



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Ownership Structure In Indian Companies: Present Trends

Dr. Ramesh S G

Faculty Member,

Department of studies in commerce,

Main Campus, Koppal University, Koppal, Karnataka

Abstract: the ownership structure of listed companies plays a vital role in determining corporate governance practices, managerial accountability, shareholder rights, and overall organizational performance. Traditionally, Indian listed companies have been characterized by concentrated ownership, with promoters and family-controlled business groups holding significant stakes and exercising substantial influence over corporate decision-making. However, recent developments in the Indian capital market have led to significant changes in ownership patterns. This study examines the present trends in the ownership structure of listed Indian companies and analyses the changing roles of various shareholder groups. The study is based on secondary data collected from reports published by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), National Stock Exchange (NSE), Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE), Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Reserve Bank of India (RBI), and other relevant sources. The analysis focuses on key ownership categories, including promoters, Domestic Institutional Investors (DIIs), Foreign Institutional Investors (FIIs/FPIs), mutual funds, retail investors, government holdings, and public shareholders. The findings reveal a gradual decline in promoter ownership and foreign institutional investor holdings, while domestic institutional investors, mutual funds, retail investors, and public shareholding have shown substantial growth. Government ownership has remained relatively stable over the period. The study concludes that Indian listed companies are transitioning from a promoter-dominated ownership model toward a more diversified ownership structure. This shift is expected to enhance transparency, strengthen corporate governance, improve investor confidence, and contribute to sustainable corporate growth. The evolving ownership pattern signifies a positive transformation in India's corporate sector and capital market.

Keywords: Ownership Structure, Listed Companies, Promoter Holdings, Institutional Investors, Mutual Funds.

Introduction: the ownership structure of Indian companies, especially listed firms, is undergoing a significant transformation. Traditionally, promoters (founders and controlling families) dominated ownership, but recent years have seen increasing participation from domestic institutions, retail investors, and mutual funds. Ownership structure refers to the distribution of equity ownership among various shareholders of a company, including promoters, institutional investors, foreign investors, government bodies, and retail shareholders. It determines who exercises control over corporate decisions, influences management policies, and shapes the governance framework of a company. In

the context of listed companies, ownership structure is a critical aspect of corporate governance because it affects managerial accountability, shareholder protection, financial performance, and long-term sustainability.

In India, the ownership structure of listed companies has traditionally been characterized by concentrated ownership, where promoters and business families maintain substantial controlling stakes. Unlike developed economies such as the United States and the United Kingdom, where ownership is widely dispersed among institutional and retail investors, Indian corporations have historically relied on promoter-led governance systems. Promoters often retain significant voting rights, enabling them to exercise considerable influence over strategic decisions, board appointments, mergers and acquisitions, and overall corporate direction. This ownership model has been a defining feature of Indian corporate culture for several decades. The Indian corporate sector has undergone significant transformation since the economic liberalization reforms of 1991. Financial market development, foreign investment inflows, technological advancements, regulatory reforms, and increased participation of institutional investors have gradually altered the ownership landscape. Regulatory initiatives undertaken by the Securities and Exchange Board of India have strengthened disclosure requirements, minority shareholder protection, and corporate governance standards, encouraging greater participation from diverse investor groups.

Over the last decade, the ownership structure of Indian listed companies has experienced a notable shift. Promoter ownership, which historically exceeded 50 per cent in many companies, has gradually declined. Recent data indicate that aggregate promoter holdings in Indian listed firms have fallen below the 50 per cent threshold for the first time since 2020, reflecting a structural transformation in corporate ownership patterns. This decline has been driven by stake dilution through Initial Public Offerings (IPOs), Qualified Institutional Placements (QIPs), Offer for Sale (OFS) mechanisms, strategic investments, and promoter stake sales aimed at wealth diversification and regulatory compliance. Simultaneously, Domestic Institutional Investors (DIIs), including mutual funds, insurance companies, pension funds, and banks, have emerged as increasingly influential shareholders. The growing of household savings, supported by record Systematic Investment Plan (SIP) inflows into mutual funds, has enabled domestic institutions to accumulate substantial equity holdings. DII ownership has reached record levels in recent years, making them one of the most significant forces in the Indian capital market. Their increasing presence has enhanced professional monitoring of corporate management and improved governance practices across listed firms.

Another significant trend is the changing role of Foreign Portfolio Investors (FPIs), previously known as Foreign Institutional Investors (FIIs). For many years, FPIs represented a major source of capital for Indian companies and played an important role in price discovery and market liquidity. However, their ownership share has declined in recent years due to global economic uncertainties, changing interest rate environments, geopolitical risks, and portfolio rebalancing strategies. While foreign investors continue to remain important participants, their relative influence has diminished as domestic investors have become increasingly dominant. Retail investors have also become a powerful component of the ownership structure. The rapid expansion of digital trading platforms, increased financial literacy, wider internet penetration, and growing awareness of equity investments have led to a surge in retail participation. Millions of new demat accounts have been opened across the country, allowing individual investors to directly participate in the stock market. This trend has broadened ownership and contributed to the democratization of capital markets in India.

Review of Literature:

1. **Sahu, Vaswani, and Chakraborty (2013):** the authors reviewed literature on institutional investments in India and observed that institutional investors play a significant monitoring role in corporate governance. Their findings suggested that higher institutional ownership reduces agency conflicts, improves transparency, and enhances firm performance through active shareholder engagement.
2. **Shrivastav and Kalsie (2015):** Shrivastav and Kalsie reviewed empirical studies on ownership structure and firm performance. Their analysis covered promoter ownership, institutional ownership, managerial ownership, foreign ownership, and ownership concentration. The study concluded that ownership structure is a critical corporate governance mechanism; however, empirical evidence regarding its impact on firm performance remains mixed, showing positive, negative, and insignificant relationships across different contexts.
3. **Boyd and Solarino (2016):** boyd and Solarino synthesized research on corporate ownership and found that different ownership forms—including family, institutional, government, executive, and board ownership—have varying effects on organizational outcomes. The study emphasized that ownership structure influences strategic decisions, governance quality, and firm performance.
4. **Banerji (2017):** Banerji examined the relationship between corporate governance, ownership structure, and firm performance in the context of financial globalization. The study found that ownership patterns significantly influence corporate governance mechanisms and financial outcomes. It also highlighted the growing role of foreign investors in shaping governance standards in emerging economies such as India.
5. **Lepore, Di Vaio, Sorrentino, and Palladino (2019):** the researchers reviewed studies on ownership concentration and corporate performance. They observed that concentrated ownership can improve monitoring of management but may also create opportunities for controlling shareholders to exploit minority shareholders. The study concluded that the relationship between ownership concentration and performance depends on institutional and regulatory environments.
6. **OECD (2020):** the OECD report on Indian listed companies examined ownership patterns and found that Indian corporations are characterized by highly concentrated ownership, with promoters and family groups holding significant stakes. The report highlighted that institutional investors have gradually increased their presence, contributing to improved corporate governance practices and market transparency.
7. **Almaqtari et al. (2020):** in a systematic review of corporate governance research in India, the authors analysed 161 studies and found that ownership structure is among the most frequently examined governance mechanisms. Their review indicated that foreign ownership and institutional ownership have received substantial research attention due to their influence on financial performance and governance quality.

Research Gap: the literature reveals extensive research on the relationship between ownership structure and firm performance. However, findings remain inconsistent regarding the impact of promoter ownership, institutional ownership, and ownership concentration on corporate outcomes. Moreover, recent shifts from promoter-dominated ownership to institution-driven ownership in India require further investigation, particularly regarding their implications for corporate governance, shareholder value, and long-term financial performance. The reviewed studies suggest that ownership structure is a crucial determinant of corporate governance and organizational performance. While promoter ownership has traditionally dominated Indian companies, increasing institutional participation is reshaping ownership patterns. The literature generally supports the view that an appropriate balance between promoter control and institutional monitoring can enhance governance effectiveness and improve firm performance.

1. Declining Promoter Ownership: Promoter holdings in listed Indian companies have fallen to their lowest levels in many years. Aggregate promoter ownership has slipped below the 50% mark for the first time since 2020. Many promoters have reduced stakes through block deals, Offer for Sale (OFS), and IPO-related dilution to capitalize on high market valuations.

Declining promoter ownership occurs when company founders or insiders reduce their stake in the business. While it can signal a lack of confidence, it is often driven by profit-booking during market highs, debt reduction, or regulatory compliance like the Minimum Public Shareholding (MPS) norms.

Key Market Trends:

- **Record Sell-Offs:** Promoter selling in India recently crossed Rs 1.5 lakh crore, pushing private promoter shareholding down to an 8-year low of around 40.5%.
- **Institutional Shift:** High valuations have prompted major players such as Bharti Airtel and Inter Globe Aviation to dilute stakes to institutional buyers and mutual funds.

2. Rise of Domestic Institutional Investors (DIIs): Domestic Institutional Investors such as mutual funds, insurance companies, and pension funds have become major shareholders. DII ownership reached record highs during 2025–2026. Continuous SIP (Systematic Investment Plan) inflows have provided a steady source of domestic capital.

Domestic Institutional Investors (DIIs) have driven an equity revolution in India, injecting a record ₹7.75 lakh crore in 2025 and over ₹4 lakh crore in the first five months of 2026 alone. This massive shift provides a structural cushion against volatile Foreign Institutional Investor (FII) outflows.

Key Drivers of DII Growth:

- **Systematic Investments:** Regular retail inflows through Systematic Investment Plans (SIPs), Employees' Provident Fund Organisation (EPFO) allocations, and National Pension System (NPS) contributions create perpetual buying power.
- **Institutional Expansion:** Mutual funds, domestic insurance companies, and banks are seeing exponential growth in Assets Under Management (AUM) as domestic household savings formally transition into financial assets.

Market Impact and Resilience:

- **Cushioning FII Retreat:** DIIs heavily counterbalanced foreign sell-offs (e.g., net buying over ₹1.36 lakh crore during heavy FII retreats).
- **Ownership Dominance:** DII ownership in National Stock Exchange (NSE) listed companies has steadily climbed, pushing past 19% and frequently outpacing foreign portfolio holdings in key indices.
- **Sector Focus:** Domestic funds show a long-term preference for India-centric growth sectors like finance, infrastructure, and emerging spaces like renewable energy.

3. Reduced Foreign Institutional Investor (FII/FPI) Share: Foreign investor ownership has declined to: multi-year lows. FIIs have reduced holdings due to global economic uncertainty, high Indian valuations, geopolitical concerns, and portfolio rebalancing. Although FIIs remain important, domestic investors now play a larger role in supporting the market.

Foreign Institutional Investor (FII/FPI) ownership in Indian equities has dropped to roughly 15%, down from a peak of 20% a decade ago, driven by over ₹2.3 lakh crore in net outflows during the first five months of 2026 alone.

Key Market Drivers and Impact:

- **Unprecedented Outflows:** FII withdrawals between January and May 2026 surpassed the total ₹1.7 lakh crore withdrawn throughout the entirety of 2025, driven by a surging US market, capital gains taxation, and currency risk.
 - **Broadened but Shallow Bets:** While aggregate exposure shrank, FPIs expanded their footprint from ~900 to over ~1,300 listed stocks, though their holding concentration in legacy large-cap bellwethers dropped from 41% to 21%.
 - **Regulatory and Tax Shifts:** To counter the sell-off and stabilize the rupee, the government introduced major reforms, including full capital gains and interest tax exemptions for foreign investments in Government Securities (G-Secs).
 - **Domestic Buffer:** The market's resilience against this foreign exodus has been sustained by robust Domestic Institutional Investor (DII) and retail inflows, keeping the benchmark indices elevated despite the FII retreat.
4. **Growing Retail Investor Participation:** Retail investors are increasingly investing through direct equity and mutual funds. Growth in demat accounts and record SIP inflows have strengthened retail ownership. Retail investors are becoming an influential force in market movements and corporate ownership.

Retail investor participation has surged dramatically, with the National Stock Exchange (NSE) recently crossing the 26 crore investor accounts milestone. This explosive growth—fueled by mobile trading, digital KYC, and strong mutual fund SIP inflows—has transformed individual investors into a primary pillar of market resilience.

Key Market Metrics Total Accounts: Exceeded 26 crore on the National Stock Exchange of India, with over 4.3 crore accounts added in the past year alone.

- **Local Dominance:** Domestic capital, led by retail participation, increasingly offsets FII (Foreign Institutional Investor) outflows, with individual investors accounting for over 52% of daily market transactions during peak periods.
 - **Regional Spread:** Growth is no longer confined to metro hubs; Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities are driving the latest wave of account openings. Uttar Pradesh alone added 22.6 lakh new retail investors in FY 2025-26, bringing its base to 1.5 crore.
 - **Drivers of the Surge Digitization:** Simplified onboarding via fintech apps and reduced regulatory friction by SEBI (Securities and Exchange Board of India) have lowered entry barriers.
 - **Household Financialization:** A structural shift away from unproductive physical assets (like gold) toward wealth-creation via equities and mutual funds.
 - **SIP Momentum:** Record-high monthly Systematic Investment Plan (SIP) contributions indicate steady, disciplined, and long-term capital allocation.
5. **Expansion of Mutual Fund Ownership:** Mutual funds hold an all-time high share in listed companies. Rising household savings flowing into equity funds have boosted mutual fund ownership across sectors.

Mutual fund ownership in India has expanded dramatically, with the industry's Assets Under Management (AUM) crossing ₹81.92 lakh crore by April 2026 and unique PAN-linked investors surpassing 5.34 crore (53.4 million).

Key Growth Drivers and Penetration:

- **B30 Penetration:** Non-metro and B30 (Below Top 30) cities now contribute roughly 18% of the total industry AUM, signalling deep expansion into tier-II and tier-III geographies.
- **Folio Surge:** Total investor accounts (folios) reached 27.53 crore, with retail investor folios driving the bulk of equity and hybrid schemes.

- **Digital SIPs:** Monthly Systematic Investment Plan (SIP) registrations have accelerated, acting as the primary on-ramp for first-time retail investors.

Regulatory and Structural Changes:

- **Framework Modernization:** The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) has continuously updated mandates, recently introducing Specialized Investment Funds (SIFs) to offer more flexible, strategy-driven products alongside classic retail funds.
 - **Household Savings Shift:** Mutual funds and equities have become a primary vehicle for household savings, jumping from a mere 2% share in FY12 to over 15.2% in recent fiscal years.
6. **Increased Public Shareholding:** Public shareholding has steadily increased as promoter stakes decline. This trend improves liquidity, transparency, and corporate governance standards.

Increased public shareholding refers to a rise in the percentage of a company's equity shares held by non-promoters or retail and institutional investors. It often signals broader market participation and improved stock liquidity.

Regulatory Framework in India:

- **Minimum Public Shareholding (MPS):** SEBI mandates that all listed companies maintain a minimum of 25% public shareholding.
- **Compliance Path:** Companies falling below this threshold must increase public shareholding by at least 5% annually or utilize tools like an OFS (Offer for Sale) or Qualified Institutional Placement (QIP) to restore compliance.

Market Implications

- **Positive Signals:** Often reflects organic distribution of equity, institutional interest, or compliance fulfilment.
- **Potential Risks:** If public shareholding surges abruptly due to bulk promoter offloading, it may signal insider pessimism or lack of core promoter trust.

7. **Ownership Diversification:** Indian companies are moving from concentrated family ownership toward more diversified ownership structures. Ownership is increasingly shared among promoters, mutual funds, insurance companies, pension funds, FIIs, and retail investors.

Current Ownership Pattern of Indian Listed Companies (General Trend):

Category	Trend
Promoters	Declining
Domestic Institutional Investors (DIIs)	Increasing
Mutual Funds	Strong Increase
Retail Investors	Increasing
Foreign Institutional Investors (FIIs/FPIs)	Declining
Government Holdings	Relatively Stable
Public Shareholding	Increasing

Major Factors Driving These Trends:

- High stock market valuations encouraging promoter stake sales.
- Record SIP inflows into mutual funds.
- Rising financial literacy and retail participation.
- Growth of digital trading platforms.

- Regulatory reforms by Securities and Exchange Board of India promoting wider public ownership.
- Expansion of IPO and secondary market activities.
- Increasing preference for domestic capital over foreign capital.

Conclusion: India's ownership structure is shifting from promoter-dominated companies toward a more diversified model driven by domestic institutions and retail investors. The growing influence of mutual funds, insurance companies, and individual investors is reshaping corporate governance and market dynamics. This transition reflects the increasing maturity of India's capital markets and the strengthening role of domestic savings in corporate ownership. The study on "Ownership Structure in Listed Indian Companies: Present Trends" reveals that the ownership landscape of Indian listed companies is undergoing a significant transformation. Traditionally, Indian corporations were characterized by highly concentrated promoter ownership, where founding families and business groups exercised substantial control over corporate decision-making. However, recent developments in the capital market have gradually shifted ownership patterns toward a more diversified and balanced structure. The findings indicate that promoter shareholding has witnessed a gradual decline due to factors such as equity dilution through public offerings, regulatory requirements, strategic investments, and stake sales. At the same time, Domestic Institutional Investors (DIIs), including mutual funds, insurance companies, pension funds, and other financial institutions, have emerged as major stakeholders in listed companies. Their growing presence reflects the increasing mobilization of domestic savings into equity markets and the strengthening of institutional investment culture in India.

References:

Research Articles:

1. Almaqtari, F. A., Shamim, M., Al-Hattami, H. M., Aqlan, S. A., & Al-Nuzaili, K. M. (2020). Corporate governance in India: A systematic review and synthesis for future research. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1803579. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1803579>
2. Boyd, B. K., & Solarino, A. M. (2016). Ownership of corporations: A review, synthesis, and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 42(5), 1282–1314. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206316633746>
3. Claessens, S., Djankov, S., & Lang, L. H. P. (2000). The separation of ownership and control in East Asian corporations. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 58(1–2), 81–112. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(00\)00067-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(00)00067-2)
4. Demsetz, H., & Villalonga, B. (2001). Ownership structure and corporate performance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 7(3), 209–233. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1199\(01\)00020-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1199(01)00020-7)
5. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(76\)90026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X)
6. La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. (1999). Corporate ownership around the world. *The Journal of Finance*, 54(2), 471–517. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.00115>
7. Lepore, L., Di Vaio, A., Sorrentino, L., & Palladino, R. (2019). Ownership structure and corporate performance: A literature review. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 17(1), 8–27.
8. Morck, R., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1988). Management ownership and market valuation: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 20, 293–315. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(88\)90048-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(88)90048-7)
9. Sahu, T. N., Vaswani, L. K., & Chakraborty, K. (2013). Institutional investments in India: A review of literature. *International Journal of Management Research and Business Strategy*, 2(3), 127–139.
10. Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1997). A survey of corporate governance. *The Journal of Finance*, 52(2), 737–783. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1997.tb04820.x>

11. Shrivastav, S. M., & Kalsie, A. (2015). Ownership structure and its impact on firm performance: A review of literature. *International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations*, 3(1), 483–490.
12. Thomsen, S., & Pedersen, T. (2000). Ownership structure and economic performance in the largest European companies. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(6), 689–705. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(200006\)21:6<689::AID-SMJ115>3.0.CO;2-Y](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(200006)21:6<689::AID-SMJ115>3.0.CO;2-Y)
13. Villalonga, B., & Amit, R. (2006). How do family ownership, control and management affect firm value? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 80(2), 385–417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2004.12.005>
14. Berle, A. A., & Means, G. C. (1932). *The modern corporation and private property*. Transaction Publishers.
15. Banerji, S. (2017). Corporate governance, ownership and firm performance: A review of the impact of financial globalization. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 16(1), 1–13.
16. Khanna, T., & Palepu, K. (2000). Is group affiliation profitable in emerging markets? An analysis of diversified Indian business groups. *The Journal of Finance*, 55(2), 867–891. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.00229>
17. Sarkar, J., Sarkar, S., & Sen, K. (2008). Board of directors and opportunistic earnings management: Evidence from India. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*, 23(4), 517–551. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0148558X0802300405>
18. Kumar, J. (2004). Does ownership structure influence firm value? Evidence from India. *The Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance and Business Ventures*, 9(2), 61–93.
19. Mishra, R. K., & Kapil, S. (2018). Effect of ownership structure and board structure on firm value: Evidence from India. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 18(2), 301–320. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-03-2017-0059>
20. OECD. (2020). *Ownership structure of listed companies in India*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing.

Reports:

1. Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). (2025). Annual report 2024–25. SEBI.
2. National Stock Exchange of India Ltd. (NSE). (2025). NSE market pulse report. NSE India.
3. BSE Limited. (2025). Shareholding pattern reports. BSE India. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). (2025). Handbook of statistics on the Indian economy. RBI.
4. Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India. (2025). Corporate governance and company affairs reports. Government of India.
5. Association of Mutual Funds in India (AMFI). (2025). Mutual fund industry data and statistics. AMFI.
6. National Securities Depository Limited (NSDL). (2025). Indian securities market statistics. NSDL.
7. Central Depository Services (India) Limited (CDSL). (2025). Demat account and investor statistics. CDSL.
8. NITI Aayog. (2025). Economic and financial sector reports. Government of India.
9. Trading Economics. (2025). Indian financial market statistics and indicators. Trading Economics.