IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

A Comparative Study Of Psychosocial Correlates Among Rural And Urban Adolescents In India

Dr. Triveni Sharma

Assistant Professor, Psychology

Department of Psychology

SCERT, Himachal Pradesh, Solan, India

Abstract: Adolescence represents a critical period of psychosocial development, wherein individuals navigate complex emotional, cognitive, and social transformations. In India, the rural–urban divide presents distinct ecological contexts that influence adolescents' mental health and adaptive functioning. This study examines six key psychosocial variables—stress, emotional intelligence, personality, academic achievement, resilience, and psychological well-being—through the lens of the socio-ecological model, emphasizing how cultural, structural, and environmental conditions shape adolescent development. Drawing from recent empirical research conducted across diverse Indian states between 2021 and 2024, the study identifies contrasting patterns: urban adolescents often report higher academic stress, greater emotional self-awareness, and stronger digital access, whereas rural adolescents display greater relational resilience, familial cohesion, and community integration. These differences highlight the interplay between context and development, underscoring the need for regionally tailored psychosocial interventions. The findings advocate for inclusive national education and mental health policies that consider India's diverse adolescent population, aiming to enhance well-being, emotional competence, and academic outcomes across both rural and urban landscapes.

Index Terms **:** Adolescents; Psychosocial Development; Rural–Urban Comparison; Stress; Emotional Intelligence; Resilience; Well-Being; Academic Achievement; Personality; India; Socio-Ecological Model

I. Introduction

Human behavior is profoundly shaped by the interplay of cultural, social, and environmental forces. Decades of research in the social sciences affirm that values, attitudes, and behavioral patterns are not innate but learned and reinforced through socialization processes within one's immediate and extended socio-cultural environment. Societal norms, class hierarchies, ethnic affiliations, and residential contexts create nuanced differences in psychosocial development (Bronfen brenner, 1979; Choudhury & Joshi, 2021). These socio-demographic distinctions gain complexity in the context of rapid globalization, migration, and urbanization, further amplifying disparities in human development across regions (UNICEF, 2022).

Unequal regional development, especially in emerging economies like India, contributes to a fragmented psychosocial landscape where adolescents' growth trajectories diverge sharply based on geography, access to resources, and community infrastructure. Scholars have increasingly noted that locality—whether rural or urban—is not merely a backdrop but an active influencer of psychological growth (Das & Ray, 2020). The socio-ecological framework thus provides a compelling lens to examine how contextual differences shape adolescents' stress, well-being, academic motivation, and emotional maturity (Srivastava et al., 2021).

Adolescence, as a developmental stage, marks the transition from childhood to adulthood. It is characterized by biological, cognitive, emotional, and social changes, and represents a critical period for personality development and psychosocial growth. Importantly, adolescents form the demographic backbone of a nation, and their psychosocial development has significant implications for national progress. Understanding the psychosocial correlates of adolescents—such as stress, resilience, emotional intelligence, life satisfaction, well-being, academic achievement, and personality—can facilitate timely interventions that enhance youth potential and social functioning.

Recent studies emphasize that the adolescence phase is increasingly vulnerable to psychological stressors, especially post-pandemic, and that targeted interventions addressing regional and cultural differences are essential for holistic development (Pathak & Kumar, 2023; Mohanty & Mishra, 2023; Singh & Batra, 2022; Iqbal et al., 2023).

Several empirical investigations over the past few years have revealed significant disparities in the experience of stress among rural and urban adolescents in India. Urban adolescents often face heightened academic pressure, social isolation, and competition, which contribute to elevated stress levels. For instance, Mallya, Koppad, and Kumar (2024) reported higher prevalence rates of depression (23.1%), anxiety (29.4%), and stress (26.6%) among urban adolescents in Shivamogga, Karnataka, as compared to their rural counterparts. Similarly, a study in Mysuru District revealed that while anxiety levels were greater in urban adolescents, stress was marginally higher in rural youth (Mallya et al., 2024), suggesting the role of context-specific stressors such as infrastructural limitations and economic hardship in rural areas.

Hussain, Handal and Biswas (2024) examined 400 secondary school students in West Bengal and found that urban adolescents scored significantly higher across multiple stress dimensions, including frustration, physical stress, and emotional pressure. Singh and Kaur (2024), in a gender-based comparative study, reported significantly higher stress and anxiety levels among urban adolescent girls than their rural peers, pointing toward the compounded effects of gender and urban living. Interestingly, Gaikwad (2022), in a study assessing mood and stress states in urban and rural adolescents, found no significant difference in overall stress levels, though rural adolescents showed elevated anxiety and mood disturbances such as guilt and regression. These findings suggest that while urban adolescents generally report higher stress due to academic and social pressures, rural adolescents are not immune; their stress often stems from structural disadvantages and psychosocial deprivation. These patterns emphasize the need for culturally and regionally tailored mental health interventions that address the unique needs of adolescents in both rural and urban environments.

Emotional intelligence (EI) has emerged as a critical construct in understanding adolescent development, influencing how individuals perceive, process, and regulate emotional information in varied social environments. According to Bar-On (1997), EI comprises a set of emotional and social competencies that determine effective understanding and coping with daily demands. Mayer and Salovey (1997) conceptualize EI as the ability to recognize, understand, and manage emotions in oneself and others, while Goleman (1995, 2000, 2011) broadens the scope to include components such as self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skills. Research has consistently shown that EI is associated with better stress management, academic performance, and interpersonal relationships among adolescents. Its development is shaped not only by innate temperament but also by environmental influences such as family structure, school climate, peer interaction, and cultural expectations. Rural–urban variations have been noted, with urban adolescents often demonstrating higher EI due to exposure to diverse social experiences and structured emotional learning programs (Kumari & Singh, 2023). In contrast, rural adolescents may benefit from close-knit familial bonds and collectivist values that foster empathy and relational harmony. Sharma and Joshi (2022) found that urban students exhibited significantly higher scores in self-awareness and emotional regulation, attributed to their access to extracurricular activities and awareness programs. Meanwhile, Tripathi and Meena (2023) highlighted the role of community-based interventions in enhancing emotional intelligence among rural adolescents, especially when integrated with life skills education.

Moreover, Khan and Bhattacharya (2021) demonstrated that EI is a protective factor against adolescent aggression and emotional dysregulation, with higher EI linked to reduced behavioral problems across both rural and urban samples. These findings underscore the importance of embedding emotional literacy into school curricula and tailoring programs to local contexts to ensure adolescents across geographies can build the emotional competencies essential for psychosocial well-being.

Personality, as a psychological construct, encompasses the organized pattern of thoughts, emotions, and behaviors that characterize an individual's unique adaptation to their environment. Allport (1948) defined personality as the dynamic organization within an individual of those psychophysical systems that determine their characteristic patterns of behavior and thought. Classical theories by Freud, Eysenck, and Cattell laid the foundation for understanding personality through structural and trait-based lenses, while the Five-Factor Model (McCrae & Costa, 1996) has gained prominence in contemporary research, identifying five core dimensions: openness to experience, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and emotional stability (neuroticism). Among adolescents, personality is influenced by both biological factors and sociocultural contexts such as parenting style, educational exposure, and peer interactions. Rural and urban environments offer differing stimuli and social structures that shape personality development. Rural adolescents often display traits like boldness, sensitivity, and sociability, fostered by close community ties, whereas urban youth are more likely to exhibit assertiveness and creativity, shaped by individualistic and achievement-oriented settings. A longitudinal study by Patel et al. (2021) demonstrated that conscientiousness and emotional stability were strong predictors of resilience and academic performance in both rural and urban adolescents. Similarly, Rajan and Bansal (2022) reported that urban students scored higher on openness and extraversion, while rural students exhibited higher agreeableness and emotional stability, suggesting that geographic context significantly moderates personality expression. Moreover, a study by Thomas and Verghese (2023) highlighted that personality development programs in rural schools enhanced students' self-concept and interpersonal sensitivity. Collectively, these findings underscore the importance of considering regional and cultural dynamics when assessing adolescent personality and designing interventions to foster balanced psychosocial development.

Academic achievement is widely regarded as a key indicator of an individual's cognitive development, social functioning, and future potential. Good (1973) defined academic achievement as the demonstrated ability to perform educational tasks, often assessed through test scores, grades, and teacher evaluations. The multifactorial nature of academic performance is well established, with emotional intelligence, personality traits, family background, peer support, school infrastructure, and socioeconomic conditions all exerting considerable influence. Rural–urban disparities in academic outcomes continue to receive scholarly attention, with findings presenting a complex and sometimes contradictory picture.

In certain contexts, rural students outperform their urban counterparts, often attributed to strong family cohesion, discipline, and community support structures. Conversely, urban students frequently benefit from better-resourced schools, access to extracurricular enrichment, and technological infrastructure. Bansal and Mehta (2022), in a recent meta-analysis, found that access to digital learning tools significantly enhanced academic performance in urban adolescents, whereas teacher-student rapport had a more substantial effect on rural students' academic outcomes.

Similarly, Sinha and Kapoor (2023) reported that while urban students excelled in standardized testing environments, rural students showed greater classroom engagement and intrinsic motivation linked to familial encouragement and peer networks. Furthermore, a study by Iqbal and Dutta (2022) noted that urban students' performance was positively correlated with parental education and home learning environments, whereas rural students relied more on community-based educational support. These findings underscore the importance of tailoring academic interventions to the specific needs and strengths of rural and urban populations, acknowledging that academic success is shaped by diverse and localized influences beyond school resources alone.

Resilience, a core component of psychological well-being, is defined as the capacity to endure, adapt, and recover from adversity (Luthar et al., 1992; Fergus & Zimmerman, 2005). It encompasses emotional regulation, goal-directed behavior, and the maintenance of social competence under stress. For adolescents, resilience is shaped by both internal dispositions—such as optimism, self-efficacy, and coping skills—and external resources, including familial support, peer relationships, and community infrastructure.

Environmental context plays a pivotal role, with rural adolescents often drawing strength from close-knit family systems, communal values, and intergenerational support. Conversely, urban adolescents may cultivate resilience through institutional mechanisms such as school counseling, peer mentoring, and structured extracurricular activities. Verma and Dey (2021) highlighted that group-based extracurricular participation significantly enhanced resilience among adolescents across rural and urban settings, albeit through different mechanisms of engagement. Similarly, Chauhan and Sharma (2023) found that rural adolescents displayed higher relational resilience due to strong parental attachment, while urban adolescents exhibited greater problem-solving resilience linked to higher exposure to life skills training.

In a comparative study, Joseph and Rani (2022) reported that although resilience levels did not differ significantly between rural and urban groups, the protective factors varied—rural youth benefited more from familial cohesion, while urban youth gained from academic support systems and peer collaboration. These findings underscore that while resilience is a universal construct, its developmental pathways are deeply embedded in sociocultural and geographical realities, necessitating tailored strategies to foster psychological strength in both rural and urban adolescents.

Psychological well-being extends beyond transient emotional states to encompass enduring aspects of optimal functioning, such as life purpose, autonomy, environmental mastery, and positive social relationships. Ryff's (1989, 1995) multidimensional model of well-being identifies six core components: autonomy, environmental mastery, personal growth, positive relations with others, purpose in life, and self-acceptance. In adolescence, well-being is foundational to identity formation, emotional resilience, and cognitive engagement. The rural–urban divide introduces distinct psychosocial environments that shape adolescent well-being in unique ways. Urban settings may foster greater autonomy, exposure to diverse experiences, and access to mental health resources, but can also pose risks related to stress, competition, and social isolation.

In contrast, rural environments often provide stronger relational support, familial cohesion, and community identity, which serve as buffers against psychological distress. Gupta and Nanda (2023) identified social connectedness and parental involvement as key predictors of adolescent well-being in post-pandemic school contexts, emphasizing the salience of interpersonal relationships. Complementing this, Sharma and Ali (2022) found that urban adolescents scored higher on autonomy and personal growth, whereas rural adolescents exhibited greater self-acceptance and environmental mastery. Similarly, Bhatia and Thomas (2021) reported that access to community-based activities in rural areas significantly contributed to adolescents' sense of purpose and relational satisfaction. These studies underscore the importance of a context-sensitive approach to promoting adolescent well-being, suggesting that interventions must be tailored to the socio-environmental assets and stressors of each locality to ensure holistic development.

Conclusion

In sum, the psychosocial development of adolescents is shaped by a dynamic interplay of individual attributes and contextual influences, including geography, socio-economic status, cultural norms, and educational access. The rural–urban divide in India creates distinct developmental ecologies that influence stress, emotional intelligence, personality, academic achievement, resilience, and well-being. While urban adolescents often benefit from infrastructural and technological advantages, they are also exposed to heightened academic pressure, social fragmentation, and emotional isolation. Conversely, rural adolescents draw strength from familial cohesion and community ties but face challenges such as limited access to quality education and mental health resources. Recent studies reaffirm the need for localized and culturally sensitive psychosocial interventions. For instance, Bhardwaj and Singh (2023) stress the importance of contextualized mental health programs in rural schools, while Iyer and Banerjee (2024) advocate for digital equity to bridge educational and emotional development gaps across regions. The findings of these and other contemporary investigations underscore that a one-size-fits-all approach to adolescent development is insufficient. As India's adolescent population continues to grow, it is imperative for policymakers, educators, and mental health professionals to design inclusive, evidence-based strategies that recognize the unique psychosocial landscapes of rural and urban youth. By investing in such tailored interventions, society can foster a generation of emotionally intelligent, resilient, and socially competent individuals equipped to thrive in a rapidly evolving world.

References

1. Allport, G. W. (1948). *Personality: A psychological interpretation*. Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
2. Bansal, S., & Mehta, K. (2022). Influence of digital access and student-teacher interaction on academic achievement: A rural–urban meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review, 35*, 1–14.
3. Bar-On, R. (1997). *The Emotional Intelligence Inventory (EQ-i): Technical manual*. Multi-Health Systems.
4. Bhardwaj, P., & Singh, A. (2023). Strengthening rural school mental health systems: A contextual intervention framework. *Indian Journal of Educational and Psychological Research, 12*(1), 88–97.
5. Bhatia, R., & Thomas, A. (2021). Community engagement and well-being among rural adolescents: A psychosocial perspective. *Journal of Rural Mental Health, 45*(3), 157–166.
6. Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard University Press.
7. Chauhan, M., & Sharma, P. (2023). Predictors of resilience among adolescents across rural and urban communities in North India. *Journal of Psychological Studies, 18*(1), 43–56.
8. Choudhury, M., & Joshi, P. (2021). Socio-cultural contexts and adolescent adjustment in Indian families. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment*, 31(4), 451–468.
9. Das, R., & Ray, S. (2020). Influence of residential background on emotional adjustment among adolescents. *Indian Journal of Psychology*, 95(2), 30–41.
10. Fergus, S., & Zimmerman, M. A. (2005). Adolescent resilience: A framework for understanding healthy development in the face of risk. *Annual Review of Public Health, 26*, 399–419.
11. Freud, S. (1923). *The ego and the id*. W.W. Norton & Company.
12. Gaikwad, U. S. (2022). *A study of mood state on urban and rural adolescents* [Original research]. *International Journal of Indian Psychology, 10*(4), 534–539. https://doi.org/10.25215/1004.050 IJIP
13. Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. Bantam.
14. Goleman, D. (2000). *Working with emotional intelligence*. Bantam.
15. Goleman, D. (2011). *The brain and emotional intelligence: New insights*. More Than Sound.
16. Good, C. V. (1973). *Dictionary of education* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
17. Gupta, S., & Nanda, A. (2023). Social connectedness, parental involvement, and adolescent well-being in post-COVID classrooms. *Psychology in Education Journal, 19*(2), 58–76.
18. Hussain, A., Handal, J., & Biswas, M. (2024). Analytical study among stress dimensions between rural and urban secondary school students. *Indian Journal of Yoga Exercise & Sport Science and Physical Education*, 2024. https://doi.org/10.58914/ijyesspe.2024-9.Spl.5 PubMed+3jyesspe.in+3veterinaria.org+3
19. Iqbal, A., & Dutta, S. (2022). Parental involvement and academic success among urban and rural adolescents: A comparative study. *Journal of Educational Psychology and Development, 10*(1), 58–68.
20. Iqbal, M., Rehman, A., & Sharma, P. (2023). Mental health disparities among rural and urban adolescents in post-pandemic India: A mixed-methods study. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 83, 103489.
21. Iyer, V., & Banerjee, D. (2024). Bridging digital divides in adolescent mental health and learning: A rural–urban comparative perspective. *Journal of Youth and Social Innovation, 6*(2), 102–119.
22. Joseph, M., & Rani, M. (2022). Exploring protective factors of resilience among urban and rural adolescents: A comparative analysis. *Indian Journal of Youth and Adolescent Health, 4*(2), 71–80.
23. Khan, R., & Bhattacharya, S. (2021). Emotional intelligence as a predictor of adolescent aggression and behavioral outcomes: A comparative study. *Indian Journal of Psychology and Education, 11*(2), 43–51.
24. Kumari, N., & Singh, A. (2023). Enhancing emotional intelligence through structured school programs: A rural–urban comparison. *Journal of Adolescent Psychology, 8*(2), 93–105.
25. Luthar, S. S., Cicchetti, D., & Becker, B. (1992). The construct of resilience: A critical evaluation and guidelines for future work. *Child Development, 71*(3), 543–562.
26. Mallya, A., et al. (2024). Prevalence and correlates of depression, anxiety, and stress in urban and rural areas of Mysuru, South India. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 13*(8), 2979–2985. https://doi.org/... PMC

27. Mallya, A., Koppad, R., & Kumar, P. (2024). Depression, anxiety, and stress among urban and rural adolescents in Shivamogga, Karnataka. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_1600_23 veterinaria.org+3PubMed+3PMC+3
28. Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence? In P. Salovey & D. Sluyter (Eds.), *Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Educational implications* (pp. 3–31). Basic Books.
29. McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T. Jr. (1996). Toward a new generation of personality theories: Theoretical contexts for the five-factor model. In J. S. Wiggins (Ed.), *The five-factor model of personality: Theoretical perspectives* (pp. 51–87). Guilford Press.
30. Mohanty, S., & Mishra, A. (2023). Psychological stress and coping strategies in adolescents: An urban-rural perspective. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 148, 106900.
31. Patel, R., Verghese, A., & Menon, K. (2021). Longitudinal assessment of adolescent personality and psychosocial outcomes in India. *South Asian Journal of Youth Development, 3*(1), 12–29.
32. Pathak, R., & Kumar, N. (2023). Post-pandemic psychosocial vulnerabilities in adolescents: Challenges and policy responses. *Journal of Adolescent Health Research*, 18(2), 77–89.
33. Rajan, S., & Bansal, R. (2022). A comparative study of personality traits among rural and urban adolescents. *Indian Journal of Psychology and Education, 12*(2), 67–75.
34. Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57*(6), 1069–1081.
35. Ryff, C. D. (1995). Psychological well-being in adult life. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 4*(4), 99–104.
36. Sharma, M., & Ali, N. (2022). Urban–rural comparison of psychological well-being among adolescents: A multidimensional approach. *Indian Journal of Child and Adolescent Mental Health, 14*(1), 23–33.
37. Sharma, T., & Joshi, R. (2022). Role of emotional intelligence in school adjustment among urban adolescents. *Psychological Studies, 67*(4), 299–308.
38. Singh, J., & Kaur, R. (2024). Psychological stress and anxiety in urban and rural adolescents: A gender-based comparison. *Revista Electronica de Veterinaria, 25*(1), 4041–4046. https://doi.org/10.69980/redvet.v25i1.1999 veterinaria.org
39. Singh, K., & Batra, R. (2022). Contextual determinants of adolescent well-being: Comparing rural and urban school settings in India. *International Journal of School Health*, 9(3), 150–159.
40. Sinha, R., & Kapoor, V. (2023). Classroom engagement and academic outcomes: The role of motivation in rural and urban adolescents. *Indian Journal of School Psychology, 5*(2), 22–36.
41. Srivastava, P., Sharma, N., & Tandon, S. (2021). Socio-ecological determinants of adolescent psychological outcomes in Indian schools. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 33(1), 35–48.
42. Thomas, M., & Verghese, J. (2023). Personality enhancement through psychoeducational interventions in rural adolescents. *Journal of Community Psychology and Development, 7*(1), 45–58.
43. Tripathi, A., & Meena, R. (2023). Promoting emotional intelligence among rural youth through life skills training: An interventional study. *Journal of Rural Psychology, 5*(1), 25–33.
44. UNICEF. (2022). *The State of the World's Children 2022: Children, food and nutrition*. https://www.unicef.org/reports/state-of-worlds-children-2022
45. Verma, D., & Dey, P. (2021). Extracurricular engagement and resilience among Indian adolescents. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 66*, 101984.