



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Some Fixed Point Theorems In Ordered B-Metric Spaces With Auxiliary Functions

Rajesh Kumar Nagwanshee

Research Scholar

Institute For Excellence In Higher Education Bhopal

Dr. Alkesh Kumar Dhakde

Govt. College Bhainsdehi

Assistant Professor

Dr. M. S. Chauhan

Professor

Institute For Excellence In Higher Education Bhopal

Abstract

The main aim of these paper is to present some fixed point theorems for self mappings satisfying certain contraction which is involving an auxiliary function. Also, the results are obtained for the existence of a common fixed point and coincidence point for generalized and ordered complete b-metric space. Our results generalize and extend some well-known results existing in the literature.

Keywords : Ordered b-metric space, Rational type generalized (ϕ, ψ) contraction mapping, fixed point, complete metric space, weakly compatible mapping

Introduction Metric fixed point theory has been the centre of expansive research for several researchers. Fixed point theory has become an important tool for solving many non-linear problems related to science and engineering because of its applications. The Banach contraction Principle is one of the most versatile results in fixed point theory and approximation theory. It plays an important role in solving many existing problems in pure and applied mathematics. There is a vast literature dealing with technical extended generalization of Banach contraction Principle, see, for ex [2]. In recent times, fixed point of mappings in ordered metric spaces are of great use in many branches of mathematical analysis for solving non-linear equations. The first result in this direction was initiated by Walk [11] and later Monjardet [12] in partially ordered sets. Ram and Reurings [13] studied the existence of fixed points for certain mappings in partially ordered metric spaces and applied their results to matrix equations. The result of [13] was extended by Nieto et al. [2,3] for non-decreasing mappings and obtained the solutions of certain Partial differential equations with periodic boundary conditions. At the same time, the results regarding generalized contractions in ordered space were studied by O'Regan et al. [5]. There have been a lot of generalizations and improvements of the results for single valued and multivalued operators in various ordered spaces with topological properties. Some of which are in [18-32]. In [32], Dass and Gupta [32] proved the following fixed point result for rational contraction in a complete metric space.

Theorem 1.1: [32] Suppose (X, d) is a complete metric space, Let $S: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that there exist $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$ satisfying

$$d(Sx, Sy) \leq \alpha \frac{d(y, Sy)[1 + d(x, Sx)]}{1 + d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y)$$

For any distinct $x, y \in X$. Then S has a unique fixed point in X .

The generalization of above result in partially ordered metric space was given by Cabrera et al. [33] in 2013. Later, Chandok et al. [34] generalized the result of [33] by use of control functions in the space, again, Theorem 1.1 was generalized by Jaggi [35] in 1977 and proved the following Theorem 1.2 [35]. Suppose (X, d) is a complete metric space. A Self maps on X such that

$$d(Sx, Sy) \leq \alpha \frac{d(y, Sy)d(x, Sx)}{d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y)$$

For all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, where $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Then S has a unique fixed point in X .

This result was again proved by Harjani et al. [36] in a complete metric space endowed with partial order relation. Later the result of [36] was generalized by Luong et al. [37] involving altering distance functions which satisfies a weak contractive condition of rational type auxiliary functions in ordered metric space. There after, the result [37] was generalized and extended by Chandok et al. [38] in 2013 and obtained coupled, common fixed point results for weak contractive mapping in partially ordered metric space.

On the other hand, in 1989, Bakhtin [18] introduced the concept of b -metric space which is a generalization of metric space. Using the idea Many researcher like Czerwik [19, 20], Mehmatkir [M. kir, H. Kiziltunk, on Some well known fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces, Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number theory, 1(2013), 13-16]. Therefore, lot of improvements have been done in finding fixed points for single valued and multivalued operators in that space, the reader may refer to [21-29].

In this paper, We prove some results for fixed point and uniqueness for self mappings using generalized (\emptyset, φ) weak contraction in order complete b -metric space. Our results extends and generalizes the result of [38], [39] and several comparable results existing in the literature.

Example (1): (b -metric space) – let $X = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. We define a mapping

$$d(m, n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = n \\ \left| \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \right| & \text{if one of } m, n \text{ is even and the other is even or odd} \\ 5 & \text{if one of } m, n \text{ is even and the other is even or odd} \\ 2 & \text{otherwise } m = n \end{cases}$$

Then (X, d) is a b -metric space with coefficients $s = 5/2$.

Example(2): $X = \{4, 5, 6\}$ and $d(4, 5) = d(5, 4) = 1$, $d(4, 6) = d(6, 4) = 4$, $d(5, 6) = d(6, 5) = 2$ and $d(4, 4) = d(5, 5) = d(6, 6) = 0$.

And $d(x, z) = \frac{4}{3}[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then (X, d) is a b -metric space ($s = 4/3$) but (X, d) is not a metric space because it lacks the triangular property.

$$4 = d(4, 6) > d(4, 5) + d(5, 6) = 1 + 2 = 3$$

Preliminaries: We start this section with the following definitions and results which are frequently used in the main results.

Definition 1. A mapping $d: P \times P \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, where P is a non-empty set is said to be a b -metric space, if it satisfies the properties given below for any $v, \xi, \mu \in P$ and for some $s \geq 1$,

- (a) $d(v, \xi) = 0$ if $v = \xi$,
- (b) $d(v, \xi) = d(\xi, v)$,
- (c) $d(v, \xi) \leq s(d(v, \mu) + d(\mu, \xi))$,

Any then (P, d, s) is known as a b -metric space.

Definition 2: Let (P, d, s) be a metric space. Then

- (1) A sequence $\{v_n\}$ is said to converge to v if $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(v_n, v) = 0$ and written as $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} v_n = v$.
- (2) $\{v_n\}$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence in P , if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} d(v_n, v_m) = 0$.

Definition 3: If the metric d is complete then (P, d, \leq) is called a complete partially ordered metric Space.

Definition 4: A point $v \in A$, where $A \neq \emptyset$, a subset of (P, d) is called a common fixed point for two self-mappings f and S if $v = fv = Sv$ ($fv = Sv$).

Definition 5: Two self maps f and S defined over a sub-set of (P, d) are called commuting, if $fSv = Sf v$, for all $v \in A$.

Definition 6: A pair of self mapping (f, S) on $A \neq \emptyset$, a subset of P is called weakly compatible, if $Sf v = fSv$, when $Sv = fv$ for some $v \in A$.

Definition 7: let f and S be two self –mapping over (P, \leq) . then S is called monotone f non decreasing.

The concept of acquiring fixed point in metric space using control function was initiated by Khan et.al.

Lemma 1: let P be a non –empty set $f: P \rightarrow P$ be a mapping .Then there exists sub set E of P such that $fE = fP$ and $f: E \rightarrow P$ is one –to- one .

In 1975, Das and Gupta proved the following fixed point results in a complete metric space .

Theorem 1: Suppose (P, d) is a complete metric space . Let $S: P \rightarrow P$ be a mapping such there exist $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$ satisfying

$$d(Sv, S\xi) \leq \alpha \frac{d(\xi, S\xi)[1+d(v, Sv)]}{1+d(v, \xi)} + \beta d(v, \xi), \quad (1)$$

for any distinct $v, \xi \in P$, Then S has a unique fixed point in P .

The generalization of above result in partially ordered metric space was obtained by Cabrera et.al. in 2013 Later Chandok et.al. generalized the result of by use of control function in the space .

Again .Theorem 1 was generalization in 1977 and proved the following .

Theorem 2: Suppose (P, d) is a complete metric space .A self maps S on P such that

$$d(Sv, S\xi) \leq \alpha \frac{d(\xi, S\xi)[d(v, Sv)]}{d(v, \xi)} + \beta d(v, \xi) \quad (2)$$

$\forall v, \xi \in P$ with $v \neq \xi$, where $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Then has unique fixed point in P .

This result was again proved by Harjani et.al. in a complete metric space endowed with partial order relation .Later the result was generalized by Luong et.al. involving altering distance function which satisfies a weak contractive condition of, rational type auxiliary function in ordered metric space .

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, d, s, \leq) be a complete partially ordered b-metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$, let $s: X \rightarrow X$ be a continous non decreasing mapping with regards to \leq such that there exists $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \in sx_0$. Suppose that.

$$\phi(sd(sx, sy)) \leq \phi(M(x, y)) - \phi(M(x, y))$$

Where $\phi \in \Phi$, $\omega \in \Psi$ for only $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Sx), \frac{d(y, sy)[1+d(x, sx)]}{1+d(x, y)}, \frac{d(y, Sx)[1+d(x, sy)]}{1+d(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, y)[1+d(x, sx)+d(y, sx)]}{1+d(x, y)}\}$$

Then s has a fixed point in X .

Proof : If for some $x_0 \in X$ such that $Sx_0 = x_0$ then there is nothing to prove that .So we may assume that $x_0 < sx_0$, then construct a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ by $x_{n+1} = sx_n$ for $n \geq 0$

But s is non decreasing then , by mathematical induction , We get the following

$$x_0 \leq sx_0 = x_1 \leq sx_1 = x_2 \leq \dots \leq sx_{n+1} = x_n \leq sx_n = x_{n+1} \leq \dots \quad (3)$$

If for some $n_0 \in N$ such that $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$ then from (3) x_{n_0} is a fixed point of S and We have nothing to prove . Suppose that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ i.e. $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Since $x_n > x_{n+1}$ for any $n \geq 1$ and then by 1 , we have

$$\phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = \phi(sd(sx_{n-1}, sx_n)) \leq \phi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \phi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Where, } M(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, sx_{n-1}), d(x_n, sx_n), \frac{d(x_n, sx_n)[1+d(x_{n-1}, sx_{n-1})]}{1+d(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \\ &\quad , \frac{d(x_n, sx_{n-1})[1+d(x_{n-1}, sx_n)]}{1+d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)[1+d(x_{n-1}, sx_{n-1})+d(x_n, sx_{n-1})]}{1+d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}\} \\ &\quad \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \\ &\quad d(x_{n+1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Main Results:

Theorem 3.1 We begin this Section with the following theorem

$$\phi(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) - \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \quad (6)$$

$$< \phi(x_n, x_{n+1}) \quad (7)$$

Which is contradiction

This max $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for $n \geq 1$

Hence from (6) We have

$$\emptyset(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \emptyset(d(x_n, x_{n-1})) - \varphi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) < \emptyset(d(x_n, x_{n-1})) \quad (8)$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{d(x_n, x_{n-1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}$ for $n \geq 1$ is a monotone non decreasing and bounded from a result, We have.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = p \geq 0 \quad (9)$$

Now taking the upper limit on both side of (4), we obtain $\emptyset \leq 0$

Which is again a contraction Thus $P = 0$

$$\text{Hence } d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty \quad (10)$$

We now claim that $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchysequence in X , that is for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n \geq k$. Assume to the contrary that there exist $\epsilon > 0$ for which we can find subsequence $\{x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $n(k) > m(k) \geq k$, $m(k)$ is even and $n(k)$ is odd.

$$d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \epsilon \quad (11)$$

And $n(k)$ is the smallest number such that (11) holds,

$$\text{From (11), we get } d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) < \epsilon \quad (12)$$

$$\text{Using triangular inequality in (11), we get } \epsilon \leq d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \leq s d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + s(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + s^2 d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) + s^2 d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) + s d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) \quad (13)$$

Furthermore,

$$d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \leq s d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) + s d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) \leq s d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) + s \epsilon \quad (14)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$ in eq.(13) and (14) and combining together, we obtain the following inequality

$$\frac{\epsilon}{s^2} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \leq s \epsilon \quad (15)$$

Similarly, we can get the following inequality by, using triangular inequality

$$\frac{\epsilon}{s^2} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \inf d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \leq s \epsilon \quad (16)$$

$$\text{And } \frac{\epsilon}{s} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) \leq s^2 \epsilon \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Let } M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \\ & \max\{d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}), d(x_{m(k)-1}), s(x_{m(k)-1}), (dx_{n(k)-1}, sx_{n(k)-1}), \\ & \frac{d(x_{n(k)-1}, sx_{n(k)-1})[1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, sx_{m(k)-1})]}{1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})}, \\ & \frac{d(x_{n(k)-1}, sx_{m(k)-1})[1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, sx_{n(k)-1})]}{1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})}, \frac{d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})[1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, sx_{m(k)-1})+d(x_{n(k)-1}, sx_{m(k)-1})]}{1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})}\}, \\ & = \max\{d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}), d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}), d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}), \frac{d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)})[1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})]}{1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})}, \\ & \frac{d(x_{n(k)-1}, sx_{m(k)})[1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)})]}{1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})}, \frac{d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})[1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1})+d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})]}{1+d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})}\} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

From 18 we obtain the following inequalities

$$\frac{\epsilon}{s^2} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \leq s \epsilon \quad (19)$$

And

$$\frac{\epsilon}{s^2} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \inf M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \leq s \epsilon \quad (20)$$

From 3, we have $x_{m(k)-1} < x_{n(k)-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \emptyset(sd(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})) \leq \emptyset(sd(sx_{m(k)-1}, sx_{n(k)-1})) \\ & \leq \emptyset(M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})) - \varphi(M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Now letting $K \rightarrow +\infty$ and using (19), (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset(s \epsilon) & \leq \emptyset(s \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})) \\ & \leq \emptyset \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) - \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi \inf(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \\ & \leq \emptyset(s \epsilon) - \varphi \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \inf M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \\ & \leq \emptyset(s \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contraction. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and converge to some $x^* \in X$ as X is complete. Also, the continuity of s implies that

$$sx^* = s(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = x^*$$

Therefore x^* is a fixed point of s in X . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.2: In Theorem 3.1, if X has a property that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ implies that $x_n \leq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ i.e $x = \sup x_n$ then a non-continuous map S has a fixed point in X .

Proof: From Theorem 3.1, we take the same sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3 \dots \dots \dots \leq x_n \leq x_{n+1} \leq \dots \dots \dots$ i.e the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is nondecreasing and converges to some x in X . Thus, from the hypotheses we have $x_n \leq x$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $x = \sup$. Next, we prove that x is a fixed point of s in X i.e $sx = x$. Suppose that $sx \neq x$ i.e $d(sx, x) \neq 0$.

From (2)

$$M(x_n, x) = \max\left\{d(x_n, x), d(x_n, sx_n), d(x, sx), \frac{d(x, sx)[1+d(x_n, sx_n)]}{1+d(x_n, x)}, \frac{d(x, sx_n)[1+d(x_n, sx)]}{1+d(x_n, x)}, \frac{d(x_n, x)[1+d(x_n, sx_n)+d(x, sx_n)]}{1+d(x_n, x)}\right\}$$

$$= \max\left\{d(x_n, x), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x, sx), \frac{d(s, sx)[1+d(x_n, x_{n+1})]}{1+d(x_n, x)}, \frac{d(x, x_{n+1})[1+d(x_n, sx)]}{1+d(x_n, x)}, \frac{d((x_n, x)[1+d((x_n, x_{n+1})+(x, x_{n+1}))]}{1+d((x_n, x))}\right\}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and from $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M(x_n, x) = \max\{0, 0, d(x, sx), d(x, sx), 0, 0\} = d(x, sx) \quad (22)$$

we, know that $x_n \leq x$ for all n then from contractive condition (1), we get.

$$\phi(d(x_{n+1}sx)) = \phi(d(sx_n, sx)) \leq \phi(sd(sx_n, sx)) \leq \phi(M(x_n, x)) - \psi(M(x_n, x))$$

Letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality and using (22), we get

$$\phi(d(x, sx)) \leq \phi(d(x, sx)) - \psi(d(x, sx)) < \phi(d(x, sx))$$

a contradiction. Thus $sx = x$ i.e S has a fixed point x in X .

This completes the proof of the theorem.

The uniqueness of fixed point in theorem 3.1 and 3.2 can get, if P has the following property:

For any $x, y \in P$, there exists $x \in P$ such that $z \leq x$ and $z \leq y$.

Theorem 2.2: If P satisfies the above mentioned condition in theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 3.2) then S has a unique fixed point.

Proof : As in theorem 3.1 (or 3.2) we have proved that S has a fixed point. To prove the uniqueness suppose that x^* and y^* be two fixed points of S then we claim that $x^* = y^*$. Suppose that $x^* \neq y^*$, then from the hypotheses, we have

$$\phi(d(sx^*, sy^*)) \leq \phi(M(x^*, y^*)) - \psi(M(x^*, y^*)) \quad (23)$$

Where

$$M(x^*, y^*) = \left\{d(x^*, y^*), d(x^*, sx^*), d(y^*, sy^*), \frac{d(y^*, sy^*)[1+d(x^*, sx^*)]}{1+d(x^*, y^*)}, \frac{d(y^*, sx^*)[1+d(x^*, sy^*)]}{1+d(x^*, y^*)}, \frac{d(x^*, y^*)[1+d(x^*, sx^*)+d(y^*, sy^*)]}{1+d(x^*, y^*)}\right\}$$

$$= \max\{d(x^*, y^*), d(x^*, x^*), d(y^*, y^*), 0, d(x^*, y^*)\} = d(x^*, y^*)$$

Then by Eq. (23) $\phi(d(x^*, y^*)) = \phi(d(sx^*, sy^*)) \leq \phi(d(x^*, y^*)) - \psi(d(x^*, y^*)) < \phi(d(x^*, y^*))$

which is a contraction. Hence

$$x^* = y^*.$$

As a generalization of Theorem 2.1 and 2.2 of [38] and corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 of [39] we have the following results as corollaries.

Corollary 3.1 Let (p, d, s, \leq) be a partially order b- metric space with a parameter S . Suppose $S, f: p \rightarrow P$ are continuous mappings such that.

(C1) For some $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\phi \in \Phi$ with

$$\phi(sd(sx, sy)) \leq \phi(M_f(x, y)) - \psi(M_f(x, y)) \quad (24)$$

For any $x, y \in P$ such that $fx \leq fy$ and

$$Mf(x, y) = \max\left\{d(fx, fy), d(fx, sx), d(fy, sy), \frac{d(fy, sy)[1+d((fx, sy)]}{1+d(fx, fy)}, \frac{d(fy, sx)[1+d(fx, fy)]}{1+d(fx, fy)}, \frac{d(fx, fy)[1+d(fx, fy)+d(fy, sx)]}{1+d(fx, fy)}\right\} \quad (25)$$

(C2) $sp \subset fP$ and fP is a complete subspace of P .

(C3) s is a monotone f -nondecreasing mapping.

(C4) s and f are compatible.

If for some $x_0 \in P$ such that $fx_0 \leq sx_0$ then s and f have a coincidence point in P .

Proof: Using lemma 1, we obtain a complete subspace fE of P where $E \subset P$ and f is one-to-one self mapping on P . By corollary 2.1 of [39] we have a sequence $\{fx_n\} \subset fE$ for some $x_0 \in E$ with $fx_{n+1} = g(fx_n)$, for $n \geq 0$ where g is a self mapping on fE with $g(fx) = sv, x \in E$. Therefore, from the hypotheses.

We have

$$\phi(sd(g(fy))) \leq \phi(M_f(x, y)) - \psi(M_f(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in P$ with $fx \leq fy$ and

$$M_f(x, y) = \max\{d(fx, fy), d(fx, g(fx)), d(fy, g(fy)), \frac{d(fy, g(fy))[1+d(fx, g(fx))]}{1+d(fx, fy)}, \frac{d(fy, g(fx))[1+d(fx, g(fy))]}{1+d(fx, fy)}, \frac{d(fy, g(fx))[1+d(fx, g(fy))]}{1+d(fx, fy)}\},$$

From the same argument in theorem 3.1 $\{x_0\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and which converges to some $x \in fE$. Thus, by the compatibility of $s \in f$

We obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(sx_n), s(fx_n))$$

Furthermore, by using triangular inequality,

$$d(sx, fx) = sd(sx, s(fx_n)) + s^2 d(s(fx_n), f(sx_n)) + s^2 d(f(sx_n), fx)$$

Finally we arrive at the result $d(sx, fx) = 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality. There x is a coincidence point for s and f in P .

On replacing the condition, weakly compatible instead of (C4) in corollary 3.1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2 : If P has the property in corollary 3.1 instead of the compatibility for s and f that, for any non decreasing sequence $\{fx_n\} \subset P$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = fx$ implies that $fx_n \leq fx$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that is $fx = \sup fx_n$. Then s and f have a common fixed point in P , if for some coincidence point P of s and f with $fp \leq f(fp)$. furthermore, the set of common fixed point of s and f is well ordered if and only if s and f have one and only one common fixed point.

Proof : From corollary 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 it is obvious that S and f have a coincidence point in P , as $fp = g(fp) = Sp$ for some p in P .

Next, assume that a pair of mapping (S, f) is weakly compatible and let v be an element in P such that $v = sp = fp$. Then $Sv = S(fp) = fv$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} M(p, v) &= \max\{d(fp, fv), d(fp, Sp), d(fv, Sv), \frac{d((fv, Sv)[1+d(fp, Sp)]}{1+d(fp, fv)}, \frac{d(fv, sp)[1+d(fp, Sv)]}{1+d(fp, fv)}, \frac{d(fp, fv)[1+d(fp, sp)+d(fv, sp)]}{1+d(fp, fv)}\} \\ M(p, v) &= \max\{d(fp, fv), d(fp, Sp), d(fv, Sv), \frac{d((fv, Sv)[1+d(fp, Sp)]}{1+d(fp, fv)}, \frac{d(fv, sp)[1+d(fp, Sv)]}{1+d(fp, fv)}, \frac{d(fp, fv)[1+d(fp, sp)+d(fv, sp)]}{1+d(fp, fv)}\} \\ &= \max\{d(sp, sv), d(sp, sp), d(sv, sv), \frac{d(sv, sv)[1+d(sp, sp)]}{1+d(sp, sv)}, \frac{d(sv, sp)[1+d(sp, sv)]}{1+d(sp, sv)}, \frac{d(sp, sv)[1+d(sp, sp)+d(sv, sp)]}{1+d(sp, sv)}\} = \max\{d(sp, sv), 0, 0, 0, d(sv, sp), d(sp, sv)\} = d(sp, sv). \end{aligned}$$

Then from contractive condition, we have.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(sp, sv)) &\leq \phi(M(p, v)) - \psi(M(p, v)). \\ &\leq \phi(d(sp, sv)) - \psi(d(sp, sv)). \\ &\leq \phi(d(sp, sv)) \end{aligned}$$

a contraction. Hence we have $d(sp, sv) = 0$ by the property of ψ . Therefore $sv = fv = v$.

By theorem 3.3 we deduce that s and f have one and only one common fixed point if and only if the set of common fixed points of s and f is well ordered.

REFERENCES

1. Rao Seshagiri, N., kalyani k and Mitku B. Fixed point theorems nonlinear contractive mappings in ordered b-metric space with auxiliary function ,BMC Res. Notes,(2020)13:451.
2. Nieto J.J.andLopezR.R.,Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and application to ordinary differential equation ,Ordered 22(2005),223-239.
3. Nieto J.J. ,Existence and application of fixed point in partially ordered sets and application to ordinary differential equation ,Acta. Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.,23(12)(2007),2205-2212.
4. Nieto J.J., Pouso L. and Lopez R.R. Fixed point theorems in ordered abstract space ,Proc.Amer. Math.Soc.,135(2007), 2505-2517.
5. O'Regan D, Petrutel A. Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces. J Math Anal Appl. 2008;341:1241–52.
6. . Salujaa.s.,some fixed point theorems for $(\psi - \phi)$ –weak contraction mapping in partial metric spaces ,Maths.Maravica ,24(2)(2020),99-115
7. Kir M. and KiziltunkH.,on some well-known fixed point theorems b-metric space , Turkish J.Anal. Number Theory ,(2013),13-16.
8. Edelstein M,on fixed points and periodic points under cont. mapping ,J. Lond. Math.Soc.,97(1962),74-79.
9. Hardy G.C. and Rogers T., A generalization of fixed point theorem of S. Reich can.Math.Bull.,16(1973),201-206.
10. Jaggi Ds., some unique fixed point theorems ,Indian J. Pure A, maths 8(2)(1977), 223-230.
11. Walk E.S., Continuous convergence in partially ordered sets, Gen. Topd. Appl. , 5(1975), 221-234.
12. Monjardet B., Metric on partially oodered sets –a survey , Discrete .Math., 35(1981),173-184.
13. Ram ACM and ReuringsMCB ,A fixed point theorem in partially ordered set and some application to matrix equation , Proc. Amer. Math.Soc., 132(2004),1435-1443.
14. Kannan R., some results on fixed point –II ,Amer. Math. Monthly ,76(1969),71-76.
15. BanachS.Surles operation dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux.equation integrals, Fund.Math.,3(1922),133-18.
16. Chandok S., Narang T.D. and Taoudi M.A., some common fixed point theorems in ordered metric space for generalization contractions mappings satisfying rational type expressions ,J. Nonlinear funct.Anal.Appl.,17(2012),301-309.
17. ChandokS.,some common fixed point result for rational type contraction mapping in partially ordered metric spaces ,Math,Bohem.,138(2013),403-413.
18. BakhtinI.A.The contraction principle in quasimetricspaces.Func An UlianowskGos Fed Ins. 1989;30:26–37.
19. Czerwik S. Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces.Acta Math UnivOstrav. 1993;1:5–11.
20. Czerwik S. Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces.AttiSemin Mat FisUniv Modena. 1998;46(2):263–76.
21. Chandok ,S.,NarangT.D.andTaoudi M.A., some common fixed point result in partially ordered metric spaces for generalized rational type contraction mapping ,Vietnam J.Math., 41(2013),323-331.
22. ChatterjaeS.K.,fixed point theorem ,Rend. Acad. BulgareSci.25(1972),727-730.
23. A.S.Saluja ,khan M.S., Jhade P.K. and fisherB.,some fixed point theorems for mappings involving rational type expressions in partial metric spaces, Applied Math.E-Notes ,(2015),147-161.
24. Salujaa.s.,some fixed point theorems for $(\psi - \phi)$ –weak contraction mapping in partial metric spaces ,Maths.Maravica ,24(2)(2020),99-115.
25. Reich s., Some remark concerning contraction mapping ,can. Math.Bull.,14(1971),121-124.
26. Sharma P.L.andYuel A.K.,A unique fixed point theorem ,in metric space Bull.cal.Math.Soc.76(1984),153-156.
27. SinghM.R. and A.K. chatterjee , fixed point theorems ,common ,fac. Sci .univ.Ank. Series A1,37(1988),1-4.
28. Wong C.S. ,common fixed point of two mapping ,Pac. J. Math., 48(1973),299-312.

29. Graily E, Vaezpour SM, Saadati R, Cho YJ. Generalization of fixed point theorems in ordered metric spaces concerning generalized distance. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011;2011:30.
 30. Aghajani A, Arab R. Fixed points of (ψ, ϕ, θ) -contractive mappings in partially ordered b-metric spaces and application to quadratic integral equations. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013;2013(245):1–20.
 31. Czerwik S. Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. Atti Semin Mat Fis Univ Modena. 1998;46(2):263–76
 32. Dass BK, Gupta S. An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expressions. Indian J Pure Appl Math. 1975;6:1455–8.
 33. Cabrera I, Harjani J, Sadarangani K. A fixed point theorem for contractions of rational type in partially ordered metric spaces. Ann Univ Ferrara. 2013;59:251–8.
 34. Chandok S, Choudhury BS, Metiya N. Fixed point results in ordered metric spaces for rational type expressions with auxiliary functions. J Egypt Math Soc. 2015;23:95–101.
 35. Jaggi DS. Some unique fixed point theorems. Indian J Pure Appl Math. 1977;8:223–30.
 36. Harjani J, López B, Sadarangani K. A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational type on a partially ordered metric space. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2010;2010:1–8.
 37. Luong NV, Thuan NX. Fixed point theorem for generalized weak contractions satisfying rational expressions in ordered metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011;2011(46):1–10.
 38. Chandok S, Karapinar E. Common fixed point of generalized rational type contraction mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. Thai J. Math. 2013;11(2):251–60.
 39. Hieu NT, Dung NV. Some fixed point results for generalized rational type contraction mappings in partially ordered b-metric space. Facta Univ Ser Math Inform. 2015;30(1):49–66
- Khan MS, Swaleh M, Sessa S. Fixed points theorems by altering distances between the points. Bull Aust Math Soc. 1984;30:1–9.

