**JCRT.ORG** 

ISSN: 2320-2882



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE **RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)**

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

# **Reclaiming Tribal Narratives: Subaltern Voices** And Cultural Resistance In Narayan's **Kocharethi: The Araya Woman**

Sakshi Sanjay Patil MA English, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

**Abstract**: This paper explores the representation of subaltern identities, cultural narratives, and systemic oppression in Narayan's \*Kocharethi: The Araya Woman\*, the first tribal novel in Malayalam literature. Through a postcolonial and Dalit literary lens, the study examines how the novel articulates the lived realities of the Malayarayar tribe, particularly through the life of the protagonist Kunjipennu. Drawing upon theoretical insights from Edward Said, Homi Bhabha, Gayatri Spivak, and Jyotirao Phule, this paper investigates how \*Kocharethi\* functions as both a personal and collective narrative of resistance. By addressing land dispossession, gendered oppression, and cultural erasure, the novel provides a platform for indigenous voices long silenced in mainstream discourse. The paper concludes that Narayan's work is a seminal contribution to postcolonial and Dalit aesthetics, challenging dominant histories and asserting the agency of marginalized communities.

Index Terms - Marginalization, Subaltern Studies, Dalit Literature, Tribal Identity, Postcolonial Theory, Gender, Land Dispossession, Kocharethi.

#### Introduction

Narayan's \*Kocharethi: The Araya Woman\*, translated by Catherine Thankamma, is a landmark work that brings the lived experiences of Kerala's Malayarayar tribe to the forefront. As a narrative rooted in the intersection of caste, gender, colonialism, and indigeneity, the novel offers a nuanced portrayal of marginalized existence in both colonial and postcolonial contexts. This paper seeks to analyze the novel through key questions regarding oppression, cultural survival, and resistance, while grounding the discussion in relevant theoretical frameworks.

#### **Theoretical Framework**

Edward Said's concept of Orientalism provides insight into how indigenous communities have historically been misrepresented. Homi Bhabha's notion of hybridity and the "third space" sheds light on the evolving identities within tribal societies. Gayatri Spivak's concept of subalternity is crucial to understanding Kunjipennu's dual marginalization as a tribal woman. Jyotirao Phule's anti-caste writings contribute to the understanding of caste-based exclusions that persist in postcolonial India. Sharankumar Limbale's Dalit aesthetics underscores the importance of authentic self-representation in literature produced by and for the marginalized.

**Analysis** 

Kunjipennu's life offers a vivid portrayal of what it means to live at the intersection of caste, gender, and tribal identity. Her character reflects the harsh realities that indigenous women face—those who are not only marginalized by the outside world but are often silenced within their communities as well. She becomes a symbol of the subaltern woman described by Gayatri Spivak: unable to access traditional forms of power, yet resisting through everyday acts of defiance.

The theme of land dispossession emerges as a critical dimension of both economic survival and cultural erosion. The forest is not simply a source of livelihood for the Malayarayar community—it is the heart of their spiritual and communal life. This bond is systematically broken by the imposition of colonial forestry laws and the indifference of postcolonial bureaucracies. The loss of land means not only physical displacement but also spiritual and cultural uprooting.

Homi Bhabha's concept of hybridity becomes particularly relevant when considering the generational shifts that occur within the Malayarayar community. As younger characters seek education and employment beyond the forest, they find themselves caught in a liminal space. This hybridity results in identity fragmentation but also creates the potential for cultural negotiation and resistance.

The use of folklore, oral tradition, and indigenous spirituality in the novel is an act of cultural reclamation. Narayan embeds tribal myths, rituals, and storytelling within the narrative to foreground a knowledge system that is often disregarded by mainstream literature. Kunjipennu's internal monologues and her efforts to pass on cultural values to her children are powerful reminders of the role women play in preserving and transmitting cultural memory.

Narayan's narrative is not merely descriptive; it is deeply political. By choosing to tell the story of the Malayarayar tribe from an insider's perspective, he challenges both colonial historiography and dominantcaste literary traditions. The subaltern in \*Kocharethi\* does not remain silent; instead, the novel creates space for tribal voices to emerge with complexity and dignity.

#### **Discussion**

The findings demonstrate that \*Kocharethi\* transcends its regional setting to speak to broader themes in postcolonial and Dalit literature. It critiques systemic oppression while highlighting the agency and resilience of the marginalized. Narayan's depiction of caste, colonialism, and gendered exploitation is nuanced and unflinching. His use of an indigenous voice to narrate a story of both suffering and resistance complicates the binaries of victim and oppressor, tradition and modernity, subjugation and agency.

### Conclusion

Narayan's \*Kocharethi\* is a crucial intervention in Indian literature. It gives space to the historically silenced voices of tribal communities and challenges hegemonic narratives through its portrayal of intersectional oppression. Through Kunjipennu's story and the cultural landscape of the Malayarayar tribe, the novel exemplifies the potential of literature to reclaim erased histories, critique entrenched power structures, and inspire cultural pride and political consciousness among marginalized communities.

Acknowledgment

The author thanks Professor Prayeen Lulekar for his valuable guidance in shaping the ideas and methodology of this paper.

## References

- [1] Bhabha, Homi K. \*The Location of Culture\*. Routledge, 2004.
- [2] Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" \*Colonial Discourse and Post-Colonial Theory: A Reader\*, edited by Patrick Williams and Laura Chrisman, Columbia UP, 1994, pp. 271-313.
- [3] Narayan. \*Kocharethi: The Araya Woman\*. Translated by Catherine Thankamma, Oxford UP, 2012.
- [4] Limbale, Sharankumar. \*Towards an Aesthetic of Dalit Literature\*. Translated by Alok Mukherjee, Orient Longman, 2004.
- [5] Devy, G. N., editor. \*Painted Words: An Anthology of Tribal Literature\*. Purva Prakash, 2012.
- [6] Benny, Benal. "The Voice of the Unheard: A Study on the Tribal Struggle in the Novel Kocharethi." 2020.