IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Climate Change And Mitigation: A Comprehensive Overview

Priya Paul[1], Arkadeb Dutta

Abstract

Climate change is a massive global concern with far-reaching implications for the environment, society, and economy. This executive summary discusses the present state of climate change and relevant mitigation strategies. Human actions such as the use of fossil fuels, deforestation, and industrial activity are the primary causes of unprecedented climate change. Global temperatures have risen, weather patterns have changed, and sea-level rise has accelerated as a result of growing greenhouse gas emissions, mostly carbon dioxide (CO2) and methane (CH4). Climate change mitigation necessitates a multifaceted approach that focuses on lowering greenhouse gas emissions while also enhancing the planet's resilience to ongoing transformations. Renewable energy sources, such as solar, wind, and hydroelectric power, are critical for decreasing dependency on fossil fuels. Afforestation and reforestation are both crucial for carbon sequestration since plants absorb CO2 during photosynthesis. Sustainable agriculture and responsible forestry, for example, may help reduce emissions while also adapting to changing climatic conditions. International collaboration is crucial for effective climate change mitigation since the impact of greenhouse gas emissions crosses national boundaries. Accords like the Paris Agreement aim to bring nations together in their commitment to limiting global temperature rises and assisting disadvantaged populations in adapting to climate-related difficulties. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) and greater energy efficiency, for example, are critical components of mitigation efforts. These solutions help companies reduce their carbon footprint and migrate to more sustainable operations. The need of increasing public knowledge and education on climate change mitigation is emphasized. Governments, non-governmental organizations, and people all play critical roles in promoting ecologically responsible behaviour, promoting sustainable practices, and lobbying for climate mitigation measures. Finally, combating climate change necessitates a multifaceted and coordinated effort including individuals, communities, and governments. Continuous and considerable mitigation efforts are required to balance the negative repercussions of climate change and secure the world's future.

Keywords – Climate change, Greenhouse gas emissions, Sustainable Operations, Mitigation

Introduction

A statistically significant change in the mean climatic condition or variability that persists over time is known as climatic change (Nicholls et. al., 1996). Natural internal processes, external forces, or long-term human changes in the composition of the atmosphere or land use can all contribute to climate change (Dale 1997). Climate change is no longer a scientific mystery; it is now one of several environmental and regulatory concerns (Giddens 2009).

Since the start of the Industrial Revolution 150 years ago, man-made activities have sent massive volumes of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere. Greenhouse gas emissions trap heat in the earth's atmosphere, considerably contributing to global warming (Lashof and Ahuja 1990). The chief sources of such emissions are natural systems and human activity. Natural systems include forest fires, earthquakes, oceans, permafrost, wetlands, mud volcanoes, and volcanoes (Yue and Gao 2018), whereas human activities are mostly related with energy production, industrial activities, woodlands, urbanization, and alterations in land use (Edenhofer et al. 2014).

Humans are without a doubt to blame for global climate change, and the consequences for human and ecological systems will be severe and far-reaching, disproportionately affecting the world's most physically and economically disadvantaged communities (IPCC, 2007; Schellenhuber et. al., 2006). As a result of these problems, society has two choices: adapt or mitigate (McCarthy et. al., 2001). Adaptation is the proactive implementation of steps to avoid, prepare for, or adapt to the probable impacts of climate change (Mc Michael et. al., 2000). The purpose of adaptation is to lower the related risk to population health by the implementation of various therapies such as healthy lifestyle choices, therapeutic procedures, and technical/structural solutions (Ebi et. al., 2008).

Mitigation strategies attempt to minimize greenhouse gas emissions while growing CO2 sinks (such as forests and seas) (Energy Information Administration, 2006). Effective mitigation benefits all natural systems, not just human systems. Reduced greenhouse gas emissions will demand coordinated national and international measures, which are likely to be gradual owing to the inertia of the global climate system (Finus and McCorriston 2013). As a result, immediate action to address the causes of global warming is necessary, but it is hampered by a lack of political will, institutional impediments, and technological obstacles (Shearman & Smith 2007).

Individuals that choose more sustainable, low-carbon lifestyle options enable more quick action (Heiskanen et. al., 2010). If customers respond positively to behaviour modification messages, greenhouse gas emissions from personal transportation and residential usage might be greatly reduced.

While the government plays a vital role in reducing global warming through legislation and regulations, voluntary consumer energy consumption reductions are as crucial, particularly when large-scale government initiatives are unavailable (Schreurs 2010). More research is needed to assess the possibilities and constraints of people' contributions to mitigation.

Adopting a low-carbon lifestyle necessitates cognitive and behavioural changes that may encounter a number of cultural roadblocks (Javaid & Felix 2020). The purpose of this study is to learn more about public perception of climate change in these two metropolitan regions, as well as to identify potential impediments to individual-level reductions in greenhouse gas emissions.

The Science Behind Climate Change

Climate change is a complicated process that uses scientific concepts to explain long-term changes in Earth's weather patterns. The greenhouse effect and global warming are two of the most important scientific principles driving climate change.

Carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and water vapor are examples of greenhouse gases (GHGs) found in the Earth's atmosphere (Raupach & Fraser 2011). These gases absorb and re-radiate part of the sun's energy, creating a natural greenhouse effect that keeps the planet's surface warm enough for life to exist. Anthropogenic activities, most notably the use of fossil fuels (coal, oil, and natural gas), deforestation, and industrial operations, have greatly increased the concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere (Nayak et. al., 2020). As the greenhouse effect intensifies, more heat is trapped, causing the Earth's surface to warm.

The average surface temperature of the Earth rises as greenhouse gas concentrations grow (Mitchell 1989). This growing tendency is supported by extensive scientific evidence, such as temperature records, satellite observations, and climate models (McMahon et. al., 2010).

Climate change affects more than simply temperature; it affects climatic patterns, resulting in more frequent and extreme weather events (Meehl et. al., 2000). Changes in precipitation patterns, increased storms, heatwaves, and altered rainfall distribution are among the visible consequences (Trenberth 2005).

Understanding climate change science is critical for making educated decisions and implementing effective mitigation methods. Ongoing scientific study increases our understanding of climate systems and forecasts for the future.

Climate Change Impacts on Our Planet

Climate change is having a wide-ranging impact on our globe, impacting ecosystems, communities, and economies. These effects are the consequence of intricate interactions among many environmental factors, and they emerge in a variety of ways. Global warming causes average temperatures to rise, affecting weather patterns, ecosystems, and human health (Rossati 2017). Heatwaves are becoming more frequent and intense, endangering vulnerable populations and agriculture. Climate change is causing glaciers and ice caps in polar regions and mountainous locations to melt (Thompson 2010). This melting adds to increasing sea levels, threatening coastal communities and island nations with coastal erosion, increased floods, and saltwater intrusion into freshwater (Kekeh et. al., 2020).

Extreme weather events such as hurricanes, droughts, floods, and wildfires are becoming more frequent and intense as a result of climate change (Huber & Gulledge 2011). These occurrences can result in major financial losses, community turmoil, and even death.

Climate change alters precipitation patterns, resulting in more heavy rainfall in some places and drought in others, creating water scarcity, harming agriculture, and contributing to the spread of wildfires (Funk 2021).

Understanding and dealing with these consequences requires global cooperation, sustainable practices, and proactive approaches to climate change mitigation and adaptation. International accords, such as the Paris Agreement, attempt to bring countries together in a coordinated effort to address and respond to climate change concerns (Falkner et. al., 2016).

Human Contributions to Climate Change

Anthropogenic activities contribute significantly to climate change by releasing greenhouse gases (GHGs) into the Earth's atmosphere. Among the different factors to human-caused climate change are:

1. Burning of Fossil Fuels: The use of fossil fuels for energy generation, such as coal, oil, and natural gas, is the principal source of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions. Carbon dioxide (CO2) is emitted into the atmosphere by power plants, industrial activity, and transportation (Yoro & Daramola 2020).
2. Deforestation: As forests are removed for agriculture, logging, and urban growth, the quantity of trees available to absorb CO2 through photosynthesis decreases (Musselman & Douglas 1991). The process not only releases stored carbon into the atmosphere, but it also lowers the Earth's ability to function as a carbon sink.
3. Industrial Processes: Industry produces methane (CH4) and nitrous oxide (N2O), which overlay the earth's atmosphere and contribute to the greenhouse effect (López et. al., 2013).
4. Transportation Emissions: CO2 and other pollutants are released when gasoline and diesel fuels are used in autos. The aviation sector contributes to climate change by emitting CO2 and other high-altitude pollutants (Sher et. al., 2021).
5. Use of Fluorinated Gases: Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulphur hexafluoride (SF6) are strong greenhouse gases utilized in a variety of industrial applications such as refrigeration, air conditioning, and electronics production (Sovacool et. al., 2021).

The observed rise in global temperatures and climate change, according to scientific agreement, are mostly the consequence of human activity. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and other scientific studies underline the need of decreasing human contributions to climate change consequences (Field 2012). Transitioning to renewable energy, promoting sustainable land-use practices, and increasing energy efficiency are all key steps in addressing the underlying causes of human climate change (Harvey & Pilgrim 2011).

Climate Change and Mitigation Strategies

Climate change mitigation necessitates the development of methods to decrease or eliminate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions while simultaneously strengthening ecological and social resilience (Kumar 2018). Switching to renewable energy and initiating afforestation and reforestation are the most important ways to prevent climate change (Trabucco et. al., 2008).

The first step toward lowering total energy consumption should be to replace fossil fuels with renewable energy sources including solar, wind, hydroelectric, and geothermal power, as well as to implement energy efficiency measures in buildings, industry, and transportation (Abolhosseini et. al., 2014).

Plants have played a crucial role in climate change (Anthelme et. al., 2014). Planting new forests and reforesting deforested areas to increase carbon sequestration and biodiversity (Di Sacco et. al., 2021). Put regulations and rewards in place to avoid deforestation and encourage sustainable land use (Lambin et. al., 2014).

Investing in public transit and help electric vehicle development and acceptance. Implement policies that encourage individuals to walk, bike, or other low-emission modes of transportation (Blynn 2018).

The most critical goal is to raise public awareness. Raising public awareness about climate change is critical for developing a shared knowledge of the issue and instilling a sense of responsibility for long-term solutions (Weber et. al., 2011).

The combination of these actions is crucial for achieving significant and long-term reductions in greenhouse gas emissions (Jeffery et. al., 2018). Global collaboration from governments, companies, communities, and individuals will be required (Gerencser et. al., 2008).

The Role of Renewable Energy in Climate Change

Renewable energy is essential for dealing with and reducing climate change (Eitan 2021). As a low-carbon, long-term alternative to traditional fossil fuels, renewable energy sources contribute significantly to reduced greenhouse gas emissions (Kabeyi & Olanrewaju 2022).

The burning of fossil fuels including coal, oil, and natural gas contributes significantly to greenhouse gas emissions, mainly carbon dioxide (CO2) (Paraschiv & Paraschiv 2018). Renewable energy sources, such as solar, wind, hydroelectric, and geothermal power, provide electricity with little or no emissions, so helping to mitigate climate change (Owusu & Asumadu- Sarkodie 2016). The transition from fossil-fuel-based energy to renewable energy is critical for reducing reliance on finite and ecologically hazardous resources (Holenchek et. al., 2022). This modification contributes to the worldwide aim of minimizing average global temperature rises, as expressed in international treaties such as the Paris Agreement.

Renewable energy sources provide electricity without emitting pollutants that harm air quality, such as sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter (WHO 2006). Improving air quality benefits both current health and the long-term viability of the ecosystem (Manisalidis et. al., 2020).

Energy diversification reduces dependency on imported fossil fuels while boosting energy security (Anwar et. al., 2016). By decentralizing power generation, distributed renewable energy sources such as rooftop solar panels improve reliability (Anwar et. al., 2016).

With roles available in manufacturing, installation, maintenance, and research, the renewable energy sector has evolved to be a significant source of employment (Carley et. al., 2011). Investment in renewable energy infrastructure promotes economic development and innovation (Khan and Hussain 2021). Its activities contribute to long-term development by providing clean and reliable energy access, especially in locations where traditional energy sources are rare (Bhattacharyya 2021). This helps to stimulate economic growth, enhance living standards, and combat energy poverty.

Mitigation

Mitigation strategies and tactics are employed to decrease or eliminate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and minimize the influence of human activities on the Earth's climate system (Fawzy et. al., 2020). Mitigation aims to address the causes of climate change, limit global temperature rises, and create a more sustainable and resilient future (Abbas et. al., 2022).

Reduced GHG emissions into the atmosphere, such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O), are among mitigation measures (Hasegawa & Matusko 2010). This may be accomplished by using cleaner energy sources, increasing energy efficiency, and implementing sustainable practices in a variety of fields (Omer 2008).

An important mitigation strategy is to shift away from fossil fuels and toward renewable energy sources such as solar, wind, hydroelectric, and geothermal power (Owusu & Asumadu- Sarkodie 2016). Adoption of renewable energy technologies reduces reliance on carbon-intensive energy sources, cutting emissions and promoting a low-carbon energy system (Kabeyi & Olanrewaju 2022).

Energy efficiency improvements in households, industry, and transportation lower total energy use and related emissions (Trotta 2019). Mitigation initiatives must include energy-saving technology and behaviours (Lopes et.al., 2012).

Planting new trees (afforestation) and repairing damaged forests (reforestation) improve carbon sequestration (Sacco et. al., 2021). Trees absorb CO2 during photosynthesis, helping to balance emissions and increase the planet's ability to function as a carbon sink (Grace 2004).

To reduce agricultural emissions, ecologically friendly methods such as precision farming, agroforestry, and organic farming must be used (Rosati et. al., 2021). These measures lower methane and nitrous oxide emissions, which are two of the most significant greenhouse gases linked with agricultural operations (Kebreab et. al., 2006).

Mitigation is a constant and collaborative action involving individuals, communities, businesses, and governments (National Research Council, & Geographical Sciences Committee 2011). It is crucial for addressing the root causes of climate change and building a more sustainable and resilient future.

Policy Approaches for Climate Change Mitigation

Effective policy solutions for rewarding and regulating efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions must be established to address climate change through mitigation (Sullivan 2017).

Carbon pricing methods such as carbon taxes or cap-and-trade systems should be implemented to place a price on carbon emissions (Stavins 2019). Internalizing the cost of emissions motivates companies and people to decrease their carbon footprint (Kleytun & Nilson 2021).

Create and enforce laws requiring a particular amount of energy to be generated from renewable sources (Schuman & Lin 2012). These suggestions promote the use of renewable energy while reducing reliance on fossil fuels.

Enact legislation and standards mandating businesses, buildings, and appliances to meet specified energy efficiency criteria (Wiel & McMahon 2005). These policies stimulate the use of energy-efficient equipment and practices, decreasing total energy consumption (Geller et. al., 2006).

Adopt land-use policies that encourage reforestation and discourage deforestation (Clement & Amezaga 2008). The preservation of natural carbon sinks, such as forests, assists in carbon sequestration and the mitigation of climate change (Hunt 2009).

Incentives, subsidies, and the construction of charging infrastructure are all ways to encourage the usage of electric vehicles (Fang et. al., 2020). To minimize dependency on private automobiles, invest in public transit and encourage sustainable urban growth (Suzuki et. al., 2013).

A combination of these policy alternatives, tailored to each region's or country's specific needs and conditions, is necessary for successful climate change mitigation (Beg et. al., 2002). To achieve large and long-term reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, a comprehensive and integrated policy framework is necessary.

Global Collaboration in Combating Climate Change

Global collaboration in combating climate change is vital since climatic issues cross borders (Dowd & McAdam 2017). Climate change has no boundaries, and its implications affect governments and individuals worldwide.

Frameworks such as the Paris Agreement provide a worldwide forum for countries to come together and commit to collective climate action (Falkner 2016). These treaties establish carbon reduction objectives, stimulate the adoption of sustainable practices, and promote financial and technological assistance to poor countries (Coninck et. al., 2008). Countries make pledges to cut greenhouse gas emissions and participate to the global effort to keep temperature rises to a minimum (Meinshausen et. al., 2022). Developed countries have vowed to help poor countries minimize and adapt to climate change (Barnett & Webber 2010). This financial support bridges the resource gap between developed and developing countries, enabling long-term progress.

The purpose of joint activities is to improve impoverished countries' ability to deal with climate change issues (Adger et. al., 2003). Developing the technological, institutional, and human ability to execute and monitor climate-related policies and initiatives is a component of this (Adger et. al., 2003).

The creation of climate funds, such as the Green Climate Fund, attempts to raise cash for climate projects in underdeveloped countries (Seo 2019). These grants will aid in both adaptation and mitigation efforts.

Working together to develop and deploy early warning systems for extreme weather occurrences and other climate-related challenges (Pulwarty & Sivakumar 2014). When governments have timely knowledge, they can successfully prepare for and respond to climate-related concerns.

Global cooperation is essential for achieving international treaty goals and successfully resolving the complex and interlinked problems posed by climate change (Susskind & Ali 2014). It requires a shared commitment, mutual support, and recognition of shared responsibility for the world's and its people's well-being (Knitter 1995).

Challenges and Prospects in Climate Change Mitigation

As the globe seeks to cut greenhouse gas emissions and avert global temperature rises, climate change mitigation confronts a variety of problems and opportunities (VijayaVenkataRaman et. al., 2012). Some of the major difficulties are as follows:

Developing strong political will and international cooperation remains a significant problem (Siitonen 1990). Diverse aims and interests across governments might stymie the development of a united strategy. Transitioning to low-carbon technology and sustainable practices may result in economic challenges in specific industries and localities, leading to opposition and worries about job losses (Botta 2019).

New technologies, such as carbon capture and storage (CCS), confront technological and infrastructure challenges. Significant expenditures are required for the development and scaling up of these technologies. Adequate and dependable finance for climate change mitigation remains a concern, particularly in poor countries. It is vital to mobilize resources to support long-term initiatives and adaption efforts (Hallegatte et. al., 2020).

Inspiring widespread behavioural change in order to adopt sustainable practices and minimize energy use is a huge problem (Lopes et. al., 2012). It is critical to overcome both individual and cultural resistance to change. Trade-offs may be involved in adaptation and mitigation efforts (Sharifi 2020). These two aspects of climate action may need to be balanced in decisions about resource allocation and policy goals.

The complexity of global supply networks makes it challenging to trace and manage the carbon impact of products and services (Benjaafar & Daskin 2012). Transparency and the long-term viability of supply networks necessitate joint efforts. Natural resources may be strained as a result of the need for resources to support renewable energy technologies and sustainable behaviours (Omer 2008). The battle to enhance resource efficiency while minimizing environmental impact is ongoing.

Some of the several options for dealing with climate change include:

Continuous advancements in renewable energy technologies such as solar, wind, and energy storage provide prospects for cost reductions and efficiency, making clean energy more accessible (Ellabban et. al., 2014).

Rising global knowledge and concern about climate change offers the prospect of increased support and demand for sustainable practices, influencing both consumer and governmental behaviour (Gössling et. al., 2012). Continuous innovation and research in climate science, technology, and policy can result in breakthroughs in mitigation measures, opening up new pathways while strengthening existing ones.

Many companies are emphasizing sustainability and incorporating environmental concerns into their operations (Dangelico & Pujari 2010). Corporate commitments to minimize carbon footprints aid in global mitigation efforts (Hrasky 2011). Climate action is being driven by local and regional governments, as well as companies and communities (Boswell et. al., 2012). Subnational and grassroots initiatives can have an impact on larger-scale policy.

The increasing focus on green finance and sustainable investments provides opportunities for funding climate projects (Hafner et al., 2020). Financial institutions and investors are recognizing the importance of integrating environmental considerations into their portfolios.

Afforestation, replanting, and sustainable land management are examples of natural solutions for carbon sequestration and ecosystem restoration (Di Sacco et. al., 2021).

While challenges remain, greater awareness of the severity of the situation, technological advancements, and collaborative efforts at all levels of society are propelling climate change mitigation ahead. Overcoming obstacles necessitates consistent effort, creative solutions, and worldwide collaboration.

Evaluating the Success of Climate Change Mitigation Efforts

Evaluating the success of climate change mitigation measures entails measuring the efficacy of adopted strategies and activities in lowering greenhouse gas emissions and mitigating climate change consequences.

1. Emission Reductions: Measure changes in greenhouse gas emissions over time to assess the efficacy of mitigation initiatives (Khan & Sovacool 2016). Assess progress toward national and international emission reduction targets.
2. Renewable Energy Deployment: Assess the increased deployment and share of renewable energy sources in the overall energy mix (Duscha et. al., 2016). Keep an eye on the growth of renewable energy capacity and the reduction in dependency on fossil fuels.
3. Energy Efficiency Upgrades: Assess energy efficiency upgrades in industry, transportation, and buildings (Choi et. al., 2014). Reduce energy consumption per unit of production or activity.
4. Forest Conservation and Land usage: Track changes in land usage, deforestation rates, and measures for afforestation and replanting (Sloan et. al., 2008). Examine how land-use decisions affect carbon sequestration and biodiversity protection.

5. Behaviour Change and Public Awareness: Evaluate the performance of public awareness campaigns and educational programs. Changes in public attitudes and behaviours, as well as the adoption of sustainable techniques, should be observed.
6. Health and Environmental Advantages: Consider the health and environmental advantages of climate action, such as improved air quality, public health, and biodiversity preservation (ten Brink et. al., 2016). Consider the broader consequences for ecosystems and human well-being.

Evaluating the success of climate change mitigation strategies is a multifaceted process that requires both quantitative and qualitative data. For refining and improving mitigation strategies, regular reviews and plan updates based on evaluation findings are required.

Reference

1. Yue X-L, Gao Q-X (2018) Contributions of natural systems and human activity to greenhouse gas emissions. Adv Clim Change Res 9:243–252. https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.accre .2018.12.003
2. Edenhofer ORP-M, Sokona Y, Kadner S, Minx JC, Brunner S, Agrawala S, Baiocchi G, Bashmakov IA, Blanco G, Broome J, Bruckner T, Bustamante M, Clarke L, Conte Grand M, Creutzig F, Cruz-Núñez X, Dhakal S, Dubash NK, Eickemeier P, Farahani E, Fischedick M, Fleurbaey M, Gerlagh R, Gómez- Echeverri L, Gupta S, Harnisch J, Jiang K, Jotzo F, Kartha S, Klasen S, Kolstad C, Krey V, Kunreuther H, Lucon O, Masera O, Mulugetta Y, Norgaard RB, Patt A, Ravindranath NH, Riahi K, Roy J, Sagar A, Schaeffer R, Schlömer S, Seto KC, Seyboth K, Sims R, Smith P, Somanathan E, Stavins R, von Stechow C, Sterner T, Sugiyama T, Suh S, Ürge-Vorsatz D, Urama K, Venables A, Victor DG, Weber E, Zhou D, Zou J, Zwickel T (2014) Technical summary. In: Edenhofer O, Pichs-Madruga R, Sokona Y, Farahani E, Kadner S, Seyboth K, Adler A, Baum I,Brunner S, Eickemeier P, Kriemann B, Savolainen J, Schlömer S, von Stechow C, Zwickel T, Minx JC (eds) Climate change 2014: mitigation of climate change. Contribution of working group III to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. https :// www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploa ds/2018/02/ipcc_wg3_ar5_techn ical-summa ry.pdf. Accessed 17 Dec 2019
3. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. IPCC Fourth Assessment Report: climate change 2007. www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/assessmentsreports.htm.
4. Schellenhuber HJ, Cramer W, Nakicenovic N, Wigley T, Yohe G. Avoiding dangerous climate change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.
5. McCarthy JJ, Canziani OF, Leary NA, Dokken DJ, White KS. Climate change 2001: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
6. McMichael AJ, Kovats RS. Climate change and climate variability: adaptations to reduce adverse health imacts. Environ Monit Assess 2000;61: 49–64.
7. Ebi KL, Semenza JC. Community-based adaptation to the health impacts of climate change. Am J Prev Med 2008;35: 501–7.

8. Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy, Annual Energy Review 2006. Report No. DOE/EIA-0384(2006).
9. Nicholls, N., G. V. Gruza, J. Jouzel, T. R. Karl, L. A. Ogallo, D. E. Parker, J. R. Christy et al. "Observed climate variability and change." (1996): 137-192.
10. Dale, V. H. (1997). The relationship between land-use changes and climate change. *Ecological applications*, *7*(3), 753-769.
11. Giddens, A. (2009). *Politics of climate change*. Polity.
12. Lashof, D. A., & Ahuja, D. R. (1990). Relative contributions of greenhouse gas emissions to global warming. *Nature*, *344*(6266), 529-531.
13. Finus, M., Kotsogiannis, C., & McCorriston, S. (2013). International coordination on climate policies. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, *66*(2), 159-165.
14. Shearman, D., & Smith, J. W. (2007). *The climate change challenge and the failure of democracy*. Bloomsbury Publishing USA.
15. Heiskanen, E., Johnson, M., Robinson, S., Vadovics, E., & Saastamoinen, M. (2010). Low-carbon communities as a context for individual behavioural change. *Energy policy*, *38*(12), 7586-7595.
16. Schreurs, M. A. (2010). Multi-level governance and global climate change in East Asia. *Asian Economic Policy Review*, *5*(1), 88-105.
17. Javaid, A., Creutzig, F., & Bamberg, S. (2020). Determinants of low-carbon transport mode adoption: systematic review of reviews. *Environmental Research Letters*, *15*(10), 103002.
18. Raupach, M., & Fraser, P. (2011). Climate and greenhouse gases. *Climate change: science and solutions for Australia*, 15-34.
19. Nayak, H., Yadav, S. P., & Yadav, D. K. (2020). Contribution of Natural and Anthropogenic Activities in Greenhouse Gases Emission. *Energy*, *4*(2).
20. Mitchell, J. F. (1989). The "greenhouse" effect and climate change. *Reviews of Geophysics*, *27*(1), 115-139.
21. McMahon, S. M., Parker, G. G., & Miller, D. R. (2010). Evidence for a recent increase in forest growth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *107*(8), 3611-3615.
22. Meehl, G. A., Zwiers, F., Evans, J., Knutson, T., Mearns, L., & Whetton, P. (2000). Trends in extreme weather and climate events: issues related to modeling extremes in projections of future climate change. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *81*(3), 427-436.
23. Trenberth, K. E. (2005). The impact of climate change and variability on heavy precipitation, floods, and droughts. *Encyclopedia of hydrological sciences*, *17*, 1-11.
24. Rossati, A. (2017). Global warming and its health impact. *The international journal of occupational and environmental medicine*, *8*(1), 7.
25. Thompson, L. G. (2010). Climate change: The evidence and our options. *The Behavior Analyst*, *33*, 153-170.
26. Kekeh, M., Akpinar-Elci, M., & Allen, M. J. (2020). Sea level rise and coastal communities.

27. Huber, D. G., & Gulledge, J. (2011). *Extreme weather and climate change: Understanding the link, managing the risk*. Arlington: Pew Center on Global Climate Change.
28. Funk, C. C. (2021). *Drought, flood, fire: how climate change contributes to catastrophes*. Cambridge University Press.
29. Falkner, R. (2016). The Paris Agreement and the new logic of international climate politics. *International Affairs*, *92*(5), 1107-1125.
30. Yoro, K. O., & Daramola, M. O. (2020). CO2 emission sources, greenhouse gases, and the global warming effect. In *Advances in carbon capture* (pp. 3-28). Woodhead Publishing.
31. López, J. C., Quijano, G., Souza, T. S., Estrada, J. M., Lebrero, R., & Muñoz, R. (2013). Biotechnologies for greenhouse gases (CH 4, N 2 O, and CO 2) abatement: state of the art and challenges. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, *97*, 2277-2303.
32. Sovacool, B. K., Griffiths, S., Kim, J., & Bazilian, M. (2021). Climate change and industrial F-gases: A critical and systematic review of developments, sociotechnical systems and policy options for reducing synthetic greenhouse gas emissions. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *141*, 110759.
33. Kumar, A. (2018). Global warming, climate change and greenhouse gas mitigation. *Biofuels: greenhouse gas mitigation and global warming: next generation biofuels and role of biotechnology*, 1-16.
34. Trabucco, A., Zomer, R. J., Bossio, D. A., van Straaten, O., & Verchot, L. V. (2008). Climate change mitigation through afforestation/reforestation: a global analysis of hydrologic impacts with four case studies. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, *126*(1-2), 81-97.
35. Abolhosseini, S., Heshmati, A., & Altmann, J. (2014). A review of renewable energy supply and energy efficiency technologies.
36. Di Sacco, A., Hardwick, K. A., Blakesley, D., Brancalion, P. H., Breman, E., Cecilio Rebola, L., ... & Antonelli, A. (2021). Ten golden rules for reforestation to optimize carbon sequestration, biodiversity recovery and livelihood benefits. *Global Change Biology*, *27*(7), 1328-1348.
37. Lambin, E. F., Meyfroidt, P., Rueda, X., Blackman, A., Börner, J., Cerutti, P. O., ... & Wunder, S. (2014). Effectiveness and synergies of policy instruments for land use governance in tropical regions. *Global environmental change*, *28*, 129-140.
38. Blynn, K. K. M. (2018). *Accelerating bus electrification: Enabling a sustainable transition to low carbon transportation systems* (Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology).
39. Weber, E. U., & Stern, P. C. (2011). Public understanding of climate change in the United States. *American Psychologist*, *66*(4), 315.
40. Jeffery, M. L., Gütschow, J., Rocha, M. R., & Gieseke, R. (2018). Measuring success: improving assessments of aggregate greenhouse gas emissions reduction goals. *Earth's Future*, *6*(9), 1260-1274.

41. Gerencser, M., Van Lee, R., Napolitano, F., & Kelly, C. (2008). *Megacommunities: How leaders of government, business and non-profits can tackle today's global challenges together*. St. Martin's Press.
42. Eitan, A. (2021). Promoting renewable energy to cope with climate change—policy discourse in Israel. *Sustainability*, *13*(6), 3170.
43. Kabeyi, M. J. B., & Olanrewaju, O. A. (2022). Sustainable energy transition for renewable and low carbon grid electricity generation and supply. *Frontiers in Energy research*, *9*, 1032.
44. Owusu, P. A., & Asumadu-Sarkodie, S. (2016). A review of renewable energy sources, sustainability issues and climate change mitigation. *Cogent Engineering*, *3*(1), 1167990.
45. Holechek, J. L., Geli, H. M., Sawalhah, M. N., & Valdez, R. (2022). A global assessment: can renewable energy replace fossil fuels by 2050? *Sustainability*, *14*(8), 4792.
46. World Health Organization. (2006). *Air quality guidelines: global update 2005: particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide, and sulfur dioxide*. World Health Organization.
47. Manisalidis, I., Stavropoulou, E., Stavropoulos, A., & Bezirtzoglou, E. (2020). Environmental and health impacts of air pollution: a review. *Frontiers in public health*, *8*, 14.
48. Anwar, J. (2016). Analysis of energy security, environmental emission and fuel import costs under energy import reduction targets: A case of Pakistan. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *65*, 1065-1078.
49. Khan, A., Chenggang, Y., Hussain, J., & Kui, Z. (2021). Impact of technological innovation, financial development and foreign direct investment on renewable energy, non-renewable energy and the environment in belt & Road Initiative countries. *Renewable Energy*, *171*, 479-491.
50. Fawzy, S., Osman, A. I., Doran, J., & Rooney, D. W. (2020). Strategies for mitigation of climate change: a review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, *18*, 2069-2094.
51. Abbass, K., Qasim, M. Z., Song, H., Murshed, M., Mahmood, H., & Younis, I. (2022). A review of the global climate change impacts, adaptation, and sustainable mitigation measures. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *29*(28), 42539-42559.
52. Hasegawa, T., & Matsuoka, Y. (2010). Global methane and nitrous oxide emissions and reduction potentials in agriculture. *Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences*, *7*(S1), 245-256.
53. Omer, A. M. (2008). Energy, environment and sustainable development. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *12*(9), 2265-2300.
54. Kabeyi, M. J. B., & Olanrewaju, O. A. (2022). Sustainable energy transition for renewable and low carbon grid electricity generation and supply. *Frontiers in Energy research*, *9*, 1032.
55. Trotta, G. (2019). Assessing energy efficiency improvements, energy dependence, and CO2 emissions in the European Union using a decomposition method. *Energy Efficiency*, *12*(7), 1873-1890.
56. Lopes, M. A., Antunes, C. H., & Martins, N. (2012). Energy behaviours as promoters of energy efficiency: A 21st century review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *16*(6), 4095-4104.

57. Di Sacco, A., Hardwick, K. A., Blakesley, D., Brancalion, P. H., Breman, E., Cecilio Rebola, L., ... & Antonelli, A. (2021). Ten golden rules for reforestation to optimize carbon sequestration, biodiversity recovery and livelihood benefits. *Global Change Biology*, *27*(7), 1328-1348.
58. Grace, J. (2004). Understanding and managing the global carbon cycle. *Journal of Ecology*, *92*(2), 189-202.
59. Rosati, A., Borek, R., & Canali, S. (2021). Agroforestry and organic agriculture. *Agroforestry Systems*, *95*, 805-821.
60. Kebreab, E., Clark, K., Wagner-Riddle, C., & France, J. (2006). Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from Canadian animal agriculture: A review. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, *86*(2), 135-157.
61. National Research Council, & Geographical Sciences Committee. (2011). *Building community disaster resilience through private-public collaboration*. National Academies Press.
62. Bhattacharyya, S. C. (2012). Energy access programmes and sustainable development: A critical review and analysis. *Energy for sustainable development*, *16*(3), 260-271.
63. Sullivan, R. (2017). *Corporate responses to climate change: Achieving emissions reductions through regulation, self-regulation and economic incentives*. Routledge.
64. Stavins, R. N. (2019). Carbon taxes vs. cap and trade: Theory and practice. *Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard Project on Climate Agreements*.
65. Klevtun, L., & Nilsson, M. (2021). Internalizing externalities with internal carbon pricing A case study on how to drive change and prepare for a low-carbon economy.
66. Schuman, S., & Lin, A. (2012). China's Renewable Energy Law and its impact on renewable power in China: Progress, challenges and recommendations for improving implementation. *Energy policy*, *51*, 89-109.
67. Wiel, S., & McMahon, J. E. (2005). *Energy-Efficiency Labels and Standards: A Guidebook forAppliances, Equipment, and Lighting* (No. LBNL-45387-2nd-Edition; LBNL-45387). Lawrence Berkeley National Lab (LBNL), Berkeley, CA (United States).
68. Geller, H., Harrington, P., Rosenfeld, A. H., Tanishima, S., & Unander, F. (2006). Polices for increasing energy efficiency: Thirty years of experience in OECD countries. *Energy policy*, *34*(5), 556-573.
69. Clement, F., & Amezaga, J. M. (2008). Linking reforestation policies with land use change in northern Vietnam: Why local factors matter. *Geoforum*, *39*(1), 265-277.
70. Hunt, C. A. (2009). *Carbon sinks and climate change: forests in the fight against global warming*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
71. Fang, Y., Wei, W., Mei, S., Chen, L., Zhang, X., & Huang, S. (2020). Promoting electric vehicle charging infrastructure considering policy incentives and user preferences: An evolutionary game model in a small-world network. *Journal of cleaner production*, *258*, 120753.
72. Suzuki, H., Cervero, R., & Iuchi, K. (2013). *Transforming cities with transit: Transit and land-use integration for sustainable urban development*. World Bank Publications.

73. Beg, N., Morlot, J. C., Davidson, O., Afrane-Okesse, Y., Tyani, L., Denton, F., ... & Rahman, A. A. (2002). Linkages between climate change and sustainable development. *Climate policy*, *2*(2-3), 129-144.
74. Dowd, R., & McAdam, J. (2017). International cooperation and responsibility sharing to combat climate change: Lessons for international refugee law. *Melbourne Journal of International Law*, *18*(2), 180-218.
75. Falkner, R. (2016). The Paris Agreement and the new logic of international climate politics. *International Affairs*, *92*(5), 1107-1125.
76. De Coninck, H., Fischer, C., Newell, R. G., & Ueno, T. (2008). International technology-oriented agreements to address climate change. *Energy Policy*, *36*(1), 335-356.
77. Meinshausen, M., Lewis, J., McGlade, C., Gütschow, J., Nicholls, Z., Burdon, R., ... & Hackmann, B. (2022). Realization of Paris Agreement pledges may limit warming just below 2 C. *Nature*, *604*(7905), 304-309.
78. Barnett, J. R., & Webber, M. (2010). Accommodating migration to promote adaptation to climate change. *World bank policy research working paper*, (5270).
79. Adger, W. N., Huq, S., Brown, K., Conway, D., & Hulme, M. (2003). Adaptation to climate change in the developing world. *Progress in development studies*, *3*(3), 179-195.
80. Seo, S. N. (2019). *The economics of global allocations of the green climate fund: an assessment from four scientific traditions of modeling adaptation strategies*. Springer.
81. Pulwarty, R. S., & Sivakumar, M. V. (2014). Information systems in a changing climate: Early warnings and drought risk management. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, *3*, 14-21.
82. Susskind, L. E., & Ali, S. H. (2014). *Environmental diplomacy: Negotiating more effective global agreements*. Oxford University Press.
83. Knitter, P. F. (1995). *One earth, many religions: multifaith dialogue and global responsibility*. Orbis Books.
84. VijayaVenkataRaman, S., Iniyan, S., & Goic, R. (2012). A review of climate change, mitigation and adaptation. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *16*(1), 878-897.
85. Siitonen, L. (1990). Political Theories of development Cooperation-A study of theories of International Cooperation.
86. Hallegatte, S., Rentschler, J., & Rozenberg, J. (2020). Adaptation principles: a guide for designing strategies for climate change adaptation and resilience.
87. Lopes, M. A., Antunes, C. H., & Martins, N. (2012). Energy behaviours as promoters of energy efficiency: A 21st century review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *16*(6), 4095-4104.
88. Sharifi, A. (2020). Trade-offs and conflicts between urban climate change mitigation and adaptation measures: A literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *276*, 122813.
89. Benjaafar, S., Li, Y., & Daskin, M. (2012). Carbon footprint and the management of supply chains: Insights from simple models. *IEEE transactions on automation science and engineering*, *10*(1), 99-116.

90. Omer, A. M. (2008). Energy, environment and sustainable development. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *12*(9), 2265-2300.
91. Ellabban, O., Abu-Rub, H., & Blaabjerg, F. (2014). Renewable energy resources: Current status, future prospects and their enabling technology. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *39*, 748-764.
92. Gössling, S., Scott, D., Hall, C. M., Ceron, J. P., & Dubois, G. (2012). Consumer behaviour and demand response of tourists to climate change. *Annals of tourism research*, *39*(1), 36-58.
93. Dangelico, R. M., & Pujari, D. (2010). Mainstreaming green product innovation: Why and how companies integrate environmental sustainability. *Journal of business ethics*, *95*, 471-486.
94. Hrasky, S. (2011). Carbon footprints and legitimation strategies: symbolism or action? *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *25*(1), 174-198.
95. Boswell, M. R., Greve, A. I., & Seale, T. L. (2012). *Local climate action planning*. Island Press.
96. Hafner, S., Jones, A., Anger-Kraavi, A., & Pohl, J. (2020). Closing the green finance gap–A systems perspective. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, *34*, 26-60.
97. Di Sacco, A., Hardwick, K. A., Blakesley, D., Brancalion, P. H., Breman, E., Cecilio Rebola, L., ... & Antonelli, A. (2021). Ten golden rules for reforestation to optimize carbon sequestration, biodiversity recovery and livelihood benefits. *Global Change Biology*, *27*(7), 1328-1348.
98. Khan, F., & Sovacool, B. K. (2016). Testing the efficacy of voluntary urban greenhouse gas emissions inventories. *Climatic Change*, *139*(2), 141-154.
99. Duscha, V., Fougeyrollas, A., Nathani, C., Pfaff, M., Ragwitz, M., Resch, G., ... & Walz, R. (2016). Renewable energy deployment in Europe up to 2030 and the aim of a triple dividend. *Energy Policy*, *95*, 314-323.
100. Choi, J. K., Morrison, D., Hallinan, K. P., & Brecha, R. J. (2014). Economic and environmental impacts of community-based residential building energy efficiency investment. *Energy*, *78*, 877-886.
101. Sloan, S. (2008). Reforestation amidst deforestation: simultaneity and succession. *Global Environmental Change*, *18*(3), 425-441.
102. ten Brink, P., Mutafoglu, K., Schweitzer, J. P., Kettunen, M., Twigger-Ross, C., Baker, J., ... & Ojala, A. (2016). The health and social benefits of nature and biodiversity protection. *A report for the European Commission (ENV. B. 3/ETU/2014/0039). London/Brussels: Institute for European Environmental Policy*.