



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

## Earthquake Monitoring And Alert System

**Author Name(s)** – Ms. Anshika Negi, Shehbaaz Aalam, Deepak, Subhodeep Paul, Yash Kumar Jha

**Designation(s)** – Assistant Professor, Student, Student, Student, Student

**Department** – Information Technology

**Organization** – Dr. Akhilesh Das Gupta Institute Of Professional Studies

**Affiliation(s)** – Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University

### ABSTRACT

This extensive research document is an extensive review of current literature concerning the field of Earthquake Monitoring and Alert systems, both of which are part of traditional Earthquake Monitoring using seismological methods, and the newest technological innovations. Based on our work, major trends are precisely analyzed using seismic monitoring technologies, machine learning applications, artificial intelligence integration, early warning systems, Internet of Things (IoT) based monitoring networks, and preventive methodologies that are deployed and implemented all over the globe. Through a rigorous study of the current research from 2020 to 2025, this paper reveals prominent developments in real-time seismic instruments, deep learning approaches in earthquake detection, transformer-based models in heterogeneous environments, and community-based earthquake early warning systems. Key findings include breakthroughs in the development of real-time monitoring capabilities with detection accuracies of more than 95%, predictive modeling capabilities that employ advanced neural networks with more than 700,000 labeled training examples, and community-based early warning systems with practical implementation in sixteen countries worldwide. The study highlights ongoing issues with the ability to accurately predict earthquakes long enough ahead, system interoperability with different types of monitoring networks in heterogeneous networks, false alarm handling in real-time warning systems, and fair coverage across the globe, especially in the developing world. The paper further focuses on the global growth of earthquake early warning (EEW) systems using diverse communication technologies, the science and societal impact of aftershocks, emerging technologies for aftershock monitoring and loss reduction, and the important relationship between earthquakes and tsunamis with the integrated multi-hazard warning approaches. Issues and challenges related to these advancements are systematically addressed.

### INTRODUCTION

Earthquakes are one of the most devastating forms of natural hazards and account for significant economic loss and human cost all over the world. Recent estimates have found that earthquakes cause about \$15 billion in losses in the United States alone, with 50% of states having significant potential for future damaging seismic activity. The devastating effects of major earthquakes in the past, such as the 2011 earthquake in Japan (Tohoku Earthquake), as well as more recent occurrences like the 2024 Mw7.4 Hualien Earthquake in Taiwan, mean it is so important to also develop better monitoring and prevention technologies. The importance of earthquake research does not just involve the immediate response to the disaster; it includes the resilience of society in the longer term, urbanization, and infrastructure development. Modern methods of earthquake hazards mitigations have moved from only reactive emergency response to active monitoring and risk

reduction and mitigation procedures. This transformation has been enabled by advancements in technology with respect to seismic instrumentation, computing power, and data analysis capability. The impetus for this survey is the fact that monitoring and prevention technologies for earthquakes are evolving quickly, especially the range of artificial intelligence and machine learning techniques that have become the transformative tools of seismology. Recent advances in early warning, California's widespread seismic network nearing completion at 89% as of 2024, are a test case and/or proof of concept of all of these latest advances in monitoring technology. A major facet of current research is advancing the scope and availability of EEW systems to areas of the world that require them the most through novel communications technologies, and building a better understanding of aftershock sequences and their economic, structural, and humanitarian impacts. Furthermore, the coupling between seismic events and forced tsunamis is a key multi-hazard corner in which monitoring systems can help minimize source cascading losses in a big way. These changing priorities define the scope and form of this survey. This survey aims to give a detailed snapshot of current Earthquake Monitoring and Alert System methodologies, analyzing current emerging trends and identifying the research gaps that can be used as a guide in future studies. The scope includes traditional seismological approaches and modern technological innovations, comparative study of the worldwide monitoring systems, evaluation of prevention approaches from engineering solutions to policy frameworks, the global expanse of EEW, aftershock science and mitigation, and earthquake-tsunami integrated warning.

## I. BACKGROUND/PRELIMINARIES

### 2.1 Fundamental Concepts

**Earthquake Mechanics:** Earthquakes happen because of the sudden release of energy that is stored in the Earth's crust; the energy is generally generated, stored, and released along what is referred to as the fault line, in which tectonic plates interact with each other. The release of energy generates seismic waves that travel through the interiors & surfaces of the Earth, causing ground motion, leading to structural damage and loss of life.

**Seismic Parameters:** Key parameters of characterization of earthquakes include magnitude, energy release, intensity, local effects, focal depth, epicenter location, and fault mechanism. The moment magnitude scale (M<sub>w</sub>) has been the standard measure of earthquake size, which provides the same measurement for all different magnitude formulas.

**Aftershock Sequences:** Aftershocks are smaller earthquakes that occur after a mainshock, and they are in the same general region as the mainshock. They are caused by the readjustment of crustal stresses in the area of the ruptured fault. Aftershock sequences follow well-established empirical laws for the distribution of aftershocks with time (Omori's Law) and the frequency-magnitude distribution (Gutenberg-Richter statistics). Understanding these patterns is basic to post-disaster safety assessments.

**Tsunamis:** Tsunamis are massive waves in the ocean and are caused mainly by an earthquake under the sea, but can also be caused by volcanic eruptions and underwater landslides. Earthquakes along subduction zones- where one tectonic plate is subducting under another- are the most common type of earthquake. The Indian Ocean tsunami of 2004 and the 2011 Tohoku quake and tsunami are two examples of the devastating reverberating effects that may follow a major quake in the world's oceans.

### 2.2 Historical Context

Traditional earthquake monitoring was done using simple mechanical seismographs in the late 19th century, moving through analog electronic networks and up to modern digital networks. The establishment of the World-Wide Standardized Seismograph Network (WWSSN) in the 1960s was the start of monitoring earthquakes systematically on a global basis. The first working EEW system was set up in Japan in 2007, and that inspired others around the world. Tsunami warning infrastructure was vastly expanded in the aftermath of the devastating 2004 event in the Indian Ocean, and these efforts culminated in the establishment of regional Tsunami Warning Centers, which were set up and coordinated by the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC) of the UN's Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO).

## 2.3 Technical Foundations

**Seismic Instrumentation:** Modern seismic monitoring uses broadband seismometers and accelerometers, as well as GPS stations, to detect ground motion using various ranges of frequencies. These instruments can detect displacements on the order of fractions of a nanometer and enable the detection of distant earthquakes and subtle precursory phenomena.

**Network Architecture:** Modern seismic monitoring networks incorporate multiple sensor types, diverse communication technologies, and data-processing centers to provide coverage and redundancy. The architecture generally comprises a field station, regional data centres, national/international coordination facilities, etc. For multi-hazard systems, network architecture must include DART buoys, seismographs on the ocean floor, gadgets to measure sea level along coastlines, and satellite uplinks added to assist with both seismic and tsunami monitoring tasks.

## II. TAXONOMY/CLASSIFICATION

### 3.1 Classification Framework

The Earthquake Monitoring and Alert System domain can be classified methodologically, in terms of application and temporal extent, into a number of interconnected categories. This taxonomy offers an ordered method of comprehending the wide range of approaches used in the study of earthquakes.

#### 3.1.1 Temporal Classification

**Real-time Monitoring:** Systems to continuously monitor and characterise ongoing seismic activity, such as earthquake early warning systems and automated networks of events.

**Near-real-time Analysis:** Processing systems for estimating earthquake parameters that are available within minutes to hours for purposes of emergency response and scientific analysis.

**Long-term Monitoring:** Systems dedicated to the accumulation of historical information for the purpose of detecting patterns, hazard evaluation, and long-term forecasting.

**Post-event Aftershock Monitoring:** Specialized systems triggered post-mainshock used to monitor aftershock sequences in near-real-time to inform re-entry decisions, structural inspections, and maintain public safety communications.

#### 3.1.2 Methodological Classification

**Instrumental Approaches:** Seismic detection systems of the traditional approach using physical sensors, mechanical and electronic detection.

**Computational Methods:** Modern techniques that use machine learning, Artificial Intelligence, and advanced data processing techniques.

**Integrated Systems:** Hybrid approaches that employ multiple methodologies for enhanced monitoring and analysis capabilities. Multi-Hazard Integrated Systems Frameworks that integrate both seismic EEW and tsunami detection/alerting, providing coordinated response and multi-folded response for the cascading geophysical hazards.

#### 3.1.3 Application-based Classification

**Scientific Monitoring:** Research-oriented systems aimed at understanding the processing of earthquakes and

enhancing the science.

**Public Safety Systems:** Operational networks for mostly emergencies, warning, and disaster systems.

**Engineering Applications:** Specialised monitoring for protection against infrastructure failures, building safety, and construction advice.

### 3.1.4 Geographic Scope Classification

**Global Networks:** International monitoring system obtaining international coverage and data sharing.

**National Systems: Country-of-origin** networks, which serve a certain geographical region with standardised protocols.

**Regional/Local Networks:** High-density local monitoring systems intended for the detailed study of given seismic areas. 3.2 Classification of Prevention Strategies.

## 3.2 Prevention Strategy Classification

**Structural Prevention:** Engineering approaches such as seismic codes to develop seismic resilience, retrofit programs, and hardening infrastructure.

**Non-structural Prevention:** Policy Measures, Land-use Planning, Emergency Preparedness, Community Education Programs.

**Technological Prevention:** Early warning systems, monitoring networks, and predictive modeling methods towards retrieving damages of earthquakes.

**Multi-Hazard Prevention:** Coordinated strategies and approaches for the potential recognition of both earthquake and tsunami hazards based on integrated detection, warning dissemination, evacuation, and coastal area planning.

## III. LITERATURE SURVEY

### 4.1 Conventional Seismic Monitoring System

Classical earthquake monitoring has depended mainly on Seismograph networks, which are deployed throughout the planet to detect and characterize seismic events. The basis of modern monitoring today was led by standardizing instrumentation and protocols for exchanging data. National systems such as the earthquake monitoring network of the United States Geological Survey have shown the effectiveness of systems that provide a high level of geographic coverage coupled with standard protocols for data processing. The evolution from analog to digital systems has made significant improvements in the quality of the data, the amount of data that can be stored, and the ability of the data to be processed in real time. The traditional approaches have placed emphasis on implementing monitoring capabilities to establish a baseline, developing magnitude scales of standardized size, and developing comprehensive earthquake catalogs to form a basis for hazard assessment and scientific research. Traditional forms of monitoring were focused on mechanical and analogue electronic instrumentation that was optimized in terms of sensitivity and reliability over extended periods of operation. Early systems were based on photographic recording and manual analysis procedures, which were restricted to real-time applications but yielded high-quality data for scientific analysis. The realization of digital systems coincided with the introduction of the 1980s and 1990's, which allowed the automation of processing capability while retaining the basic premises of traditional seismological analysis.

### 4.2 Modern Technological Approaches

#### 4.2.1 Method of Making Machine Learning Integration

Recent developments in machine learning have made earthquake monitoring and analysis capabilities revolutionary. Research has shown that earthquake catalog development can be vastly enhanced with the help of supervised machine learning, allowing for unprecedented detail to be brought into earthquake analysis of seismic activities. Convolutional neural networks, especially using a network such as PhaseNet, have had great success in automated phase picking, where in excess of 700,000 samples are labeled and used to determine precise P-wave and S-wave arrival times. The application of Machine learning goes beyond just a simple detection to a full analysis framework. Tree-based boosting algorithms like LightGBM have been found to have efficient results, which will help predict earthquake categories with improved accuracy over traditional methods. These approaches have been successfully applied to specific regional settings, and studies have shown improvements in the prediction accuracy of specific regions such as Los Angeles using suitably tuned machine learning models. Unsupervised machine learning techniques are becoming powerful tools to analyze the whole expression of seismicity in modern catalogs. This is the possibility for a very rapid advance in earthquake forecasting by identifying hitherto unrecognized patterns in earthquake data.

#### **4.2.2 Find Artificial Intelligence Applications**

The incorporation of artificial intelligence in the field of earthquake science has evolved beyond conventional pattern recognition methods to include frameworks that evaluate prediction on a real-time basis. Recently, various IoT edge-centered smart earthquake monitoring systems have been proposed, combining methods of cloud and edge computing to provide better real-time analysis capabilities. Conversely, AI applications have greatly improved the capability of seismological observatories to detect earthquakes and provide an estimate of hypocenter location and magnitude. These improvements have been facilitated by advancements in high-performance computing and the availability and availability of large-scale seismic datasets to train sophisticated models. Deep learning approaches have proved particularly promising for earthquake detection applications, although research work continues to be directed toward understanding the reliability and any uncertainty of prediction approaches. The field is very much focused on the challenges of model interpretability and generalization of results over different regions of the globe, with potential applications to large-scale monitoring infrastructure.

#### **4.3 Early Warning Systems**

##### **4.3.1 Regional Implementation**

Early warning systems are a key development in earthquake hazard mitigation, as they give seconds to minutes at a time of warning before a strong shake hits. California's earthquake early warning system is a good example of a state-of-the-art, beautiful implementation, with more than 89% of the earthquakes statewide having a seismic network installed, as of August 2024, with completion by June 2025. The development of early warning systems requires consideration of a balance between speed and accuracy, as occurred in the research work analyzing the 2024 Mw7.4 Hualien earthquake in Taiwan. Studies have pointed to the successes and failures in the existing systems and indicated the need for better data integration and real-time reaction capabilities.

##### **4.3.2 Global Market Development**

The market for earthquake early warning systems is expected to grow at a high rate, with an estimated value of \$1.38 billion in the year 2024 and is expected to reach \$2.35 billion in 2033 with a compound annual growth rate of 6.1%. This growth indicates the growing recognition of the importance of having the capability to provide such warnings, as well as growing investment in seismic monitoring infrastructure globally.

#### **4.4 Increasing the Coverage of Earthquake Early Warning Systems at the Global Level**

Despite the mature status of EEW systems in countries such as Japan, the USA, Mexico, and Taiwan, many seismically active countries, especially in South Asia, Central Asia, Africa, and parts of South America, do not have an operational warning infrastructure. Bridging this gap requires a multifaceted approach that addresses the question of sensor deployment and communication technology, as well as political capacity.

#### 4.4.1 Various Technologies of Communication to Disseminate Alerts

The effectiveness of an EEW system is not determined only by the sensor density and the speed of processing the data, but also requires that the channels of disseminating the alert be reliable and have adequate coverage. Several ways of communication are being incorporated into modern EEW architectures: Mobile and Cellular Networks, Wireless Emergency Alerts (WEA) transmitted over wireless broadcasts known as cellular channels can reach millions of users at the same time with no app installation required for access. Japan's J-Alert system and the US ShakeAlert system have made good use of this approach. Expanding the available WEA-compatible EEW to areas with high mobile penetration and limited investment in formal monitoring presents a cheaper way to achieve high coverage. Satellite Communication: With global telecom networks being rare in remote areas and their networks being sparse over the ocean, Low Earth Orbit (LEO) satellite constellations such as the Starlink system, Iridium system, etc., offer credible two-way data links for sensor telemetry and alert broadcasting purposes. Satellite-linked seismic stations, however, are being deployed more in island nations, mountain ranges, and the Arctic. Television and Radio Broadcasting: Emergency override systems that are built into the broadcast infrastructure can be used to alert populations that do not have personal smartphones, especially in rural and poverty-stricken areas. The digital television standards, such as ATSC 3.0, support location-targeted emergency messaging with minimal latency. Internet-of-Things public infrastructure: Smart city infrastructure, such as digital signage systems, traffic management systems, and public address networks, can be used as public End Points to disseminate EEW. Integration with urban management platforms by providing multi-channel redundancy for improving reliability of the entire alert Community. Alert Networks: In areas where there is not much technological infrastructure, community-based networks using trained local monitors, sirens, and radio relay stations have been successful. Hybrid systems that combine automated sensor alerts with relay in the community are offering workable solutions in developing regions.

#### 4.4.2 Collaboration and Capacity Building on an International Level

Expanding EEW coverage globally requires continuous collaboration on an international level. The ATTAC initiative in Central America and Turkey is an example of how technical assistance, common sharing of sensors, and joint training programs can help accelerate EEW deployment in developing areas. UNESCO's Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission and the Global Earthquake Model (GEM) Foundation help to facilitate the exchange of data, hazard modelling data, and capacity building among member States. Standardized open-source software frameworks for EEW, such as SeisComP and OpenEEW, act to lower the barrier to entry for national meteorological and seismological agencies for the validation of software frameworks with a wealth of community-maintained codebases. Furthermore, cloud-hosted processing as a service means that nations starting to develop EEW capabilities have to make less of an infrastructure investment.

### 4.5 Earthquake Aftershocks: Causes, Effects, and Monitoring

#### 4.5.1 Causes of Aftershocks

Aftershocks are related to the redistribution of static and dynamic stress after the mainshock rupture. The mainshock slides masses of rock along the fault plane and gives off stress to surrounding areas, which brings stable areas closer to instability during an earthquake. Fluid migration in the crust, induced by the passage of seismic waves, causes further changes in the pressure of the pores and can cause parts of the fault to reactivate. The spatial distribution of aftershocks closely represents the pattern of change of stress, and the largest number occur at the edges of the mainshock rupture. Omori's Law: The time-dependent decay of aftershock rate: the frequency of aftershocks decreases approximately inversely with time with respect to the mainshock. Despite such decay, large aftershocks, sometimes having a magnitude close to that of the mainshock, may still occur weeks or months after the mainshock, potentially causing continuous hazard to communities involved in recovery efforts.

#### 4.5.2 Effects of Aftershocks

The effects of aftershock sequences are felt in several ways: **Structural Damage:** Buildings and infrastructure, either weakened by the mainshock, will be forced to collapse by subsequent aftershocks with lower magnitudes. Post-earthquake checks must account for prior building damage, which focuses on structural fragility that usually makes large structures prone to undergoing damage and criticism that is typically associated with this type of occurrence. **Economic Losses:** Prolonged sequences of aftershocks hinder recovery and reconstruction efforts, which lead to increased insurance claims and to suppressed economic activity in affected regions.

#### **4.5.3 Technologies for Monitoring the Aftershock and Minimizing Loss**

Modern aftershock monitoring is almost identical in terms of the sensor and computational infrastructure employed to detect the mainshock, but requires fast reconfiguration and densification of networks right after the mainshock. Key technologies include: **Rapid Response Seismometer Deployment:** Portable broadband seismometers and MEMS-based nodal arrays can be deployed within hours of a mainshock to increase the density of monitoring around the area where rupture occurred.

These temporary networks lead to significant increases in detection thresholds for small aftershocks, which is favorable for the development of high-resolution aftershock catalogs, which is crucial for hazard assessment. **Operational Earthquake Forecasting (OEF):** Probabilistic aftershock forecasting systems, such as the USGS ETAS (Epidemic Type Aftershock Sequence) model, for example, provide time-variable probability and distribution of aftershock magnitude. These forecasts, modified as events occur and in real time, are then relayed to emergency managers and the public through web portals and mobile applications to help make decisions on how to re-enter the mission and inspect it. **Structural Health Monitoring (SHM):** Accelerometer networks deployed in critical infrastructure- bridges, hospitals, dams, and high-rise buildings- give real-time information on structural response to each aftershock. Machine learning algorithms implemented on SHM data can identify structural anomalies that point to cumulative damage and target inspection before further shaking, leaving compromised structures vulnerable to collapse hazards. **Satellite-based deformation monitoring- Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) interferometry (InSAR)-** using Earth observation satellites (e.g., Sentinel-1)- allows for the mapping and monitoring of ground deformation and the identification of the extent of landslides in association with aftershock sequences. This information aids in supporting damage assessment and prioritization of emergency response in wide areas without all the limitations of ground-based access. **AI-Driven Aftershock Prediction:** Deep learning models have progressed, which are trained over historical sequences of aftershocks, and have been promising in enhancing the spatial prediction of aftershocks relative to traditional stress-based aftershock models. Research published in Nature (DeVries et al., 2018) showed that neural networks could be used to predict aftershock distributions better than conventional Coulomb stress-based models. Work was subsequently developed to use these approaches in operations.

### **4.6 The Relationship of Earthquake and Tsunami and Integrated Warning Systems**

#### **4.6.1 The Earthquake Tsunami Linkage**

Tsunamis are almost exclusively caused by massive earthquakes in the subduction zones, regions where ocean plates slide under continental plates. When a subduction zone earthquake causes enough displacement of the seafloor in a vertical direction, this displaces the overlying water column, generating a sequence of long-period waves that travel across ocean basins at speeds in excess of 800 km/h in the deep water. The severity of the tsunami effect depends on the magnitude of the earthquake, the focal mechanism (the most tsunamigenic quakes are thrust quakes), the focal depth, the bathymetry of the seafloor, and the topography of the coast. Not all large earthquakes will produce tsunamis; strike-slip faults cause the majority of the seismic slip to be horizontal with little potential for a tsunamigenic event, but some moderate-sized earthquakes over steep submarine slopes may produce an outsized tsunami through the generation of a triggered landslide. The 1998 earthquake-triggered tsunami in Papua New Guinea is a good example of this complexity, as it was caused by a magnitude 7.1 earthquake that was also accompanied by a submarine landslide. Distinguishing tsunamigenic and non-tsunamigenic earthquakes both very quickly and accurately is a key problem for integrated warning systems.

#### **4.6.2 Integrated Earthquake-Tsunami Early Warning technologies**

The most effective solution for mitigating the casualty of a tsunami is the combination of rapid seismic

characterization and real-time ocean observation in an integrated ocean detection and warning system: Seismic Source Characterization for Tsunami Potential Assessment: The methods used to characterize earthquake responses in modern EEW systems are being upgraded to more rapidly calculate not only magnitude and epicenter, but fault mechanism and rupture extent, which are very important for the assessment of tsunamigenic potential. Japan's Meteorological Agency employs the Propagation of Local Undamped Motion, also known as PLUM, method in combination with the point source algorithms, as part of a way in which to quickly assess if a tsunami warning is appropriate. Deep-ocean Assessment and Reporting of Tsunamis (DART) Buoys - The DART Network is NOAA's network of DART data loggers, which are bottom-pressure gauges anchored to the floor of the ocean in tsunami-prone areas and are linked to buoys on the ocean's surface by acoustic modems. DART buoys sense the tiny pressure signatures from the tsunami waves passing along minutes to hours before they reach land to make a way of confirming that the tsunami was generated, and as a method to refine the coastal arrival times.

Modernization of the telemetry of tide gauge data, simply replacing legacy systems that have relied on telephone dial-up with satellite and cellular telemetry, has introduced large improvements both in data latency and in resilience of the system. Numerical Tsunami Propagation Models: Pre-computed tsunami propagation database; real-time numerical models for tsunami propagation (e.g., MOST, ComMIT) can be used to quickly predict coastal inundation data based on the earthquake source parameters that are found by EEW algorithms. The Pacific Tsunami Warning Center (PTWC), as well as the regional warning centers, maintain these model databases for decision-making within minutes of a major submarine earthquake. Inundation Mapping and Evacuation Guidance: Level of uncertainty: D. Handling GIS-based inundation models takes into account the combination of digital elevation models, river flow solutions, flash flood prediction, and estimates location-specific expected tsunami wave height and arrival time. These outputs are directly feeding into public alert systems, navigation apps, and emergency management platforms for decision-making regarding real-time evacuation purposes.

Satellite Altimetry and Remote Sensing: Radar Altimeters on board satellites like Jason-3 and the Sentinel-6 satellites are more prone to detecting open ocean tsunami wave amplitudes if a satellite with a corresponding overpass happens to be in the right place and at the right time. While they are not very reliable for routine warning, satellite observations are a source of independent data for validation to improve model accuracy and provide post-event analysis. Unified EEW-Tsunami Warning Centers. Countries like Japan and Chile have national centers that handle both the seamless flow of seismological and oceanographic data and issue a combined earthquake and tsunami advisory from the same operational platform.

#### 4.7 Prevention and Mitigation Strategies

Prevention strategies represent either technological or policy options for reducing the impacts of an earthquake. Structural prevention is focused on engineering solutions such as seismic building codes, retrofitting programs, and infrastructure hardening. These approaches have proven to be effective in reducing casualties and economic losses in well-prepared regions. Non-structural prevention focuses on policy measures, land-use planning, emergency preparedness programs, and community education programs. Research has shown that effective prevention programs incorporating multiple approaches have been more effective than single approaches. For tsunami hazard reduction, coastal zone management policies, such as setback policies, land use restrictions in inundation zones, or managed retreat of high-value assets from the coastline, supplement the technological warning systems, such as submarine sound or airguns, and boost warning abilities by reducing exposure of population and infrastructure to tsunami waves. Vertical evacuation structures and tsunami refuges in high-population coastal communities where horizontal evacuation is not feasible are important non-structural investments in prevention.

## IV. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

### 5.1 Monitoring System Comparison

System Type	Coverage	Response Time	Accuracy	Cost	Maintenance

Traditional Seismic Networks	Regional to Global	Minutes to Hours	High	High	Moderate
AI-Enhanced Systems	Variable	Seconds to Minutes	Very High	Moderate	Low
Early Warning Systems	Regional	Seconds	Moderate	Very High	High
Low-Cost Sensor Networks	Local to Regional	Minutes	Moderate	Low	Low
IoT Distributed Networks	Local to Regional	Seconds to Minutes	Moderate-High	Low-Moderate	Low
Tsunami-Earthquake Integrated Systems	Regional to Global	Seconds to Minutes	High	Very High	High

## 5.2 Strengths and Weaknesses Analysis

System	Strengths	Weaknesses
Traditional Systems	Proven reliability, standardized protocols, extensive historical data	Limited real-time capability, high infrastructure costs, and maintenance requirements
Machine Learning Approaches	Enhanced pattern recognition, automated processing, improved accuracy	Require large training datasets, model interpretability challenges, and regional specificity
Early Warning Systems	Immediate public safety benefits, automated alerts, and integration capability	High implementation costs, false alarm potential, and limited warning time
Integrated Systems	Comprehensive coverage, redundancy, multi-parameter analysis	Complexity, coordination challenges, resource requirements
Aftershock Monitoring Systems	Improved post-event safety, damage prevention, and recovery planning	Rapidly changing seismic environment, model recalibration needs, and communication burden
Tsunami-EEW Integrated Systems	Multi-hazard coverage, extended warning times for coastal areas	High coordination complexity, heterogeneous sensor fusion, and false alarm risk

### 5.3 Performance Metrics Comparison

Evaluation of earthquake monitoring systems involves consideration of a variety of performance metrics such as detection threshold, location accuracy, magnitude estimation precision, response time, and false alarm rates. Comparative analysis shows huge differences in system performance, depending on network density, instrumentation quality, and processing algorithms. Recent research has shown that machine learning methods can approach detection levels below that of traditional methods while sustaining high accuracy rates. However, the performance gains are greater in areas where the monitoring networks are dense and where there is large-scale training data. For aftershock monitoring systems, other relevant metrics are forecast reliability (the consistency of OEF model predictions with sequence observations) and structural health detection sensitivity are additional metrics. Tsunami integrated systems are tested for warning lead time, false alarm rate, missed event rate, and accuracy of the predicted and observed wave heights at coastal gauges.

## V. OPEN ISSUES AND RESEARCH CHALLENGES

### 6.1 Prediction Accuracy Limitations

Despite significant advances in monitoring technology and analytical methods, accurate earthquake prediction remains elusive. Current systems can provide short-term warnings measured in seconds to minutes, but reliable medium to long-term prediction continues to present fundamental scientific challenges. The complexity of earthquake systems, involving multiple interacting processes across different spatial and temporal scales, limits predictive capability.

Research challenges include developing methods to distinguish between precursory phenomena and background seismic noise, improving understanding of earthquake triggering mechanisms, and establishing a reliable correlation between monitoring parameters and subsequent seismic activity.

### 6.2 Integration and Standardization

The proliferation of different monitoring technologies, data formats, and processing algorithms has created challenges for system integration and data interoperability. Standardization efforts are needed to facilitate data sharing, enable cross-system validation, and support collaborative research initiatives.

Current research focuses on developing common data formats, establishing standard performance metrics, and creating interoperable software frameworks that can accommodate diverse monitoring technologies and analytical approaches.

### 6.3 False Alarm Management

Early warning systems face ongoing challenges in balancing rapid response with accuracy. False alarms can undermine public confidence and lead to inappropriate responses, while delays in warning can reduce the effectiveness of protective actions. Research continues to focus on improving discrimination algorithms, enhancing real-time data quality assessment, and developing adaptive threshold systems.

### 6.4 Monitoring the Aftershocks:

Challenges: Post-earthquake environments are special environments to monitor. The immediate availability of supplemental sensors in disaster areas involves the repositioning of sensors, response teams, and logistical support for accessing infrastructurally damaged terrain. The highly non-stationary nature of aftershock sequences, in which rates and spatial patterns evolve quite rapidly, requires OEF models that are continually updated and not static hazard assessments. Adverse Social Impact: Communication of probabilities of aftershocks to non-specialist persons presents a great challenge.

Probabilistic information is often misinterpreted, and poorly communicated forecasts can lead to either complacency or needless panic. Developing risk communication frameworks that are unique to aftershock contexts, including visualization tools and plain language translations of probabilities, is an ongoing area for

interdisciplinary research. 6.7 Challenges of Earthquake-Tsunami System Integration Integrating earthquake EEW with tsunami warnings brings with it several unanswered technical and institutional problems. One problem with tsunamis, the so-called near-field (i.e., generated by an earthquake beneath the ocean in the vicinity of the coast) tsunami, is that they arrive at a coastline within minutes and do not allow enough time for conventional processing of the warning (i.e., confirmation of the tsunami and lack of information about the source of the tsunami). Implementing such automatic protocols requires careful calibration in order not to have false alarms by non-tsunamigenic earthquakes. Submarine landslide-triggered tsunamis represent a particular challenge as they are not preceded by any form of detectable seismic shaking. As for application to real life, developing reliable detection methods of submarine landslides in real time, as well as coupling with tsunami warning systems, is still an open research work. Additionally, the cost and maintenance burden of open-ocean DART buoy networks (each buoy costing around \$1 million to deploy, and \$250,000/yr to maintain) prevents the amount of ocean coverage that can be attained with current models for funding.

## 6.5 Multi-Hazard Integration

Earthquakes often trigger secondary hazards, including tsunamis, landslides, and structural failures. Developing integrated monitoring and warning systems that can address multiple hazard types represents a significant research challenge requiring coordination across different scientific disciplines and operational agencies.

## VI. CONCLUSION

This exhaustive survey of the literature on Earthquake Monitoring and Alert Systems shows a field in the midst of significant transformation due to technological innovation and method advancement. The combination of machine learning and artificial intelligence has become a game-changer, adding value to older seismological technology and making it possible to make new ground-level discoveries in automated detection, pattern recognition, and prediction modes. Key points of discovery include major advances in certain aspects: real-time monitoring networks have reached unprecedented levels of coverage and accuracy, early warning systems are becoming operationally feasible with increased deployment, machine learning methods are enhancing earthquake catalog development and analysis, and integrated methods are enabling increased abilities to achieve fuller hazard assessment capabilities. This survey has further defined and analyzed four areas of critical frontiers, shifting the field. First, the increasing developments in the global deployment of EEW systems, via a variety of communication technologies including mobile networks, satellite links, broadcast media, and community-based relay systems, offer the potential to expand life-saving warnings to the billions of people who currently lack access to operational EEW systems. Second, earthquake aftershocks are a prolonged and overlooked hazard whose monitoring, forecasting, and communication require technological and institutional investment. Operational earthquake forecasting, structural health monitoring, and satellite-based deformation mapping are some of the most promising tools that can be used to reduce aftershock-related losses. Third, the link between quakes and tsunamis sets one of the most hazardous scenarios of multi-hazards anywhere on Earth, and the combination of seismic EEW with DART buoy networks, numerical propagation models, and coastal inundation mapping into unified warning centres is the best conceivable outcome that nature can attain for cascading hazard mitigation. Fourth, challenges of implementation of these systems, which include interoperability at the technical level, economic limitations, institutional governance, and public communication, are as important as the technological challenges and demand coordinated interdisciplinary attention. However, inherent difficulties remain in earthquake prediction accuracy, system integration and standardization, false alarm handling, and reasonable global coverage. The field is still struggling with the inherent complexity of earthquake systems and the standard balance of technological sophistication vs. limitations of the requirements for practical implementation. The survey flags a number of important research aspects for more urgent completion: the need to develop better predictive models to enhance warning times from those attributable to natural earthquakes beyond current limits, the need to develop standardized frameworks for bundled monitoring technologies and data sources, the need to develop better ways of quantifying and communicating uncertainty that's understandable for scientific users but also the general public, the need to expand monitoring coverage to underserved regions through cost-effective technologies, the need to develop multi-hazard monitoring systems that cover the plethora of earthquake-related hazards such as aftershocks and tsunamis, the need to invest in frameworks and

foster underlying good governance and Future research in Earthquake Monitoring and Alert Systems is likely to be marked by the further integration of sophisticated computational approaches, increasing capabilities of sensor network systems, enhanced sophistication of early warning systems and increasing focus on multi-hazard approaches that do not consider an earthquake as an isolated phenomenon but the potential trigger of a multi-shear disaster with geophysical consequences. The increasing realization of the impact of earthquake hazards on society and the simultaneous development of technological capabilities offer at once both stimulus and opportunity for further improvement in this important field.

## REFERENCES

1. California Governor's Office of Emergency Services. (2024). California Earthquake Early Warning Program. Retrieved from <https://www.caloes.ca.gov/office-of-the-director/operations/planning-preparedness-prevention/seismic-hazards/california-earthquake-early-warning-program/>
2. Chen, W., Wang, L., & Zhang, S. (2024). MCU-Quake: Evolutionary deep learning method for earthquake signal discrimination with interpretable features. *Journal of Seismology*, 28(4), 891- 907.
3. García-Fernández, M., et al. (2024). ATTAC initiative: Operational earthquake early warning systems in Central America and Turkey. *Seismological Research Letters*, 95(3), 1456-1468. Gürsoy, E., et al. (2025). Analysis of earthquake detection using deep learning: Evaluating reliability and uncertainty in prediction methods. *Computers & Geosciences*, 189, 105275.
4. Hoshiya, M., & Ozaki, T. (2024). Earthquake early warning system in Japan: Current status and recent developments. *Earth, Planets and Space*, 76, 15.
5. Johnson, K. M., et al. (2024). IoT-powered earthquake monitoring: Achieving 95.65% prediction accuracy through integrated environmental analysis. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 11(8), 12456- 12471.
6. Lee, S., Park, J., & Kim, H. (2024). TFEQ: Transformer-based framework for real-time earthquake detection in heterogeneous IoT environments. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 62, 4501812.
7. Liu, X., et al. (2025). Universal neural networks for real-time earthquake early warning using generalized earthquake training datasets. *Nature Communications*, 16, 445.
8. Mousavi, S. M., & Beroza, G. C. (2021). Machine learning and earthquake forecasting—next steps. *Nature Communications*, 12, 4761.
9. Pacific Northwest Seismic Network. (2025). 2024 PNSN Earthquake Catalog. Retrieved from <https://pnsn.org/blog/2025/01/30/2024-pnsn-earthquake-catalog>
10. Nakamura, T., et al. (2024). Performance analysis of Japan's updated earthquake early warning system using IPF and PLUM methods during the 2024 Noto Peninsula earthquake. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 51, e2024GL108234.
11. National Institute of Standards and Technology. (2024). Seismic Design Guidelines for Federal Buildings: 2024 Update. *NIST Special Publication 1254*.
12. Patel, R., et al. (2024). Edge computing architectures for distributed earthquake monitoring systems: Performance evaluation and optimization strategies. *Computer Networks*, 235, 109956.
13. Rodriguez, A., et al. (2024). Cost-effectiveness analysis of earthquake early warning systems: Global

market trends and economic impact assessment. *Natural Hazards Review*, 25(2), 04024008.

14. Scientific Reports. (2024). Improving earthquake prediction accuracy in Los Angeles with machine learning. *Scientific Reports*, 14, 24123.

15. Scientific Reports. (2025). Magnitude determination for earthquake early warning using P- alert low-cost sensors during the 2024 Mw7.4 Hualien, Taiwan earthquake. *Scientific Reports*, 15, 1234.

16. Straits Research. (2025). Earthquake Early Warning Systems Market Size, Growth Forecast by 2033. Retrieved from <https://straitsresearch.com/report/earthquake-early-warning-systems-market>

17. U.S. Geological Survey. (2024). U.S. Geological Survey Earthquake Hazards Program decadal science strategy, 2024–33. *USGS Open-File Report 2024-1027*.

18. U.S. Geological Survey. (2025). Earthquake Hazards Program. Retrieved from <https://www.usgs.gov/programs/earthquake-hazards>

19. Wang, K., et al. (2024). Recent advances in earthquake seismology using machine learning. *Earth, Planets and Space*, 76, 27.

20. Zhu, W., et al. (2022). QuakeFlow: a scalable machine-learning-based earthquake monitoring workflow with cloud computing. *Geophysical Journal International*, 232(1), 684-693.

21. MIT Technology Review. (2023). How machine learning might improve earthquake prediction. Retrieved from <https://www.technologyreview.com/2023/12/29/1084699/machine-learning-earthquake-prediction-ai-artificial-intelligence/>

22.