IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

A Review On Human Robot Interaction In Healthcare

Arpitha J
Assistant Professor,
Department of CSE,
SVCE, Vidyanagar,
Bangalore, India

Yogitha R
Department of CSE,
SVCE, Vidyanagar,
Bangalore, India

Soniya G R
Department of CSE,
SVCE, Vidyanagar,
Bangalore, India

Sumanth Gowda
Department of CSE,
SVCE, Vidyanagar,Bangalore, India

Rudresh
Department of CSE,
SVCE, Vidyanagar,
Bangalore, India

***Abstract*—** Robots are increasingly being used in healthcare delivery and their effectiveness can be determined through understanding their personalities. Nonetheless, healthcare human robot interaction (H-HRI) has not had a systematic or comprehensive grasp of personality. In the past Healthcare has presented benefits for humanoid robots. However, there is no systematic and comprehensive understanding of personality in health care human robot interaction (H-HRI). This paper examines some common scenarios in medicine and provides an exhaustive way to measure trust. Such a proposed paradigm highlights the importance of unambiguous communication among doctors as well as their expectations regarding robot intervention into a task that is centered on humans. Additionally, it shows how patients receive help from robots. Finally, suggestions are made that aim at improving patient's confidence because the former contributes towards improved therapy efficiency levels. It Briefly Discusses Five Character Traits Also Personality Traits. These entail human-robot interaction factors.

***Keywords*—** Health, Personality, Character, Trust.

I. INTRODUCTION

In new environments, robots—a technological advancement that can sense, comprehend, and respond to its environment through standardized actions—are being used to both replace and enhance human workers. Consequently, robots are interacting with the representatives of an association and occasionally directly assisting their clients. The need for robots in order to be capable to communicate directly with humans has led several scientists to identify elements that promote human-robot interaction. [1] It's been established that Character has a crucial role in determining the type of human-robot communications. One solution to the expanding shortage of healthcare workers that the recent COVID-19 pandemic has only made worse is the application of robots. Robots have been used to oversee health exams, distribute therapeutic supplies, and, shockingly, guide comprehension thinking in the midst of the ongoing epidemic. [4]

The workers in the healthcare industry were also in risk. They assist with practical tasks (walking, healing, cleaning), mental preparation, general welfare (monitoring blood pressure, identifying falls), or interpersonal relationships (friendship, entertainment). To ensure that deliver the best possible outcome, robots are meant to operate in close proximity to patients or even physicians. They additionally useful as a means of exchange for experts. Human-robot interaction is gradually making its way into the medical sector as patient confidence must be gained. Experiments and reviews were conducted to determine what qualities a robot should possess to be able to improve confidence in human-robot interaction in healthcare settings, particularly in exercise-based recovery.[3]

Characteristics are elements that can predict a person's thoughts and behavior, while there are numerous more kinds of personality traits, the Big Five are particularly important. The qualities of a person that are most commonly used are the Enormous Five. The five-character attributes—receptiveness to encounter,

scruples, extraversion, appropriateness, and neuroticism—are commonly addressed by the acronym Sea.[2]

When discussing robots in medical services, security is crucial and should be handled carefully. When it comes to caring for patients—especially the elderly—the robot should be kind and helpful. To these features, we may also add a few other traits. We may also deploy robots to entertain the sick to keep them occupied. To keep patients entertained, the robots can play music, tell jokes, and more. since this will lessen the patients' stress. Patients might be continuously linked to speed up their recovery. Despite the significance of character in the HRI literature, the investigation lacks a solid framework and remains fragmented. [5]

This makes it harder to recognize what we don't know and comprehend what we do know. Consequently, our comprehension of character in human-robot partnerships have not progressed at the same rate. With the deployment of robots in organizations or in our larger society. The public's reliance on robots is growing, thus it's important to comprehend the more probable grasp factors—like character—that contribute to improved human-robot cooperation (HRI). This research looks into the current state of human-robot character research, looks at the unique role that character plays in human-robot exploration, and provides guidance for further research.

II. Literature Review

A few central issues from the writing survey include:

In order to increase customer recognition and commitment, research has focused on designing robots for the elderly population. These layouts consider aspects like look and human likeness. Collaborations can benefit from a greater sense of security and comfort when planning robots are clearly visible to customers.[2] Improving patient-centred innovations necessitates a thoughtful and comprehensive approach to guarantee that clients' needs and preferences, including patients', are taken into consideration. Including feedback and opinions from clients is necessary to develop creative ideas that improve the overall consideration process.[2]Discussions have also brought forward moral issues about the application of robots in senior care, highlighting the necessity to address ethical concerns and the implications of integrating robots into caregiving roles. It is essential to consider the ethical implications of using humanoid robots in healthcare to be able to maintain patient welfare and maintain public trust.

In general, the writing survey provides a thorough overview of the ongoing research on patients' collaborations with humanoid robots in medical services, highlighting the complexities and considerations involved in organizing and executing mechanical solutions to support patient consideration and prosperity.[2] Overall, this paper's literature review offers a comprehensive overview all the studies that have been conducted on personality in H-HRI, emphasizing the significance of knowing personality in connection to medical robots and identifying gaps in the field.

Patient personality is intimately related to how they respond to medical procedures and how their experiences overall. Research has indicated that even though neuroticism is negatively correlated with outcomes, other traits—such as pleasantness, good faith, extroversion, and openness to new experiences—are positively correlated with them.[4] Patient interactions and wellness outcomes may be impacted by the qualities of medical care providers favourable results have been connected to characteristics of medical care providers such as appropriateness, principles, extroversion, and receptiveness to encounter.[4] The literature on medical care robot character is segmented into several domains, including brain science, human-robot cooperation, human-PC connection, and human factors in designing. This discontinuity makes it challenging to comprehend the available data and identify research gaps.[4]

The systematic review provides writing commitments by organizing and highlighting findings on The characteristics of human-robot collaboration in medical care. It aims to provide a logical viewpoint on the study area and the associated plan space, supplying direction for additional study in the topic.[4]

III. Human Robot Interaction

The term "human-robot interaction" has several meanings. Goodrich and Schultz, for instance, describe as committed to understanding, organizing, and evaluating mechanical frameworks. According to Goodrich and Schultz, cooperation necessitates communication between humans and robots. [5]

Moreover, the primary goal of HRIA is the examination of robots' performance and usability when doing jobs requiring human assistance. A person and a robot can converse in several ways. These include the application of visual displays, such as graphical user interfaces (UIs) or expanded reality interaction points, in addition to look and signals, such as changes in hand and facial expressions, speech, regular dialects, genuine collaboration, and haptics. Thus, achieving the most natural possible human-robot interaction is the primary goal of HRI.

Humans and robots can also appear as one human-robot group, one human-various robots, human group one robot, different people one robot, human group robot group, human group various robots, and different people robot group in the HRI communications. The scientific classification of human-robot communication may be divided into five basic categories. These scientific categories take into account transformation, group formation, independence, and the concept of data commerce.

A. Independence:

In essence, independence refers to a robot's ability to perform a task on its own. The Department of Homeland Security has acknowledged the United States Territories as having four degrees of independence. These include

individuals who worked, were assigned, controlled, and wholly autonomous. The robot's climate cannot be independently managed under human worked independence; instead, all choices are made by the human administrator. When a robot is human-assigned independent, may perform a variety of functions without human supervision. Under human guided independence, the robot carries carrying out different activities under human supervision, whereas under fully autonomous independence, the robot receives human objectives and translates them into tasks.

B. Nature of Data Trade:

In this context, the notion of "data trade" speaks of the data exchanged between people and machines. People and robots often exchange data using a variety of correspondence media that are characterized by the senses of hearing, touching, and sight. Common modes of communication include speech, hand and facial gestures, natural languages, and visual displays including graphical user interfaces and augmented reality interfaces. Through communication, humans and robots exchange information. [5]

C. The construction of the group:

This is essentially the number, arrangement, and coordination of humans and cooperative robots performing a specific activity.

D. Variation, Learning and Preparing:

This suggests that a robot can pick up new behaviors from its environment or from artificial input. An Investigation of Human-Robot Collaboration in Medical services Intuitive methods. In addition, a robot may adapt to its environment. Humans who lack proficiency in a certain activity can also be trained in HRI.

E. The Task's Form:

The undertaking's condition speaks of the manner in which a certain task is carried out. HRI is a broad area of research that has been utilised in a number of contexts, including military, diversion, search and rescue operations, training, communications, and healthcare.

IV. FACTORS OF TRUST

A. Transparency:

A robot that is capable of self-explanation can demonstrate straightforward behavior by increasing confidence. In both cases, the patient is also the client. It might be carried out using written, spoken, visual, audio, and other communication methods. Spoken The most important thing in communication is efficient technique to be direct with the patient. In order to determine if the patient's trust would increase, a test was conducted in which a robot performed a typical blood pressure check. Non- Verbal exchanges can take many different shapes, such as body language or signals, social distance, touch-based communication, and more. According to research, body language or gestures that express confidence in a robot doing tasks increase that trust. When a robot finally makes an appearance in a person's personal space, despite the awkwardness initially, trust between humans and robots grows. For a robot to gain a human's confidence, it must possess a number of attributes.[3]

B. Social Characteristics:

The safety, accuracy and precision, dependability, capacity, and predictability of the robot are its professional and social features. It alludes to the idea that having a high degree of consistency isn't actually advantageous since it makes people seem less like humans and more like machines. On the other hand, patients find it painful when more rigor is used, even if doctors commend this for increasing the treatment's success.

Although prepared interaction will be more confident and proficient since authorized guidance may be followed with more accuracy, patients will still regard it as less human. Additionally, robots should be able to adapt to the patient, express and understand human emotions, and continuously form, maintain, and expand upon social bonds.

Research on overviews conducted with parents of children with Chemical Unbalance Range Disorder (ASD) showed that robots should support medical care providers by interacting socially and monitoring the patient's progress. The guardians believed that integrating a robot into healthcare systems was morally acceptable.[3]

The overview focused on how they felt about the supporting factors that give them trust in a robotic system supplier. Human-robot interactions took place during household chores, moving, medication assistance, and washing. The sick people preferred to trust humans over robots since they were essentially uninterested in them and found it difficult to operate one. In any event, the patients demonstrated that they intended to trust robots to do household chores rather than people. Given human perception, robots that are clear, understandable, have distinct personalities, and possess specialized skills will inspire more trust in their abilities.

C. Ethical Decision Making:

A robot's moral dynamic might include learning guidelines to acquire ethical norms, additionally specialized set regulations controlling their actions, processing, and evaluating results. Reviews sought to assess the validity of the moral dynamic cycle restrained by a robot of contemplation. The test recalled moral scenarios in which each individual had a background context and the cooperative robot had a certain value that was commonly believed to be high. It then used this value to make moral decisions. In general, even after demonstrations of human-robot collaboration scenarios, the patients did not confide in the robot for moral guidance and needed greater candor.[3]

V. METHODOLOGY FOR ENHANCING HUMAN-ROBOT INTERACTION IN HAEALTHCARE

Thus far, this research has demonstrated the wide range of applications of robots in healthcare. They support surgical procedures and offer companionship to patients in addition to providing therapeutic and

assistive care to the vulnerable. Without a doubt, there are several obstacles that hinder human-robot contact in the healthcare system, including usability problems, safety concerns, privacy concerns, emotional and deceptive issues, and Absence of trust. Additionally, educating healthcare workers to use robotic technology can be expensive. The primary outcome of these obstacles is a reduction in the adoption rate of robotic technology within the healthcare industry.

Nonetheless, the following recommendations can be implemented to improve a productive human-robot connection in the healthcare industry. An autonomous robot shouldn't be given complete control over a patient's treatment. It is better for robots to supplement human care rather than to take the place of it. By doing this, patients won't become completely emotionally invested in robots.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA), the Red Flags Rule, the Technologies for Restoring Users Security and Trust in Health Information Act of 2008, the National Health Information Technology and Privacy Advancement Act of 2007, and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) should all be implemented in HRI in the healthcare industry. Patients will have legal rights over their individually identifiable healthcare information and breaches of sensitive healthcare information will be avoided as a result. In addition, this will guarantee that patients have a right regarding the disclosure and use of their data for purposes other than medical operations, payment, and treatment. Therefore, when robots are employed in the transmission of medical information, regulations that guarantee patients' consent should be promoted.

Since people are thought to play a vital part in human-robot interaction, human issues should be taken into account while designing robots. For example, in creating robots to assist the elderly in moving around, the designer should consider the fact that most elderly people walk slowly and are weak. Therefore, slower moving and softer surface robots must to be taken into account for senior citizens.

When a human interacts with a robot, safety for the patient, the robot, and the healthcare personnel should all be taken into account. In the medical field, robots with sharp edges that could cut patients should be avoided. The layout of assistive mobile robots should have safety features like safety screens to avoid collisions and falls.

Healthcare humanoid robot design should steer clear of the uncanny valley. Because of this, humanoid robot appearance in the healthcare industry shouldn't be very similar to that of humans to prevent patients and carers from finding it unattractive. This will stop its users from expressing fear.

In the connection between humans and robots, trust is crucial. This is because of the possibility of robot misuse and abuse resulting from absence of confidence in human-robot contact. Therefore, trust makes it easier for humans to rely on social robots to do their jobs well. Therefore, reliable robots ought to be created for the medical field. This will facilitate the efficient performance of tasks by healthcare robots.

Emotionally intelligent robots should be used in healthcare. Furthermore, being able to react to and control human emotions, they should be able to identify and comprehend them. This is because of the fact that interacting with emotionally intelligent robots is less annoying. Cameron, for example, believes that a robot that can identify a human emotion can change its own behaviour to be more accommodative.

It is a difficult undertaking to integrate robots into the healthcare system. This is because of the fact that users view autonomous robots and other computer technology differently. Robots negotiate their interactions with humans and follow the norms of their surroundings. However, in the healthcare setting, robots must be reliable, efficient, effective, and adaptable. This will improve a human-robot team's ability to complete a task in the healthcare system.

VI. Future Scope

Users will have the ability to speak with robots through a variety of means later on, including touch, gestures, speech, and facial expressions, thanks to multi-modal interaction features built into HRI systems. Communication, engagement, and collaboration in a range of scenarios will be improved by this multi-modal approach, which will allow for more intuitive and natural interactions between humans and robots (Ogundairo et al., 2023). Future human-robot interaction (HRI) systems will heavily use collaborative robotics, or cobots, which allow humans and robots to collaborate easily towards shared objectives. Cobots will be produced using the intention of enhancing human talents, helping with jobs that call for dexterity, strength, or endurance, and modifying their behaviour in response to input from people. Sophisticated artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms will enable socially intelligent robots in future HRI systems to comprehend and react.

VII. Conclusion

In order to better understand the notion of trust when people engage with robots in a medical setting, this review study looked at the most recent studies and research. The outcomes of tests and polls assessing trust point to commonalities that might foster even greater mutual trust. Among these comparable components are moral judgment, social and professional qualities, and transparency. This investigation includes using previous models of trust, investigating the kinds of humans who should interact with robots, and looking at the sociological and cultural aspects. This review article concentrated on the most recent research and analysis aimed at improving our understanding of the notion of trust when people interact with robot(s) in a healing environment. We discuss the psychology of hope vs trust, as well as how to establish and restore trust between humans and robots. This investigation involves using previous trust schemes, focusing on the kinds of people who are expected to be associated with robots, additionally the societal viewpoints. Every methodology

covered by the strategies presented in this paper has pros and downsides of its own. The method described in this research aids in better robot diagnosis and therapy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to Prof. Dr. Hema M S, Head of the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, SVCE, for her insightful and helpful suggestions during the planning and development of this work, as well as to our guide, Mrs. Arpitha J, Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, SVCE, for her friendly support, helpful information, and guidance in preparing this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] Connor Esterwood and Lionel P. Robert. 2020. Personality in Healthcare Human Robot Interaction (H-HRI): A Literature Review and Brief Critique.8th International Conference November 10–13, 2020

[2] Linda Nyholm, Regina Santamäki-Fischer & Lisbeth Fagerström (2021) Users' ambivalent sense of security with humanoid robots in healthcare, Informatics for Health and Social Care

[3] Townsend, D. & MajidiRad, A. (2022). Trust in human-robot interaction within healthcare services: A review study. ASME 2022 International Design Engineering Technical Conference

[4] Esterwood C and Robert LP (2021) A Systematic Review of Human and Robot Personality in Health Care Human-Robot Interaction. Front. Robot.

[5] Iroju Olaronke, Ojerinde Oluwaseun, Ikono Rhoda,"State Of The Art: A Study of Human-Robot Interaction in Healthcare", International Journal of Information Engineering and Electronic Business(IJIEEB), Vol.9, No.3

[6] Elizabeth Broadbent, Rebecca Stafford, and Bruce MacDonald. 2009. Acceptance of healthcare robots for the older population: Review and future directions. International journal of social robotics 1, 4 (2009), 319.

[7] D. Conti, E. Commodari, and S. Buono. 2017. Personality factors and acceptability of socially assistive robotics in teachers with and without specialized training for children with disability. Life Span and Disability 20, 2 (2017), 251–272

[8] T.-H.-H. Dang and A. Tapus. 2015. Stress Game: The Role of Motivational Robotic Assistance in Reducing User's Task Stress. International Journal of Social Robotics 7, 2 (2015), 227–240.

[9] Gene M, Alarcon, August Capiola, and Marc D. Phahler "The role of human personality on trust in human-robot interaction," in Trust in Human-Robot Interaction: Research and Applications, C.S. Nam and J.B. Lyons, Eds. 2021, pp. 159-178.

[10] B.F. Malle and D. Ullman, "A multi-dimensional conception and measure of human-robot trust," in Trust in Human-Robot Interaction: Research and Applications, C.S. Nam and J.B. Lyons, Eds. 2021, pp. 3-25

[11] K. Fischer, H. M. Weigelin and L. Bodenhagen, "Increasing trust in human–robot medical interactions: effects of transparency and adaptability," Paladyn (Warsaw), vol. 9, (1), pp. 95-109, 2018

[12] J. Holland, L. Kingston, C. McCarthy, E. Armstrong, P. O'Dwyer, F. Merz, and M. McConnell, "Service Robots in the Healthcare Sector," Robotics, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 47, Mar. 2021.

[13] M. Kyrarini et al, "A Survey of Robots in Healthcare," Technologies, vol. 9, (1), 2021. DOI: 10.3390/technologies9010008.

[14] S. Bedaf, P. Marti and L. De Witte, "What are the preferred characteristics of a service robot for the elderly? A multi-country focus group study with older adults and caregivers," Assist. Technol., vol. 31, (3), pp. 147-157, 2019.

[15] W. Wei et al, "A Real-time Robot-based Auxiliary System for Risk Evaluation of COVID-19 Infection," 2020.

[16] D. Arif et al, "Cost effective solution for minimization of medical errors and acquisition of vitals by using autonomous nursing robot," in Apr 01, 2017

[17] K. Kraft and W. Smart, "Seeing is comforting," in Mar 07, 2016, Available: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2906836. DOI: 10.1109/HRI.2016.7451728.

[18] R. E. Stuck and W. A. Rogers, "Older Adults' Perceptions of Supporting Factors of Trust in a Robot Care Provider," Journal of robotics, vol. 2018, pp. 1-11, 2018.

[19] M. Coeckelbergh, C. Pop, R. Simut, A. Peca, S. Pintea, D. David and B. Vanderborght, "A Survey of Expectations About the Role of Robots in Robot-Assisted Therapy for Children with ASD: Ethical Acceptability, Trust, Sociability, Appearance, and Attachment," Science and engineering ethics, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 47-65, 2016.

[20] A. Poulsen, O. K. Burmeister and D. Tien, "Care robot transparency isn't enough for trust," in 2018, pp. 293-297

[21] L. Christoforakos et al, "Can Robots Earn Our Trust the Same Way Humans Do? A Systematic Exploration of Competence, Warmth, and Anthropomorphism as Determinants of Trust Development in HRI," Frontiers in Robotics and AI, vol. 8, 2021.