetron hydrochloride manufactured using pullulan and HPMC illustrated the effect of polymer concentration on mechanical properties and strength of film. Pullulan with 40–45% concentration did not yield films with good properties whereas HPMC up to 40% amount resulted into films which were difficult to peel. Furthermore, the stickiness of film increased when the concentration of HPMC was above 50% (Chaudhary et al., 2013). A study of preparing fast dissolving films of losartan potassium applying different concentrations of maltodextrin (MD) and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) demonstrated that in vitro disintegration time varied directly as a function of increased polymer concentration (Bansal et al., 2013). Another study revealed that pullulan serves as a best film forming agent among all investigated polymers. Fast dissolving films of cetirizine using 2% w/v pullulan were thin and brittle, thus, slightly higher concentration was used. Affectivity of ODFs might be judged by comparing the pharmacokinetic properties (blood profile) of the reference (oral solution of pure drug) and the sample film of levocetirizine containing pullulan by testing on Sprague-Dawley rats (Choudhary et al., 2012).

| Table 5. Ideal properties of hydrophilic polymers. |
| Properties                          |          |
| Non-irritant                       |          |
| Should not hinder with the disintegration time of ODF |          |
| Affordable                         |          |
| Should possess adequate shelf-life |          |
| Should possess good spread ability  |          |
| Should exhibit sufficient tensile strength |          |
| Should have good mechanical properties |      |
| Non-toxic                          |          |
| Non-irritant                       |          |

2.3. Plasticizers

In general, mechanical properties such as tensile strength and percent elongation are improved by adding plasticizer to the formulations (Arya et al., 2010). The concentration of plasticizer usually ranges from 0% to 20% w/w. Common examples of plasticizers are PEG, glycerol, diethyl phthalate, triethyl citrate, tributyl citrate, etc. (Bala et al., 2013).

2.4. Surfactants

Surfactants play a vital role as dispersing, wetting and solubilizing agent thus enabling films to disintegrate within seconds releasing the incorporated drug, speedily. Commonly used surfactants are benzalkonium chloride, tweens, and sodium lauryl sulfate. Often, poloxamer 407 is used due to its many advantages.

2.5. Flavor

Flavors are needed to mask the bitter or nauseating taste of incorporated drug. Amount of flavor depends upon its nature and strength. Any US-FDA approved flavor can be used such as sweet, sour or mint flavor. One of the research work verified that mint, licorice and sucralose mixture flavors appropriately mask the bitter taste of diclofenac sodium. Electronic tongues are used to discriminate the effect of various taste masking agents (TMAs).

2.6. Sweetening agents

Sweetening agents are designed to disintegrate or dissolve in oral cavity. Both artificial and natural sweeteners are used in preparing ODFs (Table 4). Neotame and Alitame are 2000–8000 times sweeter than sucrose. Fructose has more sweetening power compared to sorbitol and mannitol. Sucralose was found to be 600–1000 times sweeter than sucrose when oral disintegrating films of donepezil were evaluated for taste, after taste mouth feel. Aspartame and saccharin sodium are likely to be 200 and 300–500 times sweeter compared to sucrose, respectively. It was also reported that sweeteners and flavors have minor effect on flexibility of film.
2.7. Saliva stimulating agent
Salivary stimulants are generally acidic in nature stimulating the production of saliva in buccal cavity, consequently, promoting the disintegrating of ODFs. Some commonly used saliva stimulating agents are citric acid, malic acid, tartaric acid, ascorbic acid and lactic acid.

2.8. Coloring agents
Pigments are used as coloring agents. Titanium dioxide is most widely used colorant in ODFs and various other pharmaceutical preparations. Apart from titanium dioxide, a full range of colors are available including FD and C, natural and custom pantone-matched colors.

2. CONVENTIONAL APPROACHES FOR MANUFACTURING OF ORODISPERSIBLE FILMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td>Pullulan, pectin, sodium alginate, maltodextrin, Sodium starch glycolate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proteins</td>
<td>Gelatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resin</td>
<td>Polymerized rosin (novel film former)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Cellulose derivatives</td>
<td>Hydroxy propylmethylcellulose (E3, E5, E15, K3, K15, K50), Methylcellulose (A3, A6, A15), Carboxy methylcellulose secekol- 30, Sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, Microcrystalline cellulose, Croscarmellose sodium (CCS).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vinyl polymer</td>
<td>pyrrolidone (K-90, K-30), Poly vinyl alcohol, poly ethylene oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acrylic polymer</td>
<td>Eudragit (RD-100 and RL-100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methods mainly employed for manufacturing ODFs are shown in Fig. 1.
3.1. Solvent casting method
Solvent casting is the most commonly used method for the preparation of ODFs using water soluble excipients, polymers and drug which are dissolved in de-ionized water; consequently, a homogenous mixture is obtained by applying high shear forces generated by a shear processor. Then, the prepared solution is poured onto petri plate and the solvent is allowed to dry by exposing it to high temperature in order to attain good quality films (Fig. 2) (Choudhary et al., 2012). An orodispersible film of tianeptine sodium was successfully prepared through solvent casting technique using different grades of Lycoat and HPMC. In solvent casting technique, film forming polymer is usually soaked in an appropriate solvent for overnight. The type of API, which has to be incorporated in ODF, governs the selection of a suitable solvent depending on critical physico-chemical properties of API such as melting point, shear sensitivity and polymorphic form. Compatibility of drug with solvent and other excipients is also brought under consideration before finalizing a formulation. During formulation, entrapment of air bubbles can hinder the uniformity of prepared films. Thus, deaeration of the mixture is carried out with the help of a vacuum pump (Fig. 3). Orodispersible film formulation of mosapride was also successfully prepared by using solvent casting method. Viscosity of the solution to be poured is an imperative aspect in casting method. The concentration of pullulan varying from 2% to 8% results into low viscosity solution, as a result, enabling easy casting of films. Fast disintegrating films of anastrozole were also effectively prepared with the help of solvent casting method employing HPMC (E5) and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA).

3.2. Semi-solid casting method
Flow map of semi-solid casting method is given below in Fig. 2 (Muhammad et al., 2016)
Temperature to form a homogenous mass which is then casted to form smooth films. This is a solvent free process, however, the processing of thermolabile substances is a major drawback of this process due to the use of high temperature during extrusion (Fig. 5).

### 3.4. Solid dispersion extrusion

Solid dispersion of domperidone using beta-cyclodextrin, PEG 400 and HPMC E15 was successfully prepared and films were casted using solid dispersion extrusion method (Fig. 6).

### 3.5. Rolling method (Muhammad et al., 2016)

Plot of rolling method is shown in Fig. 7. The prepared solution should possess specific rheological properties for rolling onto the drum. Usually soaked in an appropriate solvent for overnight. The type of API, which has to be incorporated in ODF, governs the selection of a suitable solvent depending on critical physico-chemical properties of API such as melting point, shear sensitivity and polymorphic form. Compatibility of drug with solvent and other excipients is also brought under consideration before finalizing a formulation. During formulation, entrapment of air bubbles can hinder the uniformity of prepared films. Thus, deaeration of the mixture is carried out with the help of a vacuum pump (Fig. 3). Orodispersible film formulation of mosapride was also successfully prepared by using solvent casting method. Viscosity of the solution to be poured is an imperative aspect in casting method. The concentration of pullulan varying from 2% to 8% results into low viscosity solution, as a result, enabling easy casting of films. Fast disintegrating films of anastrozole were also effectively prepared with the help of solvent casting method employing HPMC (E5) and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA).

### 3.2. Semi-solid casting method (Muhammad et al., 2016)

Flow map of semi-solid casting method is given below in Fig. 4.
3.3. Hot melt extrusion (Muhammad et al., 2016)

Hot melt extrusion is a technique in which a mixture containing drug, polymer and excipients is extruded under high temperature to form a homogenous mass which is then casted to form smooth films. This is a solvent free process, however, the processing of thermolabile substances is a major drawback of this process due to the use of high temperature during extrusion (Fig. 5).

![Figure 5 Flow chart of hot melt extrusion method.](image)

![Figure 6 Flow map of solid dispersion method.](image)

![Figure 7 Plot of rolling method.](image)

![Figure 8 Manufacturing of ODFs by spray method.](image)

3.4. Solid dispersion extrusion

Solid dispersion of domperidone using beta-cyclodextrin, PEG 400 and HPMC E15 was successfully prepared and films were casted using solid dispersion extrusion method (Fig. 6).

3.5. Rolling method (Muhammad et al., 2016)

Plot of rolling method is shown in Fig. 7. The prepared solution should possess specific rheological properties for rolling onto the drum.

3.6. Spray technique (Muhammad et al., 2016)

Drug substance, polymers and all other excipients are dissolved in a suitable solvent to form a clear solution. This clear solution is then sprayed onto suitable material such as glass, polyethylene film of non-siliconized Kraft paper or Teflon sheet (Fig. 8).

3. CHARACTERIZATION AND EVALUATION

Characterization of films is accomplished via following tests:

4.1. Organoleptic evaluation

Special controlled human taste panels are used for such purpose. This in vivo taste evaluation is carried out on human volunteers. In-vitro taste evaluation of ODFs is performed by using taste sensors for screening. In vitro taste assessing methods and technologies are appropriate and sufficient for high-throughput taste sensing of such dosage forms. Both in vivo and in vitro techniques analyze the taste masking ability and sweetness level of taste masking agents.
4.2. Mechanical properties (Muhammad et al., 2016)

4.2.1. Thickness test
Thickness of a film is determined by using calibrated digital micrometer and then subsequently mean average is calculated. Generally, three readings from all the batches are determined and average is calculated. Weight variation of a film is calculated in triplicate by cutting the film and determining weight of each film. Uniformity in thickness is important to ascertain as it is directly proportional to dose accuracy of the film.

4.2.2. Dryness test/tack test
This test is performed to find out the ability of a film to get adhered to a piece of paper pressed between strips (Chaudhary et al., 2013). Obstinacy with which the film adheres with the piece of paper or any other accessory pressed in between the films is known as tack. Almost there are eight stages of film drying process which are identified viz dry-to-touch, dry-to-recoat, dry hard, set-to-touch, dust-free, drythrough, tack-free and dry print-free. Primarily these tests are used to evaluate dryness of films in paint industry but are also adoptable for assessing orally fast disintegrating films. Dryness or tack test can also be performed by with the help of some newly invented instruments (Bhyan et al., 2011).

4.2.3. Tensile strength
Tensile strength is defined as maximum stress applied at which the film breaks. Basically, this test is performed to measure the mechanical strength of films. It can be calculated from applied load at rupture divided by the strip cross-sectional area given in the equation below:

\[ \text{Tensile strength} = \frac{\text{load at failure}}{\text{strip thickness} \times \text{strip width}} \times 100 \]

4.2.4. Percent elongation
Upon exerting stress on a film, the specimen stretches which is referred as strain. Strain is defined as change in length of film divided by its original/initial length of the film specimen. Percent elongation is related quantitatively to the amount of plasticizer used in film formulation. Increased plasticizer concentration in the film generally results in enhanced elongation of the strip. It is determined by the following formula:

\[ \text{Percentage elongation} = \frac{\text{change in length}}{\text{initial length}} \times 100 \]

4.2.5. Tear resistance
Tear resistance of film is the intricate function of its ultimate resistance to rupture. Maximum force required to tear the film is measured as tear resistance value. This test is typically attributed to plastic industry. The rate of loading employed is 2 in/min which is planned to determine the magnitude of force required to initiate tearing in the film specimen. The maximum amount of force necessary for tearing is generally found near the tearing onset which is ranked as tear resistance value (Bhyan et al., 2011).

4.2.6. Young’s modulus
It is the measure of film stiffness. It is found as ratio of applied stress to the strain in the elastic deformation region. It is determined by the following formula:

\[ \text{Young’s modulus} = \frac{\text{force at corresponding strain}}{\text{cross-sectional area}} \times \text{corresponding strain} \]

Formula can be written as also

\[ \text{Young’s modulus} = \frac{\text{slope}}{\text{strip thickness} \times \text{cross head speed}} \times 100 \]

Hardness and brittleness are characteristics of the films which are related with Young’s modulus and tensile strength. A hard and brittle film depicts higher value of tensile strength and Young’s modulus with small elongation (Bhyan et al., 2011).

4.2.7. Folding endurance
Folding endurance is another procedure to estimate the mechanical properties of a film. It is measured by repeatedly folding a film at the same point until it breaks. Folding endurance value is number of times the film is folded without breaking. Higher folding endurance value depicts the more mechanical strength of a film. A direct relation exists between mechanical strength and folding endurance of films. As mechanical strength is governed by plasticizer concentration so it is clearly evident that plasticizer concentration also indirectly affects folding endurance value.
4.3. Swelling property

Simulated saliva solution is used to check the swelling studies of films. Initial weight of film is determined and is placed in pre-weighed stainless steel wire mesh. This mesh containing film is then dipped into simulated saliva solution. Increase in the weight of film is noted at constant pre-determined time intervals until no more increase in weight. Degree of swelling is determined by these parameters:

\[
\text{Degree of swelling} = \frac{\text{final weight (} w_t \text{)} - \text{Initial weight (} w_0 \text{)}}{\text{Initial weight (} w_0 \text{)}}
\]

\( W_t = \) weight of film at time interval \( t \), \( w_0 = \) weight of film at time 0.

4.4. Transparency

Transparency of a strip is determined by using a UV-spectrophotometer. This test is performed for visual appearance of the formulation. Film specimen are cut into rectangular shapes and placed on the internal side of the photometer cell. Transmittance of the film is worked out at 600 nm wavelength.

Formula for determining transparency is given as:

\[
\text{Transparency} = \frac{(\log T_{600})}{b} = \frac{\varepsilon c}{b}
\]

\( T_{600} = \) transmittance at 600 nm, \( b = \) film thickness (mm), and \( c = \) concentration.

4.5. Contact angle

Contact angle of a film is usually measured at room temperature with the help of a device known as goniometer. On the dry film surface, a drop of double distilled water is placed. Water droplet images are recorded within 10 s after the placement of drop with the help of a digital camera. These digital pictures are analyzed by using image 1.28 V software for determining contact angle. Contact angle is measured on both sides of droplets and mean is calculated. Contact angle is determined at least five times at different positions to have a clear idea about the nature of films.

4.6. Content uniformity

Contents of a film are determined by standard assay method specified for individual drug in different pharmacopoeia. This test is performed on 20 samples using analytical techniques. The acceptance value of the test is less than 15% in accordance with Japanese pharmacopoeia. According to USP27, the contents should range from 85% to 115% with the standard deviation of less than or equal to 6% (Chaudhary et al., 2013). Content uniformity is worked out for estimating drug contents in individual film (Bhyan et al., 2011).

4.7. Disintegration time

Disintegration apparatus mentioned in official pharmacopoeias is used for determining the disintegration time of a film. Normally, the disintegration time is the function of composition of film as it varies with the formulation and generally ranges from 5 to 30 s. Mostly, the USP disintegration apparatus is used for this test. There are no official guidelines available for determining disintegration time of orally fast disintegrating films (Bhyan et al., 2011). There are two methods for determining disintegration time of film:

4.7.1. Slide frame method

A drop of distilled water is poured onto the film clamped into slide frames placed on petri dish. Time taken by the film to dissolve is noted.

4.7.2. Petri dish method

A film is placed into 2 ml distilled water taken in petri dish. Time taken by the film to dissolve completely is considered as the disintegrating time.

3.8 In-vitro dissolution test (Muhammad et al., 2016)

Standard official basket or paddle apparatus is used for conducting dissolution studies on films. Sink conditions should be maintained during dissolution. Sometimes while performing this process, film floats over the medium making it difficult to perform the test properly. This problem is more likely to occur in case of paddle method thus the basket apparatus is mostly preferred. Media used are 6.8 pH phosphate buffer (300 ml) and 0.1 N HCl (900 ml). Temperature is maintained at 37 ± 0.5 °C.
and rotation speed of 50 rpm is usually adjusted. Samples of drug dissolved are collected at pre-determined intervals and are analyzed by using UV-spectrophotometer. Despite its extensive use, dissolution test is still prone to noteworthy inaccuracy and tests letdown (Bai et al., 2007).

4.9. Visual inspection and surface morphology
Visual inspection of a prepared orodispersible film gives information about color, homogeneity and transparency. For surface morphology, scanning electron microscopy is performed. Absence of pores and surface uniformity depicts good quality of films.

4.10. Surface pH
The pH value of a film is usually determined by putting the prepared film in petri dish and subsequently film is made wet by using distilled water and noting pH by touching the film surface with a pH meter electrode. Determination of surface pH is vital as acidic or basic pH is liable to cause oral mucosal irritation.

4.11. Moisture uptake and moisture loss
Percent moisture loss is a parameter that determines the hygroscopicity of a film. Usually, this parameter is determined by first finding the initial weight of the film, afterward, putting this film in a dessicator for three days. Dessicator contains calcium carbonate. After three days, strips are taken out and weighed again. Moisture loss is determined by applying the following formula (Yellanki et al., 2011).

\[
\text{Percentage moisture loss} = \frac{\text{final weight} - \text{initial weight}}{\text{initial weight}} \times 100
\]

Moisture uptake of a film is determined by first cutting the film with the dimension of 2 \(\times\) 2 cm\(^2\). Afterward these strips are exposed to environment with a relative humidity of 75% at room temperature for 7 days. Moisture uptake is determined as percent weight gain of the strips.

\[
\text{Percentage moisture uptake} = \frac{\text{final weight} - \text{initial weight}}{\text{initial weight}} \times 100
\]

4. PACKAGING OF ORALLY DISINTEGRATING FILMS (Muhammad et al., 2016)

Packing considerations are critical for storage, protection and stability of dosage form. Packaging for oral thin films includes foil paper or plastic pouches, single pouch, aluminum pouch, blister packaging with multiple units and barrier films. Barrier films are most commonly used for those drugs which are extremely moisture sensitive. Rapid film technology developed by Labtec GmbH describes primary packaging made of a sealing pouch affords enough space for logos, codes, instructions or other information. The films are manufactured by a laminating process and packaging costs are comparable to tablets (Bhasin et al., 2011).

5. CONCLUSION

The present review shows that oral fast disintegrating films are one of the novel approaches in pharmacy or the field of pharmaceutical sciences. They have improved in acceptance and patient compliance with no risk of choking associated with better safety and efficacy in comparison with conventional dosage forms. The main idea behind formulation of ODFs or main aim was to cope with the difficulty in swallowing conventional oral dosage forms among pediatric, geriatric and psychiatric patients with dysphagia. Presently, ODFs are widely available for pain, hypertension, acidity, allergy etc. reflecting their importance. Major advantages of such dosage form are their administration without the use of water fulfilling the need of target population seeking convenience in drug administration along with bypassing the hepatic metabolism, consequently, leading to improved therapeutic response.
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