



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

## Rewriting Gender: A Feminist Critique Of AI In Literature And Media

Dhanyashree C.M

Associate Professor

Government First Grade College

Vijayanagara, Bangalore

### Abstract

The rapid rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in our books and screens isn't just a technology upgrade; it's a cultural shift that is fundamentally changing how stories are told. But as we hand the pen to algorithms, we have to ask: whose stories are they actually telling? This article explores a feminist critique of AI, arguing that these systems aren't neutral observers but are deeply influenced by the patriarchal biases baked into their code.

This paper takes a deep look at how AI isn't just a neutral tool, but a reflection of the people and systems that build it. It highlights how AI-generated stories and media can accidentally make gender inequality feel "normal" by repeating the same old stereotypes we've seen for decades.

The study points out a few key areas:

- Challenging "Digital Patriarchy": It examines how traditional power structures influence how technology is designed and what kind of knowledge it prioritizes.
- Feminist Interventions: There is a real opportunity to use feminist principles to guide AI development, ensuring it champions diversity instead of sidelining it.
- A Call for Responsibility: Ultimately, the goal is to move toward AI systems that are transparent and accountable, making sure the "creative" output of the future is rooted in equity and justice.

### Introduction:

The way we tell stories is changing faster than ever, the paper explores how Artificial Intelligence is right at the heart of that shift. AI has moved beyond just crunching numbers; it's now generating everything from poetry and digital media to full-scale stories, stepping into a creative world that used to be strictly human territory.

While it's exciting to think about the new ways we can create and share art, this shift brings some big questions to the table:

- Representation: Are the stories AI tells truly diverse, or just repeating the same old patterns?
- Authorship: What does it mean for "human" creativity when a machine is holding the pen?
- Power Dynamics: Who really controls the narrative when algorithms are doing the writing?

Artificial Intelligence is a world of new possibilities, but it also means we have to be much more careful about the ethical footprint these machines leave behind. In the context of "Rewriting Gender" a feminist perspective is more than just a critique; it's a vital tool for understanding how these new technologies actually work. For decades, feminist thinkers have been pulling back the curtain on how certain stories and systems of knowledge keep inequality alive while silencing diverse voices. When we look at AI through this lens it breaks down the idea that technology is a blank slate, arguing instead that AI acts more like a mirror of our society's existing flaws. By challenging the Myth of Neutrality, it forces us to realize that algorithms aren't naturally objective; they are human-made tools that carry our perspectives. This leads to the issue of Built-in Bias, where the very foundation of AI is often constructed within patriarchal structures that have sidelined certain voices for generations. This problem is compounded by the Training Data Problem, where AI learns by studying our past literature and media. Since that history is full of "historical mistakes" and stereotypes, the AI ends up absorbing these patterns and repeating them at an even larger scale.

This paper argues that AI in literature and media is not an objective or impartial tool but a socio-technical construct that often reproduces and amplifies existing gender biases. By examining AI through feminist and intersectional frameworks, the study highlights how these systems influence representation, reinforce digital patriarchy, and shape cultural narratives. At the same time, it explores the potential for developing more inclusive and ethically responsible AI systems.

### **Literature Review:**

Feminist thought has evolved from the foundational idea that gender is socially constructed rather than biologically determined. Simone de Beauvoir argued that social norms shape and restricts the roles available to women. This understanding was further developed by Judith Butler, who conceptualized gender as performative—produced through repeated social actions rather than rooted in any fixed identity. Building on this, intersectional theorists such as bell hooks emphasized that gender cannot be examined in isolation, as it intersects with race, class, and other identities to create complex and overlapping forms of marginalization.

In parallel, AI studies have examined the social and ethical implications of machine learning technologies. Scholars in this field argue that AI systems are not neutral but are shaped by the data on which they are trained and the assumptions embedded in their design. Research has shown that AI models often reproduce societal biases, including gender stereotypes, due to imbalances in training datasets and lack of diversity in development teams.

The intersection of feminist theory and AI studies has given rise to critical approaches that examine how technological systems perpetuate inequality. These approaches emphasize the need for ethical frameworks that address bias, promote inclusivity, and ensure accountability in AI development and deployment.

## Gender Bias in AI:

Gender bias in AI is more than just a technical glitch; it is one of the most pressing ethical challenges we face today. Because machine learning models learn by scouring massive amounts of existing text and online media, they essentially inherit our collective history—including our deeply ingrained cultural biases and stereotypes.

This creates a few major points of concern. AI often falls into old patterns, frequently linking women with domestic roles or emotional labor, while casting men in positions of leadership and technical authority. Unlike a human writer who can choose to challenge a stereotype, AI operates purely on statistical correlations. It doesn't know it's being biased; it's just following the most common patterns it found in its training data. When AI consistently pumps out these stereotypes, it makes gender inequality feel "normal," which can seriously slow down social progress. Solving this isn't just about writing better code. It requires us to look closely at the cultural and social environments where these tools are used and ensure we are actively pushing for more diverse and fair representations.

## Representation in Literature and Media

Representation has always been at the heart of feminist criticism and cultural studies, because the way people are portrayed shapes how they are understood in society. With the rise of AI-generated literature and media, this issue has become even more complex. AI is no longer just a tool—it actively participates in creating stories, characters, and images, influencing how identities are imagined and presented.

However, these representations are often limited. In many AI-generated texts, female characters still appear in predictable, stereotypical roles, lacking depth, independence, or individuality. Instead of reflecting the diverse and evolving realities of women's lives today, such portrayals tend to repeat familiar literary patterns. At the same time, non-binary and queer identities are often overlooked or inaccurately depicted, revealing clear gaps in the data used to train these systems.

What makes this more concerning is the sheer speed and scale at which AI can produce content. Unlike traditional writing, which takes time and reflection, AI can generate vast amounts of material almost instantly—spreading these limited representations more widely. This affects not just literature, but also film, advertising, and digital media.

From a feminist point of view, representation is never just about images or characters—it is about power. It raises important questions: Who is visible? Who is heard? And whose stories continue to be left out?

## Intersectional Analysis

To truly understand bias in AI systems, it is important to look at it through an intersectional lens. Intersectionality reminds us that people's experiences are never shaped by just one factor like gender alone. Instead, identities such as gender, race, caste, class, and sexuality overlap and interact, creating complex and layered forms of advantage or disadvantage.

AI systems, however, often struggle with this complexity. Because they rely on patterns in existing data, they tend to produce simplified or generalized representations. For instance, the way women are portrayed can vary widely across different cultural or social contexts, but AI may overlook these differences and present a more uniform, stereotypical image.

This becomes even more significant in the Indian context, where gender is deeply connected with caste, religion, and regional identity. Ignoring these intersections can lead AI systems to reproduce multiple layers of bias rather than just one.

Another issue is that much of the data used to train AI comes from Western sources. This can result in a kind of cultural flattening, where non-Western identities are either misrepresented or reduced to simplistic versions. Looking at AI through an intersectional perspective therefore highlights the urgent need for more diverse data and more culturally aware approaches to building these technologies.

## Ethical Implications

When we talk about the ethics of AI in our books and media, it's about much more than just a biased search result or a stereotypical character. It's about the "behind-the-scenes" rules that govern how these stories are made and who is responsible for them.

Here are the primary ethical hurdles we're facing:

- **The "Black Box" Problem:** Most AI systems lack transparency, meaning we often don't know exactly how they create content or what hidden biases were used to train them. This makes it incredibly hard to hold developers accountable for the output.
- **Rewriting the Norm:** When AI constantly pumps out stories featuring outdated gender roles, it doesn't just reflect society—it starts to shape it. Over time, this can normalize inequality and cement old-fashioned attitudes in our social structures.
- **The Consent Crisis:** AI models are built on massive amounts of human-made art and writing, often used without the original creators' permission. This raises serious red flags about intellectual property and the fair use of our shared cultural history.

A feminist approach to these issues doesn't just point out what's wrong; it offers a better way forward. It advocates for building systems that are intentionally inclusive, fair, and open about how they work, ensuring that technology serves everyone rather than just repeating the mistakes of the past.

## Conclusion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into literature and media marks a massive turning point in cultural production, offering exciting potential alongside significant ethical hurdles. Viewed through a feminist lens, it is clear that these systems are not neutral; they are deeply influenced by the social and cultural environments in which they are built. Research shows that AI often reflects and even worsens existing gender biases, reinforcing stereotypes and pushing diverse identities to the sidelines. To move forward, we must use an intersectional approach to understand how different identities—like race, class, and gender—overlap, while keeping ethical responsibility at the forefront. A hopeful solution lies in the development of "feminist AI," which involves designing systems from the ground up to prioritize inclusivity and diversity. This transition requires more than just better code; it calls for greater representation of women and marginalized groups in the tech industry and a stronger partnership between technologists and humanities scholars. Ultimately, the future of our stories depends on our ability to challenge these technologies, using a feminist critique to ensure AI helps build a cultural landscape that is genuinely fair and inclusive for everyone. AI doesn't "know" it's being biased; it simply follows **statistical correlations**. If 90% of the historical text it read depicts nurses as women, it concludes that "nurse" is a feminine concept. Unlike human authors, AI lacks the **critical awareness** to intentionally subvert these stereotypes unless specifically programmed and trained on diverse, intersectional datasets.

## Works Cited

- Balestri, Roberta. "Gender and Content Bias in Large Language Models: A Case Study on Google Gemini 2.0 Flash Experimental." *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 8, 2025, 1558696, <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2025.1558696>.
- Broussard, Meredith. *More than a Glitch: Confronting Race, Gender, and Ability Bias in Tech*. MIT Press, 2023.
- Buolamwini, Joy. *Unmasking AI: My Mission to Protect What is Human in a World of Machines*. Random House, 2023.
- D'Ignazio, Catherine, and Lauren F. Klein. *Data Feminism*. MIT Press, 2020.
- "Gender Bias in AI: Risks and Opportunities for Latin America and the Caribbean." *United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)*, Oct. 2025, [https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2025-10/undp-rblac-wp\\_45\\_rhdr\\_gender\\_bias\\_in\\_ai.pdf](https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2025-10/undp-rblac-wp_45_rhdr_gender_bias_in_ai.pdf).
- IndiaAI and UN Women. *Casebook on AI and Gender Empowerment*. Government of India, Feb. 2026.
- Nadeem, Ahmad, et al. "Gender Bias in AI-based Decision-making Systems: A Systematic Literature Review." *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*, vol. 26, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.3127/ajis.v26i0.3835>.
- Newstead, Terrence, et al. "ChatGPT's Responses and Male Leadership Archetypes." *Institutional Knowledge at SMU*, 2023.
- Noble, Safiya Umoja. *Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism*. New York UP, 2018.
- Rahmatullah, R., and S. Gupta. "AI Gendered Algorithms and Cybernetic Feminism." *Atlantis Press*, 2023.
- Ricaurte, Paola, and M. Zasso. "A Decolonial Feminist Approach to AI Law." *Cambridge University Press*, 2023.
- Toupin, S. "Feminist Approaches to AI in Healthcare and Recruitment." *Atlantis Press*, 2023.
- UNESCO. "Challenging Systematic Bias in Large Language Models." *UNESCO Digital Library*, 2024.
- Ahmed, Sara. *The Cultural Politics of Emotion*. Edinburgh University Press, 2004.
- Benjamin, Ruha. *Race After Technology: Abolitionist Tools for the New Jim Code*. Polity Press, 2019.
- Broussard, Meredith. *Artificial Unintelligence: How Computers Misunderstand the World*. MIT Press, 2018.
- Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.
- Crawford, Kate. *Atlas of AI: Power, Politics, and the Planetary Costs of Artificial Intelligence*. Yale University Press, 2021.

- Crenshaw, Kimberlé. "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color." *Stanford Law Review*, vol. 43, no. 6, 1991, pp. 1241–1299.
- D'Ignazio, Catherine, and Lauren F. Klein. *Data Feminism*. MIT Press, 2020.
- de Beauvoir, Simone. *The Second Sex*. Translated by Constance Borde and Sheila Malovany-Chevallier, Vintage Books, 2011.
- Hall, Stuart, editor. *Representation: Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices*. Sage Publications, 1997.
- hooks, bell. *Ain't I a Woman? Black Women and Feminism*. South End Press, 1981.
- hooks, bell. *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center*. South End Press, 1984.
- Kumar, Radha. *The History of Doing: An Illustrated Account of Movements for Women's Rights and Feminism in India 1800–1990*. Zubaan, 1993.
- Noble, Safiya Umoja. *Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism*. NYU Press, 2018.
- O'Neil, Cathy. *Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy*. Crown Publishing Group, 2016.
- Phadke, Shilpa, Sameera Khan, and Shilpa Ranade. *Why Loiter? Women and Risk on Mumbai Streets*. Penguin Books India, 2011.
- Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271–313.

