



The Critical And Conceptual Consideration Of Contemporary Trends, Methodologies, And Emerging Challenges In Educational Research

Yash B. Naik¹

1. Teaching Assistant, Department of Psychology, The M.S. University of Baroda, Vadodara (Gujarat), INDIA.

Abstract

Educational research has undergone rapid transformation due to the growing integration of digital technologies, artificial intelligence (AI), interdisciplinary approaches, and practice-oriented methodologies. This critical and conceptual review synthesizes contemporary studies (2020–2026) to explore dominant methodological shifts, emerging thematic trends and ongoing limitations in evidence generation. Findings reveal that while educational research is expanding globally and adopting innovative tools, it continues to face challenges such as lack of representativeness, limited longitudinal evidence, inadequate governance frameworks, uneven ethical preparedness, and methodological weaknesses in close-to-practice research. The review proposes a conceptual framework highlighting four interconnected pillars which are methodological innovation, ethical governance, evidence quality, and research–practice integration and offers implications and recommendations for future research, policy, and practice.

Keywords: educational research, conceptual review, critical review, AI governance, bibliometric analysis, mixed-methods, knowledge mobilization, evidence-based research

1. Introduction

Educational research plays a central role in improving teaching, learning, assessment, institutional development, and policy decisions. Recent developments in digital tools and AI systems have altered how researchers design studies, collect data, analyze findings, and disseminate evidence. Simultaneously, the field faces persistent concerns in research quality, limited generalizability, and weak translation of findings into practice.

This paper critically reviews recent studies on educational research trends, with emphasis on the methodological developments and conceptual tensions emerging across global contexts.

2. Purpose and Scope

This critical–conceptual review aims to:

1. Examine dominant trends in contemporary educational research (2020–2026).
2. Critically assess methodological strengths and limitations in current studies.
3. Conceptualize an integrative framework for educational research development.
4. Provide implications and recommendations for researchers, institutions, and policymakers.

3. Methodological Trends in Educational Research: A Critical Synthesis

3.1 Expansion of Bibliometric and Science Mapping Studies

Recent research shows increasing use of bibliometric analysis to examine publication trends, collaboration networks, and thematic evolution.

- Cretu and Grosseck (2025) analyzed over 10,396 documents, highlighting international collaboration and concentration of high-impact research within research-intensive universities.
- Ayanwale et al. (2024) mapped machine learning integration using bibliometric science mapping approaches, showing growing thematic diversification.

Critical note: Although bibliometric reviews provide macro-level insights, they primarily measure productivity/citations and may not reflect classroom value, quality of pedagogy, or contextual realities.

3.2 Rise of Mixed-Methods Research and Pragmatic Integration

Mixed-methods designs appear increasingly relevant due to the need for both quantitative generalizability and qualitative depth.

- Pinho et al. (2025) combined bibliometric and content analysis to explore GenAI governance in educational research.
- Opstoel et al. (2024) integrated document analysis with interviews to identify types of collaboration in research-practice partnerships.

Critical note: Mixed-method studies strengthen triangulation, but they can become “method-heavy” without conceptual coherence if not guided by strong theoretical alignment.

3.3 Growth of Methodological Reflexivity and Trustworthiness

Qualitative research in education is also adopting more reflexive and trustworthiness-focused practices.

- Chakma and Li (2025) emphasized inductive qualitative analysis and researcher reflexivity via autoethnography to ensure trustworthiness.
- Aguas (2022) proposed fusing transcendental and hermeneutic phenomenology to enhance rigor through triangulation and participant validation.

Critical note: These approaches deepen qualitative rigor but are demanding for novice researchers due to philosophical complexity and heavy reliance on interpretive competence.

3.4 Participatory and Consensus-Building Methods

Delphi research and collaborative models are increasingly valued for policy-relevant and practice-based evidence.

- Oxley et al. (2025) demonstrated Delphi technique effectiveness in building consensus among teachers on supporting EAL pupils.

Critical note: Delphi methods may risk consensus bias (i.e., “agreement does not guarantee truth”), and findings remain context-dependent.

4. Emerging Themes Shaping Educational Research

4.1 Governance of Generative AI and Ethical Challenges

Generative AI has produced methodological opportunities (e.g., synthetic data generation, automated content tools), but also profound governance challenges.

- Pinho et al. (2025) argue governance must be adaptive and iterative rather than static regulation.
- Kieser et al. (2023) found ChatGPT could simulate realistic educational response patterns, but warned about ethical issues including bias and misuse.

Critical theme: Educational research is currently experiencing an “ethical lag,” where technological capability advances faster than institutional ethics frameworks and researcher readiness.

4.2 Virtual Reality and Technology-Mediated Educational Research

Technology-enhanced environments require methodological recalibration.

- Bores-García et al. (2024) identified major differences between Virtual Reality studies conducted in labs versus schools and emphasized transferability challenges.

Critical theme: Many educational technology studies risk overclaiming impact due to novelty, limited samples, and lack of long-term outcome assessment.

4.3 Research–Practice Collaboration and Knowledge Mobilization

Bridging research and practice remains central to educational development.

- Opstoel et al. (2024) found teacher participation increases uptake and practical use of research.
- Wyse et al. (2021) reported that close-to-practice studies are often under-theorized and methodologically weak, indicating quality deficits.

Critical theme: Educational research often fails not because evidence is absent, but because translation into practice remains structurally under-supported.

5. Conceptual Framework: Four-Pillar Model of Contemporary Educational Research

Based on the synthesis, this paper proposes a conceptual model with four pillars:

Pillar 1: Methodological Innovation

Includes mixed methods, Delphi techniques, bibliometrics, post-qualitative approaches, and technology-based research tools.

Pillar 2: Ethical Governance and Integrity

Includes GenAI governance, academic integrity, bias prevention, and ethics literacy.

Pillar 3: Evidence Quality and Rigor

Includes trustworthiness, validity, reproducibility, and longitudinal evaluation.

Pillar 4: Practice Integration and Knowledge Mobilization

Includes teacher involvement, dissemination strategies, implementation support, and policy translation.

Conceptual claim: Sustainable progress in educational research requires balance across all four pillars—overemphasis on innovation without governance produces ethical risk, while emphasis on rigor without knowledge mobilization results in low practical impact.

6. Implications

6.1 Implications for Researchers

- Research competence must now include AI literacy, governance awareness, and technological methodological skills.
- More attention is required on evidence quality, replication, and robust sampling strategies.
- Researchers should avoid treating bibliometric outputs as equivalent to educational improvement.

6.2 Implications for Institutions and Universities

- Institutions must build ethical governance systems for GenAI research and data use.
- Teacher education programs should strengthen research methods training (especially qualitative rigor and evidence-based practice).
- Research incentives should reward not only publications but also implementation outcomes.

6.3 Implications for Educational Policy

- Policymakers should rely on high-quality, context-sensitive evidence rather than citation-based impact indicators.
- Policies on AI use must combine innovation support with ethical safeguards.
- Funding must prioritize long-term studies that evaluate sustained learning outcomes.

7. Recommendations

7.1 Recommendations for Future Research

1. Conduct more exploratory studies using human samples, especially in underrepresented settings.
2. Increase longitudinal evidence for interventions, especially AI and VR research.
3. Develop context-based GenAI governance models that reflect local educational realities.
4. Expand beyond English-language databases to avoid linguistic bias in literature analysis.
5. Strengthen integration of theory into close-to-practice research for coherence and generalizability.

7.2 Recommendations for Research Training

1. Introduce mandatory modules on:
 - AI ethics and academic integrity
 - mixed-method integration
 - qualitative trustworthiness
2. Train researchers in statistical decision-making beyond parametric tests, including robust/advanced methods.

7.3 Recommendations for Research–Practice Translation

1. Build structured knowledge mobilization systems in schools/universities.
2. Provide teacher incentives and time allocation for research engagement.
3. Create collaborative networks linking researchers, teachers, and policymakers.

8. Conclusion

Educational research is at a transformative stage, offering promising opportunities for deeper insight and stronger educational reform. Yet, to enhance credibility and influence, the field must move beyond fragmented innovations and adopt coherent frameworks that strengthen rigor, expand equity in research representation, and ensure responsible technology governance. Future research should prioritize theoretically grounded inquiry, replication and longitudinal evidence, inclusive contexts, and robust systems that translate research into measurable improvements in educational practice and policy.

References

1. Aguas, P. P. (2022). Fusing approaches in educational research: Data collection and data analysis in phenomenological research. *The Qualitative Report*, 27(1), 1–20.
2. Ayanwale, M. A., Molefi, R. R., & Oyeniran, S. (2024). Analyzing the evolution of machine learning integration in educational research: A bibliometric perspective. *Discover Education*, 3(1), 47.
3. Bores-García, D., Cano-de-la-Cuerda, R., Espada, M., Romero-Parra, N., Fernández-Vázquez, D., Delfa-De-La-Morena, J. M., Navarro-López, V., & Palacios-Ceña, D. (2024). Educational research on the use of virtual reality combined with a practice teaching style in physical education: A qualitative study from the perspective of researchers. *Education Sciences*, 14(3), 291.
4. Chakma, U., & Li, B. (2025). Ensuring trustworthiness using an inductive approach in qualitative educational research: An autoethnographic investigation of two early career researchers reflecting on PhD data analysis. *International Journal of Educational Methodology*, 11(2), 233–244.

5. Compen, B., Verstegen, D., Maussen, I., Hülsman, C., & Dolmans, D. (2025). Good practices for differentiated instruction in vocational education: The combined perspectives of educational researchers and teachers. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 29(6), 1017–1034.
6. Cretu, D. M., & Grosseck, G. (2025). A bibliometric analysis of Romanian educational research in Web of Science: Trends, challenges, and opportunities for global integration. *Education Sciences*, 15(3), 358.
7. Deroncele-Acosta, A., Jiménez-Chumacero, R. V., Gamarra-Mendoza, S., Brito-Garcías, J. G., Flores-Valdivieso, H. G., Velázquez-Tejeda, M. E., & Goñi-Cruz, F. F. (2023). Trends in Educational Research for Sustainable Development in Postgraduate Education Programs at a University in Peru. *Sustainability*, 15(6), 5449.
8. Hochmuth, R., Peters, J., Rønning, F., & Winsløw, C. (2025). Modelling mathematics for educational research and practice: A comparison of two theoretical approaches. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 118(2), 153–168.
9. Jaya, F. (2026). Digital skills and technology use in educational research for teacher training in distance education. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 27(1), 240–256.
10. Kieser, F., Wulff, P., Kuhn, J., & Küchemann, S. (2023). Educational data augmentation in physics education research using ChatGPT. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 19(2), 020150.
11. Opstoel, K., Buijs, E., van der Steen, J., Schenke, W., Admiraal, W., & Oolbekkink-Marchand, H. (2024). Interaction between educational research and practice: Collaboration, strategies and conditions. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 7, 100355.
12. Oxley, E., Nash, H. M., & Weighall, A. R. (2025). Consensus building using the Delphi method in educational research: A case study with educational professionals. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 48(1), 29–43.
13. Pinho, I., Costa, A. P., & Pinho, C. (2025). Generative AI governance model in educational research. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, 1594343.
14. Rahayu, N. I., Muktiarni, M., & Hidayat, Y. (2024). An application of statistical testing: A guide to basic parametric statistics in educational research using SPSS. *ASEAN Journal of Science and Engineering*, 4(3), 569–582.
15. Wyse, D., Brown, C., Oliver, S., & Poblete, X. (2021). Education research and educational practice: The qualities of a close relationship. *British Educational Research Journal*, 47(6), 1466–1489.
16. Yan, D., Prosser, H., & Bright, D. (2025). Speech-thinking and translation: Cultivating liminal spaces of speech and reality in educational research. *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, qhaf020.