COMPARATIVE STUDIES: INDIAN POLITICAL SYSTEM VIS-A-VIS OTHER DEMOCRACIES

Barun Basak
Student
Political Science Department
Rabindra Bharati University

Abstract: The Indian political system, with its unique blend of historical traditions and modern democratic principles, presents a fascinating case for a comparative analysis. As the world's largest democracy, India operates within a complex framework of federalism, a parliamentary system, and a multiparty setup, distinguishing it from other democratic systems globally. This research article delves into a comparative study of the Indian political system vis-à-vis other democracies, such as the United States, the United Kingdom, and Germany, aiming to uncover both the similarities and divergences in their democratic practices and institutional structures. This study explores critical dimensions, including the organization and functioning of political institutions, electoral systems, party dynamics, and the influence of socio-cultural factors such as caste, religion, and regional diversity on political processes. By examining these aspects, this article sheds light on how different democracies address common challenges such as political representation, governance, and public accountability. Through a combination of qualitative and quantitative methodologies including case studies and statistical analyses, this study provides insights into the adaptability and resilience of democratic systems in various socio-political contexts. This comparative perspective not only enhances our understanding of the Indian political landscape, but also contributes to the broader discourse on democratic governance and institutional effectiveness across different cultural and historical settings.

Index Terms: Indian political system, Comparative politics, Democracy, Federalism, Parliamentary system, multi-party system, Electoral systems, Political institutions, Socio-cultural factors, Governance, Political representation, public accountability.

I.   INTRODUCTION

A.   Background of Indian Political System

The backdrop historical of system political Indian having multifaceted dynamics and shaping by various factors such as equality in political participation, freedom language, and worship freedom. Highlighted in triennial review by Equality and Rights Human Commission, understanding civic life equalities crucial comprehensively analysing functioning Indian political system in comparison to other democracies (Cutts et al., 2010). Also, Indo-Pakistan conflict having significant implications for India's political landscape, particularly in trade, military expenditures, and democracy influence. Findings analysis conflict suggesting economic factors like trade and military spending may more significantly shaping interstate relations than political ideologies [extractedKnowledge1]. Therefore, exploring background Indian political system imperative considering external influences impact country's democratic processes.

B. Overview of Other Democracies

On looking other democracies, or important to grasp the variating models and systems globalwise. Ex.- U.S. does federal system w/ strong checks, balances check-them-all between exec., leg., judic. branches. But, U.K. goes with parliamentary system that exec. branch born from leg., making close coordination tween two. Plus, countries like Germany use a mixed-member proportional representation electoral system, pushing up little parties' presence in parl. As (Cutts et al., 2010) shows, different democratic forms offer useful insights into political system ways and act as comparative bars for judging good/bad of Indian political system. By examining such democracies, wider understanding of governance types and effect on policy-making, governance working can be achieved.

C. Importance of Comparative Studies

Studies which are comparative they act sort of pivotal like tool understanding also analysis complexities of political systems diverse, enable scholars drawing insights meaningful and identify patterns across contexts (Cutts et al., 2010). Through delve they do they structures also functions constitutional courts various democracies, whereby research can unveil interplay formal powers nuanced legal practices political environments shaping effectiveness influence those institutions (Mamoon et al.) . Via comparative analysis those scholars they discern impact deliberate constitutional choices design, also culture legal, plus wider political contexts wherein strength or weakness courts constitutional showcase, exampled by India Japan cases. Such studies do not merely illuminate variations judicial power but they provide perspectives valuable on the political landscape broader, enrich our comprehending dynamic interactions law, politics, institutional dynamics within settings democratic diverse [extractedKnowledge1].

II.   HISTORICAL EVOLUTION

A. Origins of Indian Political System

The commencements of Indian political framework can be retraced to affluent historical-cultural frameworks, the diverse array of ideologies plus practices shaping its unique governance designs. Utilizing comparative viewpoints, the female depiction in the political domain pops up as a key facet echoing larger societal shifts inside India and alongside other democracies. Within France and India, the advent of women's political depiction surfaces prominently in political discussions, encapsulating evolving motion in their particular democracies (Allwood et al., 2004). Moreover, the probe into philanthropy and civil society across BRIC nations divulges the variegated elements swaying political involvement and societal alteration in India, implying the intricate interrelations amid cultural, economic, and political dimensions that root the Indian political model (Joan E. Spero, 2014). Through meticulous scrutiny of these interlinked tales, a sophisticated grasp of the lineage and dynamics of the Indian political setup can be excavated, providing intriguing reflections on its progress inside the global democratic stage.

B. Development of Other Democracies

Engaging with the intricacies regarding the evolution of diverse democracies becomes exceedingly crucial to obtain an all-encompassing comprehension about Indian political mechanization. Countries embodying disparate political systems like United States, Germany, and Japan present significance in contrast to India. Exemplifying, United States administers under a federal constitution embodying a presidential form of governance, in contrast, Germany adheres to a parliamentary fashion with a federated structure. Conversely, Japan maintains a constitutional monarchy integrated with a parliamentary framework. Scrutinizing these variegated democratic apparatuses can proffer invaluable lessons relating to India's governance, representation methodology, and accountability dynamics. Thorough examination concerning historical progression, institutional configurations, and political ethos among such democracies enables researchers to identify commendable practices and probable failures, thereby providing critical policy recommendation insights for India's democratic milieu progression. Ergo, an analytical juxtaposition of Indian political schematic in correlation with other democratic ecosystems is indispensable for cultivating profound comprehension about democratic doctrines and enactment (Cutts et al., 2010) [extractedKnowledge1].

C. Key Historical Events

Over the annals, main occurrences exerted a crucial impact in moulding the governance scene of varied democracies. In scrutinizing the comparative operations of the Indian political structure against other democracies, it becomes fundamental to deliberate upon the bearing of historical happenings on their political courses. An eminent instance surfaces when collating the civil nuclear doctrines of Germany with the UK. As (A Aliseda et al., 2015) delineates, the conspicuously divergent stances towards atomic energy mirror the extensive societal and political principles grounded in each nation. Moreover, the exploration on the dispute betwixt Pakistan and India (Mamoon et al.) accents the elaborate interconnection between economic factors, democracy rankings, and militarization in sculpting interstate associations. These bygone incidents illuminate the convolutions of decision-creating mechanisms and the extensive ramifications for democratic rulership within assorted political frameworks. Through the dissection of these significant historical episodes, a profound grasp of the comparative subtleties in the operability of the Indian political construct can be unravelled.

III.   CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

A. Indian Constitution

In the sphere of constitutional oversight, the Indian Constitution is serving as a unique specimen, enmeshed with intricate strata of authority dynamics and bureaucratic impacts. As clarified by (Allwood et al., 2004), the involvement of women in Indian politics portrays a multifarious interaction with democratic processes and participation, generating understandings into the extensive ramifications of political involvement. Furthermore, (Gardbaum et al., 2018) accentuates the intricate character of judicial authority within the setting of the Indian constitutional scheme, stressing the interrelationship between codified regulations, jurisprudential conduct, and situational politic in framing the operations of the Indian judiciary. Via an all-encompassing analysis of these facets, the Indian Constitution manifests as an evolving platform where constitutional drafting decisions, legal cultural norms, and expansive political milieu merge to Mold the parameters of oversight and democratic operation. The Indian Constitution functions as a thriving mosaic of administrative configurations that epitomize the intricacies and hurdles of a heterogenous democratic state, steering its course amidst globalizations and analogous worldwide standards.

B. Constitutions of Other Democracies

Democracies' constitutional topographies beyond India's are not simple, calling for deeply nuanced scrutiny. As described by (Mordechay et al., 2017), Israel shows a troubling trend of stepping back in constitutional ways and faltering democratically, which questions usual success stories in constitutions. This review invokes thoughts on constitutional delicateness and the need to protect democratic institutions strongly. Plus, (Gardbaum et al., 2018) stresses the many-sided nature of judicial clout within constitutional layouts, unveiling complicated interplay of set rules, legal norms, and political environments in moulding the sway of constitutional courts. Observing various democratic setups, such as those in Israel and Japan, permits a deeper grasp of comparing constitutional governance's workings. These viewpoints intensify the probing of India's political system compared to other democracies, underscoring the vital role of contextual influences and institutional setups in shaping constitutional achievements.

C. Role of Judiciary

Judiciary's role the Indian political sphere holds noteworthy importance, akin to other democracies. Judiciary in India, helmed by the Supreme Court, partakes in a critical role in upholding rule of law, guarding the constitution, and ensuring justice dispensation. Judiciary functions as a check on legislative plus executive branches, ensuring powers separation while balancing authority in government. Through judicial review power, judiciary possesses capacity to invalidate laws found being unconstitutional, thereby protecting citizen rights and ensuring government accountability. Compared to numerous democracies, Indian judiciary has been crucial in addressing socio-political issues and upholding democratic tenets. Its impartiality and independence have been significantly pivotal in fortifying nation's democratic fabric (Christine Landfried, 2019-02-07).

IV. ELECTORAL SYSTEMS

A. Voting Mechanisms in India

Contemporary elucidation on voting methodologies within India divulges a comprehensive comprehension of confidence within electronic voting systems (Angeliki Poulymenakou (7199630) et al., 2019). E-voting across India, conceptualized as a techno-organizational construct intertwined within the expansive socio-economic milieu, has incited profound evaluations on the instigation and dissipation of trust within the electoral mechanisms. This scrutiny provides significant insights into appraising the viability of electoral technologies for nations undertaking transitions to electronic voting, specifically within delicate democracies. Furthermore, the dissection of democratic amalgamation and constitutional regression in Israel (Mordechay et al., 2017) epitomizes a forewarning for scrutinizing the tenacity of institutional structures preserving democratic doctrines. Through the comparison of these vantage points, one extracts pivotal lessons pertinent to the Indian polity and its progression towards refining electoral methodologies whilst upholding the democratic ethos from prospective predicaments and constitutional degeneration

B. Electoral Systems in Other Democracies

When scrutinizing them other democracies' electoral systems, it getting clear that the supreme and constitutional courts their efficaciousness and power do significant roles in moulding political panorama. As proposed in (Gardbaum et al., 2018) and also (Gardbaum et al., 2014), a court's power measurement is residing not just within its formal rules and authoritative powers but also in its consequential as institutional actoring that impacts important political and also constitutional issues. Things like legal, judicial practice, constitutional decision-making choices, legal culturedness, and political context further are adding to the strongness or also the weak of those courts. The understanding of such multifaceted aspects of judiciary power be critical in evaluating comprehensively how varying electoral systems could work in democracy frameworks. By considering interactive formal powers, the legal practices, and the political situations, nuanced analysis is to be achieved, illuminating comparative dynamics of electoral systems in diverse democracies.

C. Voter Participation

The interaction, often complex, of psychological and social factors on voter involvement in the Indian election mechanism constitutes an intriguing study field, shedding illumination on the fundamental dynamics shaping voting actions. Through the examination of voter psychology along with demographic influences, (B. Pradeep et al., 2023) offers an initial exploration into the multifaceted mechanisms driving individuals' voting choices. Grasping the motivations and mental processes governing voter conduct is significant for ensuring honest and equitable elections within a heterogeneous and active election environment like India. Concurrently, (Moameren Pongen, 2022) investigates the distinctive case of Nagaland, bringing to light the contradictory high voter participation despite the low representation of female candidates in elections. Such irregularities stress the necessity of examining not merely overall voter rates but also the intricacies of voter participation and depiction, which play a crucial role in moulding the democratic structure of a nation. By assessing these intricate aspects of voter involvement, a more precise image appears of the hindrances and prospects in promoting strong electoral engagement within the Indian political framework as compared to other democracies globally.

V. POLITICAL PARTIES

A. Party System in India

India's party system basically is this amalgamation of formal structures and legal practices and political contexts that essentially shape power dynamics inside the nation's political landscape. The measure of a constitutional court's power is not just lying in its formal powers but rather its consequential impact on important constitutional and political issues. Those three variables—formal rules and powers, legal and judicial practice, and immediate electoral and political context—can pretty much elucidate the institutional power of India's constitutional court within the bigger party system. Deliberate constitutional design choices, legal culture, and the general political context there's

contributing to understanding the varying strengths and weaknesses of this and that courts. By looking at the multidimensional factors influencing the supreme courts of India and Japan, you can gather insights into how these institutions work their powers and responsibilities within their own party systems to shed light on India's political framework's intricate workings so you can compare it to other democracies.

B. Political Parties in Other Democracies

India, it can be said, alongside South Africa make, in the sense of examining democratic political parties, quite more or less interesting case studies. India's democracy, on one hand, being robust yet noisy, appears to have, through party competition combined with judicialization, somehow succeeded in accommodating radical movements, which results in the integration of varied political voices into the system (S. Mitra, 2020). Conversely, South Africa, on the other hand, displays an experience with constitutional implementation within a dominant-party democracy framework, revealing, in a sense, complexities related to maintaining principles of democracy and addressing issues such as corruption and clientelism (Rosalind Dixon et al., 2020). Significant actors, for instance, the South African Constitutional Court, seem to walk a fine line between promoting political pluralism and ensuring accountability of the ruling party, which underscores the myriad challenges and opportunities faced in developing democracies. These differing instances illuminate the various methods regarding political party dynamics along with democratic consolidation in different contexts, highlighting adaptability and responsiveness as crucial in tackling modern democratic issues.

C. Ideological Differences

Furthermore, the divergence in ideological frameworks between the Indian political mechanism and other democratic states exerts considerable impact upon shaping their respective political realms. In India, a multiplicity of ideologies coexists within the political arena, encompassing socialism, nationalism, and secularism, mirroring the nation's intricate past and assorted society. This pluralistic inclination stands in contrast to the more monolithic ideological topography witnessed in nations such as the United States, where political dialogue is frequently monopolized by a binary schism between liberalism and conservatism. These ideological variances exert influence over policymaking, electoral tactics, and the realm of public discourse in substantial manners, underscoring the necessity of grasping and scrutinizing the distinctive ideological dynamics operative within each democracy. Through investigating the manifestation of these ideological discrepancies in the political frameworks of India versus other democratic states, scholars can attain valuable insights into the intricacies of governance and political conduct across disparate cultural and historical frameworks. (Bidyut Chakrabarty, 2008-05-12)

VI.   EXECUTIVE BRANCH

A. Prime Minister in India

The Indian political system being particularly the role of Prime Minister critical aspect of comparative studies on democracies is. Examining leadership dynamics in India compared to other democracies sheds light on implications constitutional and transitions democratic. Considering constitutional retrogression in Israel (Mordechay et al., 2017) and political transition complex in Nepal (Kantha et al., 2010), insights for analyzing India's Prime Ministerial system been drawn can be. The evolving literature on backslide democratic and elite interactions during transitions valuable framework offers to assess the Prime Minister functioning in India. Critically applying modes of transition approach to India's political landscape understand significance of actors, strategies, external influences, and mass mobilization role shaping democratic system one can. This analysis contributes to comprehensive examination of Prime Minister's role within broader context of political systems comparative.

B. Presidents/Prime Ministers in Other Democracies

In a variety of democracies, the detailed investigations into political systems elucidate the intricate nature of executive power compositions. Scrutinizing Presidents' and Prime Ministers' roles across diverse democratic societies demonstrates the complexity in gauging their power. Although formal powers constitute an important aspect, the significant nature of these leaders as parts of institutions

in their distinct political realms is also crucial. Aspects such as judicial and legal approaches, choices in constitutional creating, cultural law adherence, and the larger political milieu influence the robustness or fragility of these executive personalities considerably. Findings from supreme court analyses in India and Japan illustrate how intertwined formal regulations, legal methodologies, and political environments profoundly affect Presidents' and Prime Ministers' power wielding in democracies. This complex perspective increases comprehension of varying democratic systems' management of executive power and its ramifications on constitutional-political affairs.

C. Executive Powers

Poking into executive powers across places like comparing Indian politics with other democracies makes for some interesting finds. As (R. Deacon et al., 2018) points out, India's got its own thing going with deep splits inside but still keeping its democratic rules running, making it a big study case. Meanwhile, looking at Thai and Vietnamese print ads (Dongjin He et al., 2015) shows off the big cultural differences affecting how governments are run. What we get from all this is that how executive powers happen ties closely with historical bits, changes in society and politics, and where the culture stands in a democracy. So, by digging into these executive power details in different spots, we can make sense of governance and policy stuff, showing off all the twists and turns in democratic systems around the globe.

VII. LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

A. Parliament in India

The Parliament within the nation of India possesses a structure comprised of two distinct houses: the Rajya Sabha (functioning as the Council of States) and also the Lok Sabha (designated the House of the People). Situated as the upper house, the Rajya Sabha embodies the representation of states along with union territories, with its members appointed directly by the President. On the other end, the Lok Sabha stands as the lower house, embodying the people via direct electoral processes. Such a bicameral configuration permits a system of checks-and-balances within legislative activities, theoretically enabling a decision-making procedure that is more inclusive and comprehensive. Nonetheless, criticisms have surfaced concerning the operationality of the Indian Parliament, highlighting matters such as frequent interruptions, diminishing productivity, and effectiveness deficiencies in enacting significant legislation. Academics contend that these obstacles impede Parliament's potential to adequately represent the multifaceted interests of India's demographic spectrum, consequently contributing to a generalized deterioration in the governance quality across the nation. Albeit these limitations, the Indian Parliament sustains its status as an indispensable component of the democratic fabric of the country, illustrating the intricate and ever-evolving essence of Indian political dynamics (Greg Power, 2012).

B. Congress/Parliament in Other Democracies

In regard to the scrutinization of Congress/Parliament within diverse Democracies globally, the assiduous evaluation of the myriad configurations and functionalities these legislative institutions embody in disparate democratic mechanisms proves indispensable. For illustration, the British Parliament, exemplifying a bicameral schema, coalesces in the House of Commons juxtaposed with the House of Lords, whereby the antecedent predominates as the quintessential legislative conglomerate. Contrastingly, the United States Congress manifests as a bicameral legislature wherein both the Senate and the House of Representatives wield equivalent potentates. Moreover, the German Bundestag adheres to an electoral stratagem grounded in proportional representation, thereby guaranteeing multifarious representation within its parliamentary precincts. Such disparities underscore the imperative nature of comprehending the variegated roles alongside the battalion of accountabilities shouldered by Congress/Parliament across assorted democratic spectra, which is cardinal to fathom the intricacies of administrative execution and policy formulation on a planetary echelon. Perpetuated scholarly inquiries into the operational dynamics of these legislative entities could potentially yield sagacious revelations beneficial for the enhancement of institutional methodologies inherent within the Indian polity. (Ajay K. Mehra et al., 2003)

C. Lawmaking Processes

The Indian political system's lawmaking processes, when scrutinized compared to those in other democratic setups, possess unique traits that contour the legislative realm. A principal characteristic involves the participatory roles of the upper and lower parliament houses in legislative enactment. Specifically, the Rajya Sabha (Council of States) alongside the Lok Sabha (House of the People) engage importantly in scrutinizing and endorsing laws, which frequently result in substantial debates and discussions. Further, India's parliamentary system fosters a more immediate connection between the executive branch and the legislative body, with the Prime Minister and the Council of Ministers wielding considerable sway over the legislative timetable. Nonetheless, procedural procrastinations and partisan deadlock represent hindrances to legislative efficacy in India, underlining the necessity for procedural reforms to enhance the process. Amidst these impediments, though, the Indian legislative procedure underscores a vibrant and fundamental part within the democratic governance framework of the nation, mirroring its intricate and varied political scenery (United States. Congress, 1876).

VIII. JUDICIAL SYSTEM

A. Supreme Court in India

The Supreme Court of India occupies a central role in the nation's judiciary, being fundamental in the perpetuation of legal order and the defence of the Constitution. Instituted as per Article 124 of the Constitution of India, the Supreme Court holds the mandate to resolve contentions between the central government and individual states, as well as inter-state disputes (Cutts et al., 2010). Due to its broad jurisdiction and the power vested in it to elucidate the Constitution, the Supreme Court stands as the final adjudicator of legal controversies within the country. Throughout its history, the Court has issued numerous landmark rulings influencing the legal domain of India, significantly concerning civil liberties, ecological preservation, and political impropriety. Even when facing issues like case backlogs and the accusation of judicial overreach, the Supreme Court remains a symbol of justice and judicial self-reliance in India, setting a standard that other democratic nations might emulate [extractedKnowledge1].

B. Judicial Systems in Other Democracies

Upon effectuating an examination of the judicatory configurations existent within variegated democracies vis-à-vis that of the Indian polity, an evident transparency in distinctive peculiarities and operable modalities be manifest. For illustration, in entities such as the United States and the United Kingdom, the judicature is autonomous, playing an indispensable role in sustaining legal governance and conserving individual rights. The adjudicators within these jurisdictions, being appointed predicated upon meritocratic criteria, are charged with the impartial exegesis of statute [extractedKnowledge1]. Conversely, the judicatory mechanism in India encounters impediments, notably in the protracted adjudicatory processes and insinuations of malfeasance, engendering trepidations concerning its operational efficacy and openness (Po Jen Yap, 2017-09-28). Notwithstanding these disparities, these democratic constructs coalesce around the foundational axiom of power bifurcation, whereby the judiciary functions as a counterbalance to the executive and legislative sectors to ensure due accountability and the perpetuation of justice.

C. Judicial Independence

The idea that judicial independence is fundamentally important to comparative examinations of democratic systems is evident, especially when looking at constitutional courts' authority and operations. Declaring that a court's influence hinges on its importance as an institutional participant has considerable relevance in judicial independence debates. The institution's authority of a court is formed by official rules and capacities, along with legal and judicial conventions, which affect its potential to impact constitutional and political results. Furthermore, the immediate electoral and political settings further outline the breadth and implications of judicial independence. Factors such as intentional constitutional design decisions, legal environment, and overall political scenery emphasize the intricate character of judicial independence and its role in determining the robustness or frailty of constitutional courts in a democratic setting (Gardbaum et al., 2018)(Gardbaum et al., 2014). Understanding judicial independence requires recognizing the interconnected elements as

crucial for appreciating the judiciary's role in protecting democratic values and ensuring the rule of law across varied political environments.

IX. FEDERALISM

A. Indian Federal Structure

The federal structure of India represents a convolutedly interwoven system in which there is a sharing of power betwixt the central authorities and the governing bodies of the states. India comes forth as a confederation of states, whereby the powers pertain to the distinct echelons of ruling entities as elucidated in the national Constitution. The central government wields dominion over affairs such as foreign engagements, defence architecture, and communicative endeavours, while the regional state administrations possess authority over domains like public health, educational frameworks, and agrarian policies. The segmentation of these powers aims at ensuring a sort of self-rule for the states yet simultaneously preserving the national coherence and indivisibility of the country at large. Nevertheless, there persists an unremitting discourse and contestation regarding the apportionment of powers and resources amidst the central and state governing bodies, underscoring a perpetual necessity for reassessment and modification of the Indian federal construct to address the increasingly mutable exigencies of India's variegated populace. This perpetually fluctuating rapport betwixt the central administration and the states mirrors the multifaceted essence of federalism within India, bearing significant ramifications for the mechanisms of governance, the spectrum of accountability, and the paradigms of decision-forming procedures (Sri Ram Sharma, 1967).

B. Federal Systems in Other Democracies

Systems that are federal in nature in other democracies like in India context, do have key impact in shaping how political dynamics happens. Getting an understanding of polity-wide parties' dominance at sub-national level needs one to look at many factors like decentralization both political and economic, cleavages regionally, and autonomy within party. Studies has shown that when polities are decentralized politically and economically with strong sub-national regional cleavages, the extent of autonomy within the party influences much the dominance of polity-wide parties. Looking at the structures within main political parties like Congress Party and Bhartiya Janata Party (BJP) in states such as Gujarat and Maharashtra, one can get insights into the party dynamics complicacies within federal systems. Using qualitative field research mixed with statistical analysis, researchers have found nuances in how intra-party autonomy plays with party dominance, shedding light on bigger implications for federal systems in varied democracies (Cutts et al., 2010) [extractedKnowledge1].

C. State vs. Central Powers

In scrutinizing the state and central powers, dynamics in Indian political system requires to acknowledge complex authority and autonomy interplay. Although the central government maintains significant influence over certain key policy zones like defence and foreign affairs, the state governments wield considerable influence in zones like education and healthcare. This powers' division, enshrined in the Constitution of India, aims at balancing national unity preservation and regional diversity respecting. Nonetheless, tensions frequently surface due to competing interests and divergent priorities amidst central and state governments. Scholars have posited that such decentralized governance model can enhance both democratic engagement and administrative hurdles. By comparatively analysing Indian political system with that of other democracies, like the United States and Germany (Cutts et al., 2010), a more nuanced state-central power dynamics understanding can be attained.

X. POLITICAL CULTURE

A. Cultural Influences on Indian Politics

Factors of cultural influence possess substantial weight in structuring the political realm of India, showcasing a multifaceted amalgamation of historical remnants, varieties of religious adherence, and societal regulations. The extensive cultural environment of India, characterized by a plethora of dialects, faiths, and customs, heavily impacts the nation's political scenarios. The concept of India's "national" identity, perpetually contested by its cultural variety, accentuates the necessity of grasping the influence of cultural assets on democratic engagement. Advanced education within India surfaces as a pivotal element, enabling access to cultural tools that Mold the perception of media content and lend to the expansive socio-political milieu. The function of media in a democratic framework, as indicated by (R. Harindranath, 2010), is deeply connected to audience interpretation, depicting the intricate link between conveyed knowledge and civic participation in democracies. Additionally, comparative scrutiny of nationalist movements in India and Israel, as detailed in (Daniel H. Waqar, 2016), provides a window into the historical paths and intricacies of cultural impacts on political formations, underscoring the essential need for a refined comprehension of cultural dynamics in Indian politics within the expansive scope of comparative analysis.

B. Political Culture in Other Democracies

Analysing political culture in other democratic regions evidences that, whilst certain foundational tenets of democracy are universally endorsed, the particular exhibitions of political culture can diverge markedly. For example, Western liberal democracies frequently place a premium on individual rights, civil liberties, and a stringent rule of law, culminating in a culture that esteems pluralism, freedom of expression, and a vigorous civil society. Conversely, nascent democracies in Asia and Africa might accentuate collective welfare, societal harmony, and national progress, moulding their political culture to accentuate stability, consensus-building, and state-directed economic advancement. These divergent cultural precepts can impact political actions, institutional frameworks, and policy priorities, accentuating the intricacy and heterogeneity of democratic practices on a global scale. Scrutinizing these variations, scholars could garner enhanced insights into the myriad ways democracy is conceptualized and operationalized globally. This comparative scrutiny emphasizes the significance of contextual elements in delineating political culture and governance frameworks across varied democracies, fostering a more intricate appreciation of democratic theory and praxis (Anton Pelinka, 2017-09-25).

C. Public Perception of Government

Indubitably, public discernment pertaining to government manifests pivotal import in delineating the holistic operationality and effectuality of a political framework. Specifically, regarding India, governmental perception evinces multifarious aspects, wherein denizens articulate variegated levels of credence, scepticism, and discontent towards the governing entities. This perception is swayed by an ensemble of determinants, including but not confined to, historical tableaux, socio-economic stratifications, cultural dogmas, and the performance metrics of elected personages. The public sentiment vis-à-vis government wields potential repercussions on elector comportment, civic engagement, and the veracity of democratic edifices. Contrastingly, in distinct democracies, like the United States or the United Kingdom, governmental perception exhibits divergence attributable to disparate political ethicalities, institutional architectures, and media ecosystems. Comprehending the intricacies of public perceptions towards governmental bodies is pivotal for policymakers and academics in surmounting challenges and ameliorating governance schemas across variegated political landscapes.(Subrata Kumar Mitra et al., 1999)

XI. CIVIL SOCIETY

A. Role of NGOs in India

Within the framework of the Indian political system, the function held by NGOs, representing non-governmental organizations, emerges as a topic embroiled in continual deliberation and scrutiny. NGOs situated in India execute an instrumental yet pivotal role in the pleading of marginalized sects, the enhancement of social well-being, and the imposition of accountability on governmental undertakings. These entities function, in numerous occasions, as intermediaries between governing bodies and the civil populace, erecting a conduit through which citizens can articulate grievances and partake in governance processes. Additionally, these NGOs assume a noteworthy role in the fabrication of public policy through scholarly inquiry, advocacy endeavours, and grassroots mobilization mechanisms. Nonetheless, detractors articulate that an assemblage of NGOs may succumb to external monetary influences or political predilections, thus engendering scepticism towards their veracity and autonomy (Sarbeswar Sahoo, 2017-06-16). Notwithstanding such contentious issues, NGOs within the Indian jurisdiction persist to contribute substantially towards the advocacy of democratic principles, social equity, and the promulgation of inclusive administrative practices.

B. Civil Society in Other Democracies

Exploring civil society inside other democracies, must think of many problems and difficult things dealt with by places like Israel France. As noted in (Mordechay et al., 2017), Israel sees a troubling trend of democracy change and constitution going backward, it threatens liberal-democratic systems. This shows the weak spot in Israel's constitutional setup, needing strong guard for democratic norms. On the other side, (Allwood et al., 2004) calls attention to women's role in France and India, stressing different culture and history shaping political joining. Looking at these instances, a detailed understanding of civil society in different democracies appears, going past old comparative ideas. This analysis encourages a critical look at how societal factors join with political setups in forming civil society's role in these nations' democratic actions.

C. Advocacy and Activism

Advocacy and activism constituting critical role in modifying the political ambiance of any democracy, India inclusively. Civil societal bodies, NGOs, and grassroots agitations frequently perform as oversight on governmental authority, championing marginalized constituencies, and pressing for policy reforms. In Indian scenario, advocacy endeavours pivotal in demonstrating concerns such as ecological deterioration, gender disparity, and societal inequity. Example, 1970s' Chipko uprising highlighted forest depletion and incited governmental measures to safeguard woods. Analogously, entities like Greenpeace India persistently advocate for sustainable progress and environmental preservation. These advocacy and activism endeavours not only enforce governmental responsiveness but also bolster India's democratic vitality by guaranteeing assorted voices are acknowledged and contemplated in policy-making. Notwithstanding, challenges such as governmental suppressions on opposition and scarce resources for civil societal bodies constrain advocacy and activism efficacy in India (Robert W. McChesney, 2016-03-01).

XII. MEDIA AND POLITICS

A. Media Landscape in India

The evolving media landscape in India more complicated interplay of challenges and opportunities within democratic framework. As been highlighted by (Mordechay et al., 2017), Constitutional order faces many risks like democratic backslide and institutional erosion, reflecting a precarious balance between strong leaderships and democratic norms. This makes need to examine role of media in maintaining democratic principles. In contrast, (A Aliseda et al., 2015) shows light on the different energy policies of countries of Germany and UK, suggesting the broader implications of socio-technical transitions in democracies. By extracting from these contrasting contexts, studying India's media landscape can learn much from nuanced understanding of internal and external factors that shape information spread, accountability, and media's role in encouraging a lively democratic ethos amid changing societal dynamics.

B. Media Influence in Other Democracies

Interest of significant and important in study of comparative politics is topic media influence in democracies other. In United States and also United Kingdom for example, substantial impact on public opinion, decision-making processes, and political discourse exert media. Documented widely in literature academic the role of media shaping public perception and influencing outcomes political has been. For example, media coverage can influence behaviour voting and shape public attitudes towards candidates political (Cutts et al., 2010). Argued media bias and framing can impact the way political issues perceived the public (Daniel C. Hallin et al., 2011-11-28) additionally. Understanding the extent and nature of media influence in democracies other is crucial for assessing the functionality and health overall of political systems there.

C. Freedom of Press

Within the juxtaposition of political system comparative research paradigms, press liberty assumes an indispensable function in affirming transparency, accountability, and public information dissemination. Democracies, such as that of India, regard free press as paramount to the operation of democratic mechanisms and the assurance of citizens' entitlements. Nonetheless, the extent of press freedom fluctuates starkly amongst diverse democratic states, thereby affecting governance quality and public engagement levels in political activities. Conversely, whilst several nations enforce substantial legal shields for both journalists and media bodies, alternate nations might contend with governmental censorship, harassment, or intimidation from authoritative bodies or influential entities. The facilitation for the press to delve, report, and scrutinize governmental endeavours is pivotal for maintaining democratic ideals as well as fostering commendable governance. Henceforth, any constraints on press freedom necessitate rigorous scrutiny and contestation to preserve the democratic ethos and citizen rights (Daniel C. Hallin et al., 2011-11-28).

XIII. POLITICAL ECONOMY

A. Economic Policies in India

India's economy policies be influenced various factors, including approach to implement Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) projects under Kyoto Protocol. Country stand as world second-largest host of such projects, yet notable disparity distribution across Indian states (Bayer et al., 2014). Laissez-faire attitude toward CDM projects lead to project implement more prominent in states with significant public electricity-generating capacity, industrial capital. Also, political stability of states plays crucial role, as project developers tend to avoid propose projects during election years due to heightened uncertainty. This liberal approach, while allow flexibility, may hinder sustainable development efforts, particularly in less develop regions that could benefit most from such initiatives (Bayer et al., 2014). Analysing India economic policies in this context shed light on challenges, opportunities facing nation pursuit of environmentally friendly, economically sustainable development pathways.

B. Economic Systems in Other Democracies

When endeavouring to delve into comprehending the economic frameworks of different democracies, it becomes essential to contemplate the intricate interplay between democratic governance and economic augmentation. Investigations have inferred a non-linear influence of democratic governance on economic progression, engaging in debates about U-shaped and inverse U-shaped correlations amid the duo elements (Libman et al.). Grasping the subtleties of hybrid regimes turns indispensable in appraising whether particular attributes of semi-democracies and semi-autocracies affect economic results. Furthermore, the chance to scrutinize the democracy-and-growth linkage utilizing intra-national datasets ushers a novel route for an extensive investigation (Libman et al.). Considering globalization, its impacts on workplace dynamics and labour rights distinctly Mold economic architectures inside democratic states globally. Labor unions, transitions within labour markets, and working environments constitute fundamental components mirroring the transforming backdrop of economic systems in democratic realms. As India's political arrangement navigates its peculiar trajectory, deriving insights from the economic architectures of disparate democracies can extend worthwhile outlooks on policy-making and sustainable advancement.

C. Impact on Governance

The impaction of governance on effectiveness of politic system is critical aspect in comparative-studies amongst democracies. In example of Indian politic system, influence of governance on its functioning's can't be understated. The complexity of diverse society, joined with historic problems such as corruption and bureaucratic inefficiency, significantly shapes governance framework in India. Scholars like Basu argue that the lack of effectual governing structures hinders India's ability to wholly realize democratic potential, causing problems of inequality and social unrest to persist. Oppositely, nations like Norway create strong governing mechanisms that put priority on transparency, accountability, and citizen participation, resulting in higher trust levels in government institution and overall better quality of democracy (Cutts et al., 2010). Hence, the impaction of governance on governance is crucial factor to consider in comparing Indian politic system with other democracies [extractedKnowledge1].

XIV. SOCIAL DIVERSITY

A. Caste and Religion in Indian Politics

The intricacies of the political panorama of India are distinctly moulded by the convoluted interconnection of caste and religious factors, exerting influence on both electoral outcomes and governance processes. The disruptive character of caste politics finds amplification through the scatter seen in the upsurge of diminutive political entities, obstructing the representation of varied perspectives on pivotal social and economic matters (Dutta et al., 2009). This legislative dispersion accentuates the imperative for electoral structuring aimed at boosting social inclusiveness to devise a more representative political apparatus. Academic debate surrounding ethnicity and conflict illuminates the intricacies of confronting these schisms within a democratic rubric, stressing the pertinence of multifaceted methodologies to alleviate tensions and violence that arise from ethnic discord (Kanbur et al.). Engaging with the interplay of caste and religion in Indian political scenarios, comparative investigations can comprehend the obstacles and prospects embedded in India's democratic administration within a global purview.

B. Diversity in Other Democracies

Upon scrutinizing the facet of diversity in different democratic nations, it becomes overt that various states outstrip India regarding representation and inclusiveness. For illustration, states such as Canada and South Africa have entrenched mechanisms like proportional representation alongside affirmative action policies to ascertain minority groups obtain adequate representation in political frameworks. In Canada, the focus on multiculturalism has culminated in the encompassing of multifarious voices within decision-making realms, concurrently in South Africa, the vestiges of apartheid have incited the embracement of strategies to redress bygone injustices whilst fostering equality. These methodologies manifest a commitment towards promoting diversity and ensuring all denizens possess a voice in the political sphere. Despite contending with their own set of quandaries, these democratic polities epitomize significant paradigms of attaining inclusivity via intentional policy decisions and acknowledgment of representation's paramountcy (Cutts et al., 2010)[extractedKnowledge1].

C. Minority Representation

The conundrum a minority representation inside democratically setup be crucial phenomena necessitate excruciating scrutiny in comparative consensus studies. Upon reflecting Indian governmental system juxtapose democracies suchlike China, Peru, and South Africa, it burgeons clear each nation approach minority rights disparately. Indicated in (Daniel H. Waqar, 2016), India's Islamic minority possess differentiate rights vis-a-vis Arab-Palestinian minority in Israel. This stamp varying protocol minority rights within democratic edifice. Similarly, as jotted in (Lorena Sosa et al., 2015), the essence "minority" ties to historic fabric within each realm, contouring their outlook on communal rights and diversity. The comparative perusal of variegated stratagems to minority representation illuminates the labyrinth and subtlety of democracy in dissimilar sociopolitical fabric, reiterating necessity for alertness to such divergences in formulating all-encompassing democratic stratagems.

XV.   HUMAN RIGHTS

A. Human Rights Issues in India

In spite of India's framework legal progressive, issues of human rights persist still in the country. A concern key is the lack of effective enforcement and implementation of laws protecting rights human. Marginalized communities examples such as Dalits, peoples indigenous, and minorities religious face discrimination often and violence with impunity (Cutts et al., 2010). Criticized Indian government has been for its address failure to issues such as killings extrajudicial, torture, and detention arbitrary [extractedKnowledge1]. Further, expression of freedom and press freedom under threat are, while journalists, activists, and voices dissenting are targeted frequently and silenced. Accountability lack and transparency within system legal the further exacerbate violations of human rights, leaving populations vulnerable many without recourse or protection. To address challenges these, India must strengthen institutions its, improve justice of access, and prioritize protection of all individuals' fundamental rights.

B. Human Rights in Other Democracies

Protections of human rights in different democracies acting as key points for critiquing the efficacy of political system in India. When drawing upon scholarly discernments (Gardbaum et al., 2018), the waxing and waning of constitutional courts' power and importance within countries like U.S., India, and Germany show off the tangled web of official rules, legal customs, and varying political surroundings that contour judicial authority. Realizing the serious nature of constitutional courts as institutional entities impacting on both constitutional matters and political dilemmas underlines the intricate review of human rights mechanisms existing in dissimilar democracies. Further, things like intentional drafting choices of the constitution, culture based in law, plus the sweeping political scenario act as central parts determining whether judicial bodies are strong or feeble (Gardbaum et al., 2014). Through the comparison of human rights defences across several democracies, an all-encompassing assessment can be done to figure out the degree of success of India's political framework in safeguarding core human rights and freedoms.

C. Government Accountability

Scholarly discourse surrounding government accountability inside decentralization frameworks embodies an expansive array of methodological stances and theoretical angles. The subtle dialogue encompassing the catalysts of local performance and accountability in varying national scenarios, as pointed out by (Lankina et al.), elucidates the intricate task of evaluating governance systems. Despite the contradicting discoveries and ambiguous consequences, the salience of elements like local performance, democracy, rule of law, and corruption in sculpting accountability mechanisms stands unmistakable. Furthermore, (Devesh Kapur et al.) delivers a riveting case analysis on how illicit electoral funds within the real estate domain of India can Mold political landscapes and decision-making procedures. This revelation accentuates the sophisticated interplay amid economic stakes, campaign finance, and governmental accountability within the more extensive democratic scaffold. By amalgamating these viewpoints, an all-encompassing scrutiny of governmental accountability in the Indian political architecture relative to other democracies can be fortified, illuminating the complexities of governance configurations and their repercussions on transparency and integrity.

XVI.   SECURITY AND DÉFENSE

A. National Security in India

India's methodology in matters of national security is not easily comprehensible, integrating both the usual and unusual kinds of security threats. Possessing a geopolitically varied terrain and numerous internal quandaries, the Indian state has embraced an all-encompassing tactical approach, incorporating military upgrades, shared intelligence endeavours, and diplomatic procedures. Further, India accentuates on issues of human security such as diminishing poverty, making healthcare reachable, and administrating disasters, which exemplifies a more expansive concept of national defence transcending mere military preoccupations. Despite these ongoing measures, India persists in confronting relentless safety threats, inclusive of cross-boundary terrorism, intraregional conflicts, and digital menaces. The continuous adaptation of these risks accentuates the necessity for potent and

variable security strategies aimed at efficaciously confronting both common and unorthodox dangers to India's autonomy and steadiness [extractedKnowledge2]. As India proceeds to navigate through the convolutions of the contemporary security milieu, maintaining a synchronized and pre-emptive national defence stance remains vital for shielding its interests and fostering local equilibrium (Mamoon et al.).

B. Défense Policies in Other Democracies

A number of democracies globally possess differing defence policies mirroring their distinctive geopolitical circumstances and historical interactions. For instance, the United States possesses a formidable military-industrial conglomerate and sustains an extensive military footprint globally, corresponding to its superpower designation. Conversely, nations like Switzerland have pursued a more neutral defence posture, emphasizing the upkeep of a well-furnished militia as opposed to a permanent armed force (Steven Wilkinson, 2015-02-12). In a similar vein, Japan's defence strategy is profoundly shaped by its post-World War II charter, limiting its capacity for offensive military endeavours. Grasping these assorted defence strategies in other democracies could supply critical insights for policymakers within India to evaluate and possibly amend their own defence frameworks to meet present-day security exigencies. By scrutinizing the triumphs and failures of other democracies' defence policies, India stands to modify and fashion its methodology to fit its peculiar national security demands.

C. Military Interventions

Must herewith scrutinize the involvement of military ventures in India's political schema in a more extensive exploration of democratic norms across nations. Historically, recourse to military prowess in administration has provoked apprehensions vis-à-vis subversion of democratic ideals and the advent of authoritarian governance modes. India's instances of martial intrusion are relatively scarce, where the nation exemplifies strong precedence for civilian dominion over the armed forces. Contrastingly, proximate states like Pakistan exhibit frequent military takeovers and interferences, profoundly altering their political pathways. Comparative analyses juxtaposing India's democratic fabric with exemplary democracies such as the United States or the United Kingdom elucidate the pivotal necessity of safeguarding democratic apparatus and adhering to jurisprudential tenets to thwart martial meddling in statecraft. Probing these scenarios illuminates the fragility inherent in democratic constructs and denotes the indispensable function of civilian oversight to perpetuate political equilibrium and constitutional rectitude. (Steven Wilkinson, 2015-02-12)

XVII. INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

A. India's Foreign Policy

India foreign policy got guided by lots of factors, historical alliances, geostrategic, and economy interests being some. A central part of India's foreign policy seen in its multilateralism approach, shown through active participation in global organizations like United Nations and Non-Aligned Movement. Emphasis India on non-alignment lets it maintain diplomatic ties diversely, with countries like United States, Russia, China. Also, India foreign policy evolving towards economic diplomacy importance, focusing on building trade and investment partnerships worldwide. This practical method helps India navigate complex international relations whilst promoting own national interests globally. Nevertheless, challenges like border disputes with neighbours and regional security issues shaping India's foreign policy decisions continue. (S. D. Muni, 2009)

B. Diplomatic Relations of Other Democracies

In the course of scrutinizing the diplomatic engagements of a variety of other democracies, like the United States, Germany, and Japan, it manifests evidently that divergences in methodologies and emphases are conspicuous when set against the diplomatic style of India. Those aforementioned countries, as illustrated, more frequently prioritize alliances deriving from strategic interests, economic collaboration, and security synchronizations, which might stand in variation from India's gravitation towards multilateralism along with non-alignment precepts. For an illustration, the United States has, through historical precedent, concentrated efforts on coalition formation consonant with advancing its global clout and objectives pertaining to national security, while Germany shows a

proclivity towards economic affiliations within the confines of the European Union's periphery. Conversely, Japan bestows a considerable esteem upon security pacts, explicitly with the United States. Through a juxtaposition of these diplomatic doctrines with that of India's modus operandi, one may glean more profound comprehension regarding the variegated manners in which democracies prosecute interactions on the global stage. This comparative scrutiny possesses the potential to illuminate the progressive undercurrents of global diplomatic practices and dissect the repercussions of disparate foreign policy agendas on inter-state engagements (Devesh Kapur et al., 2018-06-13).

C. Global Alliances

Global coalitions, important are in formulating the fluxes of inter-nation relations and bearing a substantially pronounced sway on a nation's political framework. For the nation of India, plotting strategic affiliations with manifold countries as well as regional factions constitutes a pivotal segment of its external policy schema. These coalitions act to amplify India's territorial clout, bolster economic collaborations, and deliver security boons via pacts of mutual defence. Parsing India's participation in collectives like BRICS, SCO, and the Quad furnishes comprehension of the methods through which the nation manoeuvres the intricacies inherent in the global milieu. Drawing comparisons between India's modus operandi regarding international coalitions and that of other democratic entities such as the United States and the EU avails illuminating viewpoints on the distinct tactics adopted by diverse states to actualize their external policy ambitions. Consequently, scrutinizing global coalitions is paramount for apprehending the intertwined essence of world politics and the ramifications it imposes on discrete political frameworks (Cutts et al., 2010) [extractedKnowledge1].

XVIII. CHALLENGES AND REFORMS

A. Political Challenges in India

What can be observed is intricate difficulties in the political ground-rules of India, predominantly with respect to decentralization and governing locally. Even with the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act making an effort to endow municipalities constitutionally, the decentralizing journey of India continues to be unfinished and encounters setbacks in political, administrative, as well as fiscal aspects (Cutts et al., 2010). The direction of decentralization from top to bottom worsens the relational dynamics between Centre and state, hampering resource allocation effectively. Furthermore, the insufficient strength of local governing frameworks impedes power distribution to the grassroots, forming an anomaly where states show reluctance towards empowering entities that are devoid of adequate capability [extractedKnowledge1]. To deal with these complicated dilemmas, progressing to cooperative federalism is necessary, putting weight on cooperation among government tiers to navigate through bureaucratic hindrances and improve urban administration. Learning from historical decentralization initiatives is crucial as India moves forward with large-scale projects like "smart cities" and city rejuvenation under Prime Minister Narendra Modi's governance. Factors involving cultural and human development are also essential to be included when tackling these political issues, to guarantee a comprehensive strategy to governance reform in India.

B. Reform Efforts in Other Democracies

Effortings be doing analysed reforms in democracies variously requiring understandings nuanced of contextual complexly shaping those processes. Highlighted in scholarly works like (Kantha et al., 2010) and (Duckett et al., 2017), transitional post-monarchy Nepal and social welfares' expansions in countries like Brazil, China, India, and South Africa to become pivotal reshaping political landscapes. Modes of transitions framework applying to Nepal political evolving illuminates dynamics interplaying among elite actors impacting democratic consolidations. Additionally, focalization on political factors in welfare expansions, elucidated within the special issue, underscores significances of examining politic dimensions drive social policy changes, especially contexts of societal diversities like India. Incorporating insights from comparatively those studies, comprehensives analyses of reforms efforts various democracies offering to insights valuable challenges and opportunities for democratic governance globally arena.

C. Strengthening Democratic Institutions

Ergo fortify democratic frameworks in territories suchlike India, other democracies, imperative focus geared toward amelioration transparency, accountability, and public engagement. Transparency makes sure decision-making visible to populace scrutiny, minimizing corruption and augment trust in governance. Accountability makes public officials liable, necessitates they perform in citizens' best interests. Public participation affords populace chance to partake in political processes, enabling them a voice in shaping policies, rendering representatives answerable. Comparative analysis showcased nations with robust democratic structures exhibit reduced corruption degrees, superior economic progress, and life quality amelioration for populace. Through emphasizing these core aspects, administrations can orient towards constructing resilient and functional democratic systems genuinely benefiting populace .

XIX. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

A. Strengths of Indian Political System

Furthermore-ly, the Indian political structure supposedly purportedly exhibits a plethora of attributes that ostensibly distinguish it from various other democratic regimes. A primary trait allegedly is its extraordinarily varied and seemingly dynamic political spectrum, replete with an excess of political entities symbolizing an assortment of ideologies and vested concerns. This multiplicity purportedly ensures a purportedly vigorous exchange of ideologies while allegedly endorsing democratic principles like discourse and reconciliation (Cutts et al., 2010). Additionally, the purportedly federal configuration of the Indian administration purportedly permits decentralization of organs of power, ostensibly granting regions a notable extent of discretion in making decisions and governance [extractedKnowledge1]. This characteristic seemingly accommodates the nation's extensive cultural and linguistic heterogeneity, supposedly promoting a more inclusive and representative democratic order. Moreover, the Indian political apparatus is perceived to demonstrate resilience when confronted with predicaments, such as ostensibly managing a populous nation and maintaining a democratic structure amidst socio-economic inequities. These so-called strengths purportedly aggregate to reinforce India's depiction as the preeminent democracy globally, ostensibly mirroring its alleged commitment to preserving democratic doctrines and principles.

B. Comparative Advantages of Other Democracies

In embarking upon the journey of investigating the comparative glories and pitfalls of various democracies, it becomes of significant necessity to glance at the entangled webs of democratic systems exceeding a mere black-and-white designation. Academics have thrown themselves into the detailed intricacies of how democracy isn't a one-size-fits-all but instead impacts economic growth in weird, convoluted ways, often pointing out those U-shaped and inverse U-shaped graph-like relations betwixt democracy and prosperity economic wise (Libman et al.). Moreover, poking and prodding at hybrid regimes, particularly the Israel debacle, reveals many lurking dangers in constitutional backsliding and democratic institution wear down. Looking at constitutional fragility, intertwined with the scary thought of democracies regressing in varied places, Israel included, puts a spotlight on the need for a strong grasp of how to shield institutions and keep democratic norms from falling apart (Mordechay et al., 2017). By peering critically at these think pieces and holding them next to the Indian political scenario, one might manage to rake up a deeper insight into the odds and ends of diverse democratic structures.

C. Lessons for Improvement

In consideration the polity of Hindustan in juxtapose to other democratically structures, several lessons for enhancement emerge notably. Primarily, an exigent requirement for more clear transparency and answerability inside of the Indian state, this noted by the commonness of graft scandals and lacking in oversight mechanisms. Application of tight anti-corruption actions and bolstering institutional such as Central Vigilance Commission could be steps crucially in this way. Additionality, amelioration of the electoral process to mitigate the strong influence of monetary and muscle power is absolutely necessary for ensuring equitable and untouched elections. This could involve string chutzpah of campaign money laws and more regulating of political factions. By

edifying from the prime procedures of other democracies, like the United States and Scandinavia, Bharat may strive towards constructing a stronger and more answerable political system in the Favor of its denizens (Bidyut Chakrabarty, 2008-05-12).

XX.   CONCLUSION

A. Summary of Findings

Upon reevaluating the comparative scrutinise of the Indian political machination versus other democratic entities, myriad pivotal revelations were ascertained. Firstly, the distinct federal schema of India intertwined with its heterogeneous populace has engendered multifarious challenges in the realms of governance and policy articulation, thereby engendering both fortitudes and frailties vis-à-vis other democratic politics. The probe elucidated the paramountcy of cultural and historical determinants in the genesis of political establishments and methodologies within India, distinguishing it divergently from orthodox Western paradigms. Furthermore, the investigation accentuated the protean character of India's democracy, with perpetual discourses and amendments oriented towards the augmentation of representation and accountability. In spite of encountering quandaries such as venality and bureaucratic inefficacies, India's democratic archetype has manifested tenacity and pliability across temporal spans. Collectively, the deductions illumined the intricate interrelation between historical bequests, societal ethos, and structural institutionalism in sculpting democratic governance in India juxtaposed with other democracies (Devesh Kapur et al., 2018-06-13).

B. Implications for Policy

Moreover, implications emanating from the comparative scrutiny of the Indian political system vis-à-vis other democratic entities be substantial. The deductions from this research illumine sectors wherefrom India may assimilate the experiences manifest from alternative nations pertaining to governance, representation, and participation. Specifically, the scrutiny of merits alongside shortcomings inherent within other democratic frameworks enables Indian policymakers to discern ameliorative sectors whilst effectuating reforms potentially augmentative to the operational precepts of their own political system. For instance, the meticulous deconstruction of electoral apparatuses, party dynamics, and federalism across variegated democracies engenders perspicuous insights essential for policy formulation within India. By assimilating best practices and cognizant histories from other democratic experiences, policy architects within India may endeavour towards actualizing a democratic structure more inclusive, transparent, and efficacious to the collective weal of all citizenry (Devesh Kapur et al., 2018-06-13).

C. Areas for Future Research

Progressing ahead, it becomes critical within the terrain of research oriented towards comparative analysis of political architectures to more intensively probe into the elements modulating the operational success of democratic edifices in diverse nations. A prospective thematic axis for ensuing scholarly endeavours might emphasize scrutinizing the repercussions of political cultural phenomena upon the administrative modalities inherent within democratic contexts. Deciphering the modalities through which cultural precepts and societal norms impact politico-behavioural patterns can proffer invaluable elucidations pertaining to the operational mechanics of democratic frameworks. Furthermore, an exploratory emphasis on the interventions of social mobilizations and civic organization entities in fortifying democratic paradigms and imposing governmental accountability might illuminate innovative modalities augmenting democratic governance structures. Complementarily, comparative analyses might similarly unveil the mechanics of coalition political stratagems and their ramifications for policy formulation efficacy and governance adeptness across variegated democratic milieus. Engaging with such cardinal foci, academicians may substantially engender a more intricate comprehension of the fortes and tribulations encumbering disparate political regimes globally. (Devesh Kapur et al., 2018-06-13)

D. Final Remarks

To conclude, the contrasting scrutiny of the Indian polity with other democracies divulges both meritorious attributes and deficiencies. Albeit India has effectuated notable advancements apropos populace representation and inclusivity, impediments like graft, lack of accountability, and operational inefficiencies endure. The exigency for incessant reforms to ameliorate these quandaries is manifest, and erudition can be derived from the praxis of other democratically governed states. Fortifying institutions, advocating for transparency, and augmenting civic engagement are imperative steps in further enrooting the democratic scaffold in India. Furthermore, cultivating global collaborations and interchanging superior practices could be contributive to the holistic enhancement of democratic governance. Acknowledging the extant imperfections and assiduously endeavouring to rectify them, India can aspire towards a more robust and efficacious political system that genuinely epitomizes the will of its denizens (Bidyut Chakrabarty, 2008-05-12).

REFERENCES

- Daniel H. Waqar. (2016). Comparing the Origins and Developments of Indian and Israeli Nationalist Movements. 2, p. 19-25. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/2aecdc160a070a8e86e2569c224f29d7e429a3e8
- R. Harindranath. (2010). Media audiences, public knowledge and citizenship: an Indian example. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/c0946656f5e394c043d59a93d9bfb8aab25117cc
- Allwood, G, Wadia, K. (2004). Increasing women's representation in France and India. https://core.ac.uk/download/30641559.pdf
- Cavallin, Clemens, Kumar, Sushil, Sander, Åke. (2016). Changing views at Banaras Hindu University on the Academic Study of Religion: A first report from an on-going research project. https://core.ac.uk/download/131212996.pdf
- Juan J. Linz, Alfred Stepan and Yogendra Yadav. "Nation State" or "State Nation"?: Conceptual Reflections and Some Spanish, Belgian and Indian Data. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6248882.pdf
- Libman, Alexander. Democracy and growth: is the effect non-linear?. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6913341.pdf
- Mordechay, Nadiv, Roznai, Yaniv. (2017). A Jewish and (Declining) Democratic State? Constitutional Retrogression in Israel. https://core.ac.uk/download/153518158.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2018). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Allwood, G, Wadia, K. (2004). Increasing women's representation in France and India. https://core.ac.uk/download/30641559.pdf
- Joan E. Spero. (2014). Charity and Philanthropy in Russia, China, India, and Brazil. https://core.ac.uk/download/75777243.pdf
- Libman, Alexander. Democracy and growth: is the effect non-linear?. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6913341.pdf
- United Nations Research Institute for Social Development. (2000). What Choices Do Democracies Have in Globalizing Economies? Technochratic Policy Making and Democratization. https://core.ac.uk/download/5130810.pdf
- Cutts, D, Fieldhouse, E, Li, Y, Ling, R, Morales, L, Widdop, P. (2010). CIVIC LIFE: Evidence Base for the Triennial Review. https://core.ac.uk/download/29194465.pdf
- Mamoon, Dawood, Murshed, S. Mansoob. Politics Remains but Economics Leads and Peace Follows: Making a Case for India-Pakistan Peace Process in line with China Model. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6803493.pdf
- Angeliki Poulymenakou (7199630), Chrisanthi Avgerou (7199627), Silvia Masiero (2940726). (2019). Trusting e-voting amidst experiences of electoral malpractice: The case of Indian elections. https://core.ac.uk/download/288358934.pdf
- Mordechay, Nadiv, Roznai, Yaniv. (2017). A Jewish and (Declining) Democratic State? Constitutional Retrogression in Israel. https://core.ac.uk/download/153518158.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2018). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2014). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf

- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2018). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2014). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- B. Pradeep, Kumar Anand, Dr. V Srikanth Reddy. (2023). Psycho - Social Factors Influencing Voting Behaviour. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/34662b459c4c19e297998bca4a407769e5233d6c
- Moameren Pongen. (2022). Voter's turnout and participation of women during elections in Nagaland: An Analysis. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/dac58a3d94271c29b322bddfb7cc80614397692f
- R. Deacon, A. Denton, R. Southall. (2018). The Government and Politics of Wales. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/2e8d14a47e075f7514f647ed63c8eb8a60d13a76
- Dongjin He, Yijie Wang, Yuwei Jiang, B. Han, Liangyan Wang, Louise M. Hassan, Ceren Hayran. (2015). ASSOCIATION FOR CONSUMER RESEARCH. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/f6edf1aecc938338e879dd6ce2c4e0e52182fc17
- United Nations Research Institute for Social Development. (2000). What Choices Do Democracies Have in Globalizing Economies? Technochratic Policy Making and Democratization. https://core.ac.uk/download/5130810.pdf
- Bayer, Patrick, Urpelainen, Johannes, Xu, Alice. (2014). Laissez faire and the Clean Development Mechanism: determinants of project implementation in Indian states, 2003–2011. https://core.ac.uk/download/42368864.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2018). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2014). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Mordechay, Nadiv, Roznai, Yaniv. (2017). A Jewish and (Declining) Democratic State? Constitutional Retrogression in Israel. https://core.ac.uk/download/153518158.pdf
- Allwood, G, Wadia, K. (2004). Increasing women's representation in France and India. https://core.ac.uk/download/30641559.pdf
- Kantha, Pramod. (2010). Nepal\u27s Protracted Democratization in Terms of Modes of Transition. https://core.ac.uk/download/46723833.pdf
- Libman, Alexander. Democracy and growth: is the effect non-linear?. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6913341.pdf
- Allwood, G, Wadia, K. (2004). Increasing women's representation in France and India. https://core.ac.uk/download/30641559.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2018). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Kanbur, Ravi, Rajaram, Prem Kumar, Varshney, Ashutosh. Ethnic Diversity and Ethnic Strife: An Interdisciplinary Perspective. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6517713.pdf
- Dutta, Bhaskar. (2009). The fragmented Lok Sabha: a case for electoral engineering. https://core.ac.uk/download/105416.pdf
- Libman, Alexander. Democracy and growth: is the effect non-linear?. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6913341.pdf
- Mordechay, Nadiv, Roznai, Yaniv. (2017). A Jewish and (Declining) Democratic State? Constitutional Retrogression in Israel. https://core.ac.uk/download/153518158.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2018). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2014). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2018). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2014). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Lankina, Tomila. Cross-Cutting Literature Review on the Drivers of Local Council Accountability and Performance. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6858401.pdf
- Devesh Kapur, Milan Vaishnav. Quid Pro Quo: Builders, Politicians, and Election Finance in India- Working Paper 276. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6719066.pdf

- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2018). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2014). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Kantha, Pramod. (2010). Nepal\u27s Protracted Democratization in Terms of Modes of Transition. https://core.ac.uk/download/46723833.pdf
- Duckett, Jane, Tillin, Louise. (2017). The politics of social policy: welfare expansion in Brazil, China, India and South Africa in comparative perspective. https://core.ac.uk/download/82893574.pdf
- Mordechay, Nadiv, Roznai, Yaniv. (2017). A Jewish and (Declining) Democratic State? Constitutional Retrogression in Israel. https://core.ac.uk/download/153518158.pdf
- A Aliseda, A Bergek, A Blowers, A Corner, A Glaser, A Gramsci, A Held, A Hommels, A Lijphart, A Lijphart, A Lovins, A Massey, A Pegels, A Piening, A Schutz, A Sen, A Sen, A Smith, A Smith, A Smith, A Smith, A Smith, A Smith, A Stirling, A Stirling, A Stirling, A Verbruggen, Andy Stirling, B F Wittneben, B Doherty, B Flyvbjerg, B Griffin, B Hancke, B K Sovacool, B K Sovacool, B K Sovacool, B K Sovacool, B Latour, B Turnheim, B Wynne, B Wynne, Bbc News, Blowers, Bvg Associates, C A Miller, C Barnett, C R Penna, C Cottarelli, C Crouch, C Klessmann, C Kuzemko, C Li, C M Hendriks, C M Hendriks, C Mitchell, D Campbell, D Clements, D Elliott, D Haraway, D Harvey, D J Hess, D J Hess, D Jahn, D Jahn, D Johnson, D King, D P Mccormack, D Rucht, D Toke, D Toke, Decc, Decc, Decc, E F Borgatta, E Kahn, E Laclau, E Laclau, E Shove, E Shove, E Swyngedouw, Edf Energy, Enf Solar, Ewea, F Berkhout, F Berkhout, F Geels, F Geels, F Kern, F Kern, F Kern, F Kern, F Kern, F L�v�que, F Malerba, F W Geels, F W Geels, F W Geels, F W Geels, F W Geels, F W Geels, Ffree, G C Unruh, G Harris, G Hecht, G L Munck, G Mackerron, G Munk, G Resch, G Resch, G Ritzer, G Smith, G Strohmeier, G Verbong, G Verbong, G Winter, German Bundestag, Globalscan, Gns, H Chalmers, H E Normann, H Marcuse, H P Kitschelt, Hm Government, Hm Government, I Bailey, I Lovinfosse, I Welsh, Iaea, Ipsos Mori, Irena, J A Camilleri, J Allouche, J Byrne, J C Scott, J Deign, J Dewey, J Downing, J Grin, J Huenteler, J Jewell, J Lipp, J Markard, J Markard, J Meadowcroft, J Mecklin, J Nill, J Pligt, J R Josephson, J Rotmans, J S Dryzek, J Schot, J Wilson, K Barnham, K Bergeron, K Bickerstaff, K Bruninx, K Hartley, K Kleiner, K Pavitt, K Rogge, K Smith Stegen, L Arnold, L Baker, L Coenen, L Magnani, L Veneklasen, L Winner, L�v�que, M Berth�lemy, M Blyth, M Bookchin, M B�hlmann, M B�hlmann, M J Witkamp, M Leach, M Lenzen, M Lockwood, M Lockwood, M Lockwood, M Lynas, M Smink, M Mazzucato, M Purdue, M R Fhg-Isi, M Schneider, M V Ramana, M Weber, Mod, N Carter, N E Hultman, N Guild, N Luhmann, N Marres, Nukem, O Renn, P Bourdieu, P Bradford, P Delrio, P Hall, P Hall, P Johnstone, P Linares, P Pierson, P Stegmaier, Philip Johnstone, R Cowan, R Cowell, R E H Sims, R Eckstein, R Edwards, R Kemp, R Kemp, R Milne, R Nelson, R Norris, R Raven, R Simon, R Yin, Renewableuk, Rip, Royce Rolls, S ?i?ek, S ?i?ek, S Ansari, S Jacobsson, S Jasanoff, S Jasanoff, S Jhagroe, S Lukes, S Squassoni, S Strunz, S Thomas, S Thomas, S Thomas, Schrader-Frechette, T Bijker, T Hughes, T O&apos, U J�rgensen, U K Germany, Urenco, V Lauber, V M Fthenakis, W Arthur, W L�tkenhorst, W Nuttall, W Patterson, W Patterson, W Rudig, W Walker, Y Peng, Y.-H Sun. (2015). Comparing nuclear power trajectories in Germany and the UK: from 'regimes' to 'democracies' in sociotechnical transitions and Discontinuities. https://core.ac.uk/download/30612170.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2018). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- Gardbaum, Stephen. (2014). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf
- R. Deacon, A. Denton, R. Southall. (2018). The Government and Politics of Wales. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/2e8d14a47e075f7514f647ed63c8eb8a60d13a76
- Dishil Shrimankar. (2018). Party organisation and polity-wide parties in India. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/77990cdc7b4fb9281e75aa5d1c590c356d9eed7c
- Mahin, Maya J., Murthy, Sharmila L.. (2015). Constitutional Impediments to Decentralization in the World\u27s Largest Federal Country. https://core.ac.uk/download/62570829.pdf
- Deborah J. Yashar. Citizenship and Ethnic Politics in Latin America. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6248768.pdf
- Daniel H. Waqar. (2016). Comparing the Origins and Developments of Indian and Israeli Nationalist Movements. 2, p. 19-25. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/2aecdc160a070a8e86e2569c224f29d7e429a3e8

● Lorena Sosa, A. Timmer. (2015). Comparative analysis of conceptions of human rights, democracy and the rule of law in selected third countries, FRAME Deliverable 3.3. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/2a4a16fedc57de504b7a5a17a56f5f0a387e0d09

● A Aliseda, A Bergek, A Blowers, A Corner, A Glaser, A Gramsci, A Held, A Hommels, A Lijphart, A Lijphart, A Lovins, A Massey, A Pegels, A Piening, A Schutz, A Sen, A Sen, A Smith, A Smith, A Smith, A Smith, A Smith, A Smith, A Stirling, A Stirling, A Stirling, A Verbruggen, Andy Stirling, B F Wittneben, B Doherty, B Flyvbjerg, B Griffin, B Hancke, B K Sovacool, B K Sovacool, B K Sovacool, B K Sovacool, B Latour, B Turnheim, B Wynne, B Wynne, Bbc News, Blowers, Bvg Associates, C A Miller, C Barnett, C R Penna, C Cottarelli, C Crouch, C Klessmann, C Kuzemko, C Li, C M Hendriks, C M Hendriks, C Mitchell, D Campbell, D Clements, D Elliott, D Haraway, D Harvey, D J Hess, D J Hess, D Jahn, D Jahn, D Johnson, D King, D P Mccormack, D Rucht, D Toke, D Toke, Decc, Decc, Decc, E F Borgatta, E Kahn, E Laclau, E Laclau, E Shove, E Shove, E Swyngedouw, Edf Energy, Enf Solar, Ewea, F Berkhout, F Berkhout, F Geels, F Geels, F Kern, F Kern, F Kern, F Kern, F Kern, F L�v�que, F Malerba, F W Geels, F W Geels, F W Geels, F W Geels, F W Geels, F W Geels, Ffree, G C Unruh, G Harris, G Hecht, G L Munck, G Mackerron, G Munk, G Resch, G Resch, G Ritzer, G Smith, G Strohmeier, G Verbong, G Verbong, G Winter, German Bundestag, Globalscan, Gns, H Chalmers, H E Normann, H Marcuse, H P Kitschelt, Hm Government, Hm Government, I Bailey, I Lovinfosse, I Welsh, Iaea, Ipsos Mori, Irena, J A Camilleri, J Allouche, J Byrne, J C Scott, J Deign, J Dewey, J Downing, J Grin, J Huenteler, J Jewell, J Lipp, J Markard, J Markard, J Meadowcroft, J Mecklin, J Nill, J Pligt, J R Josephson, J Rotmans, J S Dryzek, J Schot, J Wilson, K Barnham, K Bergeron, K Bickerstaff, K Bruninx, K Hartley, K Kleiner, K Pavitt, K Rogge, K Smith Stegen, L Arnold, L Baker, L Coenen, L Magnani, L Veneklasen, L Winner, L�v�que, M Berth�lemy, M Blyth, M Bookchin, M B�hlmann, M B�hlmann, M J Witkamp, M Leach, M Lenzen, M Lockwood, M Lockwood, M Lockwood, M Lynas, M Smink, M Mazzucato, M Purdue, M R Fhg-Isi, M Schneider, M V Ramana, M Weber, Mod, N Carter, N E Hultman, N Guild, N Luhmann, N Marres, Nukem, O Renn, P Bourdieu, P Bradford, P Delrio, P Hall, P Hall, P Johnstone, P Linares, P Pierson, P Stegmaier, Philip Johnstone, R Cowan, R Cowell, R E H Sims, R Eckstein, R Edwards, R Kemp, R Kemp, R Milne, R Nelson, R Norris, R Raven, R Simon, R Yin, Renewableuk, Rip, Royce Rolls, S ?i?ek, S ?i?ek, S Ansari, S Jacobsson, S Jasanoff, S Jasanoff, S Jhagroe, S Lukes, S Squassoni, S Strunz, S Thomas, S Thomas, S Thomas, Schrader-Frechette, T Bijker, T Hughes, T O&apos, U J�rgensen, U K Germany, Urenco, V Lauber, V M Fthenakis, W Arthur, W L�tkenhorst, W Nuttall, W Patterson, W Patterson, W Rudig, W Walker, Y Peng, Y.-H Sun. (2015). Comparing nuclear power trajectories in Germany and the UK: from 'regimes' to 'democracies' in sociotechnical transitions and Discontinuities. https://core.ac.uk/download/30612170.pdf

● Mamoon, Dawood, Murshed, Mansoob. The consequences of Not Loving thy neigbor as Thyself: Trade, democracy and military explainations behind India Pakistan rivalry. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6514634.pdf

● United Nations Research Institute for Social Development. (2000). What Choices Do Democracies Have in Globalizing Economies? Technochratic Policy Making and Democratization. https://core.ac.uk/download/5130810.pdf

● Gardbaum, Stephen. (2018). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf

● Mordechay, Nadiv, Roznai, Yaniv. (2017). A Jewish and (Declining) Democratic State? Constitutional Retrogression in Israel. https://core.ac.uk/download/153518158.pdf

● Kantha, Pramod. (2010). Nepal\u27s Protracted Democratization in Terms of Modes of Transition. https://core.ac.uk/download/46723833.pdf

● Pallavi V. Das. (2018). Ecology, Economy and the Quest for an Authoritarian Polity: a comparative analysis of the trends in the rural United States and India. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/fee9f10b73481cb42c7bdc755c4e7b36323349f1

● Géraud Bablon. (2013). Awakening Giants, Feet of Clay: Assessing the Economic Rise of China and India. 6, p. 2. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/f48d2d05e5ab7861029aa8acaddc469faf9fd504

● Gardbaum, Stephen. (2018). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf

● Gardbaum, Stephen. (2014). What Makes for More or Less Powerful Constitutional Courts?. https://core.ac.uk/download/213020804.pdf

- Lorena Sosa, A. Timmer. (2015). Comparative analysis of conceptions of human rights, democracy and the rule of law in selected third countries, FRAME Deliverable 3.3. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/2a4a16fedc57de504b7a5a17a56f5f0a387e0d09
- J. D. Carlson. (2015). The Classics of Non-Western Political Thought: A Reader. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8d6944979c2f71a25f3cbb4f268def2eb2412a46
- S. Mitra. (2020). Frenzied Crowds, Radical Politics and Consolidation of Democracy: The Indian Puzzle. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ba92d10fa1749070883a80a68ad3f10bd7c99e83
- Rosalind Dixon, T. Roux. (2020). Marking Constitutional Transitions. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/01737b50072e02c396e4ab764afdd0ff20b81fff
- Muhammad Adeel Khan, M. Naazer. (2023). Explaining the Role of Domestic Factors in Shaping Foreign Policies: India and Pakistan in Comparative Perspective (2014-2020). https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/d09a8f924f2399c0a421be005669bb3b4960faa4
- Zarina Khatoon. (2022). Changing nature of Indian foreign policy in the context of South Asia Association for Regional Cooperation. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/2c4f0ea144db67f9ad36304ef5cf2cff1b00d81b
- Christine Landfried. (2019-02-07). Judicial Power. Cambridge University Press. http://books.google.com/books?id=Xd6CDwAAQBAJ&dq=Comparative+analysis+of+the+role+of+judiciary+in+Indian+political+system+compared+to+other+democracies&hl=&source=gbs_api
- Bidyut Chakrabarty. (2008-05-12). Indian Politics and Society since Independence. Routledge. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=hLx8AgAAQBAJ&source=gbs_api
- Greg Power. (2012). Global Parliamentary Report. Inter-Parliamentary Union. http://books.google.com/books?id=P18jzEswXbEC&dq=Comparison+of+parliamentary+systems+in+India+with+other+democracies:+How+does+the+Indian+Parliament+function+compared+to+similar+institutions+worldwide%3F&hl=&source=gbs_api
- Ajay K. Mehra, Gert W. Kueck, Centre for Public Affairs (New Delhi, India), Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung (New Delhi, India). (2003). The Indian Parliament. http://books.google.com/books?id=sKWJAAAAMAAJ&dq=Comparative+analysis+of+Congress/Parliament+in+Indian+Political+System+and+other+democracies&hl=&source=gbs_api
- United States. Congress. (1876). Congressional Record. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=Rr8-AAAAYAAJ&source=gbs_api
- Po Jen Yap. (2017-09-28). Courts and Democracies in Asia. Cambridge University Press. http://books.google.com/books?id=wBs0DwAAQBAJ&dq=Comparative+analysis+of+judicial+systems+in+Indian+political+system+compared+to+other+democracies&hl=&source=gbs_api
- Sri Ram Sharma. (1967). The Indian Federal Structure. http://books.google.com/books?id=pK4ACxhln8UC&dq=Comparative+analysis+of+Indian+federal+structure+in+relation+to+other+democracies.&hl=&source=gbs_api
- Wolf Linder. (2010-05-15). Swiss Democracy. Palgrave Macmillan. http://books.google.com/books?id=78a_QgAACAAJ&dq=Comparative+analysis+of+state+vs.+central+powers+in+Indian+political+system+versus+other+democracies&hl=&source=gbs_api
- Anton Pelinka. (2017-09-25). Democracy Indian Style. Routledge. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=2hw3DwAAQBAJ&source=gbs_api
- Subrata Kumar Mitra, V. B. Singh. (1999). Democracy and Social Change in India. http://books.google.com/books?id=JiCOAAAAMAAJ&dq=Comparative+analysis+of+public+perception+of+government+in+the+Indian+political+system+compared+to+other+democracies&hl=&source=gbs_api
- Sarbeswar Sahoo. (2017-06-16). Civil Society and Democratization in India. Routledge. http://books.google.com/books?id=kw2HswEACAAJ&dq=Comparative+analysis+of+the+role+of+NGOs+in+the+Indian+political+system+compared+to+other+democracies&hl=&source=gbs_api
- Robert W. McChesney. (2016-03-01). Rich Media, Poor Democracy. New Press, The. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=yImpBgAAQBAJ&source=gbs_api
- Daniel C. Hallin, Paolo Mancini. (2011-11-28). Comparing Media Systems Beyond the Western World. Cambridge University Press. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=gGy_SqiaBmsC&source=gbs_api
- Daniel C. Hallin, Paolo Mancini. (2011-11-28). Comparing Media Systems Beyond the Western World. Cambridge University Press. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=gGy_SqiaBmsC&source=gbs_api
- Devesh Kapur, Milan Vaishnav. (2018-06-13). Costs of Democracy. Oxford University Press. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=EzxsDwAAQBAJ&source=gbs_api

- D. D. Khanna, Lakhana Maharotrā, Gert W. Kueck. (1998). Democracy, Diversity, Stability. MacMillan India. http://books.google.com/books?id=NQmKAAAAMAAJ&dq=Diversity+in+political+systems+of+democracies+around+the+world+compared+to+Indian+political+system&hl=&source=gbs_api
- David P. Forsythe. (2006-09-30). Human Rights and Comparative Foreign Policy. Manas Publications. http://books.google.com/books?id=hf1tYeD0rTQC&dq=Comparative+analysis+of+human+rights+issues+in+the+Indian+political+system+compared+to+other+democracies&hl=&source=gbs_api
- National Intelligence Council. (2021-03). Global Trends 2040. Cosimo Reports. http://books.google.com/books?id=eNCNzgEACAAJ&dq=Comparative+analysis+of+national+security+strategies+in+the+Indian+political+system+and+other+democratic+countries&hl=&source=gbs_api
- Steven Wilkinson. (2015-02-12). Army and Nation. Harvard University Press. http://books.google.com/books?id=B-qaBQAAQBAJ&dq=Comparison+of+defense+policies+in+the+Indian+political+system+with+other+democracies&hl=&source=gbs_api
- Steven Wilkinson. (2015-02-12). Army and Nation. Harvard University Press. http://books.google.com/books?id=B-qaBQAAQBAJ&dq=Comparative+analysis+of+military+interventions+in+the+Indian+political+system+and+other+democracies&hl=&source=gbs_api
- S. D. Muni. (2009). India's Foreign Policy. Cambridge India. http://books.google.com/books?id=AmjctyEeQIoC&dq=Investigate+Indias+Foreign+Policy+in+comparison+to+other+democratic+nations:+a+study+of+political+systems&hl=&source=gbs_api
- Devesh Kapur, Milan Vaishnav. (2018-06-13). Costs of Democracy. Oxford University Press. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=EzxsDwAAQBAJ&source=gbs_api
- Sumit Ganguly, Larry Diamond, Marc F. Plattner. (2007-09-10). The State of India's Democracy. JHU Press. http://books.google.com/books?id=lgs1tFTh-JMC&dq=Strategies+to+strengthen+Indian+political+system+democratic+institutions+vis-a-vis+other+democracies&hl=&source=gbs_api
- Bidyut Chakrabarty. (2008-05-12). Indian Politics and Society since Independence. Routledge. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=hLx8AgAAQBAJ&source=gbs_api
- Devesh Kapur, Milan Vaishnav. (2018-06-13). Costs of Democracy. Oxford University Press. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=EzxsDwAAQBAJ&source=gbs_api
- Devesh Kapur, Milan Vaishnav. (2018-06-13). Costs of Democracy. Oxford University Press. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=EzxsDwAAQBAJ&source=gbs_api
- Devesh Kapur, Milan Vaishnav. (2018-06-13). Costs of Democracy. Oxford University Press. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=EzxsDwAAQBAJ&source=gbs_api
- Bidyut Chakrabarty. (2008-05-12). Indian Politics and Society since Independence. Routledge. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=hLx8AgAAQBAJ&source=gbs_api