IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

An Empirical Analysis Of Individual Tax Planning And Its Impact On Wealth Maximization For Salaried Professionals

Ms. Kannika G U

Post Graduate Student, MBA in Finance and Business Analytics

MBA Programme - Bapuji Institute of Engineering and Technology (BIET), Davangere, Karnataka, India

Dr. Chaitra K S

Associate Professor

MBA Programme - Bapuji Institute of Engineering and Technology (BIET), Davangere, Karnataka, India

Abstract

This research evaluates how personal tax planning methods directly alter the long-term wealth growth of salaried employees. Gathering field data from a sample group of 60 working professionals, the study tracks the real-world choices individuals make when picking between quick tax breaks and growing their actual net worth. The empirical findings show a major behavioral gap: even though 80% of workers state that long-term asset building is their top financial goal, their current portfolios are heavily locked into low-yield, traditional fixed-income accounts rather than high-growth, market-linked tax instruments. The primary cause is psychological, as 73.3% of respondents admit that a fear of short-term market volatility completely stalls their investment choices. Additionally, a structural shift is taking place, with 85% of professionals moving to the simplified New Tax Regime. While this choice optimizes monthly take-home pay, it removes the forced saving guardrails built into the older system. The study concludes that true wealth maximization cannot happen through passive tax filing alone. Earners must move past safe, defensive habits and use strict personal discipline to automatically channel their monthly tax savings directly into compounding investment engines.

Keywords: Tax Planning, Wealth Maximization, Salaried Professionals, New Tax Regime, ELSS, Behavioral Finance.

1. Introduction

Strategic tax planning is a core pillar of personal wealth management for modern working professionals. In growing employment hubs like Bengaluru, complex income frameworks demand that middle-to-high-income earners navigate national tax laws carefully to keep their disposable income from leaking away. Under the Indian Income Tax Act, citizens are given distinct channels for compliance. While tax evasion is an illegal refusal to pay and tax avoidance uses legal loopholes against the spirit of the law, tax planning serves as a fully legal method to optimize personal cash flows. By structuring monthly allocations across government-approved avenues like Chapter VI-A deductions, salaried employees can actively shield their hard-earned money while helping build the formal national economy.

2. Literature Review

Academic research establishes a tight link between an individual's financial literacy, their tax choices, and their long-term net worth:

- **Tax Literacy as an Asset:** Jindal et al. (2023) and Gupta & Sharma (2020) prove that high financial awareness changes tax planning from an isolated year-end chore into a live wealth tool. When individuals know the specific tax rules, they successfully redirect taxable capital back into personal investments.
- **The Safety Bias:** Dr. V. Geetha & Mr. K. Ramesh (2021) alongside Dr. M. Anuradha & Dr. B. Suresh (2020) point out that Section 80C remains the most heavily used planning route. However, their research shows that risk-averse earners heavily default to traditional safe options like life insurance or National Savings Certificates (NSC), showing a distinct focus on safety over wealth growth.
- **The Risk Split:** P. Joshi & S. Kaur (2021) and Dr. M. Dhanya (2020) observe a clear demographic divide. Older employees steadily favor stable, guaranteed assets like the Public Provident Fund (PPF), while younger professionals are far more willing to pick high-yielding market avenues like Equity Linked Savings Schemes (ELSS).
- **The Planning Trap:** Singh & Patel (2019) warn against the 'tax-first trap,' where earners focus entirely on quick deductions instead of analyzing whether an asset actually beats inflation over the long haul.

3. Research Methodology & Hypotheses

This study uses an empirical research design to track the asset choices of salaried employees.

- **Sampling Unit:** Individual salaried workers across corporate settings, including IT companies, banks, schools, and hospitals.
- **Sample Size:** 60 active respondents.
- **Data Sources:** Primary data was gathered online via structured questionnaires. Secondary data was pulled from official tax slabs, historic asset performance records, and financial journals.

Core Hypotheses Tested:

- **Null Hypothesis (H0):** A lack of proper financial planning and confusion between tax regimes have no significant impact on the investment efficiency and wealth maximization of salaried individuals.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** A lack of proper financial planning and confusion between tax regimes significantly reduce the long-term wealth maximization of salaried individuals.

4. Data Analysis, Interpretation, and Quantitative Models

4.1 Demographic & Intention Mapping

- **Age Dynamics:** The sample is highly driven by the youth, with 46.7% of respondents in the 31–45 age range and 40% in the 18–30 bracket. This means a total of 86.7% of the participants are in their prime working years, leaving them ample time to let their wealth compound.
- **Sector & Income Pressures:** Private sector workers make up 64.4% of the sample. Since they lack guaranteed government pensions, building personal assets is critical. Further, 56.7% earn between ₹10 Lakhs and ₹25 Lakhs annually, placing them in brackets with high tax obligations.
- **The Goal Mismatch:** An overwhelming 80% of participants explicitly state that their primary goal in tax planning is long-term wealth creation. However, when selecting actual assets, 80% use insurance premiums and 73.3% use the PPF, while only 30% utilize ELSS mutual funds.

4.2 Mathematical Case Study: Old vs. New Regime Portfolios

To model the concrete impact of these decisions, let us evaluate an earner making a gross salary of ₹15,00,000. We compare the Deductive Path (Old Regime) utilizing structured tools against the default Non-Deductive Path (New Regime).

Income Component & Deductions	Deductive Path (Old Regime)	Non-Deductive Path (New Regime)
Gross Compensation Base	**₹15,00,000**	**₹15,00,000**
House Rent Allowance (HRA) Exemption	₹1,00,000	Not Allowed
Standard Statutory Deduction	₹50,000	₹75,000
Adjusted Gross Income	**₹13,50,000**	**₹14,25,000**
Sec 80C Allocation (PPF/ELSS)	₹1,50,000	Not Allowed
Sec 80D Premium (Health Insurance)	₹25,000	Not Allowed
Net Chargeable Income (Rounded)	**₹11,75,000**	**₹14,25,000**

Slab Computations & Strategic Analysis:

- **Old Regime Tax Outflow:** Base tax scales through progressive brackets (5%, 20%, 30%) to hit ₹1,65,000. Adding the 4% Health & Education Cess brings the Total Tax to ₹1,71,600.
- **New Regime Tax Outflow:** Base tax scales through modified brackets (5%, 10%, 15%) to hit ₹93,750. Adding the 4% Cess results in a Total Tax of ₹97,500.

The New Regime grants an immediate tax saving of ₹74,100 in cash. However, under the Old Regime, the employee was forced to pull ₹1,75,000 out of their daily consumption pool and lock it into active wealth assets. If the New Regime individual simply spends their extra monthly take-home pay on lifestyle choices rather than manually investing it into mutual funds, their long-term net worth lags far behind.

4.3 Hypothesis Testing and Statistical Validation

Objective 1: To understand which tax-saving instruments salaried employees prefer and why they choose them.

➢ **Test 1: Chi-Square (X2) Test of Independence (Goal vs. Asset Class)**

The Data: While 40% of participants invest with the goal of building wealth and 30% focus strictly on lowering their taxes, an overwhelming 73.3% admit that fear of taking risks is their biggest hurdle when investing.

Expected Frequency (E):

$$E = \frac{Row\ total \times Column\ total}{Grand\ total}$$

$$X^2 = \frac{\sum(O-E)^2}{E}$$

Contingency Table (O):

Investment Goal	Conservative Assets (PPF/Insurance)	Aggressive Assets (ELSS/Mutual Funds)	Row Total
Wealth Creation	22	2	24 (40%)
Tax Saving/ Others	2	14	36 (60%)
Column Total	44 (73.3%)	16 (26.6%)	N = 60

1. **Calculation of Expected Frequencies (E):**

- Wealth & Conservative: $\frac{24*44}{60} = 17.6$
- Wealth & Aggressive: $\frac{24*16}{60} = 6.4$
- Tax Saving & Conservative: $\frac{36*44}{60} = 26.4$
- Tax Saving & Aggressive: $\frac{36*16}{60} = 9.6$

2. **Calculation of Cell Differences:**

- Wealth & Conservative: $\frac{(22-17.6)^2}{17.6} = \frac{19.36}{17.6} = 1.100$
- Wealth & Aggressive: $\frac{(2-6.4)^2}{17.6} = \frac{19.36}{6.4} = 3.025$
- Tax Saving & Conservative: $\frac{(22-26.4)^2}{26.4} = \frac{19.36}{26.4} = 0.733$
- Tax Saving & Aggressive: $\frac{(14-9.6)^2}{9.6} = \frac{19.36}{9.6} = 2.017$

3. **Summing to get Total X^2:**

$X^2 = 1.100 + 3.025 + 0.733 + 2.017 = 6.875$

- Degree of Freedom (df): (2-1)×(2-1) = 1

- Statistical Conclusion: The critical table value for df = 1 at α = 0.05 is 3.841. Because our calculated value (6.875) exceeds 3.841, the relationship is significant (p = 0.0087 < 0.05). Fear significantly warps what should be a logical choice.

✓ **"Decision on Hypothesis: H_1 is accepted."**

Objective 2: To compare the risk and returns of popular tax-saving tools like PPF, ELSS, and NPS to see which ones grow wealth faster.

➢ **Test 2: Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit Test (Perceived Value vs. Actual Choice)**

The Data: There is a sharp disconnect between what people know and what they do. Nearly half (**46.7%**) recognize that equity-oriented mutual funds (ELSS) are the best vehicle for growing wealth, compared to just 13.3% who say the same about PPF. Yet, in reality, **73.3% pour money into PPF, while only 30% actually use ELSS**.

Statistical Method: Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit Test

$$X^2 = = \frac{\sum(O-E)^2}{E}$$

Observed (O): Actual asset choices

- Expected (E): Perceived wealth generation performance.

1. **Define the Counts (N = 60):**

➢ **ELSS:** Observed (30% = 18 people)
Expected (46.7% = 28 people)

➢ **PPF:** Observed (73.3% = 44 people)
Expected (13.3% = 8 people)

2. **Apply the Formula:**

- **ELSS Component:** $\frac{(18-28)^2}{28} = \frac{(-10)^2}{28} = \frac{100}{28} = 3.57$
- **PPF Component:** $\frac{(44-8)^2}{8} = \frac{(36)^2}{8} = \frac{1296}{8} = 162.00$

3. **Summing to get Total X^2 =** 3.57 + 162.00 = 165.57

Degrees of Freedom (df): 2 - 1 = 1

- **Statistical Conclusion:** The critical table value for df = 1 is 3.841. The calculated statistic (165.57) is exceptionally large, showing a highly significant gap ($p < 0.001$) between user awareness and execution.

✓ **"Decision on Hypothesis: H_1 is accepted."**

5. Core Findings & Limitations

5.1 Key Findings of the Study

- Prime Wealth Phase: 86.7% of the surveyed workforce is under 45 years old, meaning they have decades left to benefit from long-term compounding.
- The Intention Gap: While 80% state that their primary focus is building wealth, portfolios remain locked in defensive, low-yield tools like traditional insurance (80%) and PPF (73.3%).
- The Psychological Barrier: 73.3% of earners are held back by an intense fear of stock market risk, which leaves them settling for low returns that barely beat inflation.
- The Liquidity Challenge: A huge 85% preferred the New Tax Regime. This gives them more monthly take-home pay but strips away the legal requirement to save, creating a high risk of cash leaking into lifestyle inflation.

5.2 Limitations of the Empirical Design

- **Sample Scale:** The data relies on 60 survey responses, which is a tight baseline for general national habits.
- **Private Sector Bias:** The survey contains a high share of private company employees (64.4%), outnumbering public sector workers.
- **Timeline Boundary:** The findings are locked to the income tax regulations and slab rates active for the current financial timeline.

6. Strategic Suggestions & Recommendations

- **Automate Growth via SIPs:** Risk-averse individuals should move past their fear of short-term market drops. By setting up automated Equity Linked Savings Schemes (ELSS) through a Systematic Investment Plan (SIP), they can smooth out volatility over time.
- **Separate Safety from Growth:** Taxpayers need to stop using traditional life insurance policies as investment tools. They should buy inexpensive, pure term insurance for family security, and channel their remaining funds into market-linked growth engines.
- **Create a Self-Imposed Payday Rule:** Since the preferred New Tax Regime (85%) does not force people to save to claim tax breaks, employees must build their own financial guardrails. The extra

cash saved each month should be set to auto-invest into mutual funds or the National Pension System (NPS) on payday.

- **Build Balanced Hybrid Portfolios:** To satisfy both the need for safety and the desire for growth, employees should balance low-risk options (like the PPF) with high-yield choices (like active NPS equity funds).

7. Conclusion

This research proves that tax choices have a direct, systemic impact on the long-term wealth growth of salaried earners. The empirical data highlights a clear paradox: while working professionals deeply desire to build wealth (80%) and logically know that equity mutual funds offer the best compounding returns (46.7%), an intense fear of risk (73.3%) acts as a psychological barrier that locks their capital into safe, low-yield accounts. This issue is amplified by the mass shift to the New Tax Regime (85%), which provides more immediate cash in hand but removes the legal guardrails that forced older generations to build assets. Ultimately, true wealth maximization cannot be achieved through passive tax filing alone. Salaried individuals must transition from basic tax-saving tactics to disciplined, long-term asset building. By conquering the fear of market volatility and actively investing their increased take-home pay into market-linked compounding engines, modern earners can turn their routine annual tax obligations into a structured pathway toward financial independence.

References & Academic Bibliography

- Agarwal, N. (2020). A study on tax planning of salaried individual. International Multidisciplinary Conference on Technology, Business, Management & Liberal Arts, 9(5), 68-74.
- Arora, P., & Monika. (2019). Internal and external determinants of retail tax-saving selections. Pacific Business Review International, 12(4), 136-145.
- Gupta, A., & Sharma, R. (2020). Tax planning behaviour among salaried individuals. Journal of Financial Planning and Analysis, 8(2), 45-58.
- Jindal, S., Scientific Group. (2023). Strategic tax optimization and its link to personal net worth expansion. Indian Journal of Finance, 17(2), 24-38.
- Joshi, P., & Kaur, S. (2021). Risk perceptions and behavioral asset choices of corporate and public sector employees. Journal of Behavioral Finance and Planning, 14(3), 112-126.
- Mishra, P., & Gupta, S. (2016). Role of financial advisors in tax planning. International Journal of Financial Management, 9(2), 55-68.
- Reddy, K., & Kumar, V. (2018). Awareness and utilization of tax benefits. Journal of Taxation and Finance, 12(1), 33-47.
- Sharma, S., & Jain, M. (2017). Impact of demographic factors on tax planning. Journal of Economic Studies, 25(4), 78-91.

- Singh, S., & Patel, R. (2019). Effectiveness of tax-saving instruments. Indian Journal of Taxation Research, 15(3), 102-115.
- Tiwari, A., & Singh, D. (2015). Comparative analysis of tax-saving instruments. Journal of Financial Research and Analysis, 7(4), 123-136.