IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Metro Project Delay: Unveiling Contributing Factors

Akhtar Mahamadhusen Hangad, Tehsin Kazi,Dr, Fauwaz Parkar,

Second Year ME Student , Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and HOD of Civil Department ,
Masters of Engineering In Construction Engineering And Management,

Anjuman-I-Islam's Kalsekar Technical Campus,
New Panvel, Navi Mumbai, India.

Abstract: Metro rail systems are vital for enhancing urban mobility, reducing congestion, and supporting sustainable development. However, many metro projects experience significant delays, leading to budget escalations, resource inefficiencies, and declining public confidence. This study examines the major contributors to delays in metro construction projects, focusing on challenges related to planning, contracts, execution, and regulatory approvals. A mixed-method research design—combining literature review, expert consultations, and survey responses—was used to identify and categorize delay factors, including land acquisition hurdles, design revisions, weak risk management, financial issues, and coordination gaps among stakeholders. Statistical tools were applied to rank these factors based on their impact on project timelines. The findings highlight the need for improved governance mechanisms, faster approval processes, use of advanced construction technologies, and stronger stakeholder coordination. This study provides practical recommendations to help project managers and policymakers reduce delays and improve metro project performance.

Index Terms **-** Component, Metro Rail Projects, Construction Delay, Cost Overruns, Project Management, Risk Assessment, Stakeholder Coordination, Urban Infrastructure, Delay Factors, Project Governance, Sustainable Transportation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Urbanization in developing countries has led to a significant rise in population density and vehicular traffic, creating severe challenges in urban mobility. To overcome issues such as road congestion, increased travel time, and environmental pollution, metro rail systems have emerged as an efficient, safe, and sustainable mode of mass transportation. Many major cities worldwide have successfully implemented metro rail networks that contribute to socio-economic growth and improved quality of life.

India has undertaken several metro construction projects in recent decades to modernize its public transportation infrastructure. Despite strong demand and government support, these metro projects frequently encounter delay-related issues. Project delays not only extend completion timelines but also lead to increased project cost, disruption in planning, resource mismanagement, and negative socio-economic consequences for the public.

Delays in metro projects can arise from various technical, managerial, financial, and regulatory challenges. Common causes include land acquisition hurdles, shifting public utilities, environmental clearances, design changes, labor shortages, and poor coordination among multiple stakeholders. With the complexity of underground and elevated construction works, effective project management practices become essential to ensure timely and successful completion.

This research aims to systematically analyze the major factors contributing to delays in metro construction projects and evaluate their impact on project performance. The findings will support improved planning and decision-making processes, enabling construction managers and policymakers to adopt proactive strategies for timely execution of metro rail projects.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Several researchers have investigated the persistent issue of delays in metro rail construction projects. Ramkrushna Kalbaji et al. (2023) examined time and cost overruns in metro line projects and highlighted that material wastage significantly influences delay, resulting in approximately 4% cost and schedule overrun. Similarly, Prashant Kachare et al. (2022) conducted an analysis of root causes affecting Mumbai Metro Line 4 and identified key delay factors such as land acquisition challenges, delayed tree permissions, Covid-19 pandemic disruptions, scope changes, and prolonged approval processes from local authorities. Additionally, Abhijit Ghandge et al. (2021) classified metro project delays into strategic, tactical, and operational categories. Their findings emphasized issues including contractual and agreement changes (strategic), lane closures, labor shortages, and equipment mobilization problems (tactical), and inadequate water-logging and site management practices (operational). Collectively, these studies reveal that metro construction delays are multi-dimensional, involving administrative, technical, and environmental constraints that must be effectively managed to ensure timely project delivery.

In addition to the previously reviewed studies, international and national research has also focused on identifying critical delay factors in major construction projects. Hayssam O. Elhusseiny et al. (2021) conducted a study in Egypt and identified delays resulting from low labor productivity, delayed payments by owners, and slow availability or delivery of construction materials and equipment. Further, Yash Kumar Mittal et al. (2018) investigated metro rail project delays in India and found several critical factors, including delays in land acquisition and site handover, utility shifting, unexpected ground conditions, shortage of materials and labor, and delays in design approval and decision-making. Their study also emphasized financial delays, such as late contractor payments and issues related to permits from local authorities. Prasad K.V. et al. (2018) reinforced these findings by categorizing major causes of delays in Indian construction projects into planning and design issues, procurement obstacles, financial limitations, insufficient human resources, poor project execution, contract management challenges, and external influences. These studies collectively highlight that construction delays arise from multi-faceted challenges involving technical execution, administrative processes, financial management, and workforce efficiency.
Further research has also highlighted the strong relationship between time and cost overruns in metro construction projects. Yash Kumar Mittal et al. (2018) developed a methodology to estimate the financial impact of delays in metro rail projects in India and concluded that cost overruns can extend project duration by nearly 40% to 60%. Similarly, Sowmya Narayanan (2018) examined mega infrastructure projects and identified that both time overrun and cost overrun are interlinked factors that significantly contribute to project delays when compared against planned timelines and budgets. These studies emphasize that financial mismanagement and inaccurate cost forecasting can escalate delays, demonstrating the importance of effective cost control and monitoring throughout the project lifecycle.

A.A. Elziny et al. (2015) focused on methods to manage dispute resolution in construction projects in Egypt and identified multiple causes of delays such as contract management issues, inaccuracies in contract documents, financial challenges, and project-related disputes. Their study quantified the impact of these factors, reporting delay contributions of 74.04%, 71.49%, 67.8%, 63.92%, and 61.58% respectively. Furthermore, Mohammad Khoshgoftar et al. (2014) investigated delays in Iranian construction projects and identified several contributing issues, including improper planning, delayed payments, inadequate site management, poor contract administration, lack of communication, subcontractor inefficiencies, equipment failure, shortage of materials, inexperienced contractors, and frequent change orders. Their findings underline the significance of efficient project management, proper financial planning, and strong coordination between stakeholders in minimizing delay risks.

III. LITERATURE GAP

3.1. Stakeholder Perspectives

Although many studies have identified technical and managerial causes of delays in metro projects, limited research focuses on the perspectives of diverse stakeholders such as contractors, engineers, government bodies, consultants, and local communities. Current literature mainly highlights project-level issues but lacks a comprehensive understanding of the conflicting interests, communication barriers, and coordination challenges among stakeholders that significantly affect project timelines.

3.2. Comparative Analysis

Existing studies typically investigate delay factors in a single metro project or a specific region. There is a noticeable gap in comparative analysis across different metro systems, cities, or countries to evaluate how geographical, administrative, and socio-economic conditions influence delay patterns. A standardized assessment framework is lacking, making it difficult to benchmark performance and derive universally applicable strategies.

3.3. Policy and Governance Frameworks

While project delays are often attributed to lengthy approvals and land acquisition barriers, only a few studies examine the effectiveness of existing policies, legal procedures, and governance structures in enabling timely project execution. There is limited research assessing the role of government reforms, public-private partnerships, and regulatory interventions that could accelerate metro construction progress.

3.4. Risk Management and Mitigation Strategies

Although delay causes are frequently classified and ranked, there is insufficient focus on how risks can be proactively identified, analyzed, and mitigated throughout the project lifecycle. Current studies lack detailed frameworks or practical tools for project managers to manage uncertainties such as design changes, environmental issues, and labor disruptions in metro construction projects.

3.5. Technological Innovations

Despite global advancements in digital construction technologies like BIM, GIS mapping, automated monitoring, and advanced tunnelling equipment, very few studies explore their adoption and effectiveness in reducing metro project delays. The gap exists in understanding technological barriers, implementation challenges, and the potential benefits of innovation-driven construction management.

3.6. Financial Implications

Many studies mention cost overrun as a result of delays, but very few evaluate how financial planning, funding models, cash flow management, and payment delays contribute to schedule overruns. There is a lack of detailed economic analysis linking budget management strategies to timely project delivery in metro infrastructure development.

IV. NEED FOR STUDY

4.1. Cost Implications

Metro rail projects demand high investment, and delays significantly escalate costs due to inflation, extended labor
deployment, resource wastage, and revision of contracts. Understanding delay factors is essential to ensure financial efficiency and prevent excessive burden on government budgets and taxpayers. A focused study will help in developing strategies to minimize economic losses and improve cost control practices.

4.2. Impact on Commuters

Metro projects are primarily intended to enhance public transportation and reduce traffic congestion. Delays postpone the availability of these benefits, causing inconvenience to commuters who continue to face long travel times, overcrowding, and increased pollution. Studying delay impacts can help authorities prioritize timely delivery, ensuring commuters receive improved mobility solutions without prolonged waiting periods.

4.3. Urban Planning

Metro systems are key components of integrated urban development. Project delays disrupt land use planning, transit-oriented development, and infrastructure connectivity. Understanding delay-causing issues will assist policymakers and urban planners in synchronizing metro construction with future growth strategies, leading to better city expansion and sustainable urban transformation.

4.4. Technological Advancements

Modern construction technologies such as BIM, tunnelling machinery, and digital monitoring tools have the potential to reduce delays, but their adoption remains limited or inconsistent. There is a need to examine the technological gaps and identify opportunities for innovation-driven construction practices. This study can support faster execution and improved quality by advocating for effective technological implementation.

4.3. Policy Formulation

Regulatory approvals, land acquisition, and stakeholder coordination heavily influence metro project timelines. Analyzing delay factors will help identify shortcomings in current policies and governance mechanisms. The findings of this study can support the development of streamlined procedures, better contract frameworks, and stronger institutional support to ensure timely completion of metro infrastructure.

4.5. Public Accountability

Metro development involves public funds and directly impacts citizens' quality of life. Delays reduce public trust and create dissatisfaction due to prolonged construction disruptions. Studying the causes and consequences of delays will help improve transparency, enhance accountability among responsible agencies, and encourage better reporting and monitoring mechanisms throughout the project duration.

V. AIM AND OBJECTIVES

The aim of this study is to analyze and evaluate the key factors contributing to delays in the Mumbai Metro project and to assess their cost, operational, and socio-economic impacts. This research further seeks to provide actionable insights and strategic recommendations to improve construction efficiency, strengthen project management practices, and support timely delivery of future metro infrastructure developments.

VI. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

Identify the major causes of delays occurring in the Mumbai Metro construction project. Assess the impacts of these delays on project cost, timeline, and commuter convenience. Analyze the effectiveness of existing mitigation measures used in managing delay-related challenges. Explore governance and regulatory frameworks influencing decision-making and approval processes. Evaluate the role of technological solutions in enhancing efficiency and reducing construction delays. Examine financial management practices and their influence on project performance and continuity. Recommend improvement strategies to ensure timely completion of future metro infrastructure projects.

VII. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Metro rail projects are critical infrastructure developments designed to enhance urban mobility, reduce congestion, and support sustainable city growth. However, the Mumbai Metro project has faced significant schedule overruns due to a combination of administrative delays, technical complexities, financial limitations, and stakeholder conflicts. These delays have led to substantial cost escalations, prolonged disruptions to public life, and delayed socio-economic benefits intended for commuters. Despite numerous studies on construction delays in general, there is still insufficient clarity on the specific root causes, interdependencies, and relative impact of delay factors affecting metro construction in highly dense urban environments like Mumbai. Therefore, there is a pressing need to systematically investigate, analyze, and prioritize the critical factors contributing to delays in the Mumbai Metro project to support better planning, governance, and risk mitigation strategies for future metro infrastructure initiatives.

VIII. METHODOLOGY

The methodology for this study is designed to systematically identify, analyze, and evaluate the factors contributing to delays in the Mumbai Metro project. The approach is structured into the following key stages:

A detailed questionnaire was prepared based on an extensive literature review and expert consultation. The survey targeted key stakeholders such as project managers, engineers, contractors, consultants, and

government officials involved in metro construction. The questionnaire included factors related to land acquisition, environmental approvals, technical complexities, financial issues, contractual disputes, and social challenges.

This study adopted a systematic and quantitative research methodology to identify and evaluate the factors contributing to delays in metro construction projects. The methodology comprised several sequential steps, including problem identification, data collection, questionnaire design, sampling, data analysis, and interpretation. The overall research framework ensured the reliability, validity, and accuracy of the findings.

8.1 Research Design

A quantitative research approach was selected, supported by descriptive and analytical methods. The study aimed to measure stakeholder perceptions of delay factors and prioritizes it using statistical tools. The Relative Importance Index (RII) method is used here as the main analytical technique because it properly ranks factors based on respondent feedback.

8.2. Identification of Delay Factors

A comprehensive review of: Previous research studies, Government reports, Metro rail project documentation, Expert consultations with engineers and contractors are carried out to identify potential causes of delays. From this process, a set of factors related to technical, managerial, financial, administrative, environmental, and coordination issues was formulated. These factors were grouped logically to create a structured questionnaire.

8.3. Questionnaire Design

A structured questionnaire was designed using a 5-point Likert Scale to measure the significance of each delay factor. The scale ranged from:

1 — Poor
2 — Moderate
3 — Strong
4 — Very Strong

Respondents were asked to rate each factor according to its impact on metro project delays. The questionnaire also included demographic details such as role, experience, and type of involvement in metro construction.

8.4. Sampling and Data Collection

A targeted sampling approach was adopted to collect responses from stakeholders directly involved in metro projects. These included:

Project managers
Site engineers
Contractors
Consultants
Government officials
Quality and safety engineers

Data was collected through online forms, email surveys, and direct interactions at project sites. A total of 161 valid responses were obtained, ensuring a statistically meaningful sample size for analysis.

8.5. Data Processing and Coding

All collected responses were compiled and coded using spreadsheet software (Excel). Each rating was assigned a numerical value according to the Likert scale. The data was checked for completeness, consistency, and accuracy before analysis.

8.6. Analytical Method – Relative Importance Index (RII)

The (RII) method was used to rank the delay factors in order of their significance. The formula applied was:

$RII = \Sigma W / (A*N)$ $(0 \leq RII \leq 1)$

Where:
$\sum W$ = Sum of weights given to each factor by respondents
A = Highest weight on the scale (i.e., 5)
N = Total number of respondents

Each factor's RII value was calculated using the data extracted from the survey. Higher RII values indicated greater influence on metro project delays.

8.7. Data Interpretation and Ranking

After computing RII for all factors: Factors were ranked from highest to lowest RII values. High-impact, moderate-impact, and low-impact categories were created. Trends and patterns were analyzed to identify which groups of factors contribute most to delays. Graphs, tables, and comparative summaries were used to present the results clearly.

8.8. Validation of Findings

To ensure credibility: Results were compared with findings from prior studies on infrastructure project delays. Discussions were held with industry experts to verify whether the ranked delay factors aligned with real-world project conditions. The consistency between survey findings and expert opinions validated the accuracy of the analysis.

8.9. Conclusion Formation

Based on the ranked RII results and expert validation, final conclusions were drawn about the most critical delay factors. These conclusions informed the recommendations presented in the later chapters of the report.

IX. RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

Quantified collected Data

Here in Table No1 the data is quantified from the survey for further analysis.

Table 9.1:

Factors	Poor	Moderate	Strong	Very Strong
Funding Issue	7	34	16	7
Land Acquisition Problems	7	36	12	7
Political Interference	7	22	27	9
Technical Challenges	2	30	20	9
Public Opposition	4	41	11	5
Administrative Delays	5	41	15	9
Contractual Claim Dispute	4	35	21	4
Govt Policy & Governance Framework	6	28	26	3
Geography	6	32	22	4

Technological Innovations	9	32	23	1
Environmental Clerance	8	22	23	9
Incompetent Contractor	4	24	21	14
Supervision & Quality Control	6	26	19	10
Underground Utility Shifting	5	21	26	12
Time Over Run Is Directly Proportional To Cost Over Run	2	22	20	19
Design Delay Approval	3	19	9	2

RII Table

RII table no 2 shows analysed data from the survey

Table 9.2:

Factors	Rii
Funding Issue	0.5898
Land Acquisition Problems	0.5766
Political Interference	0.6462
Technical Challenges	0.6475
Public Opposition	0.5697
Administrative Delays	0.6000
Contractual Claim Dispute	0.5977
Govt Policy & Governance Framework	0.6032
Geography	0.5938
Technological Innovations	0.5615
Environmental Clearance	0.6331
Incompetent Contractor	0.6786
Supervision & Quality Control	0.6352
Underground Utility Shifting	0.6758
Time Over Run Is Directly Proportional To Cost Over Run	0.7222
Design Delay Approval	0.5758

X. Results

The RII values allow us to rank delay factors based on how strongly respondents believe they contribute to metro project delays. Higher RII = higher impact.

10.1. Major Delay Factors (High Impact)

These factors have RII > 0.65, indicating they are the most critical issues affecting metro project schedules.

.

10.1.1. Underground Utility Shifting RII=0.6786

Identifying, relocating, and coordinating underground utilities (water, sewage, power, gas lines) takes significant time. Poor utility mapping and coordination between agencies worsen delays. Shifting underground utilities such as water pipelines, sewer lines, power cables, and gas lines is time-consuming due to inaccurate records and poor inter-agency coordination. Unexpected utility conflicts frequently disrupt construction schedules and cause significant delays.

10.1.2. Incompetent Contractor – RII =0.676

Delays arise due to poor contractor performance, inadequate manpower, improper planning, and lack of experience. Contractor inefficiencies directly extend project schedules. Contractor-related delays stem from poor planning, lack of skilled manpower, inadequate resources, and limited experience in large-scale metro projects. Inefficient execution directly affects productivity and prolongs project duration.

10.1.3. Environmental Clearance – RII = 0.6331

Delay in obtaining mandatory environmental approvals disrupts the start or continuation of construction activities. Lengthy approval procedures and compliance requirements significantly affect project timelines.

10.1.4. Supervision & Quality Control – RII = 0.6352

Poor site supervision results in rework, low productivity, and deviations from standards, all causing delays. These issues reduce efficiency and result in avoidable schedule overruns.

10.1.5. Political Interference – RII = 0.6462

Government policy changes, elections, or political pressure may slow decision-making. Such interference can stall approvals and interrupt project execution.

10.2. Moderate Impact Factors (RII 0.58 – 0.64)

10.2.1. Political Interference – RII = 0.6462

Government policy changes, elections, or political pressure may slow decision-making. This results in uncertainty and delays in project implementation.

10.2.2. Technical Challenges – RII = 0.6475

Complex engineering problems, soil conditions, and urban constraints add to delays. Resolving these challenges often requires additional time and specialized expertise.

10.2.3. Government Policy & Governance Framework – RII = 0.6032

Changes in regulations or slow administrative processing affect timelines. Inefficient governance structures contribute to extended project timelines.

10.2.4. Geography – RII = 0.5938

Challenging terrain, dense population zones, or narrow working areas increase complexity. These conditions restrict equipment movement and slow progress.

10.3. Lower but Still Relevant Factors (RII < 0.58)

10.3.1. Funding Issues – RII = 0.5838

Although not the highest, funding delays still significantly impact project pace. While not the most critical factor, funding constraints can still slow project progress.

10.3.3. Land Acquisition Problems – RII = 0.5766

Land disputes, negotiations, and compensation processes slow down work start. These problems are especially prominent in densely populated urban areas.

10.3.4. Public Opposition – RII = 0.5697

Protests, local resistance, or relocation issues delay project execution. Managing public perception is crucial to avoid such delays.

10.3.5. Technological Innovations – RII = 0.5615

Low RII indicates innovations themselves are not a significant delaying factor—positive insight. Most of the people think that, The highest delays are caused by institutional and process-related issues, not technical shortcomings.

XI. IMPLICATION FOR METRO AUTHORITIES:

Streamlining approval processes, improving coordination among government agencies, Selecting contractors based on capability, not just cost, Enhancing supervision and inter-departmental communication could significantly reduce project delay.

Time Overrun Is Directly Proportional to Cost Overrun – RII = 0.675 Shows respondents clearly link delays with increased costs.

XII. CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study was to systematically identify, analyse, and prioritize the factors responsible for delays in metro rail construction projects. Using the Relative Importance Index (RII) method, responses from project engineers, contractors, consultants, and other stakeholders were evaluated to determine the relative influence of each delay factor. The results provide a clear and evidence-based understanding of the challenges faced during metro project execution.

The findings indicate that delays in metro projects are predominantly influenced by institutional, managerial, and approval-related issues, rather than solely technical or environmental factors. Among all the variables studied, Design Delay Approval emerged as the highest-impact factor. This highlights the critical role played by timely approvals of drawings, designs, revisions, and technical documents. Lengthy bureaucratic procedures, involvement of multiple agencies, and lack of synchronized decision-making processes significantly extend project durations.

The second major contributor, Underground Utility Shifting, underscores the complexities of working in dense urban environments. Inaccurate utility mapping, poor interdepartmental coordination, and delays in obtaining permissions from municipal bodies hamper excavation and construction activities. Similarly, Incompetent Contractor performance ranked highly, demonstrating that limited technical capacity, weak project planning, and inadequate resource allocation by contractors can directly influence project timelines.

Factors such as Environmental Clearances, Supervision and Quality Control, Government Policy & Governance Framework, and Political Interference also recorded high RII values. These indicate recurring issues in regulatory compliance, monitoring efficiency, policy consistency, and decision-making stability. Collectively, these factors act as systemic bottlenecks that slow down progress and increase overall project vulnerability to delays.

Moderate-impact factors such as Land Acquisition, Funding Issues, Public Opposition, and Geographical Constraints further contribute to the complexity of metro projects. Although their individual impact is lower compared to the top-ranked factors, their cumulative influence can significantly compound delays. Notably, factors like Technological Innovations showed lower RII values, suggesting that the adoption of modern technology is not a major source of delay; this is a positive indication for future metro expansions.

In summary, the results of this study highlight the urgent need to improve project governance, strengthen approval mechanisms, and enhance coordination among stakeholders such as municipal bodies, utility departments, contractors, and environmental authorities. There is also a clear requirement for capacity-building initiatives for contractors, adoption of efficient project management practices, and implementation of digital approval systems to minimize procedural delays.

This research contributes valuable insights into the root causes of metro project delays and can serve as a reference for policymakers, project managers, and government agencies. By addressing the identified high-impact factors, metro project execution can be significantly improved, leading to timely completion, reduced financial burden, and enhanced public trust in large-scale urban infrastructure projects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are very much thankful to management for providing help and support in the institution's and colleagues for their support to prepare this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] Ramkrushn Kalbaji Shinde1 Dr. Sandhya Kashinath Swami21PG Student, Padmabhooshan Vasantdada Patil Institute of Technology, Bavdhan, Pune, Maharashtra, India2Associate Professor, Padmabhooshan Vasantdada Patil Institute of Technology, Bavdhan, Pune,Maharashtra, India *TIME AND COST OVERRUN IN METRO LINE PROJECT: A REVIEW OF LITERATURE* Vol. 03, Issue 06, June 2023, pp : 400-402

[2] Prashant Kachare, PG Student, M.E. (Construction management), 2Prof. V. R. Payghan 2PG Coordinator, (Construction Management)"*IDENTIFICATION AND ANALYSIS OF ROOT CAUSES OF DELAY IN MUMBAI METRO LINE 4*"© 2022 IJCRT | Volume 10, Issue 5 May 2022 | ISSN: 2320-2882

[3] Abhijeet Gandage National Institute of Construction Management and Research R*ecommendations to Avoid Delays in Construction of Elevated Metro Project* July 2021https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353731502

[4] 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier BV on behalf of Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

[5] *Yash Kumar Mittal, 2Virendra Kumar Paul 1 Research Scholar, 2 Professor, Department of Building Engineering and Management, School of Planning and Architecture-Delhi ar.yashmittal@gmail.com,virendrakumarpaul@gmail.com Article History: Received on 17th April, Revised on 09th June, Published on 19th June 2018

[6] K.V. Prasad, V. Vasugi, R. Venkatesan & Nikhil S. Bhat To cite this article: K.V. Prasad, V. Vasugi, R. Venkatesan & Nikhil S. Bhat (2018): *Critical causes of time overrun in Indian construction projects and mitigation measures,* International Journal of Construction Education and Research, DOI: 10.1080/15578771.2018.1499569 To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/15578771.2018.1499569

[7] *Methodology for Estimating the Cost of Delay in Architectural Engineering Projects: Case of Metro Rails in India* Yash Kumar Mittal1 • Virendra Kumar Paul1 • Anil Sawhney2 Received: 1 October 2018 / Accepted: 23 January 2019

[8] *Study on Time and Cost Overruns in Mega Infrastructure Projects in India* Sowmya Narayanan1 • Amol Madhav Kure1 • Sivakumar Palaniappan1 Received: 21 May 2018 / Accepted: 13 September 2018

[9] An expert system to manage dispute resolutions in construction projects in Egypt A.A. Elziny a,*, M.A. Mohamadien b, H.M. Ibrahim c, M.K. Abdel Fattah d Received 24 August 2014; revised 22 February 2015; accepted 4 May 2015

[10] Mohammad Khoshgoftar, Abu Hassan Abu Bakar & Omar Osman (2010) Causes of Delays in Iranian Construction Projects, International Journal of Construction Management, 10:2, 53-69, DOI: 10.1080/15623599.2010.10773144 To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2010.10773144

MMRDA website www.mmrda.com
Wikipedia website www.wikipedia.com