IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Documenting Oral Histories Of Partition 1947 And Their Literary Reflections In Literature

Shahjadi[1], Dr. Monika Gupta Aggarwal[2]

[1] Research Scholar, Department of English, School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Dehradun Uttarakhand

[2] Assistant Professor, Department of English, School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Dehradun Uttarakhand

Abstract

This paper explores the intersection of oral histories and literary representations of the 1947 Partition of India, focusing on how survivor testimonies enrich, complicate, and deepen our understanding of this traumatic event beyond conventional historical and fictional narratives. Drawing upon a series of original interviews conducted with Partition survivors now residing in Dehradun Uttarakhand, the study identifies key themes such as displacement and loss, gendered violence, fractured communal relations, struggles of resettlement, and resilience. These lived accounts are examined alongside prominent Partition literature including *Train to Pakistan* by Khushwant Singh, *Basti* by Intizar Husain, and *Azadi* by Chaman Nahal to highlight how fiction reflects and refracts real historical trauma. These dual narratives, factual and fictional, create a more holistic portrayal of Partition's impact, revealing complexities often flattened in political or historical discourse. The study argues that oral histories not only validate literary depictions but also challenge and nuance them by introducing real-world contradictions and moral ambiguities. Furthermore, the paper emphasizes the continuing relevance of these narratives in understanding communal relations and forced migration in contemporary contexts. As the global refugee crisis and identity-based conflicts persist, the testimonies of Partition survivors act as powerful reminders of both human fragility and resilience.

Keywords:- Literature, Oral history, Partition of India, Refugee

Introduction

The 1947 Partition was one of the most defining and traumatic events in modern South Asian history, resulting in the creation of India and Pakistan and displacing nearly 1.5 million people. It unleashed violence of unprecedented magnitude, with estimates of nearly one to two million deaths and countless cases of abduction, rape, and forced conversions (Talbot & Singh, 2009). For many, Partition was not only a geopolitical event but a deeply personal rupture that dismantled families, fractured communities, and compelled survivors to rebuild lives amidst uncertainty and loss. While political histories and official records narrate Partition through treaties, political negotiations, and census figures, they often fail to capture the human dimensions of trauma and resilience.

In recent decades, oral histories have emerged as an indispensable method of recovering these silenced voices. Scholars such as Urvashi Butalia, Ritu Menon and Kamla Bhasin, and Aanchal Malhotra have emphasized how survivor testimonies open spaces for marginalized experiences, particularly of women, children, and subaltern communities, that mainstream historiography tends to exclude. Oral narratives do not merely recount facts but embody memory, emotion, and moral ambiguity, offering a "history from below" that complicates dominant narratives of Partition. As Butalia (1998) argues in *The Other Side of Silence* (1998), these personal accounts not only record violence and displacement but also preserve stories of survival, resilience, and unexpected solidarity across communal lines. (Butaliya 45)

Simultaneously, literature has played a crucial role in representing and interpreting the experience of Partition. Fictional works such as Khushwant Singh's *Train to Pakistan* (1956), Intizar Husain's *Basti* (1979), and Chaman Nahal's *Azadi* (1975) provide narrative frameworks that transform historical trauma into art, exploring the psychological, ethical, and existential crises generated by Partition. Literature does not replicate history but refracts it, dramatizing silences and ambiguities that factual accounts often flatten. As Alok Bhalla notes in *Stories About the Partition of India* (1994), literary texts make visible the moral dilemmas, ruptured identities, and fractured memories that are intrinsic to Partition's legacy.

This paper situates itself at the intersection of oral history and literature, drawing on fieldwork conducted in Dehradun, Uttarakhand, where Partition survivors continue to reside. Testimonies of survivors such as Girdhari Lal Pahwa (migrated from Quetta, Baluchistan), Hari Singh (from Gujranwala, Punjab), and Manoj Singh (from Faisalabad, Punjab) provide insights into displacement, loss, gendered violence, fractured communal relations, and resilience. When examined alongside Partition fiction, these narratives create a dialogic space where lived memory and literary imagination converge. Survivor testimonies validate certain literary depictions, such as the haunting imagery of death trains in Train to Pakistan, while also complicating them by foregrounding experiences of solidarity, adaptation, and survival often overlooked in fictionalized accounts.

By engaging oral testimonies with literary texts, this study argues for a more holistic understanding of Partition, one that acknowledges the complexities and contradictions inherent in human experiences of

trauma. In doing so, the paper contributes to broader discussions on memory, migration, and identity, situating Partition not only as a South Asian historical event but also as part of a global history of forced displacement. The continuing relevance of these narratives is evident in contemporary contexts marked by refugee crises and communal tensions, where Partition testimonies act as urgent reminders of both human fragility and resilience.

This study employs a qualitative methodology that combines oral history collection with literary analysis. Primary data was gathered through fieldwork conducted in Dehradun, Uttarakhand, where survivors of the 1947 Partition now reside. Three in-depth interviews were carried out with survivors, Girdhari Lal Pahwa (originally from Quetta), Hari Singh (from Gujranwala), and Manoj Singh (from Faisalabad), between October 14 and October 16, 2024. These interviews were semi-structured, allowing for open-ended responses in order to capture not only factual details but also emotional resonances, silences, and reflections shaped by memory. Ethical considerations were observed by approaching each participant with sensitivity, recognizing the traumatic nature of the subject matter, and ensuring informed consent.

The testimonies were analyzed thematically, focusing on recurrent motifs such as displacement, violence, gendered vulnerability, resettlement struggles, and resilience. These oral histories were then placed in dialogue with Partition fiction, Khushwant Singh's *Train to Pakistan* (1956), Intizar Husain's *Basti* (1979), and Chaman Nahal's *Azadi* (1975). A comparative framework was adopted to examine how survivor accounts validate, complicate, or challenge the literary imagination of Partition. While literature often provides symbolic or metaphorical renderings of trauma, oral testimonies contribute concrete, lived experiences that resist simplification. By bringing together oral history and literary analysis, this study aims to bridge the gap between lived memory and fictional representation, thereby constructing a more holistic account of Partition. The dual approach acknowledges both the subjective richness of survivor testimony and the interpretive power of literary narrative, situating Partition at the intersection of history, memory, and art.

Survivor Testimonies: Themes from Dehradun Interviews

The oral histories collected from Partition survivors in Dehradun, namely Girdhari Lal Pahwa, Hari Singh, and Manoj Singh, offer profound insights into the lived realities of displacement, violence, and resettlement. While each testimony is distinct, recurring themes emerge that illuminate both the shared and divergent experiences of refugees. These narratives not only echo motifs commonly found in Partition literature but also introduce complexities and nuances that expand our understanding of 1947.

Displacement emerges as the central motif across all three interviews. Survivors consistently recall the suddenness of uprooting and the impossibility of returning to their ancestral homes. Girdhari Lal Pahwa, originally from Quetta, Baluchistan, recounted how his family left behind their home and his father's small shop when violence escalated. Carrying only a few clothes and some cash, they journeyed first to Haridwar and eventually to Dehradun, where they began the arduous task of rebuilding their lives. Hari

Singh described his family's escape from Gujranwala under threat of rioters. Their survival was possible only due to the intervention of a Muslim neighbor, Chirag Teli, who sheltered them under his bed and diverted attackers at great personal risk. The family then traveled to Amritsar and Jalandhar before settling in Dehradun. Manoj Singh, who migrated from Faisalabad (then Lyallpur), remembered leaving behind substantial property. His family joined a convoy of nearly 500 people, but by the time they crossed into India, only about half had survived, as the group suffered repeated attacks during the journey. These stories reflect displacement not merely as a physical act of migration but as an existential rupture, the severing of ties to home, land, and community, followed by the struggle to reconstitute belonging in unfamiliar surroundings.

Partition was inseparable from violence, and the survivors' accounts testify to the brutality and precariousness of survival. Manoj Singh narrated harrowing encounters with poisoned food offered during the journey, which caused many deaths in his convoy. He described how the group dwindled from 500 to barely 250–300 due to attacks and deceit along the way. Hari Singh recalled the unforgettable sight of a train filled with mutilated bodies of Hindus and Sikhs, which he saw while fleeing near Lahore. This image of the "death trains" mirrors one of the most haunting symbols of Partition's violence, immortalized in Khushwant Singh's Train to Pakistan. All three testimonies underscore the pervasive fear surrounding women. Girdhari Lal Pahwa recalled the "miserable condition" of women, who faced abductions, rapes, and murders. Manoj Singh recounted instances of girls choosing suicide by jumping into wells to preserve their honor. Such memories foreground the duality of Partition survival, life was preserved, but at the cost of constant exposure to violence, fear, and trauma.

Arrival in India did not mark the end of hardship but the beginning of new struggles of survival and adaptation. Refugee camps were sites of suffering. Manoj Singh remembered the scarcity of food, lack of proper sleeping arrangements, and overall misery in the camps of Amritsar. Survivors often engaged in precarious forms of labor to sustain themselves. Hari Singh described gathering wood, working odd jobs, and even selling gold under desperate circumstances to pay for his father's medical treatment. His family initially lived in cramped conditions before securing a modest home in Dehradun. Similarly, Girdhari Lal Pahwa's family lived in land repurposed from prisoner-of-war camps, gradually stabilizing their lives through his father's and brother's employment. Over time, refugees established permanent homes and integrated into Dehradun society. Their stories reveal resilience in the face of economic hardship and highlight the collective efforts of communities to rebuild from nothing.

One of the most difficult yet recurring themes is the vulnerability of women during Partition. Survivors repeatedly mention the prevalence of abductions, rapes, and murders of women. Manoj Singh recalled how many women, facing the threat of violation, took their own lives, often by drowning in wells, to escape dishonor. Girdhari Lal emphasized that women were particularly unsafe, describing the climate of pervasive fear that surrounded them during migration. Yet, these references are brief and often framed with silences, reflecting cultural taboos and the reluctance to publicly articulate women's suffering. This

echoes broader scholarly findings that women's experiences of Partition often remain underrepresented in oral history archives (Menon & Bhasin, 1998). Thus, testimonies reveal not only the violence endured by women but also the cultural silences that continue to obscure their stories.

Amidst displacement and violence, survivor testimonies also foreground resilience and moments of unexpected solidarity. Hari Singh's account of being saved by his Muslim neighbor challenges monolithic narratives of communal hostility, reminding us of enduring bonds of trust even in times of crisis. Girdhari Lal recalled how refugees in Dehradun supported one another through shared struggles, creating a sense of unity and belonging in a time of collective adversity. Over the decades, survivors developed deep attachments to their new homes. Both Girdhari Lal and Hari Singh explicitly stated that they had no desire to return to Pakistan, affirming India as their permanent homeland. These testimonies underscore that the story of Partition is not only one of loss and trauma but also of adaptation, resilience, and the forging of new identities and communities in post-Partition India.

Literary Reflections of Partition

Partition has been a recurring subject in South Asian literature, where writers attempt to grapple with the enormity of loss, displacement, and communal rupture. Fiction does not aim to reproduce history but rather to reimagine it through symbolic and affective registers. As Gyanendra Pandey in *Remembering Partition* (2001) notes, literature provides access to the "fragmented, contradictory, and deeply emotional" dimensions of Partition often absent in official histories. (Pandey 78) When placed alongside oral testimonies, these literary works offer both points of convergence and divergence, validating survivor experiences while also refracting them through the lens of imagination and narrative art.

Khushwant Singh's *Train to Pakistan* (1956) remains one of the earliest and most influential fictional accounts of Partition, centering on the fictional village of Mano Majra in Punjab. The novel foregrounds trains as both literal and symbolic carriers of Partition's horrors. Singh's narrative repeatedly emphasizes the arrival of trains filled with corpses, transforming the railway into a site of death and despair. This fictional representation closely parallels Hari Singh's oral testimony, where he vividly recalls witnessing a train from Pakistan packed with the mutilated bodies of Hindus and Sikhs. The haunting imagery, "blood everywhere, only blood", echoes Singh's fictional dramatization, suggesting that the "death train" was not only a literary trope but also a lived memory for countless survivors. At the same time, *Train to Pakistan* dramatizes the violence through characters such as Juggut Singh and Iqbal, foregrounding moral dilemmas and heroic sacrifice, whereas oral testimonies often remain stark and matter-of-fact in their recounting. The contrast underscores the difference between literary representation, which seeks symbolic closure, and survivor memory, which resists narrative resolution.

Intizar Husain's *Basti* (1979) explores the psychological aftermath of Partition through the experiences of Zakir, who witnesses the collapse of communal harmony and the disintegration of familiar worlds. The novel is less concerned with physical violence than with the slow erosion of trust, the nostalgia for lost

homes, and the fractured identities of those uprooted by Partition. This literary focus finds resonance in the Dehradun testimonies, where survivors like Girdhari Lal Pahwa and Hari Singh recall how relations with Muslim neighbors shifted "overnight" after Partition was announced. Both speak of harmonious coexistence in their hometowns, Quetta and Gujranwala, where Hindus, Muslims, and Sikhs lived in mutual support. Yet, as Partition approached, those bonds were severed, leaving behind fear and betrayal. Husain's evocation of nostalgia and the haunting sense of rupture is mirrored in these survivor accounts, which oscillate between fond recollections of pre-Partition unity and painful memories of its dissolution. The convergence suggests that both oral testimony and fiction recognize Partition not only as a geographical division but as a profound psychological and cultural fracture.

Chaman Nahal's *Azadi* (1975) provides an expansive fictional account of Partition through the perspective of Lala Kanshi Ram and his family in Sialkot. The novel highlights themes of displacement, resettlement, and resilience, charting the journey from loss of home and livelihood to eventual adaptation in new circumstances. Nahal emphasizes both the devastation of forced migration and the courage required to rebuild life after exile. These themes resonate strongly with the testimonies of survivors in Dehradun. Manoj Singh's account of leaving behind extensive property in Faisalabad and enduring the hardships of refugee campsechoes the fictional struggles of Kanshi Ram's family. Similarly, Hari Singh's narrative of economic difficulties, working as a child laborer to support his family, mirrors the novel's portrayal of the sacrifices refugees made in order to survive. Girdhari Lal Pahwa's story of securing land in Premnagar and gradually transforming it into a permanent homealso parallels the fictional emphasis on resilience and adaptation. In both literature and testimony, displacement is not the end of the story but the beginning of an arduous process of reconstruction.

Taken together, these literary texts and survivor testimonies create a dialogic interplay between fiction and memory. *Train to Pakistan* validates lived experiences of massacre and trains of death; *Basti* mirrors the emotional rupture and nostalgia articulated in oral histories; and *Azadi* resonates with the themes of resettlement and resilience found in refugee accounts. At the same time, the divergences, fiction's tendency toward symbolic closure versus oral history's open-ended ambiguity, remind us that literature and testimony, though distinct, complement one another in constructing a fuller picture of Partition.

Intersections: Oral Histories and Fiction

The juxtaposition of survivor testimonies with Partition literature reveals both points of convergence and divergence, demonstrating how fiction and oral history together construct a fuller understanding of the event. While literature offers symbolic and emotional dimensions, oral histories provide grounded, lived details; when read together, they enrich and complicate one another. Partition fiction often echoes motifs and experiences vividly preserved in oral testimonies. Khushwant Singh's *Train to Pakistan* dramatizes the infamous "trains of death" that carried corpses across the newly drawn borders, a motif powerfully validated by Hari Singh's memory of witnessing a train filled with mutilated bodies. Similarly, Chaman Nahal's *Azadi* foregrounds the material and emotional upheavals of displacement, reflecting the lived

struggles of survivors like Manoj Singh, who left behind extensive property in Faisalabad and endured the hardships of refugee camps, and Girdhari Lal Pahwa, who rebuilt his life on land allotted in Dehradun. In these cases, fiction and oral history resonate closely, underscoring the shared realities of migration, violence, and resettlement.

At the same time, survivor testimonies complicate fictional representations by introducing experiences often underrepresented in literature. For instance, Hari Singh's account of being saved by a Muslim neighbor, Chirag Teli, challenges the dominant fictional emphasis on communal antagonism. While novels such as Train to Pakistan and Azadi portray Muslim characters with varying degrees of sympathy, the depth of intercommunal solidarity that Singh recounts, marked by extraordinary acts of bravery and lifelong gratitude, rarely receives sustained literary attention. Oral histories thus expand the narrative field, reminding us that Partition was not solely a story of violence but also one of ethical choices and human compassion.

Oral histories also foreground the moral ambiguities of Partition in ways that literature sometimes resolves symbolically. Survivors often oscillate between grief for what was lost and gratitude for the chance to rebuild; between anger at betrayal and appreciation for acts of kindness. Hari Singh's enduring bond with the Muslim family who saved him exemplifies such contradictions, resisting neat binaries of victim and perpetrator. Similarly, Pahwa's reflections on unity among refugees in Dehradun suggest that suffering fostered new solidarities, complicating narratives that reduce Partition solely to communal fracture. Fiction, by contrast, often structures events around closure, catharsis, or tragedy, whereas oral histories preserve open-ended ambiguities that resist resolution.

Thus, fiction and oral history perform complementary roles in documenting Partition. Literature provides symbolic and emotional depth, enabling readers to imaginatively inhabit the trauma of Partition and grapple with its ethical dilemmas. Oral histories, on the other hand, supply concrete details and lived specificity, grounding abstract narratives in the everyday struggles of ordinary people. When placed in dialogue, they create a richer, multi-layered archive: literature captures the affective truth of Partition, while oral testimony insists on the lived reality of those who endured it.

Contemporary Relevance

Though the Partition of India occurred more than seven decades ago, its memory continues to shape contemporary political and social landscapes, both within South Asia and globally. The oral histories and literary narratives discussed in this study are not simply recollections of a distant past but function as living histories that resonate with ongoing questions of identity, belonging, and displacement. In India, the legacy of Partition is deeply embedded in present-day communal dynamics. Partition not only redrew territorial boundaries but also entrenched religious divisions that continue to fuel sectarian tensions. Survivor testimonies from Dehradun, particularly those that recall harmonious coexistence with Muslim neighbors before Partition and unexpected solidarity during the violence, serve as reminders of a more

complex social fabric than the binary narratives of hate often suggest. Hari Singh's gratitude toward a Muslim man who saved his family and Girdhari Lal Pahwa's reflections on unity among refugees challenge the reduction of Partition to communal antagonism alone. These accounts underscore the ethical imperative of preserving such memories, especially in times when communal polarization resurfaces in political rhetoric and public discourse.

Beyond the subcontinent, Partition narratives bear striking relevance to contemporary global refugee and displacement crises. From Syria to Sudan, Afghanistan to Myanmar, millions today face forced migration due to war, ethnic violence, or state policies. The themes emerging from the Dehradun interviews, sudden displacement, the trauma of journeying under threat, the instability of refugee camps, and the long-term struggle to rebuild life, echo the lived realities of modern-day refugees. Manoj Singh's description of a convoy being decimated by violence and his family's struggle in a refugee camp could easily parallel stories from current war-torn regions. These parallels remind us that the experiences of Partition survivors are not isolated historical phenomena, but part of a continuing global pattern of forced migration and humanitarian crisis.

At the heart of these narratives, both oral and literary, is a testament to human resilience. Despite immense loss, survivors not only endured but also rebuilt their lives, established new communities, and redefined their identities in unfamiliar surroundings. Their stories are imbued with lessons of coexistence, adaptability, and mutual support. In a world increasingly marked by nationalism, exclusionary identity politics, and fear of the "other," these lessons remain vitally important. The Partition testimonies affirm that survival was often possible not through isolation, but through collaboration, sometimes even across religious or ethnic lines.

Furthermore, by preserving these memories through interviews and literature, we resist historical amnesia and create space for intergenerational dialogue. Younger generations, often distanced from Partition's immediacy, can engage with its legacies through such narratives, fostering empathy and critical understanding.

Conclusion

The Partition remains one of the most defining ruptures in South Asian history, but its meanings cannot be exhausted by political treaties, census figures, or territorial maps. As the oral testimonies of survivors in Dehradun demonstrate, Partition was lived not in the abstractions of policy but in the intimate experiences of loss, fear, displacement, and resilience. Oral histories thus deepen our understanding of Partition beyond conventional political and historical narratives, offering textured accounts of how individuals and families negotiated survival amidst violence and uncertainty.

When placed in dialogue with literary representations such as Khushwant Singh's *Train to Pakistan*, Intizar Husain's *Basti*, and Chaman Nahal's *Azadi*, these testimonies generate a more holistic account of trauma and resilience. Together, they complicate singular narratives of Partition, revealing both its

brutality and the unexpected solidarities that emerged in its aftermath. Survivor memories of Muslim neighbors offering protection, or refugee communities uniting to rebuild life in Dehradun, challenge reductive depictions of Partition as only a story of hatred and division. At the same time, these testimonies remind us of the urgency of preservation. As the generation of survivors passes away, so too does the direct link to lived memory. Recording, archiving, and analyzing these voices is not merely an academic exercise but a moral responsibility, ensuring that future generations can access the complexity of Partition beyond the silences of official history. This study underscores the need to integrate oral histories into academic, cultural, and literary discourses. Doing so enriches scholarship by bridging history with memory, fact with fiction, and trauma with resilience. Moreover, Partition testimonies resonate far beyond South Asia: in an era of global refugee crises and identity-based conflicts, they serve as powerful reminders of both human fragility and endurance. By engaging with these narratives, we not only honor the past but also illuminate pathways of coexistence and survival for the present and future.

Author's Biography

Shahjadi is a Research Scholar in the Department of English, School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand. Her doctoral research focuses on Partition literature, oral histories, and memory studies, with a particular interest in refugee narratives and displacement. Dr. Monika Gupta Aggarwal is Assistant Professor in the same department, specializing in South Asian literature, cultural studies, and postcolonial theory.

Acknowledgment

The author gratefully acknowledges the guidance and support of Dr. Monika Gupta Aggarwal throughout the course of this research. Special thanks are extended to the Partition survivors, Girdhari Lal Pahwa, Hari Singh, and Manoj Singh, who generously shared their memories and experiences, without which this study would not have been possible.

Works Cited

Bhalla, A. 1994. Stories About the Partition of India. Harper Collins.

Bhattacharya, N. 2001. The fractured landscape: Partition and historiography. In Hasan, M. (ed.), India's Partition: Process, Strategy and Mobilisation. Oxford University Press, pp. 184–220.

Butalia, U. 1998. The Other Side of Silence: Voices from the Partition of India. Penguin.

Husain, I. 1995. Basti. Translated by Pritchett, F.W. New York Review Books.

Menon, R. and Bhasin, K. 1998. Borders and Boundaries: Women in India's Partition. Rutgers University Press.

Nahal, C. 1975. Azadi. Arnold-Heinemann.

Pandey, G. 2001. Remembering Partition: Violence, Nationalism and History in India. Cambridge University Press.

Singh, K. 1956. Train to Pakistan. Grove Press.

Talbot, I. and Singh, G. 2009. The Partition of India. Cambridge University Press.

Interviews

Pahwa, Girdhari Lal. Personal interview. 14 Oct. 2024.

Singh, Hari. Personal interview. 16 Oct. 2024.

Singh, Manoj. Personal interview. 16 Oct. 2024.