IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES IN AGRICULTURE: A REVIEW OF TECHNOLOGIES, APPLICATIONS, AND CHALLENGES

Uma Maheshwari Raju

AI Researcher/ Robotic Software Engineer (SafeOrbyt AI) / Student (BSBI)

Faculty of Computer Science & Informatics,

Berlin School of Business and Innovation, Berlin, Germany

Abstract: Over the past decade, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) have moved from niche military and hobbyist platforms to foundational instruments of modern precision agriculture. Equipped with multispectral, thermal, hyperspectral, and LiDAR sensors, today's agricultural drones allow growers to observe their fields at spatial and temporal resolutions that neither satellite imagery nor ground-based scouting can routinely match. This review synthesises peer-reviewed literature published between 2020 and 2025 to examine where drone technology currently stands, how it is applied across diverse farming contexts, and what barriers continue to slow broader adoption.

The evidence base examined here spans crop-health mapping, precision chemical application, irrigation scheduling, livestock surveillance, post-event damage assessment, and autonomous seeding. Across these domains, well-designed field studies consistently demonstrate meaningful reductions in agrochemical use, measurable gains in input-use efficiency, and, in labour-intensive contexts, substantial cost savings. At the same time, honest appraisal reveals persistent gaps: constrained flight endurance, high capital cost for smallholder farmers, weather-induced operational windows, heavy data-processing requirements, and regulatory frameworks that lag behind the pace of hardware development.

This paper concludes that, while no single technology resolves the compound pressures facing global food production, UAVs occupy a uniquely versatile position in the precision-agriculture toolkit. Continued progress in artificial intelligence, sensor miniaturisation, swarm coordination, and alternative energy sources suggests that the platforms commercially available today represent an early iteration of what will become indispensable infrastructure for sustainable farming.

Keywords: precision agriculture, UAV, crop monitoring, remote sensing, drone spraying, irrigation management, AI in agriculture, food security.

1. Introduction

Global agriculture is confronted by a set of challenges that are simultaneously structural and urgent. A world population expected to approach 9.7 billion by mid-century demands substantially more food at the same time that arable land per capita is shrinking, freshwater aquifers are being depleted, and climate variability is disrupting the seasonal predictability on which traditional farming depends. Conventional input-intensive approaches—blanket fertiliser application, calendar-based irrigation, prophylactic pesticide schedules—are ecologically costly and economically precarious as input prices rise and environmental regulations tighten.

Precision agriculture emerged as the conceptual response to this dilemma: manage resources spatially and temporally, responding to variability within a field rather than treating the field as a uniform unit. For decades, precision agriculture was anchored in GPS-guided ground equipment and satellite imagery. Both have limitations: ground machinery cannot feasibly scout every square metre, and commercial satellites offer either high resolution or high revisit frequency, rarely both, at costs that are prohibitive for the average farm.

UAVs dissolve many of these constraints. A single operator can deploy a drone fleet over hundreds of hectares in a single day, generating centimetre-resolution imagery on a schedule dictated by crop phenology rather than orbital mechanics. The aerodynamic flexibility of multi-rotor platforms allows close-canopy imaging, targeted chemical delivery, and flight in terrain inaccessible to ground vehicles. Meanwhile, the falling cost of capable sensor systems—multispectral cameras, thermal imagers, compact LiDAR units—has brought sophisticated airborne data collection within reach of commercially viable agricultural operations.

Radoglou-Grammatikis et al. (2020) catalogue twenty distinct UAV applications relevant to precision agriculture, spanning monitoring, spraying, and environmental sensing, and note that the field is expanding faster than the published evidence base can fully characterise. Guebsi, Mami, and Chokmani (2024) reinforced this assessment in their PRISMA-compliant systematic review of 85 articles drawn from Springer, Scopus, and Google Scholar, finding rapid growth in both the diversity of applications and the geographic breadth of deployment contexts. The present review builds on these foundations, organising the current state of agricultural drone technology and application evidence in a manner useful to researchers, agronomists, policymakers, and technology developers.

1.1 Objectives

This review pursues four primary objectives:

- Examine the hardware and sensor landscape for agricultural UAVs, with particular attention to capabilities and trade-offs across platform types.
- Survey the evidence base for drone applications across the full crop-production cycle and into adjacent domains including livestock and insurance.
- Critically appraise the benefits, technical limitations, economic barriers, regulatory contexts, and environmental considerations shaping adoption trajectories.
- Identify frontier research directions most likely to expand the practical scope and equity of access to agricultural drone technology.

2. UAV Technology: Platforms, Sensors, and Navigation

2.1 Platform Architectures

Agricultural drones span four principal mechanical configurations, each shaped by distinct performance trade-offs between payload capacity, area coverage rate, operational simplicity, and suitability to different terrain and task profiles.

2.1.1 Multi-Rotor Platforms

Quadcopters, hexacopters, and octocopters dominate agricultural use because they take off and land vertically, hover in place, and manoeuvre at low speed with a precision that fixed-wing aircraft cannot match. These properties are essential for close-range crop inspection, variable-rate chemical application, and operations within irregular field boundaries or orchard rows. The core limitation of multi-rotor designs is energy efficiency: hover flight is mechanically expensive, confining typical commercial endurance to 20–45 minutes per charge and restricting single-sortie area coverage (Guebsi et al., 2024).

2.1.2 Fixed-Wing Platforms

Fixed-wing UAVs generate lift aerodynamically, consuming far less energy per unit distance than rotary systems. At cruising speeds of 60–120 km/h with flight endurances routinely exceeding 90 minutes, they can survey hundreds of hectares before landing. The practical cost is a need for a clear runway or hand-launch area, inability to hover, and belly-landing on prepared surfaces. These characteristics make fixed-wing drones well-suited to large-area mapping, multispectral surveys, and soil-variability characterisation where loitering over a specific point is unnecessary.

2.1.3 Hybrid VTOL Platforms

Hybrid vertical-take-off-and-landing drones seek to combine the convenience of multi-rotor launch and recovery with the endurance and speed advantages of fixed-wing cruising. Several manufacturers—including Wingtra, senseFly (now Trimble Agriculture), and JOUAV—offer commercial platforms in this category, which are gaining traction for medium-to-large farm mapping where both transit efficiency and precise landing at a field-edge station matter.

2.1.4 Single-Rotor (Helicopter) Platforms

Unmanned helicopter platforms predate multi-rotor agricultural drones; Yamaha's RMAX, introduced in Japan in the 1990s, remains in service for rice-paddy spraying. Single-rotor designs offer superior payload-to-weight ratios and robust performance in wind compared with multi-rotor equivalents of similar size, but the mechanical complexity of the rotor head raises maintenance requirements and demands skilled operators.

2.2 Sensor Systems

The agronomic utility of a drone platform is in large part a function of its sensor payload. Substantial miniaturisation progress over the past decade has made the following sensor classes commercially viable on UAVs (Gano et al., 2024; Awais et al., 2023):

Sensor Class	Spectral Range / Principle	Key Agricultural Function	Typical Specification
RGB Camera	Visible light (400–700 nm)	Visual scouting, stand counts, weed mapping, damage documentation	12–42 MP; sub-cm GSD at low altitude
Multispectral Camera	5–10 discrete bands incl. NIR and red-edge	NDVI / NDRE mapping; stress, nutrient, and disease screening	Global shutters for motion correction; 1–5 cm GSD
Hyperspectral Imager	100–400 contiguous spectral bands	Species-level discrimination; fine-resolution nutrient and disease characterisation	Push-broom or snapshot; high data volume
Thermal Infrared Camera	8–14 µm (longwave IR)	Crop Water Stress Index (CWSI) mapping; frost and drainage mapping	±0.5 °C NETD; 30–640 px arrays
LiDAR	Pulsed laser (905 nm or 1550 nm)	Canopy height and volume; terrain DEMs; row detection	Centimetre-level point density; 100+ m range
Synthetic Aperture Radar	Microwave backscatter	Soil moisture; biomass under cloud or at night	Emerging on UAV; all-weather capability

Table 1. Principal sensor classes deployed on agricultural UAVs and their agronomic functions.

2.3 Navigation and Autonomy

Reliable autonomous flight in agricultural environments depends on a stack of complementary navigation and perception technologies. RTK-GNSS corrections drawing on GPS, GLONASS, Galileo, and BeiDou constellations currently achieve horizontal positioning accuracies of 1–3 cm, enabling consistent flight lines and repeatable mapping coverage. Inertial measurement units (IMUs) and barometric altimeters maintain stable flight during brief GNSS signal interruptions and in electronically noisy environments near power infrastructure.

Obstacle avoidance relies on combinations of stereo-vision cameras, time-of-flight sensors, and ultrasonic transducers that feed into path-planning algorithms capable of real-time trajectory modification. Computer vision models particularly convolutional neural networks and, more recently, transformer-based architectures are increasingly integrated into onboard processors, enabling in-flight identification of crop rows, weed patches, and anomalous canopy zones without requiring data upload to a ground station (Tiwari et al., 2024).

3. Agricultural Applications

3.1 Crop Health Monitoring and Vegetation Indices

Routine multispectral imaging to generate normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI) and related vegetation indices is the most widely adopted drone application in commercial agriculture worldwide. Periodic NDVI maps reveal within-field productivity gradients that are invisible to the naked eye, allowing agronomists to identify stress zones caused by nutrient deficiency, drought, compaction, pest infestation, or pathogen activity, often days or weeks before macroscopic symptoms appear.

Grbović et al. (2025) evaluated multispectral UAV imaging integrated with ground-based proximal sensors across 41 cereal genotypes and 130 experimental plots, finding that vegetation indices captured during booting and spike-emergence stages were reliable predictors of final yield, with NDVI, GRDVI, and SAVI achieving average positive correlations of 0.957, 0.954, and 0.944, respectively, across performance tiers. A scoping review of UAV multispectral applications by Lin et al. (2025) concluded that traditional indices including NDVI and GNDVI have reached mature application status across diverse crops, while noting that integration with machine learning pipelines is now enabling real-time, field-scale yield prediction at commercially viable accuracies.

3.2 Precision Chemical Application

Drone spraying represents one of the highest-value and most rapidly scaling applications of agricultural UAVs. Systems equipped with multiple nozzles, a variable-rate controller, and RTK positioning can execute prescription maps generated from prior scouting flights, applying herbicides, fungicides, or insecticides only within delineated management zones rather than across an entire field.

Li et al. (2021) conducted one of the more methodologically rigorous comparisons of UAV and fixed-wing aircraft for alfalfa insect pest management, assessing efficacy, spray drift, and residue profiles. Yallappa et al. (2024) systematically varied hover height, forward speed, nozzle type, and discharge rate for multi-rotor agricultural drone sprayers, finding that optimising these operational parameters substantially improved canopy coverage uniformity. Dubuis et al. (2023) investigated both environmental fate and operator exposure for orchard UAV applications, reporting that drone-delivered pesticide resulted in operator exposure reductions of 90–99 percent relative to equivalent handheld equipment. These findings echo the broader evidence reviewed by the OECD (2021), which concluded that reduced-drift aerial application via UAV holds significant potential for both efficacy and occupational health benefits when operational parameters are properly characterised.

The scale and momentum of adoption in China provides the most compelling real-world signal: cumulative drone-operated area for plant protection expanded from 2.67 million hectares in 2016 to over 178 million hectares by 2024, with service-based models rather than individual ownership driving the majority of smallholder access.

3.3 Irrigation Management

Agriculture accounts for roughly 70 percent of global freshwater withdrawals, making irrigation efficiency improvements among the highest-impact applications of any precision farming technology (Llanos et al., 2025). Thermal infrared drone surveys offer a high-resolution pathway to spatial irrigation prescriptions through the Crop Water Stress Index (CWSI), which relates canopy temperature to air temperature and vapour pressure deficit.

Awais et al. (2023) reviewed UAV-mounted high-resolution thermal sensor applications across multiple semi-arid crop systems, concluding that integration of drone thermal data with ground-referenced hydrometeorological measurements substantially improved irrigation scheduling accuracy in water-limited environments. The CWSI framework evaluated by Buunk et al. (2023) for precision viticulture with LiDAR validation demonstrated that oblique thermal imaging outperformed nadir-only configurations for canopy temperature extraction in vine canopies, with implications for reducing the systematic errors that propagate into irrigation prescriptions. Comprehensive assessments of thermal infrared platforms for crop water stress, including those reviewed by Jalil et al. (2021) in Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, confirm the value of coupling thermal drone data with variable-rate irrigation controllers to close the sensor-to-action loop.

3.4 Disease and Pest Detection via AI Integration

Early pathogen and pest detection is one of the domains in which the convergence of UAV platforms with machine learning is producing the most striking capability improvements. Traditional visual scouting by farm staff covers a small fraction of a typical commercial field's spatial extent and is subject to observer fatigue, experience variability, and delayed reporting.

Tiwari et al. (2025) presented AgroVisionNet, a hybrid CNN-Transformer architecture that fuses high-resolution drone imagery with in-field IoT sensor data for early disease detection across multiple crops and field conditions, outperforming standard deep learning benchmarks including ResNet50 and DenseNet121. Subeesh et al. (2024) systematically surveyed the integration of deep learning and machine learning algorithms into UAV disease detection, weed management, and pest control systems based on studies from 2022 to 2024, and identified YOLOv5 and YOLOv8 variants as the dominant detection architectures for real-time airborne inference. Albattah et al. (2024) developed a YOLOv5-based pest identification system achieving 95.0 percent mean average precision across five agricultural pest species, illustrating the readiness of deep learning-based detection for deployment on commercial drone platforms.

3.5 Soil Analysis and Pre-Season Mapping

Pre-planting soil characterisation traditionally involves labour-intensive grid sampling sent to off-site laboratories, introducing cost and delay between observation and agronomic decision. UAV-borne LiDAR and multispectral imaging complement conventional sampling by generating spatially continuous, high-resolution layers of soil surface reflectance, terrain micro-topography, and drainage architecture that refine the spatial design of soil-sampling grids and management zone boundaries.

Digital elevation models derived from drone LiDAR or photogrammetric point clouds delineate flow accumulation paths, ponding risk areas, and erosion-prone slopes at scales and resolutions impractical with satellite-derived products. When integrated with multi-year yield maps and electroconductivity data, these layers support stable management zone definitions that persist across seasons and crop rotations.

3.6 Drone-Based Seeding

Direct seeding by drone—whether via dispersal of free seeds, encapsulated seed pods, or biodegradable seed cartridges—has attracted growing research attention for rice, cover crops, and reforestation. Santos et al. (2024) reviewed UAV applications in pasture and forage monitoring more broadly, identifying aerial seeding trials in flooded rice as among the most commercially promising near-term applications in tropical smallholder systems, on account of the labour displacement potential at transplanting—a high-cost, time-critical operation.

3.7 Livestock Monitoring

Beyond arable crops, drone platforms equipped with thermal and RGB sensors are increasingly deployed for livestock surveillance in extensive grazing systems. Thermal imagery reliably distinguishes animal body heat from background vegetation at altitudes that do not disturb stock, allowing headcount, distribution mapping, and welfare assessment across rangeland extents that would take a mounted stockperson multiple days to cover on the ground.

The integration of UAV data with AI-based animal re-identification models—matching individuals across flights by coat pattern, ear tag, or thermal signature—is enabling continuous welfare monitoring at herd scale (Niaz et al., 2023). This application exemplifies the broader pattern visible across agricultural drone use: the enabling technology is increasingly the machine learning layer rather than the hardware platform itself.

3.8 Crop Damage Assessment

Post-incident assessment for crop insurance and disaster response has historically been constrained by the logistical challenge of deploying human adjusters rapidly across large areas following storms, flooding, drought, or pest outbreak. High-resolution drone surveys can produce georeferenced damage maps within hours, with deep learning classifiers trained to distinguish healthy canopy from lodged, diseased, or water-stressed tissue, enabling objective, documented quantification of affected area and severity.

4. DEMONSTRATED BENEFITS, LIMITATIONS, AND ADOPTION BARRIERS

4.1 Summary of Empirical Benefits

Table 2 synthesises quantified outcomes reported in peer-reviewed studies and government assessment reports spanning the major application domains reviewed above. Where possible, original effect sizes are cited; where specific numerical outcomes were unavailable for a domain, the evidence direction is described qualitatively.

Application Domain	Metric	Reported Outcome
Vegetation index mapping	Correlation with yield	NDVI r = 0.957 across cereal genotypes
UAV vs. handheld spraying	Operator pesticide exposure	90–99% reduction with drone application
Drone plant protection (DPP)	Operational cost	~29% lower cost vs. manual spraying
Drone plant protection (DPP)	Pesticide exposure time	~90% reduction in exposure time
Thermal crop water stress	Irrigation scheduling accuracy	Substantially improved in semi-arid systems
Disease detection (CNN-Transformer)	Detection accuracy	Outperforms ResNet50 and DenseNet121
Pest identification (YOLOv5)	Mean average precision	95.0% across five pest species
China DPP expansion	Cumulative area treated	2.67 Mha (2016) → 178 Mha (2024)

Table 2. Selected quantified outcomes from peer-reviewed studies on agricultural UAV applications.

4.2 Technical Limitations

Notwithstanding the evidence above, several technical constraints materially limit the operational envelope of current agricultural drones:

- Flight endurance: Multi-rotor platforms remain limited to 20–45 minutes per charge under full payload. Hydrogen fuel cell development and hybrid propulsion research address this constraint but have yet to achieve cost parity with lithium polymer battery systems at commercial scale.
- Weather sensitivity: Wind speeds above 10–12 m/s, precipitation, dense fog, and temperatures below the battery operating threshold can ground operations during critical treatment or imaging windows. This is a particular challenge in temperate regions where pest and disease windows are short and weather unpredictable.
- Data pipeline bottlenecks: A single multispectral sortie over 100 hectares can produce 5–30 GB of imagery requiring photogrammetric processing, radiometric calibration, and index calculation before actionable maps are available. Edge computing embedded in the drone or ground station is reducing latency, but cloud-dependent workflows introduce connectivity dependencies that disadvantage farms with limited broadband access.
- Sensor cost and payload trade-offs: Hyperspectral imagers and compact LiDAR units capable of agricultural-grade performance remain expensive relative to entry-level multi-rotor platforms, limiting multi-sensor configurations to operations with sufficient scale to amortise the hardware cost.

4.3 Economic and Access Barriers

The distribution of drone adoption benefits is markedly uneven along farm-size gradients. For large commercial operations typically above 500 hectares the return on investment for owned drone infrastructure is well established, with payback periods of two to four years reported in multiple cost-benefit analyses. For the majority of the world's farmers, who cultivate less than two hectares, individual ownership is economically infeasible at current platform costs.

Service-based model in which certified operators offer mapping, scouting, or spraying services on a per-hectare tariff represent the primary pathway to smallholder access, and are the model underpinning India's Kisan Drone Yojana programme, China's plant-protection service-provider network, and nascent programmes across Sub-Saharan Africa. The scalability and equity implications of service market design including tariff regulation, quality standards, and operator training pipelines are therefore as important to agricultural drone impact as any hardware advance.

4.4 Regulatory Landscape

Regulatory frameworks for commercial agricultural drone operations have evolved considerably since the mid-2010s, but remain heterogeneous across jurisdictions and, in most countries, are still catching up with hardware capabilities particularly regarding beyond-visual-line-of-sight (BVLOS) operations and autonomous swarm missions.

Region	Regulatory Body	Key Framework	Agricultural Provisions
United States	FAA	Part 107; UAS Integration Pilot Program	BVLOS waivers available; Part 135 for commercial scale; active waiver pipeline for agricultural corridors
European Union	EASA	EU 2019/947 (Open/Specific/Certified)	Specific category enables BVLOS with operational authorisation; several member states have expedited agricultural approvals
China	CAAC	UAS operation certificates; geo-fencing mandates	Streamlined agricultural approvals below 120 m AGL; largest permitted fleet globally; subsidised operator certification
India	DGCA	Drone Rules 2021; Kisan Drone Yojana	Expedited certification for agricultural operators; purchase subsidies up to 40–50% for approved platforms and operators
Australia	CASA	Part 101; RPA operator certificate (ReOC)	Excluded category for aircraft under 2 kg; RePL required for agricultural platforms; expanding BVLOS trial zones
Brazil	ANAC/DECEA	Drone authorization system (SISANT)	Expanding BVLOS corridor approvals for Cerrado; growing registry of certified agricultural operators

Table 3. Summary of regulatory frameworks governing agricultural drone operations across key jurisdictions (as of 2026).

4.5 Environmental and Ethical Considerations

The environmental net position of agricultural drone adoption is generally favourable when assessed across the full input cycle. Reductions in pesticide volume, more targeted herbicide application, improved irrigation efficiency, and decreased tractor passes for soil sampling and scouting collectively reduce energy consumption, soil compaction, chemical loading, and greenhouse gas emissions per unit of production. However, several second-order effects warrant monitoring:

- Electronic waste: The battery and electronics lifecycle for drone fleets requires responsible end-of-life management. This is particularly acute for lithium polymer battery packs, which degrade rapidly under agricultural duty cycles.
- Labour displacement: In regions with limited alternative rural employment, the substitution of drone-based spraying for manual backpack application can have adverse social consequences without accompanying investment in operator training, reskilling, and service-business development.
- Data governance: Large-scale UAV operations generate field-level data with commercial and competitive value. Ownership rights, third-party access, and cross-border data flows in cloud-connected agricultural platforms involve unresolved legal and ethical questions in most jurisdictions.

5. Regional Deployment Contexts

5.1 East Asia: Scale and Institutional Support

China represents the single largest market for agricultural drone services in the world. The trajectory documented by China is striking: from 2.67 million hectares treated by drone in 2016 to over 178 million hectares by 2024, growth driven by a combination of government purchase subsidies, a well-organised commercial service-provider ecosystem, and clear regulatory pathways through the CAAC. Rice, wheat, and cotton account for the majority of treated area, with urban vegetable production increasingly served by high-precision drone spraying in peri-urban districts.

Japan occupies a complementary position: single-rotor unmanned helicopters (primarily Yamaha RMAX) have operated legally in rice production since the 1990s under a well-established safety and training framework, and newer multi-rotor systems are now supplementing this fleet for orchards and vineyards where the RMAX's size is a disadvantage. South Korea has invested heavily in fixed-wing hybrid VTOL drones for large-area mapping, integrating drone data into national crop insurance and government yield-monitoring programmes.

5.2 North America: Precision Agriculture Integration

The United States agricultural drone market is characterised by strong integration of UAV data into existing precision agriculture data infrastructure, including farm management platforms from John Deere, CNH Industrial (Climate Corporation), and independent data aggregators. The dominant commercial model involves subscription-based scouting services combining satellite, drone, and in-field sensor data into unified crop intelligence dashboards.

FAA Part 107 established a workable commercial operating framework from 2016, though BVLOS operations—commercially desirable for large corn and soybean operations in the Midwest still require case-by-case waiver applications. Active lobbying from agricultural and aerospace industry coalitions has generated regulatory momentum toward a generalised BVLOS framework that would substantially improve the economics of large-area drone operations.

5.3 South Asia: Service Market Innovation

India's agricultural drone sector illustrates the potential of well-designed policy intervention to accelerate smallholder-accessible technology diffusion. The Kisan Drone Yojana initiative, launched in 2022, anchors adoption in a certified operator service model with purchase subsidies and mandatory training programmes for drone service operators (DSOs). Early impact assessments reported significant reductions in pesticide application costs and labour demand for adopting smallholders, with DSO income substantially exceeding alternative rural employment options, suggesting the service model is generating durable local enterprise development in addition to farm-level efficiency gains.

5.4 Sub-Saharan Africa: Infrastructure-Constrained Frontiers

Sub-Saharan Africa presents both the most compelling potential and the most acute structural barriers for agricultural drone adoption. The potential is real: smallholder farms across the region are characterised by high spatial yield variability, limited extension worker coverage, rising input costs, and vulnerability to erratic rainfall patterns that drone-assisted monitoring and irrigation management could address. Rwanda, building on the regulatory infrastructure established for medical supply drone delivery by Zipline, has implemented Africa's most advanced agricultural drone framework, and pilot programmes in Ethiopia, Ghana, and Tanzania have demonstrated the technical feasibility of multi-rotor deployment in smallholder contexts.

The barriers are equally real. Spare parts supply chains, maintenance training capacity, reliable broadband for data processing, and financing models accessible to farmers and service operators with limited credit histories all require systematic attention before agricultural drone services can scale beyond donor-funded pilots. The service-delivery model pioneered in India and China offers a template, but its adaptation to informal rural economies with less developed mobile payment and contract enforcement infrastructure will require context-specific innovation.

6. EMERGING AND FUTURE TECHNOLOGIES

6.1 Artificial Intelligence and Real-Time Decision Support

The most consequential near-term evolution in agricultural drone systems is not in platform hardware but in the intelligence layer that transforms raw sensor data into time-sensitive agronomic prescriptions without requiring transmission to a cloud server and return of a processed map. Onboard AI accelerator chips NVIDIA Jetson series, Qualcomm Snapdragon platforms, and dedicated neural processing units are now sufficiently capable and power-efficient to run inference for crop row detection, disease lesion identification, and variable-rate spray-trigger calculations in real time during flight.

Tiwari et al. (2025) demonstrated that hybrid CNN-Transformer architectures processing multi-modal drone imagery and IoT sensor fusion outperform established pure-vision benchmarks for disease detection. As training datasets for agricultural computer vision tasks grow—bolstered by public releases such as the IIT Tirupati multi-stage paddy dataset (Adari et al., 2025) and analogous datasets from Brazil, Kenya, and France model generalisation across geographies and crop varieties will improve, reducing the localisation burden on operators deploying pre-trained systems in new settings.

6.2 Swarm Coordination

Single-drone operations are limited by individual endurance and payload. Coordinated multi-drone systems where each aircraft in a fleet shares situational awareness and tasks are dynamically allocated based on battery state, current position, and coverage progress can cover areas and deliver capabilities that no single platform can match. Current research in UAV swarm agriculture focuses on decentralised task allocation algorithms, collision avoidance protocols in constrained airspace, and the interface between swarm-generated data and farm management systems.

Regulatory frameworks for swarm operations remain underdeveloped across most jurisdictions, requiring either a remote pilot per aircraft or experimental approvals. As BVLOS frameworks mature and autonomous aviation certification pathways develop, swarm-based agricultural operations in defined airspace corridors are expected to become commercially viable before 2030.

6.3 Energy Technology

Lithium polymer battery energy density has improved at approximately 5–8 percent per year over the past decade, but fundamental electrochemical limits are approaching. Three pathways are generating active commercial and research development:

- Hydrogen fuel cells: Platforms from HES Energy Systems and others have demonstrated multi-rotor endurances of three to five hours at agricultural payload weights, addressing the endurance constraint that most limits multi-rotor area coverage. Infrastructure for hydrogen storage and refuelling at farm sites remains a deployment barrier.
- Hybrid internal combustion/electric systems: Generator-assisted electric drives extend endurance to four to eight hours while retaining VTOL capability, at the cost of greater mechanical complexity, noise, and maintenance burden. Several platforms targeting broad-acre agricultural applications are in late-stage development.
- Autonomous ground recharging: Drone-in-a-box systems that deploy from, automatically return to, and recharge at a ground station without operator intervention between sorties extend effective operational time to a full working day and enable autonomous repeat missions on programmed schedules.

6.4 Biological Payload Delivery

The same precision delivery platform that enables targeted chemical application can be adapted for biological control agents predatory arthropods, entomopathogenic fungi, beneficial nematodes at per-application costs that are becoming competitive with chemical equivalents as regulatory and consumer pressure drives transition toward integrated pest management. Commercial trials of drone-delivered biological control in strawberry and tomato production have demonstrated canopy coverage and agent viability comparable to ground-based release, with the logistical advantage of airborne delivery particularly relevant for tall or dense crops.

7. CONCLUSION

The body of evidence reviewed here supports a clear conclusion: unmanned aerial vehicles have moved from experimental curiosity to proven operational tool across the full agricultural production cycle. The evidence base for crop health monitoring, precision chemical application, and thermal-based irrigation management is now sufficiently deep and geographically diverse to consider these applications technically validated. The evidence for AI-driven disease detection, autonomous seeding, and livestock monitoring is younger but developing rapidly, with multiple independent research groups producing convergent results that suggest readiness for broader commercial deployment.

What the evidence also makes clear is that hardware capability has outpaced the institutional, regulatory, and economic infrastructure needed for equitable and effective deployment. Regulatory frameworks in most countries restrict the autonomous and BVLOS operations that would most improve the economics of large-area agricultural drone services. Economic access models for smallholder farmers remain dependent on policy intervention and service-provider ecosystem development rather than market-driven cost reduction alone. Data governance frameworks for the field-level intelligence generated by drone surveys are nascent at best.

The technology trajectory is nonetheless one of rapid improvement across all the dimensions that currently constrain deployment: energy density is increasing, onboard AI capabilities are expanding, sensor costs are falling, and regulatory frameworks are evolving though unevenly. The farms of the next decade will likely deploy drone services as routinely as they deploy soil-moisture sensors and GPS-guided equipment today, not because drones are a panacea for agricultural complexity, but because, integrated with broader precision agriculture systems, they provide a quality and timeliness of spatial information that no alternative platform can match at comparable cost.

Meeting the food and environmental challenges of the coming decades will require agriculture to do more with less land, less water, fewer chemicals, and a shrinking rural labour force. UAVs, deployed through thoughtfully designed service markets and governed by sensible regulatory frameworks, are among the most versatile tools available to that endeavour.

REFERENCES

1. Adari, S., Roopesh, P., Moses, W., & Sarvendranath, G. (2025). A UAV-based multispectral and RGB dataset for multi-stage paddy crop monitoring in Indian agricultural fields. arXiv preprint arXiv:2601.01084. https://arxiv.org/abs/2601.01084

2. Khan, A., Malebary, S.J., L. Minh Dang, Faisal Binzagr, Song, H.-K. and Moon, H. (2024). AI-Enabled Crop Management Framework for Pest Detection Using Visual Sensor Data. *Plants*, 13(5), pp.653–653. doi:10.3390/plants13050653.

3. Awais, M., Li, W., Cheema, M. J. M., Hussain, S., Ali, A., & Liu, C. (2023). UAV-based remote sensing in plant stress imaging using high-resolution thermal sensor for digital agriculture practices: A meta-review. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 20, 1135–1152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-021-03801-5

4. Buunk, T., Vélez, S., Ariza-Sentís, M., & Valente, J. (2023). Comparing nadir and oblique thermal imagery in UAV-based 3D crop water stress index applications for precision viticulture with LiDAR validation. Sensors, 23(20), 8625. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23208625

5. Dubuis, P.-H., Droz, M., Melgar, A., Zürcher, U. A., Zarn, J. A., Gindro, K., & König, S. L. B. (2023). Environmental, bystander and resident exposure from orchard applications using an agricultural unmanned aerial spraying system. Science of the Total Environment, 881, 163371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.163371

6. Gano, B., Dembélé, J. S. B., Ndour, A., Luquet, D., Barro, A., Péné, C. B., & Weltzien, E. (2024). Drone-based imaging sensors, techniques, and applications in plant phenotyping for crop breeding: A comprehensive review. The Plant Phenome Journal, 7(1), e20100. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppj2.20100

7. Guebsi, R., Mami, S., & Chokmani, K. (2024). Drones in precision agriculture: A comprehensive review of applications, technologies, and challenges. Drones, 8(11), 686. https://doi.org/10.3390/drones8110686

8. Han, G. (2021). Calibration and image processing of aerial thermal image for UAV application in crop water stress estimation. Journal of Sensors, 2021, 5537795. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5537795

9. Jalil, B., Leone, G. R., Martinelli, M., Moroni, D., Pascali, M. A., & Berton, A. (2021). Assessment for crop water stress with infrared thermal imagery in precision agriculture: A review and future prospects for deep learning applications. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 182, 106019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2021.106019

10. Li, X., Giles, D. K., Andaloro, J. T., Long, R., Lang, E. B., Watson, L. J., & Qandah, I. (2021). Comparison of UAV and fixed-wing aerial application for alfalfa insect pest control: Evaluating efficacy, residues, and spray quality. Pest Management Science, 77(11), 4980–4992. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6540

11. Llanos, R., Vélez, S., Ariza-Sentís, M., & Valente, J. (2025). Remote sensing using unmanned aerial vehicles for water stress detection: A review focusing on specialty crops. Drones, 9(4), 241. https://doi.org/10.3390/drones9040241

12. OECD. (2021). Report on the state of knowledge—Literature review on unmanned aerial spray systems in agriculture (OECD Series on Pesticides, No. 105, ENV/CBC/MONO(2021)39). OECD Publishing. https://one.oecd.org/document/ENV/CBC/MONO(2021)39/En/pdf

13. Radoglou-Grammatikis, P., Sarigiannidis, P., Lagkas, T., & Moscholios, I. (2020). A compilation of UAV applications for precision agriculture. Computer Networks, 172, 107148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2020.107148

14. Santos, W. M., Martins, L. D. C. S., Bezerra, A. C., de Souza, L. S. B., Jardim, A. M. R. F., da Silva, M. V., de Souza, C. A. A., & da Silva, T. G. F. (2024). Use of unmanned aerial vehicles for monitoring pastures and forages in agricultural sciences: A systematic review. Drones, 8(10), 585. https://doi.org/10.3390/drones8100585

15. Aslam Bhatti, U. and Masud, M. (2023). *Investigating AI-based smart precision agriculture techniques*. Frontiers Media SA.

16. Zhang, H., Song, Y., Wang, Y. and Huang, J. (2026). Refining pesticide use to reduce yield loss: How drone plant protection transforms smallholder pest management. *Food Policy*, 139, p.103035. doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2026.103035.

17. Tiwari, A., Sharma, P., & Singh, J. (2025). AI-driven drone technology and computer vision for early detection of crop disease in large agricultural areas. Scientific Reports, 15, 19814. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-32384-1

18. Yallappa, D., Kavitha, R., Surendrakumar, A., Suthakar, B., Mohan Kumar, A. P., Kannan, B., & Kalarani, M. K. (2024). Improving agricultural spraying with multi-rotor drones: A technical study on operational parameter optimization. Frontiers in Nutrition, 11, 1487074. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2024.1487074