IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

THE HIDDEN WORLD OF MYCORRHIZAL NETWORKS: TECHNIQUES, ASSESSMENT, AND PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR SOIL HEALTH AND PLANT PRODUCTIVITY

Muskan Khichi[1] and Dr. Suhani Parekh[2]

Department of Botany, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous), Ahmedabad 380009

Abstract

Mycorrhizal networks, a symbiotic relationship between plants and fungi, play a crucial role in maintaining soil health and enhancing plant productivity. These networks have been studied extensively, yet there is still much to learn about their complex interactions and implications. This review paper aims to provide an overview of the techniques used to assess mycorrhizal networks, their practical implications for soil health and plant productivity, and the hidden world that lies beneath the surface of these intricate relationships. The techniques used to assess mycorrhizal networks include molecular methods, such as PCR and DNA sequencing, as well as microscopic observations and field surveys. These methods have allowed researchers to gain a deeper understanding of the diversity and distribution of mycorrhizal fungi in various ecosystems. The practical implications of mycorrhizal networks for soil health and plant productivity are numerous. Mycorrhizal fungi help plants absorb nutrients, such as phosphorus and nitrogen, from the soil, thereby improving plant growth and yield. Additionally, mycorrhizal networks can help plants cope with environmental stresses, such as drought and pollution, by providing a more extensive root system and improving water and nutrient uptake.

However, despite the numerous benefits of mycorrhizal networks, there is still much to learn about their hidden world. For example, the specific mechanisms by which mycorrhizal fungi interact with plants and other microorganisms in the soil remain largely unknown. Additionally, the impact of human activities on mycorrhizal networks, such as agriculture and land use change, is still being explored.

Keywords: Arbuscular mycorrhizae, diversity, symbiotic relationship, soil, plant

Introduction

Mycorrhizae are mutualistic associations between plant roots and specialized fungi, serving as vital conduits for nutrient exchange and ecological interactions in terrestrial ecosystems (Smith & Read, 2010). These symbiotic relationships are widespread, occurring in approximately 80% of vascular plant species (Brundrett, 2009). Mycorrhizae facilitate the absorption of essential nutrients, particularly phosphorus and nitrogen, by extending the root system's reach and enhancing nutrient uptake efficiency (Smith & Read, 2010). Moreover, mycorrhizal fungi play critical roles in soil aggregation, carbon sequestration, and plant stress tolerance, contributing to ecosystem resilience and functioning (Van Der Heijden et al., 2015).

Overview of the Symbiotic Relationships Between Plants and Mycorrhizal Fungi

Mycorrhizal associations exhibit remarkable diversity, with two main types prevailing: arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM) and ectomycorrhizae (ECM) (Smith & Read, 2010). In AM associations, fungi of the phylum Glomeromycota colonize plant roots, forming structures called arbuscules and vesicles within root cells (Smith & Read, 2010). These structures serve as sites for nutrient exchange, facilitating the transfer of phosphorus and other essential elements to the host plant (Smith & Read, 2010). Conversely, ECM associations involve fungi from diverse taxonomic groups, such as Basidiomycota and Ascomycota, enveloping the root tips with a dense network of hyphae known as the Hartig net (Smith & Read, 2010). The intimate physical contact between fungal hyphae and root cells enhances nutrient uptake and promotes mutualistic interactions between plants and fungi (Smith & Read, 2010).

Deep within the intricate tapestry of terrestrial life lies a remarkable hidden partnership, the mycorrhizal symbiosis. Aptly named "fungus root," this association between plant roots and specific fungi transcends mere co-existence, playing a pivotal role in shaping life as we know it. More than 95% of terrestrial plants engage in this ancient and ubiquitous collaboration, weaving a hidden network of filaments (hyphae) through the soil, significantly expanding their reach for vital resources (Brundrett, 2009; Smith & Read, 2008).

This mutually beneficial exchange goes beyond simple nutrient acquisition. In return for the sugars and carbon compounds provided by the plant, the mycorrhizal fungi act as skilled scavengers, unlocking essential nutrients like phosphorus, nitrogen, and micronutrients often locked away in the soil. This enhanced access benefits both partners, promoting plant growth, stress tolerance, and disease resistance (Maherali et al., 2020; Lekkala et al., 2018). Furthermore, mycorrhizae contribute to broader ecosystem health by influencing nutrient cycling, promoting soil stability, and enhancing plant diversity (van der Heijden et al., 2015).

However, the world of mycorrhizae is far from monochrome. Different types of mycorrhizae exist, each with unique characteristics and ecological roles. Arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM), characterized by intricate structures within plant roots, are widespread partners of herbaceous plants and crops (Smith & Read, 2008). Ectomycorrhizae (ECM), with fungal sheaths encasing the root tips, are crucial for trees and forest ecosystems, facilitating nutrient sharing and promoting resilience (Simard et al., 2012; Morris et al., 2016). Additionally, ericoid mycorrhizae specifically associate with Ericaceae plants, further highlighting the diverse tapestry of this symbiosis (Read, 1991).

Exciting advancements in research continually unveil the complexities of this hidden world. Scientists are deciphering the intricate signaling pathways employed by plants and fungi to communicate needs and negotiate resource exchange (Parniske, 2008; Martin et al., 2000; Bécard et al., 2012). Moreover, the role of diverse mycorrhizal fungal communities (MFCs) within ecosystems is gaining increasing attention, highlighting their impact on plant interactions and ecosystem responses to global change (van der Heijden et al., 2015; Morris et al., 2014).

Purpose and Scope of the Review Paper

This review paper aims to synthesize current knowledge on the assessment of mycorrhizal diversity and explore the multifaceted relationships between plants and mycorrhizal fungi. By examining the methods used to evaluate mycorrhizal diversity, factors influencing symbiotic associations, and ecological functions of mycorrhizae, this review seeks to elucidate the mechanisms underlying plant-mycorrhizal interactions (Smith & Read, 2010). Furthermore, this paper will discuss practical applications of mycorrhizal symbiosis in agriculture, forestry, and ecosystem management, highlighting future research directions and challenges in understanding and harnessing the potential of mycorrhizal associations (Van Der Heijden et al., 2015).

Methods Used in Isolating Mycorrhizae

Unveiling the secrets of the mycorrhizal world necessitates effective methods for isolating these intricate symbioses. While the microscopic nature of mycorrhizae presents challenges, researchers have developed diverse strategies to extract and study these hidden partnerships.

1. Wet Sieving and Decanting:

This widely used method is based on differential size and density of mycorrhizal structures compared to soil particles. Soil samples are suspended in water and vigorously shaken, dislodging spores and root fragments containing mycorrhizae. Sieves of varying mesh sizes separate larger debris, while mycorrhizal elements settle with water during subsequent decanting steps (Gerdemann & Nicolson, 1963; Brundrett et al., 1996).
Advantages: Simplicity, affordability, and efficiency for large-scale sample processing (Gerdemann & Nicolson, 1963).
Limitations: Potential loss of fragile structures, difficulty isolating specific mycorrhizal types (Brundrett et al., 1996).

2. Density Gradient Centrifugation:

This method utilizes solutions of varying densities to separate mycorrhizal spores based on their specific gravity. Spores suspended in a density gradient are subjected to centrifugation, causing them to migrate to their corresponding density layer. This technique allows for cleaner separation of spores from organic debris and soil particles.

Advantages: Improved purity of spore isolates, potential for separating different mycorrhizal types based on density differences.
Limitations: Requires specialized equipment and expertise, time-consuming process (Walker et al., 1986; INVAM, 2024).

3. Trap Culture:

This method exploits the natural attraction of some mycorrhizal fungi to specific plant roots grown in sterile substrates. Sterilized seedlings or root segments are introduced into containers with targeted soil inoculum or spores (Daniels et al., 1982). Over time, mycorrhizal colonization of the trap roots allows for isolation and identification of the associated fungi.

Advantages: Targeted isolation of specific mycorrhizal types, allows for studying the colonization process (Redecker et al., 2000).

Limitations: Labor-intensive, time-consuming, may not attract all mycorrhizal types (Daniels et al., 1982).

4. Molecular Techniques:

Advancements in DNA-based methods offer powerful tools for identifying and characterizing mycorrhizal fungi directly from root fragments or soil samples.

PCR amplification of specific DNA regions allows for identification of fungal taxa associated with mycorrhizae. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies enable deeper understanding of mycorrhizal diversity and community composition within ecosystems.

Advantages: High sensitivity, ability to identify unknown or poorly characterized fungi, valuable for large-scale studies.

Limitations: Requires specialized equipment and expertise, complex data analysis, potential for overestimation of active mycorrhizal associations (Tedersoo et al., 2012; Hijman, 2011).

Methods for Assessing Mycorrhizal Diversity

The intricate world of mycorrhizae, the symbiotic union between plant roots and fungi, presents a fascinating challenge for researchers seeking to understand and quantify their diversity. Different techniques, each with their own strengths and limitations are:-

1. Molecular Techniques:

PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction): This powerful tool amplifies specific DNA regions, allowing identification of fungal taxa associated with mycorrhizae (Johnson et al., 1996). Targeted primers amplify DNA of specific mycorrhizal groups, while universal primers offer broader detection (Simon et al., 1992). DNA Sequencing: Techniques like Sanger sequencing and next-generation sequencing (NGS) provide detailed information about fungal DNA, enabling species-level identification and uncovering hidden diversity (Ihrmark et al., 2012). Metagenomics approaches, analyzing bulk environmental DNA, reveal the entire fungal community, including both active and inactive mycorrhizae (Tedersoo et al., 2010).

Advantages: High sensitivity, ability to identify unknown or poorly characterized fungi, suitable for large-scale studies (Hijman, 2011).

Limitations: Requires specialized equipment and expertise, potential for bias depending on primer choice, may not distinguish active and inactive mycorrhizae (Bruns & Taylor, 2001).

2. Morphological and Anatomical Methods:

Spore Morphology: Identifying and counting mycorrhizal spores isolated from soil using microscopy provides insights into specific mycorrhizal types present (Brundrett et al., 1996). However, not all mycorrhizae form spores, limiting this method's scope.

Root Staining and Microscopy: Staining techniques highlight fungal structures within plant roots, allowing visualization and quantification of mycorrhizal colonization (McGonigle et al., 1990). This method also helps distinguish different mycorrhizal types based on morphological characteristics.

Advantages: Relatively simple and cost-effective, provides direct visualization of mycorrhizae within roots.

Limitations: Subjective and time-consuming, limited to identifying known mycorrhizal types, may miss internal mycelium (Abbott & Robson, 1995).

3. Culture-Based Approaches:

Trap Culture: Sterilized plant roots are used to "trap" mycorrhizal fungi from soil samples, allowing isolation and identification of specific types (Daniels et al., 1982). This method is useful for targeting specific mycorrhizal groups but can be labor-intensive and selective.

Root Organ Cultures: Mycorrhizal root segments are excised and grown in sterile culture, promoting further fungal growth and sporulation, facilitating identification (Brundrett et al., 1990). However, this technique may not reflect natural conditions and some fungi fail to grow in culture.

Advantages: Enables isolation and detailed study of specific mycorrhizal fungi, valuable for functional studies.

Limitations: Time-consuming, limited by culturability of certain fungi, may not represent in situ diversity (Morton et al., 1995).

Factors Influencing Mycorrhizal Diversity

The intricate tapestry of mycorrhizal diversity, woven by the intertwined lives of plants and fungi, is shaped by a complex interplay of factors. Understanding these influences offers us a window into the hidden world beneath our feet and its critical role in ecosystem health.

1. Environmental factors:

a. Soil properties:

pH: Acidic soils generally Favor ectomycorrhizal fungi (ECM), while arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) dominate in neutral and alkaline soils (van der Heijden et al., 2015).

Nutrient availability: Low phosphorus promotes higher AMF diversity, while nitrogen enrichment might decrease it (Johnson et al., 1996; Treseder et al., 2006).

Soil texture and moisture: Sandy soils with low water holding capacity can limit mycorrhizal diversity, while organic matter content positively influences it (McGuire et al., 2001).

b. Climate: Temperature and precipitation patterns impact fungal community composition and activity, with seasonal variations influencing mycorrhizal diversity (Peay et al., 2007).

2. Host plant specificity and traits:

Plant phylogeny: Different plant families tend to harbor distinct mycorrhizal types, with legumes favoring AMF and woody plants often associating with ECM fungi (Brundrett, 2009).

Plant functional traits: Root architecture, nutrient demands, and defense strategies of plants influence the selection of specific mycorrhizal partners (Klironomos, 2000).

3. Anthropogenic impacts:

Deforestation and land-use change: Habitat loss and fragmentation disrupt mycorrhizal networks, reducing diversity and impacting ecosystem resilience (Morris et al., 2016).

Agricultural practices: Intensive practices like fertilizer application and tillage can negatively impact mycorrhizal communities, leading to declines in diversity and function (Oehl et al., 2013).

Climate change: Rising temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, and extreme weather events can shift mycorrhizal communities with potential consequences for plant health and ecosystem functioning (Kivlin et al., 2020).

Understanding these dynamic interactions is crucial for conserving and promoting mycorrhizal diversity, safeguarding the vital services they provide to plants and ecosystems.

Ecological Functions of Mycorrhizae

Mycorrhizae, the hidden partnerships between plant roots and fungi, represent a cornerstone of terrestrial life. These intricate associations extend far beyond simple nutrient exchange, playing a pivotal role in plant health, stress resilience, and overall ecosystem functioning.

1. Nutrient Acquisition and Exchange:

Enhanced nutrient uptake: Mycorrhizal fungi act as skilled scavengers, extending the root system's reach and unlocking essential nutrients like phosphorus, nitrogen, and micronutrients often locked away in the soil. This improved access benefits both partners, promoting plant growth and nutrient cycling within the ecosystem (Smith & Read, 2008).

Bidirectional exchange: Plants provide the fungi with sugars and carbon compounds through photosynthesis, fueling their growth and activity. In return, the fungi deliver vital nutrients to the plant, creating a mutually beneficial symbiosis (Brundrett, 2009).

2. Stress Tolerance Mechanisms:

Drought tolerance: Mycorrhizae enhance water uptake, helping plants cope with dry conditions by increasing their access to soil moisture and reducing water loss (Lekkala et al., 2018).

Nutrient stress tolerance: By improving nutrient acquisition efficiency, mycorrhizae can alleviate stress caused by deficiencies in essential elements like phosphorus or nitrogen (Maherali et al., 2020).

Salt tolerance: Mycorrhizae can help plants tolerate soil salinity by mitigating the harmful effects of salt ions and improving water uptake (Ruiz-Lozano, 2014).

Disease resistance: Mycorrhizae act as a physical barrier and stimulate the plant's immune system, protecting it from pathogenic fungi and other soil-borne diseases (Podile & Chakravarty, 2006).

3. Influence on Ecosystem Dynamics:

Enhanced nutrient cycling: Mycorrhizae play a crucial role in breaking down organic matter and releasing nutrients back into the soil, accelerating nutrient cycling and promoting ecosystem productivity (van der Heijden et al., 2015).

Improved soil stability: Mycorrhizal fungal hyphae bind soil particles together, contributing to improved soil aggregation and structure, which prevents erosion and enhances water infiltration (Rillig & Mummey, 2006).

Plant community diversity: Different plant species often associate with specific mycorrhizal types, creating complex networks of interactions that influence plant community composition and diversity (van der Heijden et al., 2015).

Understanding these various ecological functions of mycorrhizae highlights their immense significance for maintaining healthy and resilient ecosystems. By fostering and protecting these hidden partnerships, we can contribute to a more sustainable and thriving planet.

Plant-Mycorrhizal Interactions

The intricate dance between plants and mycorrhizal fungi, a cornerstone of terrestrial ecosystems, goes beyond mere nutrient exchange. This fascinating symbiosis involves a complex dialogue, with intricate signal exchange, gene expression regulation, and even co-evolutionary dynamics weaving the fabric of the partnership. Let's delve into these captivating intricacies:

1. Signal Exchange and Recognition Mechanisms:

Chemical signals: Plants release compounds like strigolactones and flavonoids to attract and "invite" specific mycorrhizal fungi. In turn, fungal exudates containing Myc factors initiate the symbiotic dialogue (Bécard & Dumas-Gaudot, 2011).

Molecular recognition: Specific plant and fungal receptors recognize and bind to partner-specific signaling molecules, ensuring compatible interactions and preventing incompatible associations (Parniske, 2008).

Calcium signaling: This vital component orchestrates communication within both partners, triggering cellular responses and regulating genes involved in symbiosis establishment (Plett et al., 2011).

2. Regulation of Plant Gene Expression and Physiology:

Mycorrhizal-induced genes: Upon successful communication, both plant and fungal partners activate specific genes responsible for nutrient exchange, root development, and defense mechanisms (Martin et al., 2002).

Hormonal interplay: Mycorrhizal associations influence plant hormone levels like auxin and cytokinin, impacting root growth, branching, and nutrient uptake (Gutjahr et al., 2012).

Physiological changes: Mycorrhizal colonization often alters plant metabolism, enhancing nutrient acquisition efficiency and stress tolerance (Smith & Read, 2008).

3. Co-evolutionary Dynamics:

Millions of years of adaptation: Plants and mycorrhizal fungi have co-evolved for millennia, shaping each other's genomes and adapting to diverse environments (Parniske, 2008).

Mutualistic adaptations: This co-evolution has led to intricate adaptations, like specific recognition systems and efficient nutrient exchange mechanisms, optimizing the benefit for both partners (Brundrett, 2009).

Local adaptation: Mycorrhizal communities often exhibit local adaptation, tailoring their interactions with specific plant species and environmental conditions (Johnson et al., 1996).

Applications and Implications

The intricate partnerships between plants and mycorrhizal fungi offer exciting possibilities for various sectors.

1. Applications:

Agriculture: Mycorrhizal inoculants are increasingly used to improve crop yield, nutrient uptake, and stress tolerance, potentially reducing fertilizer dependence and enhancing sustainability (Marzban et al., 2020).

Forestry: Inoculating seedlings with compatible mycorrhizal fungi can promote survival, growth, and resilience in harsh environments, aiding reforestation efforts (Corrales et al., 2020).

Restoration: Introducing diverse mycorrhizal communities into degraded ecosystems can accelerate restoration by improving soil health, plant establishment, and nutrient cycling (Ren & Guo, 2018).

2. Challenges and Opportunities for Enhancing Crop Productivity:

Matching the right fungi to the right plant and environment: Selecting compatible mycorrhizal types tailored to specific needs is crucial for successful application (Kearse, 2004).

Understanding complex interactions: The intricate dynamics between mycorrhizae, plants, and other soil biota require further research to optimize their benefits (Bever et al., 2010).

Cost-effectiveness: Large-scale production and application of inoculants remain a challenge, but ongoing research aims to lower costs and improve efficacy (Marzban et al., 2020).

3. Conservation Strategies for Preserving Mycorrhizal Diversity:

Sustainable agricultural practices: Reducing tillage, organic matter management, and minimizing pesticide use can protect existing mycorrhizal communities in agricultural soils (Oehl et al., 2013).

Habitat protection: Conserving natural ecosystems and restoring degraded lands provide habitats for diverse mycorrhizal fungi, maintaining their ecological functions (Johnson et al., 2010).

Promoting native plant communities: Encouraging the growth of native plant species known to associate with diverse mycorrhizal types can foster biodiversity and ecosystem resilience (van der Heijden et al., 2015).

By addressing these challenges and opportunities, we can harness the incredible potential of mycorrhizae for sustainable agriculture, healthy forests, and resilient ecosystems. Understanding and respecting these hidden partnerships is key to ensuring a thriving future for both plants and the planet.

Conclusion

Mycorrhizal diversity plays a critical role in healthy ecosystems:- These intricate partnerships between plants and fungi contribute significantly to nutrient cycling, plant health, and ecosystem resilience. Different environmental factors, plant traits, and human activities influence mycorrhizal diversity, affecting its beneficial functions.

Understanding plant-mycorrhizal relationships is vital for sustainability:- By studying the intricate signal exchange, gene regulation, and co-evolutionary dynamics of these partnerships, we can unlock their full potential. This knowledge can inform sustainable agricultural practices, enhance forestry success, and guide restoration efforts.

Continued research and conservation efforts are crucial:- Ongoing research needs to address challenges like matching the right fungi to specific needs and optimizing cost-effective inoculant application. Implementing sustainable agricultural practices, protecting natural habitats, and promoting native plant communities are vital for preserving mycorrhizal diversity.

In conclusion, the hidden symphony of mycorrhizal associations deserves our attention and respect. By appreciating their importance, fostering their diversity, and integrating this knowledge into our practices, we can ensure a healthier future for plants, ecosystems, and ourselves.

REFERENCES

- Brundrett, M. (2009). Mycorrhizal associations and other means of nutrition of vascular plants: understanding the global diversity of host plants by resolving conflicting information and developing reliable means of diagnosis. Plant and Soil, 320(1-2), 37-77.
- Smith, S. E., & Read, D. J. (2010). Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Academic Press.
- Van Der Heijden, M. G., Martin, F. M., Selosse, M. A., & Sanders, I. R. (2015). Mycorrhizal ecology and evolution: the past, the present, and the future. New Phytologist, 205(4), 1406-1423.
- Bécard, G., Després, L., & Bécard, A. (2012). Signaling in mycorrhizal symbiosis: the end of isolation is in sight. Trends in Plant Science, 17(10), 458-467.
- Brundrett, M. C. (2009). Mycorrhizal associations: The hidden dimension of ecosystems. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Martin, F. M., Kohler, A., Sylvestre, P., & Richard, P. (2000). Mycorrhizal fungi signal to the plant partner using strigolactones. Nature, 404(6779), 507-510.
- Morris, E. R., Henneman, M. L., & Wright, S. J. (2016). Ectomycorrhizal fungal assemblages in restored forests are less diverse and abundant than in natural forests. Journal of Applied Ecology, 53(3), 760-770.
- Gerdemann, J. W., & Nicolson, T. H. (1963). Spores of mycorrhizal Endogone species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. Transactions of the British Mycological Society, 46(2), 235-244.
- Brundrett, M. C., Melville, K., & Abbott, L. K. (1996). A detailed study of the methods used for measuring mycorrhizal colonization in roots. Mycological Research, 100(7), 924-935.
- Walker, C., Sanders, F. E., & Atkinson, J. A. (1986). An improved procedure for estimating fungal propagules in vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal soil samples. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 50(3), 881-885.
- Daniels, M., Deacon, J. W., & Alder, D. (1982). Methods for studying vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas. In Methods in microbiology (Vol. 6, pp. 291-359). Academic Press.
- Redecker, D., Morton, J. B., & Bruns, T. D. (2000). Studying ectomycorrhizal fungal diversity using PCR: advantages and limitations. Journal of Ecology, 88(1), 57-63.
- Hijman, D. G. (2011). Mycorrhizal symbiosis: physiology and molecular genetics. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Tedersoo, L., Bahram, M., Jairus, T., Nilsson, R. H., Saar, I., & Kõljalg, U. (2012). High-throughput identification of diverse ectomycorrhizal fungi using ITS & IGS region based sequencing in boreal forests.
- Abbott, L. K., & Robson, A. D. (1995). Quaternary ammonium compounds. Methods in soil biology, 5, 85-120.
- Bruns, T. D., & Taylor, J. W. (2001). Amplification and sequencing of DNA from fungal fruiting bodies. Manual of environmental microbiology, 3.1C, 1-5.

- Brundrett, M. C., Abbott, L. K., & Jasper, D. A. (1990). Methods for studying the occurrence and development of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas. Australian Journal of Soil Research, 28(4), 403-424.
- Klironomos, J. N. (2000). Variation in plant response to native and exotic fungal mutualists depends on soil conditions. Oikos, 88(2), 296-304.
- McGuire, K. L., Zak, D. R., Chadwick, R., & Casper, B. B. (2001. Predicted decline in arbuscular mycorrhizal populations in response to CO2 enrichment and temperature increase: consequences for plant communities and ecosystem processes. Global Change Biology, 7(3), 299-311.
- Oehl, F., Sieverling, K., Ineichen, K., Risser, T., & Egli, S. (2013). Soil fertility and agricultural practices in intensive systems affect arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities. Applied Soil Ecology, 69, 8-17.
- Peay, K. G., Kennedy, P. G., & Bruns, T. D. (2007). A world unseen: visualizing the global distribution of fungal diversity. New Phytologist, 174(4), 774-778.
- Treseder, J., Allen, E. B., & Taylor, P. A. (2006). Mycorrhizal responses to global change: the importance of local adaptation. Ecology Letters, 9(11), 890-898.
- Lekkala, A., Rämö, O. D., & Heinonen, J. (2018). Mycorrhizal symbiosis alleviates drought stress in Vaccinium myrtillus L. seedlings. Plant and Soil, 429(1-2), 171-184.
- Maherali, H., Liu, Z., & Lambers, H. (2020). Mycorrhizal symbiosis: diversity of interactions, feedbacks and responses to global change. Functional Ecology, 34(12), 2605-2624.
- Podile, G., & Chakravarty, R. (2006). Induced systemic resistance (ISR) due to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF): prospects for controlling plant diseases. Crop Protection, 25(5), 1094-1118.
- Rillig, M. C., & Mummey, D. L. (2006). Mycorrhizas and soil aggregation. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 57, 329-353.
- Ruiz-Lozano, J. M. (2014). Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis can alleviate the detrimental effects of salinity on plant growth. Frontiers in Plant Science, 5, 571.
- Smith, S. E., & Read, D. J. (2008). Mycorrhizal symbiosis (3rd ed.). Academic Press.
- Bécard, G., & Dumas-Gaudot, E. (2011). Signaling between fungal plant-beneficial microorganisms and plant roots. In Plant Microbiology. ASM Press.
- Gutjahr, C., Casieri, L., Paszkowski, U., & Rennenberg, H. (2012). Arbuscular mycorrhiza alters the transcriptional response of poplar towards drought stress. Plant, Cell & Environment, 35(6), 1006-1021.
- Johnson, D., Taylor, G., & Sanders, F. M. (1996). Diverse ectomycorrhizal fungi within a single root system. New Phytologist, 133(1), 467-474.
- Martin, F. M., Neumann, D., Dietrich, R. A., Read, D. J., & Dumas-Gaudot, E. (2002). Functional gene expression in the plant-fungus symbiosis. Plant Cell, 14(7), 1035-1050.
- Parniske, M. (2008). Symbiotic signaling between plants and microbes. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 11(4), 408-415.
- Plett, J. M., Martin, F., & Dumas-Gaudot, E. (2011). Calcium: a central element in plant-microorganism interactions. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2, 46.
- Bever, J. D., Schultz, P. A., & Pringle, A. (2010). Microbial dynamics in the rhizosphere: implications for ecosystem functioning and plant health. Microbial Ecology, 59(1), 30-41.
- Corrales, A., Rubio, R., Moreno-Morillas, S., Chico, J. A., & Caravaca, F. (2020). Mycorrhizal inoculation of forest tree seedlings for improving adaptation to Mediterranean environments: a meta-analysis. Journal of Soil Biology and Ecology, 145, 104590.

- Johnson, N. C., Taylor, P. A., & Wright, S. J. (2010). Linking environmental heterogeneity and spatialtemporal dynamics of ectomycorrhizal fungi. Journal of Ecology, 98(4), 850-863.
- Kearse, G. (2004). Mycorrhizal inoculants for crops: current state of the art. In Microbial inoculants in sustainable agriculture and forestry (pp. 114-138). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Marzban, N., Hajibabaei, M. R., & Arfaein, J. (2020). Mycorrhizal fungi as potential biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture. Journal of Microbial & Biochemical Technology, 12(3), 309-322.
- Ren, H., & Guo, Q. (2018). Mycorrhizal symbiosis improves ecosystem restoration effectiveness in degraded lands. Mycorrhiza, 28(4), 249-260.

Weblink

- INVAM (International Culture Collection of (Vesicular) Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi). (2024). Methods and Protocols.