IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Effect of Post-Activation Performance Enhancement (PAPE) Based Training Programs on the Sport-Specific Performance of Amateur Track and Field Throwers

Mintu Rabha*, Praveen Kumar**, Satpal Yadav***
*PhD Scholar, DBU, Punjab, **Department of Physical Education, DBU, Punjab, ***LNIPE, NERC, Guwahati

Abstract: The present study investigated the effect of Post-Activation Performance Enhancement (PAPE) based training programs on the sport-specific performance of amateur track and field throwers. Sixty male amateur track and field throwers (aged 17–24 years) were randomly assigned to four equal groups (n=15 per group): Group A underwent PAPE Heavy Conditioning Training, Group B underwent PAPE Explosive Training, Group C underwent Complex Training (a combination of Heavy Conditioning and Explosive Training), and Group D served as the Control Group. The experimental interventions were administered over a twelve-week period, with training occurring three days per week. Shot put performance (maximum horizontal throwing distance) was measured pre-intervention and post-intervention. Data were analyzed using paired sample t-tests, Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA), and a 4 × 2 Mixed Repeated Measures Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni post-hoc adjustments, with significance set at $p < 0.05$. The results demonstrated significant improvements in sport-specific performance for all experimental groups, whereas the control group showed minimal change. The Mixed Repeated Measures ANOVA indicated a significant Group × Time interaction effect ($F = 5.02$, $p = 0.004$). Complex Training (Group C) produced the greatest performance enhancement, outperforming both the individual Heavy Conditioning and Explosive Training programs. The findings suggest that the integration of heavy resistance conditioning and explosive movements optimally enhances neuromuscular efficiency and maximizes sport-specific power output in amateur throwers.

Keywords: Post-Activation Performance Enhancement (PAPE), Complex Training, Shot Put

1. Introduction

In the modern scientific era, athletic performance is maximized through systematic, evidence-based training methods that enhance physical capabilities and biomechanical efficiency. Sports performance is a multifactorial phenomenon heavily influenced by a combination of physical, physiological, and technical factors. For track and field throwers specifically, performance is fundamentally determined by the athlete's explosive power, muscular strength, and the ability to efficiently transfer that strength into coordinated, sport-specific movements. Throwing events demand the ability to generate immense force rapidly, a capacity often referred to as speed-strength or explosive power.

To develop this explosive capacity, coaches and physical educators continually seek innovative training methodologies that optimize the neuromuscular system. The efficiency of the neuromuscular system governs the coordination between the nervous system and muscles, allowing for faster and more precise muscle activation during rapid execution tasks. Recent advancements in strength and conditioning have highlighted Post-Activation Performance Enhancement (PAPE) as a highly effective method for improving explosive strength and sport-specific performance.

PAPE refers to a training phenomenon in which an athlete performs a heavy conditioning activity prior to a biomechanically similar explosive movement, leading to an acute and temporary enhancement in muscular performance. The underlying physiological mechanisms of PAPE include increased motor unit recruitment, enhanced neural drive, myosin light chain phosphorylation within type II muscle fibers, and improved muscle temperature. By bridging the gap between traditional maximum strength training and high-velocity explosive performance, PAPE allows athletes to generate greater force in a shorter duration. While the concept of PAPE and the closely related Post-Activation Potentiation (PAP) has been extensively studied in jumping and sprinting, there is a notable gap in the scientific literature regarding its long-term application in throwing events among amateur athletes. Therefore, the primary purpose of this study was to determine the effect of a 12-week PAPE-based training program, encompassing Heavy Conditioning, Explosive Training, and Complex Training (their combination), on the sport-specific performance of amateur track and field throwers. It was hypothesized that PAPE conditioning activities would significantly increase sport-specific performance (shot put throwing distance) in this population.

2. Methods and Methodology

2.1 Subjects

A randomized group design was utilized for this investigation. Sixty (N=60) male amateur track and field throwers, aged between 17 and 24 years, were randomly selected to participate in the study. Following a comprehensive medical examination to ensure fitness and a screening process to confirm their readiness, physical limitations, and health status, the subjects were randomly assigned into four groups of fifteen (n=15) subjects each.

Group A: PAPE Heavy Conditioning Training
Group B: PAPE Explosive Training
Group C: Complex Training (Combination of Heavy and Explosive Training)
Group D: Control Group (Regular Training Only).

2.2 Experimental Design and Training Protocols

The training intervention lasted for 12 weeks, with experimental groups training three days per week on alternate days. All groups, including the control group, participated in a standardized general conditioning program comprising warm-ups, flexibility exercises, sprints, and calisthenics to maintain foundational fitness.

The experimental training volume and intensity were manipulated progressively across four distinct 3-week phases, applying the principle of progressive overload. Workloads were based on percentages of each subject's One-Repetition Maximum (1RM).

Phase 1 (Weeks 1-3): 50% 1RM; 3 sets of 8-10 repetitions.
Phase 2 (Weeks 4-6): 60% 1RM; 3 sets of 6-8 repetitions.
Phase 3 (Weeks 7-9): 70% 1RM; 4 sets of 4-6 repetitions.
Phase 4 (Weeks 10-12): 75-80% 1RM; 4 to 5 sets of 3-5 repetitions.

Group A (PAPE Heavy Conditioning): Training consisted of six compound resistance exercises: Back Squat, Bench Press, Front Squat, Push Press, Deadlift, and Incline Press. Recovery times between sets increased progressively from 120 seconds in Phase 1 to 180–210 seconds in Phase 4.

Group B (PAPE Explosive Training): Training consisted of six Olympic lift-based and plyometric exercises: Power Clean, Romanian Deadlift, Hang Clean, Resisted Split Squat, Resisted Jump Squat, and Resisted Box Jump. Recovery intervals were maintained at 2-3 minutes.

Group C (Complex Training): Subjects performed a heavy resistance exercise immediately followed by its biomechanically similar explosive counterpart (e.g., Back Squat followed by Power Clean). A 5-minute rest interval was provided between the heavy and explosive components, and 4–10 minutes of recovery was allowed between successive complex sets.

2.3 Criterion Measures and Data Collection

The criterion variable was the maximum horizontal distance achieved in the shot put event. Performance was measured from the inner edge of the throwing circle to the point where the shot touched the ground, using a standard steel tape, in accordance with the standard procedures of the Athletic Federation of India. Pre-test baseline data were collected prior to the intervention, and post-test data were recorded immediately

following the 12-week training period. Tester reliability was previously established via test-retest methods demonstrating a high coefficient of correlation.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) were computed for pre-test, post-test, and gain scores. A paired-samples t-test was used to determine the significance of the difference between pre- and post-test scores within each group. Levene's Test was utilized to confirm the homogeneity of variance. A 4 × 2 Mixed Repeated Measures Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was administered to test for significant main effects and interaction effects (Group × Time). Where significant interactions occurred, Bonferroni-adjusted pairwise comparisons were utilized to identify specific group differences. The level of significance was established a priori at $\alpha = 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1 Descriptive Statistics and Intragroup Analysis

The assumption of homogeneity of variance was satisfied for both pre-test ($F = 0.580$, $p = 0.630$) and post-test scores ($F = 0.038$, $p = 0.990$).

Over the 12-week intervention, all three experimental groups demonstrated performance improvements, while the control group remained relatively stagnant.

Group A (Heavy Conditioning): Improved from a pre-test mean of 11.02 ± 1.03 m to a post-test mean of 11.30 ± 0.97 m (Mean gain = +0.27 m). Paired t-test revealed this change was significant ($t = 2.162$, $p = 0.048$, Cohen's $d = 0.558$).

Group B (Explosive Training): Improved from 11.04 ± 0.79 m to 11.27 ± 0.90 m (Mean gain = +0.23 m). This improvement was significant ($t = 2.194$, $p = 0.046$, Cohen's $d = 0.566$).

Group C (Complex Training): Demonstrated the largest increase, moving from 11.00 ± 1.31 m to 11.68 ± 1.09 m (Mean gain = +0.68 m). The change was highly significant ($t = 5.682$, $p < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 1.467$).

Group D (Control): Showed no significant change, altering from 10.91 ± 0.81 m to 10.97 ± 1.06 m (Mean gain = +0.06 m; $t = 0.516$, $p = 0.614$).

3.2 Intergroup Analysis (Mixed Repeated Measures ANOVA)

The Mixed Repeated Measures ANOVA indicated a significant main effect of Time ($F(1, 56) = 28.21$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2p = 0.335$), illustrating that performance improved significantly over the 12 weeks across the sample. Furthermore, there was a significant main effect of Group ($F(3, 56) = 9.72$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2p = 0.342$). Crucially, the Group × Time interaction effect was statistically significant ($F(3, 56) = 5.02$, $p = 0.004$, $\eta^2p = 0.212$). This interaction confirms that the magnitude of improvement in shot put performance was highly dependent on the specific training intervention assigned to the subjects.

3.3 Pairwise Comparisons

To elucidate the nature of the Group × Time interaction, Bonferroni-adjusted pairwise comparisons were conducted on the gain scores. Group C (Complex Training) demonstrated a significantly greater improvement compared to Group A (Heavy Conditioning) with a mean difference of 0.407 m ($p = 0.013$). Group C also outperformed Group D (Control Group) by a mean difference of 0.621 m ($p = 0.004$). No other pairwise comparisons reached statistical significance after adjustment. The ranking of training effectiveness based on these comparisons placed Complex Training as the most effective (Rank 1), followed by Heavy Conditioning (Rank 2), Explosive Training (Rank 3), and finally, standard Control training (Rank 4).

4. Discussion

The current investigation evaluated the efficacy of distinct PAPE-based training models on the sport-specific capabilities of amateur track and field throwers. The principal finding of this study is that 12 weeks of PAPE-oriented training—whether Heavy Conditioning, Explosive Training, or a Complex combination—significantly enhances shot put performance. Moreover, Complex Training (combining heavy resistance with biomechanically similar explosive movements) yielded vastly superior performance outcomes compared to executing either modality in isolation.

The significant improvements observed in the experimental groups can be physiologically attributed to the fundamental mechanisms of PAPE. By executing near-maximal resistance exercises prior to high-velocity movements, the neuromuscular system undergoes acute potentiation. This phenomenon increases motor unit recruitment, elevates neural drive, and significantly improves the rate of force development. These adaptations are incredibly critical for shot putters, as throwing events require the translation of maximal muscular strength into explosive velocity within fractions of a second.

The superiority of the Complex Training group (Group C) highlights a highly effective synergistic interaction between heavy and explosive loads. As previously supported in sports science literature, traditional strength training develops total force capacity, but explosive plyometric and Olympic lifting interventions are required to ensure that this force can be expressed rapidly. The complex training framework effectively bridges the gap between raw strength and athletic speed. Heavy conditioning 'primes' the central nervous system, and the immediate execution of a plyometric or ballistic movement capitalizes on this heightened neural state.

The results of this study align well with previous research evaluating complex and PAPE training parameters. For instance, Rahman et al. (2006) discovered that complex training integrating weight training and plyometrics significantly enhanced peak power and angular velocity more effectively than either mode independently. Similarly, Ebben (2002) noted that combining heavy resistance loads with plyometrics yields acute ergogenic effects on dynamic athletic performance. Our study corroborates these findings and extends the application of PAPE paradigms into the specific domain of amateur track and field throwing events.

Conversely, the control group exhibited negligible changes over the 12-week period. This lack of adaptation solidifies the premise that simply participating in regular, unstructured technical practice and standard physical conditioning without progressive neuromuscular overloading is insufficient to drive significant gains in athletic power. Thus, the introduction of a scientifically structured PAPE intervention is essential for long-term power development and competitive readiness.

5. Conclusion

Based on the results of the present investigation, the following conclusions were drawn:

1. PAPE-based training interventions are highly effective strategies for significantly improving shot put performance among amateur track and field throwers.
2. While standalone Heavy Conditioning Training and Explosive Training both yield significant performance enhancements, their isolated effects are moderately comparable.
3. Complex Training, which integrates heavy resistance conditioning immediately followed by biomechanically similar explosive movements, produces the greatest magnitude of improvement in throwing performance.
4. The combination of maximal strength protocols and explosive velocity protocols within the same session yields a synergistic effect, proving superior to utilizing either methodology independently.
5. Standard technical practice without structured neuromuscular overloading (as seen in the Control group) is inadequate for maximizing explosive sports performance.

6. Recommendations

6.1 For Coaches and Practitioners

Strength and conditioning professionals and track and field coaches should integrate PAPE-based Complex Training protocols into the periodized preparation programs of their throwers. Heavy resistance exercises should be immediately paired with biomechanically specific explosive movements to maximize neuromuscular transfer. Coaches must also ensure proper individualized recovery intervals are given to athletes between the conditioning stimulus and the explosive task to balance potentiation and fatigue.

6.2 For Athletes

Amateur athletes striving to improve in power-dominant events should engage consistently in scientifically structured strength and power programs rather than relying exclusively on technical repetitions. Proper technique must accompany PAPE interventions to prevent injury, and adequate rest and nutritional strategies should be adopted to support neuromuscular adaptations.

6.3 For Future Research

Future investigations should expand upon this framework by utilizing elite-level throwing populations and incorporating female athletes to observe potential differences in neuromuscular potentiation. Additionally, long-term longitudinal studies spanning multiple competitive seasons are advised. Future research should also incorporate advanced biomechanical analyses, electromyography (EMG) to measure muscle activation directly, and optimize the timing of rest intervals within PAPE protocols to completely define the ideal parameters for track and field performance.

7. References

[1] Babault, N., Cometti, G., Bernardin, M., Pousson, M., & Chatard, J.-C. (2007). Effects of electromyostimulation training on muscle strength and power of elite rugby players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 21(2), 431–437.

[2] Blazevich, A. J., & Jenkins, D. G. (2002). Effect of the movement speed of resistance training exercises on sprint and strength performance in concurrently training elite junior sprinters. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 20(12), 981-990.

[3] Chui, E. (1950). The effect of systematic weight training on athletic power. *Research Quarterly*, 21(5), 188.

[4] Delecluse, C., et al. (1995). Influence of high resistance and high velocity training on sprint performance. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 27(8), 1203-1209.

[5] Ebben, W. P. (2002). Complex training: A brief review. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 1, 42-46.

[6] Fagam, C. D., et al. (2000). The effects of maximum strength and power training combined with plyometrics on athletic performance. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 32(5), 659.

[7] Gehri, D. J. (1998). A comparison of plyometric training techniques for improving vertical jump ability and energy production. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 12(2), 85-89.

[8] Markovic, G., et al. (2007). Effects of sprint and plyometric training on muscle function and athletic performance. *Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 21(2), 543-549.

[9] Myer, G. D., Ford, K. R., Palumbo, J. P., & Hewett, T. E. (2005). Neuromuscular training improves performance and lower extremity biomechanics in female athletes. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 19(1), 51–60.

[10] Rahman, R., Arshadi, P., Behpur, N., Boroujerdi, S. S., & Rahimi, M. (2006). Evaluation of plyometrics, weight training and their combination on angular velocity. *Facta Universitatis Series: Physical Education and Sport*, 4(1), 1-8.

[11] Reyment, C. M., Bonis, M. E., Lundquist, J. C., & Tice, B. S. (2006). Effects of a four week plyometric training program on measurements of power in male collegiate hockey players. *Journal of Undergraduate Kinesiology Research*, 1(2), 44-62.