



CRITERIA TO DIFFERENTIATE NANATMAJA AND SAMANYAJA KAPHAJA VIKARA: AN AYURVEDIC CONCEPTUAL ANALYSIS

¹Vd. Akash Chavan, ²Vd. Vineeta Deshmukh

¹PG Scholar, ²Professor & HOD

¹Department of Samhita and Sidhant,

¹BSDT's Ayurveda Mahavidyalaya, Wagholi, Pune, India

Abstract: Understanding the distinction between *Nanatmaja* and *Samanyaja Kaphaja Vikara* is fundamental in Ayurvedic diagnostics and clinical decision-making. *Nanatmaja Kaphaja Vikara* are diseases produced solely by *Kapha Dosha*, reflecting direct disturbances in properties of *Kapha* such as *Sheeta*, *Guru*, *Manda*, and *Picchila*. These disorders exhibit straightforward etiological factors, simple *Samprapti*, limited *Strotodushti*, and characteristic *Kapha*-dominant clinical features like heaviness, lethargy, and excess secretions. In contrast, *Samanyaja Kaphaja Vikara* arise from general *Kapha* vitiation combined with other *Dosha* or systemic factors, resulting in more complex and multi-layered disease processes such as *Shwasa*, *Kasa*, and *Prameha*. This review analyzes classical sources to derive clear diagnostic criteria based on *nidana*, *rupa*, *samprapti*, and *chikitsa*. Differentiation between these two categories enhances diagnostic precision and guides appropriate therapeutic planning, supporting individualized management according to *Kapha* involvement and pathological dominance.

Index Terms - Kaphaja Vikara, Nanatmaja Vyadhi, Samanyaja Vyadhi, Ayurveda, Kapha dosha

I. INTRODUCTION

In Ayurvedic pathology, diseases are understood through the framework of *Dosha*, *Dhatu*, and *Mala*, with special emphasis on the causative *Dosha* and the intrinsic nature of their origin. This *Dosha*-based classification helps determine not only the pathological basis of a disease but also guides clinical reasoning and therapeutic prioritization. Within this system, *Nanatmaja Vyadhi* denotes a group of diseases that arise exclusively from the disturbance of a single *Dosha*, without the involvement of another *Dosha*. These disorders represent the *independent pathological expression* of that *Dosha*, clearly reflecting its inherent *guna*, *karma*, and *sthana*. Thus, *Nanatmaja Vyadhi* serves as a direct indicator of *Dosha*-specific pathophysiology¹.

In contrast, *Samanyaja Vyadhi* refers to conditions that occur due to a general vitiation of a *Dosha*, often in association with other *Dosha* or external etiological factors. These diseases do not arise from the exclusive expression of one *Dosha*; rather, they emerge from a multi-factorial *Samprapti*, resulting in mixed clinical features and broader systemic involvement. Such conditions require a more comprehensive clinical approach because their pathogenesis involves combined *Dosha* interactions, *Dhatu-dushti*, or *Strotas* impairment¹.

For *Kapha Dosha*, the classical texts distinctly enumerate *Kaphaja Nanatmaja Vyadhi*, which are diseases exclusively produced by *Kapha*—such as *Tandra*, *Alasya*, *Gaurava*, and *Pralepa*—each directly reflecting *Kapha guna* like *Sheeta*, *Guru*, *Manda*, and *Picchila*. Alongside these, the texts describe a wide spectrum of *Kaphaja Samanyaja Vyadhi*, where *Kapha* contributes significantly to the pathology but is not the sole causative factor. Examples include *Kasa*, *Shwasa*, *Prameha*, and *Medoroga*, where *Kapha* interacts with *Vata*, *Pitta*, or systemic factors to produce more complex disease patterns².

A clear understanding and differentiation of these two categories are important for precise diagnosis, appropriate *Chikitsa-siddhanta*, and individualized patient management. Recognizing whether a disease is *Kapha*-exclusive or *Kapha*-associated allows the physician to determine the primary *Dosha*, target the correct pathogenesis, and apply *Dosha*-specific or *Vyadhi*-specific treatment protocols more effectively.

This article aims to systematically analyze the criteria used to differentiate *Nanatmaja* and *Samanyaja Kaphaja Vikara*, drawing upon authoritative classical Ayurvedic references to establish a coherent diagnostic

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A textual analysis was conducted by *Brihatrayyi*, *Laghutrayyi*, and other ayurvedic texts, research papers, articles, etc. Primary emphasis was given to chapters discussing *Dosha-prakopa*, *Vyadhi-samprapti*, and *Dosha*-specific independent diseases (*Nanatmaja Vyadhi*). Relevant commentaries were used to validate the interpretation.

Criteria were taken by comparing features of *Dosha*-specific diseases with those arising from mixed or general *Kapha* vitiation.

III. RESULTS

1. Definition and Concept³

Nanatmaja Kaphaja Vyadhi

- Defined as diseases that originate **exclusively from a single Dosha**.
- *Charaka* states that each *Dosha* has its own set of diseases - *Ekaika-doshena janitah vyadhyah* - arising independently.
- Example: *Jrumbha*, *Sheeta*, *Alasya*, *Tandra*, *Pralepa*, etc.

Samanyaja Kaphaja Vyadhi

- Diseases that manifest due to general vitiation of *Kapha* but are not exclusively *Kapha*-driven.
- *Kapha* contributes to the pathology but does **not act alone**.
- Example: *Prameha*, *Kshaya*, *Shwasa*, *Kasa*—where *Kapha* is involved but not the sole cause.

2. Criteria for Differentiating *Nanatmaja* and *Samanyaja Kaphaja Vikara*

a) *Nidana*^{1,2}:

Criterion	<i>Nanatmaja Kaphaja Vikara</i>	<i>Samanyaja Kaphaja Vikara</i>
Causative factor	Exclusively <i>Kapha</i> -increasing <i>nidana</i>	Multiple <i>Dosha</i> or multi-factorial <i>nidana</i>
Role of another <i>Dosha</i>	No involvement	<i>Vata/Pitta</i> may assist in <i>samprapti</i>
<i>Dosha-dushti</i> type	Pure <i>Kapha prakopa</i>	<i>Kapha</i> + <i>Vata/Pitta</i> or external <i>nidana</i>

b) Rupa⁴:

Criteria	<i>Nanatmaja Kaphaja Vikara</i>	<i>Samanyaja Kaphaja Vikara</i>
Symptom source	Only <i>Kapha guna</i> (<i>Sheeta, Guru, Picchila</i>)	Mixed symptoms depending on <i>Dosha-dushti</i>
Symptom severity	Mild to moderate; primarily <i>Kapha</i> features	Variable; greater complexity due to <i>Dosha</i> mixtures
Features	<i>Shleshma</i> accumulation, heaviness, stiffness	Symptoms dominated by primary disease process, not just <i>Kapha</i>
Examples	<i>Tandra, Alasya, Apakti</i>	<i>Kasa, Shwasa, Prameha</i>

c) Samprapti:

Criteria	<i>Nanatmaja</i>	<i>Samanyaja</i>
Origin	Single <i>Dosha</i> dominance	Dual/multi <i>Dosha</i> interplay
Location involvement	<i>Kapha sthana</i> (<i>Ura, Kanṭha, Shir, Amashaya</i>)	Multiple <i>Strotas</i> depending on disease
<i>Strotodushti</i>	Mainly <i>Sanga</i> due to <i>Kapha</i>	<i>Sanga, atimatra strava, avarodha</i> , etc. depending on mixed <i>Dosha</i>

d) Sadhya-asadhya:

- *Nanatmaja Kaphaja Vikara*:
 - Generally **easier to treat**, as they represent straightforward *Kapha* vitiation.
 - Prognosis: *Sukha-sadhya* when *Kapha* alone is involved.
- *Samanyaja Kaphaja Vikara*:
 - Prognosis depends on **primary disease**, chronicity, *dhatu* involvement.
 - Example: *Prameha* — *Kricchra-sadhya*.

e) Chikitsa⁵

Criterion	<i>Nanatmaja</i>	<i>Samanyaja</i>
Approach	Pure <i>Kapha-hara chikitsa</i> : <i>Langhana, Langhana-Pachana, Udvartana</i>	Disease-specific approach with <i>Kapha-hara</i> as supportive
Drug selection	<i>Kapha-shamaka dravya</i>	<i>Bahudoshaj</i> or <i>Vyadhi-pradhana</i> drugs
Therapies	<i>Vamana, Udvartana, Pachana</i>	<i>Vamana</i> only if <i>Kapha</i> is dominant; otherwise, mixed

IV. DISCUSSION

The distinction between *Nanatmaja* and *Samanyaja Kaphaja Vikara* is rooted in Ayurvedic *Dosha* theory. *Charaka* highlights that each *Dosha* possesses its own set of diseases—*Nanatmaja*—which depict the independent pathological potential of the *Dosha*⁶. For *Kapha*, these diseases are direct manifestations of its inherent *guna*, such as *Guru, Sheet, Manda, Snigdha*, and *Sthira*⁷.

On the other hand, *Samanyaja Kaphaja Vikara* are more complex and multifactorial. Here, *Kapha* contributes significantly to the pathogenesis but does not act independently. This is seen in major clinical conditions like *Shwasa, Kasa, Prameha, Medoroga*, where *Kapha* plays a central role, but the disease cannot be labelled as *Kapha*-only.

Thus, differentiation serves as a diagnostic and therapeutic guide. Understanding *Dosha* exclusivity versus general involvement helps tailor treatment—ranging from simple *Kapha-hara* measures for *Nanatmaja* conditions to complex, multi-modal therapies for *Samanyaja* disorders.

V. CONCLUSION

Differentiation between *Nanatmaja* and *Samanyaja Kaphaja Vikara* is essential for accurate diagnosis and rational treatment planning in Ayurveda. *Nanatmaja* disorders are pure *Kapha*-origin diseases, reflecting *Kapha*'s intrinsic *guna* disturbances, whereas *Samanyaja* disorders are multi-causal, involving *Kapha* along with other *Dosha* or systemic factors. Recognizing these categories supports precise treatment strategies and improves therapeutic outcomes.

VI. REFERENCE

1. Pt. Kashinath Shastri and Dr. Gorakhnath Chaturvedi, Charak Samhita with elaborated Vidyotini Hindi Commentary, Part II, Chaukhamba Bharati Academy, Varanasi, edition 2022, Sutra Sthana, Adhyay- 20/11.
2. Pt. Kashinath Shastri and Dr. Gorakhnath Chaturvedi, Charak Samhita with elaborated Vidyotini Hindi Commentary, Part II, Chaukhamba Bharati Academy, Varanasi, edition 2022, Sutra Sthana, Adhyay- 20/17-18.
3. Pt. Kashinath Shastri and Dr. Gorakhnath Chaturvedi, Charak Samhita with elaborated Vidyotini Hindi Commentary, Part II, Chaukhamba Bharati Academy, Varanasi, edition 2022, Sutra Sthana, Adhyay- 20/17.
4. Kaviraja Ambikadutta Shastri, Sushrut Samhita of Maharshi Sushruta edited with Ayurveda tattva Sandipika, Hindi commentary, Part I, Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, Sutra sthana, Adhyay 15/ 13.
5. Dr. Bramhanand Tripathi, Ashtang hridayam of Shrivagbhata edited with Nirmala hindi commentary, Chaukhambha Sanskrit Pratishthan, Delhi, Sutra sthana 13/ 10-12
6. Pt. Kashinath Shastri and Dr. Gorakhnath Chaturvedi, Charak Samhita with elaborated Vidyotini Hindi Commentary, Part II, Chaukhamba Bharati Academy, Varanasi, edition 2022, Sutra Sthana, Adhyay- 20/17-19.
7. Kayachikitsa – vaidya Y.G. Joshi, Kayachikitsa, Pune, Pune sahitya Vitarana, 2017.

