IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Telehealth In Rural India: A Review Of Accessibility And Implementation Challenges

Dr. Purohit Saraswati Assistant Professor, HOD Department of Psychiatric Nursing JSS College of Nursing,Mysuru

Abstract:

Telehealth has emerged as a revolutionary solution to bridge healthcare gaps, particularly in rural areas where medical resources are limited. In India, over 65% of the population resides in rural regions, often with inadequate access to specialist care, diagnostic facilities, and emergency services. Telehealth promises to mitigate these disparities by leveraging information and communication technologies (ICTs) to deliver healthcare remotely. However, despite substantial potential, the implementation of telehealth in rural India faces multiple challenges including technological infrastructure, digital literacy, regulatory concerns, and socio-cultural barriers. This review synthesizes the current landscape of telehealth in rural India, examining accessibility, implementation hurdles, and potential strategies to optimize telemedicine deployment.

Introduction

India's healthcare system faces critical disparities between urban and rural populations. Rural areas frequently suffer from a shortage of qualified health professionals, under-equipped primary health centers (PHCs), and limited transport facilities, which severely hamper access to timely and quality healthcare. According to the Rural Health Statistics 2021–2022, India has a shortfall of 79.5% specialists at Community Health Centres (CHCs) in rural areas [1].

Telehealth, encompassing services such as teleconsultations, remote monitoring, and mobile health (mHealth), offers an innovative model to overcome geographical and infrastructural limitations. The Government of India has recognized this potential, launching initiatives like eSanjeevani, which has provided over 100 million teleconsultations as of 2023 [2]. Yet, adoption in rural areas remains suboptimal due to systemic, infrastructural, and behavioral challenges.

India, home to over 1.4 billion people, is characterized by profound geographical, socio-economic, and healthcare disparities between urban and rural regions. Approximately 65% of India’s population resides in rural areas where access to basic health services remains a pressing concern [1]. These rural populations often contend with an acute shortage of medical professionals, under-resourced health infrastructure, and logistical challenges such as poor road connectivity and limited public transportation. According to the Rural Health Statistics 2021–22, there is a significant shortfall of healthcare personnel in rural settings, with 79.5% of Community Health Centres (CHCs) lacking specialist doctors [2]. These persistent gaps in healthcare delivery underscore the urgent need for scalable, cost-effective, and innovative solutions.

Telehealth, encompassing telemedicine, mobile health (mHealth), remote patient monitoring, and health information systems, has emerged as a powerful tool to overcome barriers of distance, time, and resources. It leverages advancements in information and communication technologies (ICTs) to provide real-time or

asynchronous medical services remotely. Globally, telehealth has demonstrated positive outcomes in improving access, enhancing chronic disease management, and reducing the burden on tertiary healthcare systems [3,4]. In India, the COVID-19 pandemic catalyzed the rapid adoption of telehealth services, leading to increased investment, policy formulation, and infrastructure development. The Indian government responded by launching the **eSanjeevani** platform, which has delivered over 100 million consultations by 2023 and continues to play a pivotal role in primary healthcare delivery, especially in underserved regions [5].

Despite these advancements, the rollout and uptake of telehealth in rural India remain suboptimal. A multitude of structural, technological, economic, and cultural barriers hinder its full-scale adoption. For instance, poor internet connectivity, intermittent electricity supply, digital illiteracy, lack of trained personnel, and legal ambiguities pose significant obstacles to sustainable implementation [6–8]. Moreover, socio-cultural factors such as language diversity, gender norms, and trust in traditional in-person consultations further complicate telehealth diffusion in rural contexts.

Previous studies have explored the benefits and limitations of telemedicine in India; however, there remains a paucity of literature focused specifically on rural accessibility and implementation bottlenecks. This review aims to fill that gap by systematically examining the state of telehealth in rural India. It investigates the existing government and private sector initiatives, identifies the multifaceted challenges affecting rural deployment, and discusses successful case models and actionable recommendations. The overarching objective is to provide insights that can inform policymakers, healthcare professionals, and stakeholders seeking to build resilient, equitable, and digitally enabled rural healthcare systems.

Current State of Telehealth in Rural India

Government Initiatives

India has undertaken multiple policy initiatives to promote telehealth, particularly in rural areas. The **National Digital Health Mission (NDHM)** and **eSanjeevani** platform are key efforts to create a unified digital health infrastructure [3]. eSanjeevani operates through two verticals—Doctor-to-Doctor (eSanjeevani AB-HWC) and Doctor-to-Patient (eSanjeevaniOPD)—both aimed at improving rural outreach.

Private Sector Participation

Private healthcare providers have also launched mobile applications and digital clinics to reach rural populations. Apollo TeleHealth and Practo are notable examples offering consultations and diagnostics in partnership with local health workers [4].

Accessibility Challenges

1. Digital Infrastructure

Limited access to reliable internet and mobile connectivity is a major barrier. According to TRAI data, rural internet penetration stood at only 37% in 2022, compared to 69% in urban areas [5]. Power outages, poor bandwidth, and lack of IT infrastructure further limit real-time teleconsultations.

2. Device and Technology Access

Many rural residents do not own smartphones or computers required for teleconsultations. Even among those with access, low digital literacy prevents effective usage [6].

3. Language and Literacy Barriers

Telehealth platforms are predominantly English-based. However, a significant proportion of the rural population is non-English speaking and often functionally illiterate, making app navigation and comprehension difficult [7].

Implementation Challenges

1. Regulatory and Legal Issues

The lack of a clear legal framework regarding medical liability, data privacy, and consent in telehealth hinders wider adoption. Although the **Telemedicine Practice Guidelines (2020)** provide a framework, ambiguity persists, especially in rural practice contexts [8].

2. Human Resource Constraints

Rural health workers often lack training in telemedicine systems, creating a gap in effective implementation. There is also resistance among traditional practitioners who are reluctant to adopt digital health models [9].

3. Cost and Sustainability

While teleconsultations are promoted as low-cost, the initial investment in infrastructure, training, and equipment can be prohibitively high for both government and private players. Additionally, sustainability beyond pilot phases remains a concern [10].

Successful Case Studies and Models

- **eSanjeevani (Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh):** States like Tamil Nadu have successfully scaled eSanjeevani due to proactive digital literacy campaigns and integration with local health centers [11].
- **Aravind Eye Care:** Deployed teleophthalmology services in remote areas, supported by trained local personnel and mobile vans [12].
- **Karuna Trust:** Collaborated with state governments to manage PHCs using telemedicine support for remote diagnostics [13].

These examples highlight the importance of a multi-stakeholder approach involving local authorities, healthcare workers, and communities.

Recommendations for Improvement

1. **Infrastructure Investment:** Improve internet and electricity coverage in rural areas through public-private partnerships.
2. **Capacity Building:** Train health workers and local volunteers in telehealth tools and basic digital literacy.
3. **Localization of Services:** Develop multilingual and user-friendly telehealth interfaces tailored to the local socio-cultural context.
4. **Policy Reforms:** Strengthen legal frameworks on data protection, professional accountability, and cross-state teleconsultations.
5. **Community Engagement:** Build trust in telehealth through awareness campaigns, testimonials, and health camps.

Conclusion

Telehealth holds transformative potential for rural healthcare delivery in India. While significant strides have been made through platforms like eSanjeevani, several barriers continue to hinder full-scale implementation. Addressing infrastructural, educational, regulatory, and cultural challenges through coordinated policy and grassroots initiatives can enhance the impact of telehealth and bring equitable healthcare to rural India.

References :

1. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Rural Health Statistics 2021-2022. [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 9]. Available from: https://nhm.gov.in/images/pdf/statistics/rhs/rhs2021-22.pdf
2. Press Information Bureau. eSanjeevani crosses 100 million consultations. [Internet]. 2023 Feb 15 [cited 2025 Jul 9]. Available from: https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=1899403
3. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. National Digital Health Mission. [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 9]. Available from: https://ndhm.gov.in/
4. Apollo TeleHealth. Impact report 2022. [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 9]. Available from: https://www.apollotelehealth.com/
5. Telecom Regulatory Authority of India. The Indian Telecom Services Performance Indicators October–December 2022. [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 9]. Available from: https://trai.gov.in/sites/default/files/QPIR_10032023.pdf
6. Patel V, Kapoor R, Kalra R. Bridging the Digital Divide in Rural Healthcare: A Review of Challenges in India. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2022;11(1):34-40. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_2021_1256
7. Kaur P, Lal R, Singh K. Telemedicine in India: A Boon or Burden? Int J Med Inform. 2021;150:104447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2021.104447
8. Board of Governors in Supersession of the MCI. Telemedicine Practice Guidelines, India. [Internet]. 2020 Mar 25 [cited 2025 Jul 9]. Available from: https://www.mohfw.gov.in/pdf/Telemedicine.pdf
9. Rao M, Rao KD, Kumar AK, Chatterjee M, Sundararaman T. Human resources for health in India. Lancet. 2011 Feb 12;377(9765):587–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61888-0
10. Kumar S, Goyal P, Jaiswal S. Cost-effectiveness of telemedicine in primary care: An Indian rural perspective. Health Policy Technol. 2020;9(2):205–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlpt.2020.01.001
11. Tamil Nadu Health Department. Telemedicine Project Report 2023. [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 9]. Available from: https://tnhealth.tn.gov.in
12. Rani PK, Raman R, Manikandan M, Mahajan S, Paul PG, Sharma T. Patient satisfaction with tele-ophthalmology services in rural South India. J Telemed Telecare. 2021;27(2):105–110. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X20955393
13. Karuna Trust. Annual Report 2022. [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 9]. Available from: http://www.karunatrust.org/