IJCRT.ORG

ISSN: 2320-2882



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Applying Machine Learning Techniques to Biodiversity Research: A Review of Current Methods and Future Directions'

Dr Balaraju Chandramouli 1*, Dr Vankamaddi Madan Mohan Rao 2

1. Principal Government Degree College, Puttur Chittor District, Andhra Pradesh, India-517583 2. Lecturer, Department of Botany, Government Degree College, Chintalapudi, Eluru District, Andhra Pradesh, India – 521 333.

Abstract:

Ever increasing driving forces like pollution, habitat fragmentation, anthropogenic exploitation, and climate change, the biodiversity crisis is getting worse. Even though they are useful, traditional ecological methods are constrained by their incapacity to interpret and process the enormous, intricate datasets produced by contemporary biodiversity research. A fundamental subset of artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML) has become a potent instrument for modeling, forecasting, and interpreting ecological patterns at previously unachievable scales. Recent developments in machine learning applications in important biodiversity research areas, such as species distribution, trait ecology, genomics, and environmental monitoring, are reviewed in this review. We offer practical case studies, provide an overview of methodological advancements, and pinpoint new trends that indicate the path that machine learning-driven biodiversity research will take in the future.

Keywords: Biodiversity, conservation, Data analysis, AI & ML

1.Introduction:

Biodiversity, the variety of life on Earth [25], the term that fascinating over the decades has been the essential for survival of human life on earth. Important ecosystem services like pollination, soil fertility, carbon sequestration, and water regulation are all supported by biodiversity [8]. Biodiversity affects ecosystem services, or the advantages that ecosystems offer to people, which help to make life possible and worthwhile [16]. However, more than a million species are currently in danger of going extinct due to the unprecedented rate at which global biodiversity is declining [10]. Traditional knowledge systems and common management practices offer useful ideas for creating new designs and testable

hypotheses [23]. Scalability, cost, and timeliness are issues for traditional biodiversity research, which depends on field surveys and expert taxonomic knowledge.

Strong data on species, habitats, and ecosystems are essential for biodiversity conservation. Prior to the development of contemporary technologies (such as artificial intelligence, drones, and genomics), scientists created a variety of conventional techniques that continue to serve as the foundation for many conservation initiatives today [13],[22].

Big ecological data has become more widely available in recent years, thanks to automated sensors (like camera traps and bioacoustics monitors), remote sensing (like Sentinel and Landsat), and citizen science platforms (like eBird and iNaturalist). These data sources are perfect for machine learning (ML) applications because they are high-dimensional, diverse, and frequently noisy [4].

Machine learning describes algorithms that, without explicit programming, identify patterns in data to generate predictions or judgments [12]. Already revolutionizing industries like autonomous systems, finance, and medicine, machine learning is now gaining traction in ecology and conservation biology [18],[21].

2. Current Techniques and Applications

2.1 Species Distribution Modeling (SDM): SDMs use environmental predictors to calculate the likelihood of a species' occurrence. In complex ecological scenarios, machine learning techniques such as Random Forests, MaxEnt, Boosted Regression Trees, and Deep Learning perform better than conventional models.

Case Study: MaxEnt for Amphibian Diversity Hotspots: Jetz *et al.* (2012) mapped the global amphibian richness using topographical and environmental data using MaxEnt [11].

- Deep Learning for Coral Reefs: Maire et al. (2020) used CNNs to achieve >90% accuracy in identifying coral reef distributions from multispectral satellite images
- 2.2 Using Computer Vision and Audio to Monitor Biodiversity: Biodiversity surveys are being revolutionized by automated species recognition from sounds and images.

Camera Traps: A CNN model was trained by Norouzzadeh et al. (2018) to recognize 48 mammal species from 3 million Tanzanian camera trap images with an accuracy of >93%.[17].

Bioacoustics: In the BirdCLEF challenge, Kahl et al. (2021) identified more than 1,200 bird species from audio data using spectrogram-based CNNs.[12].

Temporal dependencies in bat echolocation calls and frog choruses are captured by LSTMs.

2.3 Functional and Trait-Based Ecology: The functional characteristics of species and their impact on ecosystem processes are the main topics of trait-based ecology.

Case Study: The TRY database of global plant traits was developed by Díaz et al. (2016). Based on known correlated variables, machine learning models have been used to predict missing traits like wood density and leaf area[7].

2.4 **Habitat mapping and remote sensing**: Machine learning techniques such as CNNs, Support Vector Machines (SVM), and U-Net segmentation networks analyze satellite or drone imagery to identify habitat degradation, deforestation, and changes in land use.

Case Study: Portik et al. (2021) identified hitherto unknown biodiversity hotspots by using machine learning (ML) on barcoding data to infer cryptic frog species in West Africa[20].

3. Principal Difficulties and Restrictions:

Interpretability: The majority of machine learning models, especially deep learning models, function as "black boxes."

Data Quality: Model results may be skewed by missing values, label noise, and class imbalance (rare species, for example).

Scalability and Cost: Deep learning model training can be costly and energy-intensive [23].

Ethical Concerns: Rare species or indigenous knowledge systems may be endangered if sensitive location data is misused.

4. Prospective Paths:

- 4.1 Explainable AI (XAI): Model transparency through the use of interpretable techniques like SHAP and LIME.
- 4.3 Edge-Based and Real-Time Monitoring

integrating artificial intelligence (AI) into edge devices and drones (like the AudioMoth) for early warning and in-situ biodiversity monitoring.

4.4 Multi-modal and Integrative Machine Learning: Integrating ecological field data, genomics, and remote sensing to create reliable, broadly applicable models.

5. Conclusion:

The science of biodiversity is undergoing a paradigm shift thanks to machine learning, which makes ecological analysis scalable, quick, and precise. Its adoption must be cautious, though, with a focus on transparent methodologies, ethical data use, and interdisciplinary collaboration. As the rapidly depleting biodiversity, latest technology like machine learning (ML) holds promise for stopping and even reversing the loss of species along with advances in Quantum technology will boost the process.

By enabling extremely sensitive and accurate environmental sensing, such as quantum-enhanced imaging and quantum radar, which can identify elusive species or monitor habitats with little disturbance, quantum technology offers revolutionary potential for biodiversity [5]. Compared to classical methods, quantum computing is more efficient at predicting biodiversity responses to environmental changes, modeling ecosystem dynamics, and speeding up complex data analysis [15]. Additionally, sensitive biodiversity data can be safely shared between researchers and policymakers thanks to quantum cryptography [2]. Together, these developments strengthen our capacity to observe, comprehend, and protect biodiversity in a world that is changing quickly.

6.References:

- 1. Arslan, A. N., et al. (2021). "A survey on machine learning in remote sensing for biodiversity monitoring." *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 258, 112366.
- 2.Biamonte, J., Wittek, P., Pancotti, N., Rebentrost, P., Wiebe, N., & Lloyd, S. (2017). Quantum machine learning. *Nature*, *549*(7671), 195-202.
- 3. Cardinale, B., Duffy, J., Gonzalez, A. *et al.* Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. *Nature* 486, 59–67 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11148
- 4. Christin, S., Hervet, É., & Lecomte, N. (2019). Applications for deep learning in ecology. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 10(10), 1632–1644.
- 5. Degen, C. L., Reinhard, F., & Cappellaro, P. (2017). Quantum sensing. *Reviews of modern physics*, 89(3), 035002.
- 6. Díaz S, Symstad AJ, Chapin FS, Wardle DA, Huenneke LF (2003) Functional diversity revealed by removal experiments. Trends Ecol Evol 18: 140–146.
- 7. Díaz, S. et al. (2016). The global spectrum of plant form and function. Nature, 529(7585), 167–171. Elith, J., & Leathwick, J. R. (2009). Species distribution models: ecological explanation and prediction across space and time. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 40, 677–697.
- 8. Díaz, S., et al. (2023). *A Nature-Positive World: Acting on the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework*. Science, 381(6653), 104–106. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adh6455
- 9. Gorelick, N., et al. (2017). Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone. Remote Sensing of Environment, 202, 18–27.
- 10. IPBES. (2019).Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. https://www.ipbes.net/global-assessment
- 11. Jetz, W., et al. (2012). The global diversity of birds in space and time. Nature, 491(7424), 444–448. Jordan, M. I., & Mitchell, T. M. (2015). Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. Science, 349(6245), 255–260.
- 12. Kahl, S. et al. (2021). BirdNET: A deep learning solution for avian diversity monitoring. Ecological Informatics, 61, 101236.
- 13. Kent, M. (2012). Vegetation description and data analysis: A practical approach. Wiley-Blackwell.

- 14. Kristensen, N. P., et al. (2022). "Explainable artificial intelligence in ecology." *Nature Ecology &* Evolution, 6(6), 709–719.
- 15.Lloyd, S., Mohseni, M., & Rebentrost, P. (2013). Quantum algorithms for supervised and unsupervised machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1307.0411.
- 16. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. (2005). Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Biodiversity Synthesis. World Resources Institute, Washington, DC.86 p https://www.millenniumassessment.org/en/Synthesis.html
- 17. Norouzzadeh, M. S., et al. (2018). Automatically identifying animal species in camera trap images with deep learning. PNAS, 115(25), E5716–E5725.
- 18. Olden, J. D., et al. (2008). Machine learning methods without tears: a primer for ecologists. The Quarterly Review of Biology, 83(2), 171–193.
- 19. Peters, M. K., et al. (2019). "Machine learning for ecological applications." *Ecology and Evolution*, 9(3), 1220–1230.
- 20. Portik, D. M. et al. (2021). Cryptic diversity in a West African amphibian radiation uncovered by ML and integrative taxonomy. Systematic Biology, 70(2), 361–378.
- 21. Schneider, S., et al. (2020). Three critical challenges for biodiversity science using big data and machine learning. Nature Communications, 11(1), 4563.
- 22. Smith, P., et al. (2018). Natural history museums, herbaria, and biodiversity science. Bioscience, 68(7), 435–445. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biy043
- 23. Strubell, E., Ganesh, A., & McCallum, A. (2019). Energy and policy considerations for deep learning in NLP. ACL.
- 24. Weinstein, B. G. (2018). "A computer vision for animal ecology." Journal of Animal Ecology, 87(3), 533-545.
- 25. Wilson, E.O. (1988). Biodiversity. National Academy Press. ISBN: 9780309037396.