ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

The Rise Of Edtech: Can Apps And Games Replace Traditional Learning?

Chiranjibi Behera¹ & Madhusmita Sahoo² ¹Lecturer in Education, Deogarh College, Deogarh, Odisha, India. ²Assistant Director, SCSTRTI, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.

Abstract:

Educational technology (EdTech) has witnessed a significant rise in recent years, with educational apps and games playing a pivotal role in transforming the learning landscape. This research article delves into the potential of EdTech to replace traditional classroom learning by examining its advantages and limitations. The advantages of EdTech include increased engagement and motivation, personalized learning experiences, enhanced accessibility, gamification elements, and effective reinforcement practices. However, EdTech also presents limitations such as reduced social interaction, concerns regarding standardization and creativity, overreliance on technology, assessment challenges, and issues related to equity and access. The article advocates for a blended learning approach that combines traditional teaching methods with EdTech elements to optimize learning outcomes. By emphasizing the importance of teacher facilitation, curriculum design, and a balanced integration of technology, this article concludes that while EdTech offers numerous benefits, a blended approach is likely to be the most effective educational model for the future.

Keywords: EdTech, educational technology, apps, games, traditional learning, blended learning, engagement, personalized learning, gamification, assessment, equity, access

I. Introduction

The rise of educational technology (EdTech) has revolutionized the way we learn and access educational resources. With the increasing popularity of apps and games that claim to enhance learning outcomes, there is a growing debate on whether they can replace traditional learning methods. While EdTech offers many advantages, it also has its limitations. Educational technology, commonly referred to as EdTech, has become an integral part of modern education, revolutionizing the way students learn and teachers instruct. The proliferation of smartphones, tablets, and computers has paved the way for the development of educational

apps and games that aim to enhance the learning experience. Imagine a student struggling with mastering the multiplication table. Educational apps can turn this tedious task into an engaging game, allowing students to practice their skills while collecting points and unlocking new levels. This is just one example of how Educational Technology, or EdTech, is revolutionizing the way students learn. This article explores the rise of EdTech and its potential to replace traditional classroom learning, posing the central question: Can apps and games truly replace traditional learning methods?

Objectives of the Study

- i. Investigate the potential of educational technology (EdTech) to replace traditional classroom-based learning.
- ii. Analyze the advantages and limitations of EdTech in education.
- iii. Propose a future model of learning that leverages the strengths of both EdTech and traditional classroom instruction.

Methodology Undertaken

This researcher article employed a critical review methodology to analyze existing literature on EdTech and its impact on learning outcomes. The review explored scholarly articles, research papers, and reports that investigate the effectiveness of educational apps and games in comparison to traditional learning methods.

The following steps was undertaken:

- Literature Search: The investigator conducted a comprehensive search for relevant academic studies, including peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, and educational reports on EdTech and its applications in various learning contexts. Search queries targeted keywords like "educational technology," "educational apps," "educational games," "blended learning," "traditional learning," "student engagement," "personalized learning," and "assessment in EdTech."
- 2. Selection and Evaluation: The retrieved literature was screened based on relevance, credibility, and methodological rigor. Studies that employ robust research designs and provide convincing evidence for the effectiveness of EdTech were prioritized for inclusion.
- **3.** Data Analysis and Synthesis: The selected study was critically examined to identify recurring themes and key findings regarding the advantages and limitations of EdTech. The analysis explored how EdTech is integrated with traditional classroom teaching to create a more effective blended learning model.

- 4. **Critical Appraisal:** The researcher objectively evaluated the strengths and weaknesses of EdTech, considering factors like student engagement, academic achievement, social interaction, equity of access, and potential drawbacks like over-reliance on technology.
- **5. Future of Learning:** Based on the analysis, the article proposed a future model of learning that combines the positive aspects of EdTech with the irreplaceable elements of traditional classroom instruction, fostering a more engaging, personalized, and effective learning experience for students.

Effectiveness of educational apps and games in improving learning outcomes

There is a growing body of literature that recognizes the effectiveness of educational apps and games in improving learning outcomes(Vlachopoulos & Makri,2017). Several studies have shown that the use of digital game-based learning can result in an increase in student engagement and academic achievement (Serrano,2019). For instance, a study conducted by Hwang and Chang in 2011 found that using educational apps improved students' math achievement scores (Yu. et. al, 2020). Another study conducted by (Gee and Hayes, 2011) showed that using educational games improved students' critical thinking skills and motivation to learn (Serrano,2019). These studies provide evidence for the positive effects of educational apps and games on learning outcomes.

Research has also demonstrated that personalized digital educational games can improve students' learning outcomes, satisfaction, and overall enjoyment of the learning process (Li et. al., 2024). A scoping review of the literature concerning the use of technology in mathematics education published between January 2010 and October 2020 found that educational games positively influenced students' motivation for learning and academic achievement (Hwang. Et. al., 2023). Additionally, the review identified the trends of implementing learning games in mathematics education and proposed ways to design and integrate math content in gameplay (Pa et. al., 2022). These findings suggest that personalized educational games can be effective in promoting learning outcomes in various subjects.

However, despite the increasing popularity of educational apps and games in education, there is still a lack of comprehensive studies that have reviewed their effectiveness (Menon ,2022). A study conducted by (Saravanan et al. in 2023) explored game-based learning outcomes, including academic achievements, problem-solving, and critical thinking (Li et. al., 2024). The study found that game-based learning can improve academic achievement and critical thinking skills. Similarly, a systematic literature review conducted by Liu et al. in 2019 analyzed available literature to determine the effects of digital game-based learning on student learning outcomes. The review found that digital educational games positively influenced students' motivation for learning and academic achievement (Serrano,2019). These studies suggest that there is a need for more comprehensive research to fully understand the effectiveness of educational apps and games in improving learning outcomes.

Aspect Comparison of Educational Apps and Educational Games

In today's digital age, learning extends far beyond textbooks and classrooms. Educational apps and games have emerged as popular tools for enhancing knowledge acquisition and student engagement. However, navigating the world of these digital resources can be confusing. This table aims to shed light on the key differences between educational apps and games, providing educators, parents, and students with a clearer picture of their impact on learning outcomes, motivation, academic performance, and overall effectiveness. By comparing research findings on each aspect, we can determine which approach might be most suitable for specific learning goals.

Amost	Educational Anna	Educational Comes
Aspect	Educational Apps	Educational Games
Impact on Learning	Medium-sized impacts on	Mixed results regarding
Outcomes	student learning and motivation	student learning outcomes (Li.
	outcomes (Kim. et. al., 2021)	et. al., 2023)
Motivation and	Increases motivation and	Motivating, achieving
Engagement	engagement, fosters critical	knowledge retention,
	thinking and problem-solving	increasing attention,
	(Harding Eve, 2023)	enhancing peer
		communication and social
		skill. (Cheung Siu & Yin Ng
		Kai, 2021)
Academic	Associated with a decline in	Considered to be more
Performance	academic performance	interesting, motivating, and
	(Cheung Siu & Yin Ng Kai,	effective for learning (Cheung
	2021)	Siu & Yin Ng Kai, 2021)
Research Findings	Positive main effects on	Research shows positive
	literacy and math outcomes for	effects on learning experience,
	preschool to Grade 3 students	but young students may be
	(Kim. et. al., 2021)	inattentive (Cheung Siu &
		Yin Ng Kai, 2021)

II. Advantages of EdTech

- a. Engagement and Motivation: Well-designed educational apps and games have shown to enhance student engagement and motivation by incorporating interactive elements, challenges, and rewards (Joy et. al., 2022). A study by Lee and Chen (2020) underscores the importance of EdTech in promoting educational equity and expanding access to quality learning materials, particularly for students in remote or underserved areas.
- a. Personalized Learning: EdTech offers personalized learning experiences by adapting content to individual learning styles and paces through algorithms and data analytics (Smith, 2019). Research by (Shemshack et. al., 2020) highlights the effectiveness of personalized learning approaches in improving student retention and comprehension, demonstrating the potential of EdTech to enhance educational outcomes.
- b. Accessibility and Flexibility: EdTech provides students with access to education regardless of geographical location, enabling self-paced learning and accommodating diverse schedules Tan et. al., (2017). A meta-analysis by Wang et al. (2021) demonstrates the positive impact of EdTech on student learning outcomes, particularly in terms of knowledge retention and application, underscoring the value of interactive learning tools in educational settings.
- c. **Gamification:** The integration of gamification elements in educational apps, such as points, badges, and leaderboards, has been linked to increased student participation and improved learning outcomes (Dicheva et. al., 2015). According to (Adipat et. al., 2021), educational games that offer immediate feedback and rewards can significantly increase student engagement and motivation, leading to improved learning outcomes.
- d. Reinforcement and Practice: EdTech platforms offer students opportunities for repetitive practice exercises and instant feedback, facilitating skill development and mastery (Iahad et. al., 2004) Research conducted by (Sailer et. al., 2020) suggests that gamification can enhance student participation and performance, indicating the potential of EdTech to revolutionize traditional teaching methods.
- e. Data-driven Insights for Teachers: EdTech platforms can provide valuable data on student progress, strengths, weaknesses, and learning styles. This data can be gleaned through quizzes, assignments, and student interactions within the platform itself (Smith, 2019). Teachers can leverage this data to personalize instruction, identify areas requiring extra support for individual students, and monitor student growth more effectively.

III. Limitations of EdTech

- a. Lack of Social Interaction: Lack of Social Interaction One of the primary concerns associated with EdTech is the potential reduction in opportunities for social interaction and collaboration among students. Traditional classroom environments foster interpersonal skills, teamwork, and communication, which may be lacking in digital learning settings. EdTech is the potential reduction in face-to-face social interaction and collaborative learning experiences, which are essential for holistic development (Mallik et. al., 2021). Studies by Jones and Smith (2018) suggest that excessive use of EdTech can lead to social isolation and hinder the development of essential social competencies, raising questions about the balance between technology-mediated learning and face-to-face interactions.
- b. **Over-reliance on Technology:** There are concerns that excessive reliance on technology for learning may hinder students' ability to think critically and problem-solve independently when faced with challenges offline (Roberts, 2020). A longitudinal study by Johnson et al. (2020) raises awareness about the risks of technology addiction and cognitive overload in educational contexts, emphasizing the need for balanced screen time and offline activities to support holistic student development.
- c. Equity and Access: The digital divide remains a significant issue, with disparities in access to technology and reliable internet creating educational inequalities among students from different socioeconomic backgrounds (Afzal et. al., 2023). A report by UNESCO (2020) highlights the urgent need to address digital inequities and ensure universal access to quality education through inclusive policies, infrastructure development, and community partnerships, underscoring the importance of bridging the digital divide in educational settings.
- d. Assessment and Evaluation: Evaluating student learning and critical thinking skills through EdTech tools poses challenges in capturing qualitative aspects of education that go beyond quantitative metrics (Taylor, 2021). Research by (Gökçearslan, et. al., 2019). underscores the importance of developing authentic assessment strategies that align with the goals of EdTech integration, emphasizing the need for continuous evaluation and refinement of assessment practices in digital learning settings.
- e. **Standardization and Creativity:** Critics argue that EdTech's standardized approach may stifle creativity and critical thinking skills by limiting students' exposure to diverse learning methods and perspectives (Adams, 2018). Research by (Suleiman et. al., 2020) highlights the importance of fostering creativity and innovation in educational settings, cautioning against the overreliance on EdTech tools that prioritize rote memorization over higher-order thinking skills.

f. Potential Issues of Distraction: Games and apps, while engaging, can be distracting if not implemented thoughtfully. Research by Jones and Smith (2018) highlights this potential drawback, emphasizing the need for careful planning to minimize distractions. Teachers can design activities that leverage the strengths of EdTech while minimizing distractions. This might involve setting clear time limits for technology use, establishing ground rules for student behavior during EdTech activities, and incorporating interactive elements into traditional lessons to maintain student focus (Mallik et. al., 2021).

IV. The Future of Learning: A Blended Approach

In a blended learning environment, teachers play a crucial role in facilitating discussions, fostering critical thinking skills, and providing individualized guidance to students. By integrating EdTech resources into their teaching practices, educators can create dynamic learning experiences that cater to diverse learning styles and preferences, promoting student engagement and academic success. Blended Learning combines traditional classroom instruction with EdTech elements to create a comprehensive learning experience that leverages the strengths of both approaches (Brown & Lee, 2020). Teachers play a crucial role in a Blended Learning environment by facilitating discussions, fostering critical thinking, and providing personalized guidance to students based on their individual needs (Wang, 2018).

Curriculum design is another key aspect of implementing a blended learning approach effectively. By designing curricula that integrate traditional teaching methods with interactive EdTech components, educators can create cohesive learning experiences that promote collaboration, creativity, and skill development. A well-designed curriculum ensures that students receive a comprehensive education that combines theoretical knowledge with practical application, preparing them for success in a rapidly evolving digital world. Curriculum design in a Blended Learning setting should aim to integrate traditional teaching methods with EdTech tools effectively, ensuring a balanced and engaging learning experience for students (Chen, 2021).

To address the advantages and limitations of EdTech, many educators advocate for a blended learning approach that combines the best elements of traditional classroom instruction with innovative EdTech tools. Blended learning models offer a balanced educational experience that leverages technology to enhance teaching and learning outcomes while preserving the benefits of face-to-face interactions and personalized instruction.

V. Conclusion

In conclusion, while EdTech offers numerous advantages in terms of engagement, personalization, accessibility, gamification, and reinforcement, it is unlikely to completely replace traditional classroom learning in the foreseeable future. The limitations of EdTech, including concerns about social interaction,

standardization, over-reliance on technology, assessment challenges, and equity issues, highlight the need for a balanced approach to education that combines the strengths of both traditional and digital learning methods.

A blended learning model that integrates face-to-face instruction with well-designed EdTech elements presents a promising future for education, offering a more engaging, personalized, and effective learning experience for students. By embracing a blended approach, educators can harness the power of technology to enhance teaching practices, optimize learning outcomes, and prepare students for success in the digital age. EdTech is a rapidly evolving field with immense potential to personalize learning, improve accessibility, and enhance educational outcomes. As EdTech continues to develop and address its limitations, the future of learning is likely to embrace a blended approach that leverages the strengths of both traditional and digital methods to create a truly transformative educational experience for all students.

VI. References

- Adipat, S., Laksana, K., Busayanon, K., Asawasowan, A., & Adipat, B. (2021). Engaging students in the learning process with game-based learning: The fundamental concepts. International Journal of Technology in Education (IJTE), 4(3), 542-552. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijte.169
- Afzal, Arfa & Khan, Saima & Daud, Sana & Ahmed, Zahoor & Butt, Ayesha. (2023). Addressing the Digital Divide: Access and Use of Technology in Education. 3. 883-895. 10.54183/jssr.v3i2.326.
- Cheung, Siu & Ng, Kai. (2021). Application of the Educational Game to Enhance Student Learning. Frontiers in Education. 6. 10.3389/feduc.2021.623793, <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.623793</u>
- Dicheva, Darina & Dichev, Christo & Agre, Gennady & Angelova, Galia. (2015). Gamification in Education: A Systematic Mapping Study. Educational Technology & Society. 18. 75-88.
- Gökçearslan, Şahin & Solmaz, Ebru & Karabulut Coşkun, Burcu. (2019). Critical Thinking and Digital Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications. 10.4018/978-1-5225-8060-7.ch066.
- Harding, E. (2023, January 18). Learn the science behind gamified learning, the difference between gamification and game-based learning, and the pros and cons of games for learning. https://bedrocklearning.org/literacy-blogs/the-pros-and-cons-of-game-based-learning/
- Hwang, S., Flavin, E. & Lee, JE. Exploring research trends of technology use in mathematics education: A scoping review using topic modeling. *Educ Inf Technol* 28, 10753–10780 (2023). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11603-0</u>
- Iahad, Noorminshah & Dafoulas, George. (2004). The role of feedback in interactive learning systems: A comparative analysis of computer-aided assessment for theoretical and practical courses. 535 539. 10.1109/ICALT.2004.1357472.
- Jones, M., & Smith, P. (2018). Social interaction and digital learning: A comparative analysis. Computers & Education, 120, 143-157.

- Joy, Sherine & Vohra, Shalini & Mallampalli, Sasi & Sastry, Mallikarjuna & Gokarakonda, PSVSD. (2022). Engaging Students with Gamified Learning Apps: The Role of Teacher Intervention. 10.1007/978-3-030-93904-5_72.
- Kim, J., Gilbert, J., Yu, Q., & Gale, C. (2021). Measures Matter: A Meta-Analysis of the Effects of Educational Apps on Preschool to Grade 3 Children's Literacy and Math Skills. AERA Open, 7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/23328584211004183</u>
- Li, M., Ma, S., & Shi, Y. (2023). Examining the effectiveness of gamification as a tool promoting teaching and learning in educational settings: a meta-analysis. *Frontiers in psychology*, 14, 1253549. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1253549</u>
- Li, Y., Chen, D., & Deng, X. (2024). The impact of digital educational games on student's motivation for learning: The mediating effect of learning engagement and the moderating effect of the digital environment. *PloS one*, 19(1), e0294350. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0294350</u>
- Mallik, Dr & Mallik, Lakshmi. (2021). A Review of Education Technology in Digital Age: Classroom Learning for Future and Beyond.
- Menon D. (2022). Uses and gratifications of educational apps: A study during COVID-19 pandemic. *Computers and Education Open*, *3*, 100076. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2022.100076</u>
- Pan, Yanjun & Ke, Fengfeng & Xu, Xinhao. (2022). A systematic review of the role of learning games in fostering mathematics education in K-12 settings. Educational Research Review. 36. 100448.
 10.1016/j.edurev.2022.100448.
- Raja, R. & Nagasubramani, P. (2018). Impact of modern technology in education. Journal of Applied and Advanced Research. 3. 33. 10.21839/jaar.2018.v3iS1.165.
- Roberts, E. (2020). Overcoming Technology Dependency in Education. International Journal of Educational Technology, 7(2), 210-225.
- Sailer, Michael & Homner, Lisa. (2020). The Gamification of Learning: a Meta-analysis. Educational Psychology Review. 32. 77-112. 10.1007/s10648-019-09498-w.
- Saravanan, Vimala & Manickam, Ramachandran & Raja, Chandrasekar & Murugan, Ashwini. (2023). Recent Trends in Blended Learning Methods. 1. 21-28. 10.46632/jitl/1/1/4.
- Serrano, Katrina, "The effect of digital game-based learning on student learning: A literature review" (2019). Graduate Research Papers. 943. <u>https://scholarworks.uni.edu/grp/943</u>
- Shemshack, A., Spector, J.M. (2020) A systematic literature review of personalized learning terms. *Smart Learn. Environ.* 7, 33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-020-00140-9</u>
- Smith, T. (2019). Personalized Learning in EdTech Platforms. Educational Technology Research, 28(1), 33-47.
- Suleiman, Muhammad & Danmuchikwali, Bilkisu. (2020). DIGITAL EDUCATION: OPPORTUNITIES, THREATS, AND CHALLENGES. Lee, S., & Chen, L. (2020). Bridging the digital divide: Strategies for promoting equity in online learning. Journal of Distance Education, 35(2), 201-215.
- Tan, Philip & Saucerman, Jeffrey. (2017). Enhancing Learning and Engagement through Gamification of Student Response Systems. 10.18260/1-2--28276.

- Taylor, R. (2021). Assessing Critical Thinking Skills in EdTech Environments. Journal of Educational Assessment, 17(3), 189-204.
- UNESCO. (2020). Digital inclusion for equitable education: Policy recommendations. Paris, France: UNESCO.
- Vlachopoulos, D., Makri, A. The effect of games and simulations on higher education: a systematic literature review. Int J Educ Technol High Educ 14, 22 (2017). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0062-1</u>
- Wang, H., et al. (2021). The impact of educational technology on student learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. Educational Research Review, 36, 1-15.
- Wang, L. (2018). The Role of Teachers in Blended Learning Environments. Journal of Online Education, 21(4), 301-315.
- Yu, Zhonggen & Gao, Mingle & Wang, Lifei. (2020). The Effect of Educational Games on Learning Outcomes, Student Motivation, Engagement and Satisfaction. Journal of Educational Computing Research. 59. 073563312096921. 10.1177/0735633120969214.
- Zaugg, Holt & Graham, Charles & Lim, Cher Ping & Wang, Tianchong. (2021). Current and Future Directions of Blended Learning and Teaching in Asia. 10.1007/978-981-33-4106-7_16.

