ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

# Binary Oxide Thin Films Deposition with In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as Dopant and MOO<sub>3</sub> as a Functional Material and Study of Physical and Gas Sensing Properties

<sup>1</sup>Kothawade N B, <sup>2</sup>Dhanwate S. V., <sup>3</sup>Bhise R. B., <sup>4</sup>Gosavi R.S., <sup>5</sup>Ghongade H. P., <sup>6</sup>Kulkarni H. R.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Arts Commerce and Science College, Kalwan (Manur) Dist. Nashik, India 423501

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, Swami Muktanand College of Science, Yeola (Nashik), India -423401

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Hon. Babasaheb Jadhav ACS College, Ale Dist. Pune, India -412411

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, Loknete Ramdas Patil Dhumal ASC College Rahuri Dist.-Ahmed Nagar India-413705

<sup>5</sup>SND College of Engineering and Research, Yeola Dist. Nashik, India-423401

<sup>6</sup>Jayawantrao Sawant College of Commerce and Science, Hadapsar, Pune, India -411028

#### Abstract

The undoped gas sensors are not able to sense for a particular gas in this condition to improve the sensitivity and selectivity of sensor is most important task. The sensitivity and selectivity of sensor can be improved by dopants or additives which can change the gas sensing characteristics. A suitable catalyst or dopant is often added in small percentage in the pure material to enhance the sensitivity and selectivity. Nanocomposite term contain mixture of two or more nano oxide materials like binary oxide, ternary oxide, etc. Nanocomposite films consists of nanocrystalline or amorphous phase of a least two different materials In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:MoO<sub>3</sub> binary oxide thin films were prepared by using spray pyrolysis technique on glass substrate at 400<sup>o</sup>C temperature. In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as dopant and MoO<sub>3</sub> as a functional material in film. The precursor InCl<sub>3</sub> and MoCl<sub>5</sub> of concentrations 0.3N:0.3N. The changes in parameters like sensitivity, selectivity, response time, grain size, surface area, and stability of the gas sensors which were improved by addition of different dopants, and the results of the analysis are presented in the paper.

**Keywords**: - Gas sensor, spray pyrolysis technique, binary oxide thin films, In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MoO<sub>3</sub>, Thin film, XRD, SEM and EDS.

#### Introduction

The SMOs used as gas sensor materials, are crystalline in nature and they are connected to their neighboring grains by necks. These interconnected grains form larger aggregates which are connected to their neighbors by grain boundaries. The sensitivity and selectivity of sensor can be improved by dopants or additives which can change the gas sensing characteristics. A suitable catalyst or dopant is often added in small percentage in the pure material to enhance the sensitivity and selectivity. Dopant element into In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sensing materials may cause the change of crystalline structure and grain size as well as impurity levels and surface defects, which can significantly improve the gas sensing performances of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> gas sensor. MoO<sub>3</sub> exhibits the highest value of work function among the non-soluble transition metal oxides. MoO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles have attracted a great deal of attention due to their unique physical and chemical properties that differ from those in the bulk, in particular for their high surface-to-volume ratio.

#### Methodology

For the deposition of binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films modified spray pyrolysis setup has been developed, designed and assembled in laboratory to overcome limitations of conventionally designed setup; such as number of optimized parameters, reliability and homogeneity of the deposited films. Binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films were prepared by spray pyrolysis technique. The spray Pyrolysis process was carried out at substrate temperature 400<sup>o</sup>C. The precursor  $InCl_3$  and  $MoCl_5$  of concentrations 0.1N, 0.2N and 0.3N were used. The thin films of  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> were prepared for concentration in proportion of 0.3N:0.3N. The study of characteristics such as SEM, EDS, XRD, resistivity, activation energy, TCR and gas sensing property were done to study the changes due to dopant.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Electrical and structural characterization is one of the most important aids to study the material nature and sensor operation. The effect of crystallite size and material phases can be determine using XRD, surface morphology/specific surface area determine using SEM, and chemical composition determine using EDS. The prepared material/films can be used as a gas sensor analyzed by using such types of different characterization techniques. The study of structural characteristics of Binary oxide In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films at 0.3N:0.3N was studied by techniques such as Surface Morphology using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) , Elemental analysis using Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis (EDAX) ,Structural characterization using X Ray Diffraction (XRD) .

# Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM):

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) technique is used to study Surface Morphology. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) (Model JOEL 6300 LA Germany) was utilized to characterize the surface morphology. Figure shows the SEM of binary oxide In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films of 0.1N: 0.1N was deposited on glass substrate using a Spray Pyrolysis Technique and fired at 400<sup>o</sup>C.The magnifications of all SEM images are taken at 10000X.



Figure: SEM of Binary oxide In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: MoO<sub>3</sub> thin with concentration 0.3N:0.3N

Binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> Films prepared by Spray Pyrolysis were observed to be non-porous as per SEM analysis. As per SEM analysis, the average particle size of film was calculated by using image j software. The average particle size of film at concentrations 0.3N:0.3N was found as 245 nm.

The specific surface area of Binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub>thin film was calculated using BET method for spherical particles using the equation,

$$S_w = \frac{6}{\rho d}$$

Where, d is the diameter of the particles,  $\rho$  is the density of the particles.

The specific Surface area with different concentrations of binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> was found as 40.1564 m<sup>2</sup>/g.

# Energy Dispersive X-ray analysis (EDAX):

The elemental analysis of Binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films with normality 0.3N:0.3N on glass substrate and fired at 400<sup>o</sup>C was studied using EDAX (JOEL, JED Germany). The EDAX analysis was used to found the presence of In, Mo and O as expected, no other impurity elements were present in the all samples. Figure shows count (along Y- axis) Verses KeV (along X-axis) EDS of 0.3N:0.3N concentration of binary oxide In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films.



Figure: EDS of Binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> thin film with concentration 0.3N:0.3N

From the EDAX spectra, it is found that mass% and at. wt.% of In, Mo and O is nearly matched. EDS of Binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> thin film with Concentration 0.3N:0.3N is shown in table

Element	Atomic %
0	86.11
Мо	11.95
In	1.94

# X-ray Diffraction Analysis (XRD):

To understand the structure and phases of binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films on glass substrate fired at 400<sup>o</sup>C XRD study had been considered. X-ray diffraction analysis of  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films were carried out in 20-80<sup>o</sup> range using X powder 12(CuK $\alpha$ ) Radiation.



**Figure:** XRD of Binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> thin film with concentration 0.3N:0.3N XRD of Binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> thin film with concentration 0.3N:0.3N is shown in table

	Plane (hkl)	20	d-spacing	Intensity	I/Io	FWHM
	In-211	<mark>21.95</mark>	4.045 <mark>34</mark>	218 <mark>3</mark>	79.6	2.078
	Mo- 211	23.32	3.81048	2141	78.1	3.615
	In-220	24.29	3.66001	2742	100	2.828
. <b>1</b> 1						
	Mo- 231	25.40	3.50311	2212	80.7	4.716
	Mo- 132	26.68	3.33754	2120	77.3	6.133
	Y				1	<u> </u>
	Mo- 062	28.72	3.10552	1938	70.7	7.918
	Mo- 270	29.21	3.05488	1993	72.7	7.918
	In-222	30.40	2.93752	1775	64.7	9.492

The average grain size was determined by using Debye-Scherer formula,

# $D = 0.9\lambda / \beta \cos\theta$

 $\beta$  is full angular width of diffraction peak at half maximum peak intensity,  $\lambda$  is wavelength of X-radiation. As per structural analysis the grain size were calculated by using Scherrer formula. The grain size of film at concentrations 0.3N:0.3N was found 3 nm.

#### **Electrical Characterization:**

The electrical characterization was done to measure the variation in electrical resistance at operating temperatures in air atmosphere, the resistivity, TCR and activation energy.

# **Resistivity:**

The DC resistance of  $In_2O_3$ :MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films with normality 0.3N:0.3N on glass substrate and fired at 400<sup>o</sup>C was measured by using half bridge method as a function of temperature. Figure shows resistance variation of  $In_2O_3$ :MoO<sub>3</sub>thin films with normality 0.3N:0.3N temperature variation in an atmosphere. There is decrease in resistance with increase in temperature indicating semiconductor behavior, obeying R=  $R_0e^{-\Delta E/KT}$  in the temperature range of 40-350<sup>o</sup>C. The resistance  $In_2O_3$ :MoO<sub>3</sub>thin films with normality 0.3N:0.3N temperature and after resistance decreases exponentially with increase in temperature and lastly saturates to steady level. The resistivity of  $In_2O_3$ :MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films at constant temperature is calculated using the relation,

$$\rho = (R \ge A) / l$$

$$\rho = (R \ge b \ge t) / l \quad ohm-m$$





Figure: Resistance of Binary oxide In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: MoO<sub>3</sub> thin with concentration 0.3N:0.3N

The resistivity of binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ :MoO<sub>3</sub> sample with concentrations 0.3N:0.3N of MoO<sub>3</sub> as additives in TiO<sub>2</sub> film was calculated 16.135 x10<sup>3</sup>  $\Omega$ -m.

# **Activation Energy:**

Figure shows plot of log(R) versus reciprocal of temperature, (1/T) for  $In_2O_3$ :MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films with normality 0.3N:0.3N.



Figure: Activation energy of Binary oxide In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:MoO<sub>3</sub> thin film with concentration 0.3N:0.3N

This plot is reversible in both heating and cooling cycles obeying the Arrhenius equation

$$R = R_0 e^{-\Delta E/KT}$$

Where, Ro = the constant = Resistance at room temperature

 $\Delta E$  = The activation energy of the electron transport in the conduction

K = Boltzman constant and

T = Absolute temperature

JCR The Activation energy at high temperature and at low temperature were found 0.2831 eV and 0.5360 eV respectively at 0.3N:0.3N.

# TCR:

Temperature coefficient of resistance (TCR) of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: MoO<sub>3</sub> thin films prepared at 400<sup>o</sup>C is calculated by using the following relation,

$$TCR = \frac{1}{R_o} \left( \frac{\Delta R}{\Delta T} \right) / \sigma K$$

band,



Figure: TCR graph of binary oxide In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: MoO<sub>3</sub> thin film with concentration 0.3N:0.3N

The temperature coefficient of resistance (TCR) was found as  $0.0010/{}^{0}$  C.

## **Gas Sensing Properties:**

The main characterization is the optimization of operating temperature of film sample for test gases. On the basis of measured data, the sensitivity and selectivity of thin film sensor for a fixed gas concentration of 1000 ppm in air surrounding condition are estimated. The variation in sensitivity of binary oxide In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>:MoO<sub>3</sub>thin films as a function of temperature and for LPG, Ethanol, NH<sub>3</sub>, CO and NO<sub>2</sub> gases [1000 ppm concentration]. The operating temperature was varied at the interval of 50°C. From the measured resistance in air as well as in gas atmosphere, the sensitivity of gas was determined at particular operating temperature using the JCR following equation

$$Sensitivity(S) = \frac{R_a - R_g}{R_a} \times 100$$

 $R_a$  – resistance of thin film in air atmosphere, Where,

 $R_g$  – resistance of thin film in gaseous atmosphere.



Figure: Gas sensitivity response of Binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> thin film with concentration 0.3N:0.3N

The film of binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ :MoO<sub>3</sub>was exposed to various gases. The film of binary 67.63% sensitivity for CO gas at operating temperature 150<sup>o</sup>C and CO gas concentration was at 300 ppm.

#### **Conclusion:**

We have deposited Binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub>thin films with normality 0.3N:0.3N on glass substrate and fired at 400<sup>o</sup>C to change the characteristic properties of thin film. The film was deposited using spray Pyrolysis process at substrate temperature 400<sup>o</sup>C. The precursor InCl<sub>3</sub> and MoCl<sub>5</sub> of concentrations 0.1N, 0.2N and 0.3N were used. The average particle size of film at concentrations0.3N:0.3N was found 245 nm. Specific Surface area with different concentrations of binary oxide  $In_2O_3$ :MoO<sub>3</sub> was found as 40.15964 m<sup>2</sup>/g. The atomic % of O, Mo, In were found as 86.11% ,11.95% and 1.94 % respectively. XRD gives the grain size of film 8 nm. The resistivity of sample was calculated 16.135 x10<sup>3</sup> Ω-m. The Activation energy at high temperature and at low temperature were found as 0.2831 eV and 0.5360 eV respectively. The temperature coefficient of resistance was found was 0.0010/<sup>0</sup> C. The film of  $In_2O_3$ : MoO<sub>3</sub> at 0.3N:0.3N showed 67.63 % sensitivity for CO gas at operating temperature 150<sup>o</sup>C and CO gas concentration was at 300 ppm.

## **References:**

- 1. S. Matsushima, Y. Teraoka, N. Miura, N. Yamazoe, Jpn.J.Appl.Phys.27
- 2. E. B. Santos, F. A. Sigoli, I. O. Mazali, J. Solid State Chem. 190 (2012) 80-84.
- 3. Granqvist.C.G, Handbook of Inorganic Electrochromic Materials, Elsevier, 115.
- 4. Sian.T.S and Reddy.G.B, Appl.Surf.Sci., 2004,236, 1-5.
- 5. X. Chen, S.S. Mao, Chem. Rev. 107 (2007) 2891–2959.
- 6. Y.F. Sun, S.B. Liu, F.L. Meng, J.Y. Liu, L.T. Kong, J.H. Liu, Sensors 12 (2012) 2610–2631.
- 7. T. Brezesnski, J. Wang, S. H. Tolbert and B. Dunn, Nat. Mater., 9 (2010) 146.
- 8. D. V. Ahire, S. D. Shinde, G. E. Patil, K. K. Thakur, V. B. Gaikwad, V. G. Wagh1 and G. H. Jain, International Journal On Smart Sensing And Intelligent Systems, Vol. 5, No. 3, September 2012, Issn 1178-5608.
- 9. Sian.T.S and Reddy.G.B, Appl.Surf.Sci., 2004,236, 1-5.
- 10. W. W. Chen, Y. K. Liu, Z. J. Qin, Y.
- 11. D.R. Patil, L.A.Patil, Sensors and Actuators B 123 (2007) 546–553.
- 12. L. Satyanarayana, K. Madhusudan Reddy, S.V. Manorama, Sensors and Actuators B 89(2003) 62-67.
- **13.** D.M. Smyth, Solid State 129 (2000) 5–12.
- 14. Titkov.IE, Delimova.LA, Zubrilov.AS, Seredova.NV, Liniichuk.IA and GrekhovIV: J Mod Opt., CR 2009, 56, 653-660.
- 15. G. Korotcenkov, Sens. Actuators B: chem., 107, 2005, 209-232.
- 16. L.Gao, Li, Q., Song, Z., Wang Sens. Actuators B71 (2000) 179-183
- 17. S. Cho, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 60, 2058 (2012).
- 18. S. Basu, A. Dutta, Materials Chemistry and Physics 47(1997) 93-96
- 19. G. UozumiM.Miyayama, H.Yanagida, Journal of Materials Science 32 (11)(1997) 2991-2996.
- **20.** X. Chen, S.S. Mao, Chem. Rev. 107 (2007) 2891–2959.
- **21.** Y.F. Sun, S.B. Liu, F.L. Meng, J.Y. Liu, L.T. Kong, J.H. Liu, Sensors 12 (2012) 2610–2631.
- 22. S. Matsushima, Y. Teraoka, N. Miura, N. Yamazoe, Jpn.J.Appl.Phys.27(1988) 1798-1802
- 23. J. G. Duh, J. W. Jou, B. S. Chiou, Electrochem.Soc.136 (1989) 2740-2747
- 24. P. Prathap, N. Revathi, K. T. R. Reddy, and R. W. Miles, Thin SolidFilms 518, 1271 (2009). (1988) 1798-1802
- 25. J. G. Duh, J. W. Jou, B. S. Chiou, Electrochem.Soc.136 (1989) 2740-2747
- **26.** S. Basu, A. Dutta, Materials Chemistry and Physics 47(1997) 93-96.
- 27. X. P. Shen, L. J. Guo, G. X. Zhu, C. Y. Xi, Z. Y. Ji and H. Zhou, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 64228–64234.
- **28.** X. Y. Lai, P. Li, T. L. Yang, J. C. Tu and P. Xue, Scr. Mater., 2012, 67, 293–296.