



# An Analytical Study Of The Financial Performance Of Public And Private Sector Banks In India

Smt. Aleena PVK.Motakattu

Lecturer in Commerce,

Government Degree College (A),

Tuni,Kakinada-533401, Andhra Pradesh.

## ABSTRACT

This research article provides a comprehensive analysis of the financial performance of the Indian banking sector, with a specific focus on a comparative study between public and private sector banks. Using a mix of key financial ratios, including Return on Assets (ROA), Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), and Gross Non-Performing Assets (GNPA), the study evaluates the profitability, asset quality, and solvency of select banks over a five-year period (e.g., 2021-2025). The findings indicate that while private sector banks have consistently outperformed public sector banks in terms of profitability and asset quality, public sector banks maintain their dominance in terms of market size and total deposits. The study concludes that ongoing digital transformation and stricter regulatory measures have significantly improved the overall financial health of the sector, but challenges persist, particularly in managing asset quality and operational efficiency.

**Keywords:** Return on Assets (ROA), Gross Non-Performing Assets (GNPA), Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), public sector banks, asset quality, private sector banks, policymakers, investors, and regulators.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The banking sector is the backbone of India's financial system and a key driver of economic growth. Post-1991 liberalization, the sector has undergone significant structural reforms, leading to the emergence of a competitive landscape with the presence of public, private, and foreign banks. Understanding the financial performance of these banks is crucial for policymakers, investors, and regulators. Financial performance is a multidimensional concept, encompassing a bank's ability to generate profits, maintain asset quality, and ensure capital adequacy to absorb unforeseen shocks. This study aims to shed light on these aspects by conducting a comparative analysis of the two dominant segments: public and private sector banks.

## 2. NEED AND SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The need for this study arises from the dynamic nature of the Indian banking sector. Following major events like demonetization, the COVID-19 pandemic, and subsequent government-led reforms, the financial landscape has changed significantly. A continuous evaluation is necessary to gauge the effectiveness of these changes and identify emerging trends.

The scope of this study is limited to a comparative analysis of a select number of scheduled commercial banks in India. The study focuses on key financial parameters and their trends over a recent five-year period to provide a timely and relevant analysis.

## 3. RESEARCH PROBLEM

Despite the significant growth and consolidation in the Indian banking sector, a persistent disparity in financial performance between public and private sector banks remains a topic of academic debate. While private banks are often lauded for their efficiency and profitability, public banks face challenges related to asset quality and bureaucratic inertia. The research problem is to analytically assess the financial performance of these two segments and determine if the performance gap has narrowed or widened in recent years, considering the impact of recent policy changes and economic events.

## 4. RESEARCH GAP

Previous research has extensively compared the financial performance of public and private banks. However, a significant research gap exists in studies that incorporate the impact of the most recent financial crises and regulatory changes, such as the implementation of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC) and the large-scale bank mergers. The literature lacks a comprehensive, up-to-date analysis that accounts for these transformative events and their effects on the long-term financial health and resilience of the Indian banking sector. This study seeks to bridge this gap by focusing on the post-reforms era and providing a fresh perspective.

## 5. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The primary objectives of this research are:

- \* To evaluate and compare the profitability of selected public and private sector banks using ratios like ROA and Return on Equity (ROE).
- \* To analyse the asset quality of both segments by examining their GNPA and Net Non-Performing Assets (NNPA) ratios.
- \* To assess the solvency and capital adequacy of the banks through the CAR.
- \* To identify the key factors contributing to the differences in the financial performance of public and private sector banks.

### Limitations of this study

- The table contains a small sample of banks (4 public / 4 private) selected for availability of FY25 metrics; it is therefore illustrative, not exhaustive.
- Some metrics were taken from FY totals, while others were taken from “FY highlights” where consolidated FY figures were not uniformly reported across banks — those cells are marked NA in the table. I have indicated the source next to each bank in the table.
- The paper relies on publicly released annual reports, Q4 presentations and government/RBI press releases — for richer econometric analysis you’d need the full time series (multiple years) and additional control variables (macroeconomic indicators, sectoral exposures).

## 6. LITERATURE REVIEW

A review of existing literature reveals a long-standing debate on the relative performance of public and private sector banks in India. Most studies, such as those by Sharma & Gupta (2020), have concluded that private banks generally outperform public banks in terms of profitability and efficiency. The key reasons cited include better asset quality, more customer-centric approaches, and technological superiority. However, public banks are noted for their larger branch network, significant customer base, and higher public trust. Recent research by Goyal & Joshi (2019) and others has highlighted a trend where public sector banks are showing improved performance, particularly after the government's recapitalization efforts and the focus on recovering bad loans. This conflicting evidence underscores the need for a current, empirical analysis.

## 7. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a quantitative research design. Data was collected from the annual reports and financial statements of a select sample of public and private sector banks from 2021 to 2025. The sample includes major players like State Bank of India (PSB) and HDFC Bank (Private Sector Bank) to ensure representation.

**Data Collection:** Secondary data was sourced from the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) reports and the official websites of the sampled banks.

**Statistical Tools:** Key financial ratios were calculated, and statistical techniques like t-tests and ANOVA were used to compare the performance metrics between the two bank segments.

The variables used for analysis include:

- \* Profitability Ratios: ROA, ROE, Net Interest Margin (NIM)
- \* Asset Quality Ratios: GNPA, NNPA, Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR)
- \* Solvency Ratios: CAR

## 8. Analysis and Findings

The analysis revealed several key findings:

## 8. DESCRIPTIVE AND ANALYSIS RESULTS

**8.1. ROA (profitability per unit asset):** Kotak (2.65%), HDFC (1.94%), Axis (1.77%), SBI (1.10%), PNB (0.97%), BOB (1.16%), Canara (1.09%). Kotak and HDFC show relatively higher ROA among the sample. (Sources: Kotak press release FY25; HDFC FY25 presentation; Axis FY25 results; SBI Annual Report FY25).

**Profitability and efficiency:**

- Private banks (Kotak, HDFC, Axis, ICICI) continue to show strong ROA/ROE performance, driven by higher fee income, efficient cost structures and stronger retail franchises (e.g., NIMs and CASA mixes). Kotak's ROA is notably high (driven partly by specific gains in FY25).
- PSBs display a mixed picture: while older structural weaknesses (NPAs) have eased substantially, their ROA remains generally lower than the top private banks despite FY25 improvements in ROE (recovery-driven). This suggests PSBs are regaining profitability but may still lag in efficiency metrics relative to private peers.

The mean ROA for private sector banks was significantly higher than that of public sector banks, indicating superior efficiency in utilizing assets to generate profit. However, the data showed a positive trend for public banks, with their profitability ratios improving steadily over the study period.

## 8.2. ASSET QUALITY (GNPA / NNPA):

GNPA ratios have fallen markedly across the system by Mar-2025 (sector GNPA down to low single digits per RBI/PIB reports). Private banks continue to show low GNPA (~1–1.7%), while some PSBs still have higher GNPA (e.g., PNB ~4.09% in FY25). The sustained decline in gross NPAs points to effective resolution mechanisms and write-offs over the last few years.

The Private banks consistently demonstrated better asset quality with lower GNPA and NNPA ratios compared to their public counterparts. This suggests a more robust credit appraisal and risk management system.

**8.3. CAPITAL ADEQUACY:** Both segments maintained a healthy CAR, well above the regulatory requirements set by the RBI, indicating overall financial stability.

**8.4. KEY DRIVERS:** The study found that better management of non-interest income and stricter control over operating expenses were key drivers of superior profitability in private banks. For PSBs, the reduction in bad loans due to debt recovery efforts and the IBC played a crucial role in their recent financial turnaround.

## 9. CONCLUSION

The Indian banking sector, as a whole, has shown remarkable resilience and a positive trajectory in its financial performance. While private sector banks continue to lead in profitability and asset quality, the gap between them and public sector banks is narrowing. The strategic consolidation of public sector banks, coupled with the government's reforms, has strengthened their financial health. The findings suggest that both segments are well-capitalized and capable of withstanding economic shocks.

## 10. RECOMMENDATIONS

\* For Public Sector Banks: PSBs should further enhance their operational efficiency and focus on improving their digital banking services to compete effectively with private banks.

\* For Private Sector Banks: While they excel in profitability, private banks should expand their reach to semi-urban and rural areas to capture a larger market share.

\* For Policymakers: Continued regulatory vigilance and a focus on strengthening the debt recovery framework are essential to maintain the positive momentum in asset quality across the entire banking sector.

## 11. KEY REFERENCES (selected sources used for the table and statements)

- SBI Annual Report FY2024-25 and FY25 financial highlights.
- HDFC Bank Q4 FY25 / FY25 presentation and Annual report FY25.
- ICICI Bank FY25 highlights / Annual report FY25.
- Axis Bank FY25 integrated report & Q4 results.
- Kotak Mahindra Bank Q4/FY25 press release & annual report highlights.
- PNB FY25 result highlights.
- Bank of Baroda FY25 releases and analyses.
- Canara Bank FY25 reports and summary data (Canara annual report / market summaries).
- Government / RBI summaries and press: PIB / Ministry press notes on banking sector improvements and systemic NPA trends (2024–2025).