



Advancing Data Interpretation And Statistical Thinking: A Comprehensive Review Of Pedagogical Approaches, Tools, And Competencies

Soumyamol C. A. & Dr. Smitha S.

Soumyamol C A., Research Scholar, School of Pedagogical Sciences, Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam

Dr. Smitha S., Assistant Professor, School of Pedagogical Sciences, Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam

Abstract

In the era of data-driven decision-making, developing student's competencies in data interpretation, statistical literacy, and data science has become a critical priority across educational levels and disciplines. This review synthesizes findings from 20 empirical and conceptual studies that explore various contexts, including academic and professional learning environments. The studies explore pedagogical approaches, competency frameworks, and challenges in fostering statistical thinking and data interpretation. Methods range from qualitative case studies and surveys to systematic reviews and experimental designs, highlighting diverse strategies to enhance data literacy. Key findings indicate that data literacy is critical for preparing students for data-driven decision-making, yet gaps persist in integrating these skills into curricula and addressing learner challenges. This review identifies trends in instructional strategies, the role of technology (e.g., Excel, metadata tools), and the need for interdisciplinary approaches to data education. Implications for educators, curriculum designers, and policymakers are discussed to advance data literacy in an increasingly digital world.

Keywords: Data interpretation, Statistical thinking, Data literacy

Introduction

In the digital age, data literacy, the ability to understand, interpret, and communicate data effectively has become a cornerstone of education across disciplines. As data-driven decision-making permeates fields from STEM to social sciences, equipping students with the skills to "think with data" is essential. This systematic review examines 20 studies spanning 2000 to 2025, focusing on data literacy and interpretation skills in educational settings such as higher education, and specialized programs. The studies cover a range of topics, from middle school statistical literacy to undergraduate data science competencies and rehabilitation research. By analyzing the methods, findings, and implications of these studies, this review aims to map the landscape of data literacy education, identify effective instructional strategies, and highlight gaps for future research.

Rationale of the study

The rapid proliferation of data in modern society underscores the need for robust data literacy education. Despite growing recognition of its importance, there is no unified framework for teaching data literacy across educational levels. The reviewed studies reveal diverse approaches- qualitative case studies, quantitative surveys, experimental designs, and theoretical analyses-yet a synthesis of these efforts is lacking. This review is motivated by the need to consolidate findings on how data literacy and interpretation skills are taught, assessed, and integrated into curricula. It seeks to address questions such as: What methods are used to foster data literacy? How do instructional strategies vary across educational levels? What challenges hinder effective data education? By synthesizing these insights, the review provides a foundation for educators and policymakers to design evidence-based curricula that prepare students for data-intensive environments.

Table-1

Brief description of the papers surveyed on the Data Interpretation and Data Literacy

Sl.No.	Author/Authors	Title of Study	Year	Journal/Publisher	Research Design
1.	Queiroz et al. Tamires Queiroz Carlos Monteiro Liliane Carvalho Karen François	Data Science in Statistics Curricula: Preparing Students to "Think with Data"	2017	Statistics Education Research Journal	Case study
2.	Friedrich et al.	What shapes statistical and data literacy research in K-12 STEM education? A systematic	2024	International Journal of STEM Education	Systematic literature review

		review of metrics and instructional strategies			
3.	Kinney, A. R., Eakman, A. M., & Graham, J. E.	Novel Effect Size Interpretation Guidelines and an Evaluation of Statistical Power in Rehabilitation Research	2020	Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation	Systematic literature review
4.	Colin Carmichael Rosemary Callingham Ian Hay Jane Watson	Statistical literacy in the middle school: The relationship between interest, self-efficacy and prior mathematics achievement	2010	Australian Journal of Educational & Developmental Psychology	Cross-sectional survey
5.	Coners, A., Matthies, B., Vollenberg, C., & Koch, J.	Skills for everyone-an approach to assessing the integration of data literacy and data science competencies in higher education	2024	Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education	Descriptive mixed-methods study
6.	Leonelli,S.	Data Interpretation in the Digital Age	2014	Perspectives on Science	Theoretical analysis
7.	Qin, J., & D'ignazio, J.	The central role of metadata in a science data literacy course	2010	Journal of Library Metadata	Qualitative review of metadata instruction practices
8.	Paparistodemou, E., & Meletioun-Mavrotheris, M.	Developing young students' informal inference skills in data analysis.	2008	Statistics Education Research Journal	Quasi-experimental study
9.	James Nicholson & Gerry Mulhern	Data Interpretation in the 21st Century: Issues in the Classroom	2000	Research Gate	Case study
10.	Oslington, Gabrielle; Mulligan, Joanne	Data Interpretation and Representation in Middle Primary:	2023	Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia	Longitudinal multiple case study

		Two Case Studies		(MERGA).	
11	Bander Marzoog Almutairi	Effectiveness of Statistical Learning Tasks Based on Excel Software in Developing Statistical Thinking Skills Related to the Labor Market among Students of the Applied College	2025	Educational Process: International Journal	Experimental design
12.	Moneus, A. M., Al-Inbari, F. A. Y., & Al-Wasy, B. Q	Difficulties and Challenges of EFL Simultaneous Interpretation among Saudi Undergraduates	2024	Journal of Psycholinguistic Research	Descriptive qualitative study
13.	Coners, A., Matthies, B., Vollenberg, C., & Koch, J.	Data Skills for Everyone! (?)–An Approach to Assessing the Integration of Data Literacy and Data Science Competencies in Higher Education	2024	Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education	Descriptive mixed-methods study
14.	Maxwell, G. S.	Interpreting data: Creating meaning	2021	Springer International Publishing	Narrative literature review
15.	Swatton,P	Pupil Performance in Data Manipulation and Its Relationship to the Skill of Interpretation	2006	Educational Review	Cross-sectional study
16.	Jaum, J. J. C.	Learner-Oriented Instruction And Data Interpretation Skills Of Students In Manay South District, Davao Oriental	2024	EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR)	Correlational survey study
17.	Pallauta, J. D.,	Secondary school	2021	Mathematics	Descriptive

	Arteaga, P., & Garzón-Guerrero, J. A.	students' construction and interpretation of statistical tables			quantitative study
18.	Pérez-Echeverría, M. del P., Postigo, Yolanda, & Marín, C.	Understanding of graphs in social science undergraduate students: Selection and interpretation of graphs.	2018	Irish Educational Studies	Mixed-methods task-based study
19.	Husson, F., & Pagès, J.	INDSCAL model: geometrical interpretation and methodology	2006	Computational Statistics & Data Analysis	Theoretical description and data analysis.
20.	Maltese, A. V., Harsh, J. A., & Svetina, D	Data Visualization Literacy: Investigating Data Interpretation Along the Novice–Expert Continuum	2015	Journal of College Science Teaching	Cross-sectional study

Discussion:

The first study explores the role of affective expression in how final-year undergraduate students in statistics and pedagogy interpret statistical data. Although data interpretation is often seen as a cognitive and technical task, the research highlights the significant influence of emotional and contextual factors. Despite differences in academic background, students from both groups frequently expressed affective responses during interpretation. The study underscores the importance of considering affective aspects in statistics education, an area often overlooked in existing research.

The second one is a systematic review that examines 42 studies on statistical and data literacy among K–12 STEM teachers, highlighting a growing focus on these competencies in global curricula. The research mainly centers on mathematics and the cognitive aspects of pre-service and in-service teachers, revealing knowledge gaps. Affective factors and links between teacher traits, teaching practices, and student outcomes are underexplored. While some pedagogical approaches show positive impacts, more research is needed on long-term effects and classroom application. The review stresses the need for improved teacher training and further research to support effective instruction in statistical and data literacy.

The third study aimed to develop empirically-based guidelines for interpreting effect sizes in rehabilitation research and to assess the statistical power of such studies. This study presented novel and empirically-based interpretation guidelines for small, medium, and large rehabilitation treatment effects. The observed

effect size distributions differed across intervention categories, indicating that researchers should use category-specific guidelines. Furthermore, many published rehabilitation studies are under powered.

The fourth paper discussed how self-efficacy and prior math achievement influence middle school students' interest in statistical literacy. Using data from 438 Australian students, the study found that self-efficacy fully mediates the effect of prior achievement on interest. Interestingly, the relationship between self-efficacy and interest is quadratic-high self-efficacy doesn't always lead to high interest. The findings highlight the complex role of self-efficacy in fostering students' engagement with statistical literacy.

The fifth study investigates how data literacy and data science competencies are currently integrated into higher education curricula in Germany. The study provides a comparative overview of how data-related skills are taught, highlighting differences in prevalence, depth, and emphasis across disciplines. The findings serve as a foundation for improving data competency integration in non-IT fields and for future longitudinal tracking.

Sixth paper deals with how digital tools and online databases are used in biological research, particularly in understanding organisms. It examines how scientists assess the evidential value of online data and highlights the importance of hands-on experience with real organisms (in vivo research) for accurately interpreting digital data (in silico). The study argues that scientific understanding today is a collaborative and distributed process, shaped by both digital technologies and physical research practices.

Seventh paper involved a local faculty survey and a review of courses at peer institutions to understand data management practices and attitudes in e-science. Based on these insights, a new course was developed to teach science students essential data literacy and management skills for research. The project highlighted the critical role of metadata in scientific workflows, emphasizing its importance as a core element of data literacy in the e-science environment.

In the eighth paper, the investigators examine how third-grade students developed informal inference skills using Tinker Plots TM, a data visualization tool designed for young learners. Students analyzed data and presented their findings school-wide. The study found that early-grade statistics instruction, supported by dynamic software, can effectively foster inferential reasoning. It highlights the potential of such tools to make data-based thinking accessible and engaging for young children.

The ninth paper highlights the growing importance of data interpretation and critical analysis skills in the 21st century. It reviews the different approaches in the UK's new A-level Statistics specifications and explores their impact on students' conceptual development and topic sequencing. The authors advocate for the use of technology and familiar, real-world scenarios to help students build experiential understanding, enhance critical thinking, and develop stronger data interpretation skills.

In the tenth paper the investigators followed two Australian primary school students over three years to examine how their data interpretation and representation skills developed. Through predictive reasoning tasks using temperature data tables, researchers collected students' explanations and graphs from Year 3 to Year 5. One student started with lower mathematical ability, while the other was average for her age. Despite their differences, both students showed similar developmental progressions in prediction, interpretation, and data representation, though the lower-performing student typically progressed one stage behind. The study highlights both the similarities and differences in their learning trajectories.

The eleventh paper focused on improving statistical thinking skills among Applied College students, especially those in the Banking and Finance diploma program. It pointed out that traditional statistics teaching often emphasizes procedures and calculations, which can lead to misunderstandings and difficulties in interpreting data. To address this, the study supported Umm Al-Qura University's efforts to align education with job market needs by enhancing statistics courses. The main goal was to design practical, Excel-based learning tasks to strengthen students' data-handling and statistical thinking abilities. The results showed that students who used the Excel-based tasks significantly improved in their statistical thinking.

The twelfth study investigates the challenges and difficulties that undergraduate simultaneous interpretation students in Saudi Arabia encountered and suggested the best solutions to address this issue. The findings recommend enhancing the learning experience by incorporating more practical and interactive interpretation activities, updating the curriculum, providing skill-based training, and utilizing modern interpretation techniques, tools, and lab facilities.

In the thirteenth paper, it deals with how UK Data Service online training events help SHAPE (Social Sciences, Humanities, and Arts) students develop essential data skills needed in today's job market. Through interviews with 10 SHAPE students and thematic analysis using NVIVO, the study found that these events effectively enhanced students' practical data skills, research planning, and data evaluation abilities. They also helped build confidence, provided access to learning resources, and connected students to research communities. However, students were often unclear about what specific data skills they needed, highlighting the need for a data skills framework that is inclusive of SHAPE disciplines, not just STEM.

Forteenth paper explained data interpretation is a process of making meaning, which depends on the purpose of the analysis, the questions asked, and the type of data used. The interaction between data and questions can shape how data is transformed and presented to show patterns or trends. Data can be compared in various ways against peers, standards, or oneself and analyzed more deeply using techniques like error or change analysis. However, some methods, like value-added measures, have limitations, especially when used to evaluate teachers or schools. As a result, data literacy along with related skills in assessment, measurement, statistics, and research is gaining importance. Still, these literacies are not clearly defined, posing challenges for professional development and highlighting the need for further research.

Fifteenth study examines the children's science competence; it explains understanding and interpreting data in graphical form is a vital skill in children's science education and is considered a core competency in the science curriculum. The Assessment of Performance Unit framework treats these skills as part of a content-independent scientific process. However, analysis of Assessment of Performance Unit data shows that students' responses to data handling tasks are highly inconsistent, revealing hidden cognitive challenges. These findings suggest that data manipulation isn't a standalone skill but requires a deeper, integrated understanding of graphical representations. The study highlights the need for changes in science teaching methods and raises concerns about the reliability of assessment items, which are especially relevant to the current National Curriculum in England and Wales.

Sixteenth study explored how learner-oriented instruction affects students' data interpretation skills in the Manay South District of Davao Oriental. Using a descriptive-correlational research design, 212 elementary teachers were selected through stratified random sampling. Statistical tools like mean, Pearson correlation, and linear regression were applied. The findings showed that both learner-oriented instruction and students' data interpretation skills were moderately extensive. A significant relationship was found between the two, with key teaching approaches—such as learner empowerment, self-directed learning, and active engagement—positively influencing students' ability to interpret data. The study recommends further dissemination of its findings through publication in academic journals.

In the seventeenth paper examined how well secondary school students understand and interpret statistical tables, particularly when converting graphs into tables. Using responses from Spanish students, researchers analyzed the accuracy of table construction, common errors, interpretation skills, and reasoning based on table data. While most students correctly converted a pictogram into a frequency table, only half could accurately transform a double bar graph into a two-way table. Common mistakes included misreading pictogram icons and errors in calculating totals. About 40% of students could justify answers using data and demonstrated high-level understanding, though fewer succeeded when contextual knowledge was needed. The study highlights areas where teaching of graphs and tables can be improved.

Eighteenth study explored how university students understand graphs in their textbooks and how their subject background, statistical training, and task type affect this understanding. Psychology and economics students were asked either to select appropriate graphs for research reports or to interpret results shown in graphs. While both groups generally matched graphs with text well, they struggled with understanding key graphical features. Students' interpretations varied in complexity and were not always accurate. The study found that the nature of the task significantly influenced performance, revealing different strengths and weaknesses in graph comprehension depending on whether the task involved selection or interpretation.

Nineteenth paper provides a detailed explanation of the geometrical interpretation of the INDSCAL model, highlighting its practical significance. It explores key aspects such as dimension correlations, subject weight ranges, and the interpretation of weights as relational measures, along with the role of the mean

configuration. The paper also introduces new tools to support interpretation and establishes a link between the INDSCAL model and Multiple Factor Analysis (MFA), showing how both methods offer complementary insights-MFA serving as the exploratory counterpart to INDSCAL. These concepts are demonstrated using a large data set.

The last paper focuses on the development of graph reading and data visualization skills, which are essential for scientific literacy in STEM fields. Despite existing efforts to improve these proficiencies, little is known about when and how students acquire them. To address this, the researchers developed a data visualization literacy assessment to measure skill differences among individuals with varying STEM experience. Results showed clear distinctions between expert and novice participants, but minimal differences among intermediate-level individuals. The study also discusses the assessment's psychometric properties and its instructional implications for supporting visualization skill development.

Closing Remarks

This collection of studies reflects a broad and evolving understanding of data interpretation and statistical thinking across educational and professional contexts. While many studies emphasize cognitive and technical aspects—such as effect size interpretation, graphical understanding, and statistical tool uses several also highlight the role of affective, contextual, and pedagogical factors in shaping learners' engagement with data. From primary school to university-level instruction, effective data literacy involves not only the mastery of tools like Excel, R, and Tinker Plots but also thoughtful teaching practices that promote critical thinking, self-efficacy, and real-world application. Challenges such as inconsistent assessment, limited integration in non-STEM fields, and under explored emotional dimensions underscore the need for more inclusive, interdisciplinary, and research-informed approaches to developing data interpretation skills.

Limitations of the Study

While this review provides a broad overview of studies focused on data interpretation and statistical thinking, several limitations should be acknowledged.

1. The selection of studies was limited to those accessible in English and primarily from academic journals, which may have excluded relevant work published in other languages or formats such as dissertations, technical reports, or conference proceedings.
2. The scope of tools and methodologies reported in the reviewed studies varies widely, making direct comparisons difficult. In some cases, the studies lacked detailed descriptions of the instructional tools or assessment methods used, which limits the depth of analysis.
3. This review does not include a formal meta-analysis or systematic review framework, which could have provided more rigorous synthesis and quantification of effect sizes.
4. While the review spans a diverse range of educational contexts, it may not fully capture the nuances of implementation across different cultural, technological, and institutional settings.

Implications of the Review Study

- The review highlights the need for integrating data interpretation and statistical thinking more systematically into curricula across disciplines. Educators and policymakers should consider embedding data literacy from early education through to higher education to prepare students for data-driven decision-making in both academic and real-world contexts.
- With a variety of tools available (e.g., R, Excel, Tinker Plots, SPSS), this review suggests that educators should be intentional in selecting tools that align with learners' levels and instructional goals. Teacher training programs should also ensure educators are equipped to use these tools effectively in the classroom.
- The variation in assessment methods across the reviewed studies indicates a need for more standardized and meaningful ways to evaluate data interpretation skills. Developing validated tools and rubrics could support more consistent evaluation and feedback.
- The review underscores the importance of addressing disparities in access to data tools and learning resources. Institutions should prioritize equitable implementation of data education, especially for underrepresented and under served student populations.
- As data interpretation is relevant beyond STEM fields, educators in humanities, social sciences, and vocational programs should also be encouraged to incorporate data-related competencies into their teaching practices.
- The review identifies a gap in longitudinal and large-scale studies that assess the long-term impact of data education interventions. Future research should explore how students' data interpretation skills develop over time and across educational transitions

Conclusion

This review highlights the multifaceted nature of data literacy education, with studies demonstrating the importance of tailored instructional strategies, technology integration, and competency frameworks. Qualitative and mixed methods approaches dominate the literature, reflecting the complexity of teaching data interpretation in diverse educational contexts. Key findings suggest that fostering statistical thinking requires addressing learner self-efficacy, prior knowledge, and access to tools like Excel or metadata systems. However, gaps remain in standardizing data literacy curricula and addressing challenges such as student difficulties with simultaneous interpretation or graph comprehension. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of data literacy interventions and explore interdisciplinary approaches to bridge STEM and social science education. By prioritizing data literacy, educators can empower students to navigate and contribute to a data-driven world effectively.

Bibliography

- Almutairi, B. M. (2025). The Effectiveness of Statistical Learning Tasks Based on Excel Software in Developing Statistical Thinking Skills Related to the Labor Market among Students of the Applied College. *Educational Process: International Journal*, 14. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1466574>
- Carmichael, C., Callingham, R., Hay, I., & Watson, J. (2010). Measuring middle school students' interest in statistical literacy. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 22(3), 9-39. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03219776>
- Coners, A., Matthies, B., Vollenberg, C., & Koch, J. (2024). Data skills for everyone! (?)—an approach to assessing the integration of data literacy and data science competencies in higher education. *Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education*, 33(1), 90-115. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26939169.2024.2334408>
- Coners, A., Matthies, B., Vollenberg, C., & Koch, J. (2024). Data skills for everyone! (?)—an approach to assessing the integration of data literacy and data science competencies in higher education. *Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education*, 33(1), 90-115. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26939169.2024.2334408>
- Francis, G. (2017). Equivalent statistics and data interpretation. *Behavior Research Methods*, 49(4), 1524–1538. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-016-0812-3>
- Husson, F., & Pagès, J. (2006). INDSCAL model: Geometrical interpretation and methodology. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 50(2), 358–378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2004.08.005>
- Jaum, J. J. C. (2024). Learner-Oriented Instruction And Data Interpretation Skills Of Students In Manay South District, Davao Oriental. *EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR)*, 10(5), Article 5.
- Kinney, A. R., Eakman, A. M., & Graham, J. E. (2020). Novel effect size interpretation guidelines and an evaluation of statistical power in rehabilitation research. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 101(12), 2219-2226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2020.02.017>
- Leonelli, S. (2014). Data interpretation in the digital age. *Perspectives on Science*, 22(3), 397-417. https://doi.org/10.1162/posc_a_00140
- Maltese, A. V., Harsh, J. A., & Svetina, D. (2015). Data visualization literacy: Investigating data interpretation along the novice–expert continuum. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 45(1), 84-90. https://doi.org/10.2505/4/jcst15_045_01_84
- Maxwell, G. S. (2021). Interpreting Data: Creating Meaning. In G. S. Maxwell (Ed.), *Using Data to Improve Student Learning: Theory, Research and Practice* (pp. 253–292). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63539-8_8
- Moneus, A. M., Al-Inbari, F. A. Y., & Al-Wasy, B. Q. (2024). Difficulties and Challenges of EFL Simultaneous Interpretation among Saudi Undergraduates. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10936-024-10057-w>
- Nicholson, J., & Mulhern, G. (2000). Data Interpretation in the 21st Century: Issues in the Classroom.
- Oslington, Gabrielle; Mulligan, Joanne. (2023). Data Interpretation and Representation in Middle Primary: Two Case Studies. *Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia (MERGA)*.

- Pallauta, J. D., Arteaga, P., & Garzón-Guerrero, J. A. (2021). Secondary school students' construction and interpretation of statistical tables. *Mathematics*, 9(24), 3197. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9243197>
- Paparistodemou, E., & Meletiou-Mavrotheris, M. (2008). Developing young students' informal inference skills in data analysis. *Statistics Education Research JOURNAL*, 7(2), 83-106. <https://doi.org/10.52041/serj.v7i2.471>
- Pérez-Echeverría, M. del P., Postigo, Yolanda, & Marín, C. (2018). Understanding of graphs in social science undergraduate students: Selection and interpretation of graphs. *Irish Educational Studies*, 37(1), 89–111. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03323315.2018.1440248>
- Qin, J., & D'ignazio, J. (2010). The central role of metadata in a science data literacy course. *Journal of Library Metadata*, 10(2-3), 188-204. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19386389.2010.506379>
- Queiroz, T., Monteiro, C., Carvalho, L., & Francois, K. (2017). Interpretation of statistical data: The importance of affective expressions. *Statistics Education Research Journal*, 16(1), 163-180. <https://doi.org/10.52041/serj.v16i1.222>
- Schreiter, S., Friedrich, A., Fuhr, H., Malone, S., Brünken, R., Kuhn, J., & Vogel, M. (2023). Teaching for statistical and data literacy in K-12 STEM education: A systematic review on teacher variables, teacher education, and impacts on classroom practice. *ZDM – Mathematics Education*, 56(1), 31-45. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-023-01531-1>
- Swatton, P. (1995). Pupil Performance in Data Manipulation and Its Relationship to the Skill of Interpretation. *Educational Review*, 47(1), 43–57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0013191950470104>

