A Tessellation Model For Monitoring And Assessing Water Quality For Drinking And Irrigation Purposes.
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Abstract: Water is a vital resource for the survival of humans, animals, and plants. However, its quality is not always suitable for drinking or other uses due to factors like industrialization, pollution, and natural occurrences. The World Health Organization has guidelines to determine the threshold levels of various parameters in water samples. The Water Quality Index (WQI) and Irrigation WQI (IWQI) are used to assess overall water quality. Collecting and measuring water samples can be challenging, but a proposed network architecture uses real-time data collection and machine learning tools to automatically determine the suitability of water samples for drinking and irrigation. The network is based on LoRa and considers the land topology. Simulations indicate a partial mesh network topology is most suitable. Since there is a lack of large datasets on drinking and irrigation water, new datasets were developed for training machine learning models. Three models (Random Forest, Logistic Regression, and Support Vector Machine) were evaluated, with Logistic Regression performing best for drinking water and Support Vector Machine for irrigation water. Recursive feature elimination was used to identify the water parameters with the greatest influence on classification accuracies.
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I. Introduction

The project focuses on developing a machine learning-based water quality detection system for both irrigation and drinking purposes. Recognizing the critical impact of poor water quality on crops, livestock, and human health, the system will utilize input data from various water parameters. Machine learning models, including Support Vector Machines, Naive Bayes, Logistic Regression, SGD Classifier, K-Nearest Neighbors, and Decision Trees, will be employed to analyze and predict key water quality parameters such as pH, temperature, turbidity, and dissolved oxygen. The goal is to create an accurate and efficient system capable of handling large volumes of data, identifying patterns that may be challenging for humans to discern, and providing reliable predictions for ensuring water safety. The primary objective of the project is to contribute to the safety of irrigation and drinking water. By accurately predicting water quality parameters, the system aims to detect potential issues or anomalies promptly, enabling timely interventions to prevent adverse impacts on agriculture, livestock, and human health. Successful implementation may necessitate interdisciplinary collaboration, involving experts in water quality, machine learning, and data analysis to ensure a holistic approach to system development. Ultimately, the project aspires to provide a robust and efficient solution to address critical concerns related to water quality in both agricultural and drinking water contexts.

II. Literature Survey

A water monitoring network was established in a metal-producing city in Brazil with twelve stations measuring physio-chemical water parameters. The collected data was analyzed using principal component analysis. The WaterNet project aims to collect water parameter data from city dams and proposes the use of machine learning models to automatically determine water potability or fitness for agricultural use, reducing costs and complexities associated with traditional water sample collection and analysis. This innovative approach not only streamlines the assessment process but also offers a cost-effective and efficient solution to water quality monitoring in the city. The WaterNet network addresses challenges related to sparse data transmission in water monitoring networks by emphasizing the significance of lightweight communication protocols capable of efficiently transmitting small data over long distances. This network specifically concentrates on collecting data from dams throughout the city, with the primary goal of assessing water quality for both drinking and irrigation purposes.
III.  Existing System

Water monitoring involves collecting periodic samples to measure physico-chemical and microbiological metrics such as temperature, pH, and sodium levels. These measurements are sent to a base station for decision-making using lightweight communication protocols like Low Power Wide Area Network (LPWAN) technologies. While the effectiveness of simulation versus real-world testing is still debated, researchers found that NS3 simulations yielded consistent results with real-world tests using benchmark metrics such as propagation loss, coverage Packet Inter-reception (PIR), Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR), and Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) level. Water parameter monitoring involves periodic sampling of physico-chemical and microbiological metrics like pH, temperature, and sodium levels. In water monitoring networks, data from measured parameters must be transmitted to a base station for decision-making. Due to sparse data transmission, lightweight communication protocols, particularly Low Power Wide Area Network (LPWAN) technologies, are favored. The efficacy of software simulations versus real-world testing in communication technologies has been debated. Researchers used NS3 for simulation and Arduino UNO C Dragino LoRa module for real-world tests, evaluating metrics like Propagation loss, coverage Packet Inter-reception (PIR), Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR), and Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI). They found simulation results to be consistent with real-world tests, suggesting the reliability of simulation outcomes in assessing communication technologies.

IV.  Proposed System

The proposed water network aims to monitor water parameters in storage dams and treatment plants across the region. Collected data undergoes Machine Learning (ML) analysis to assess its suitability for consumption or irrigation. The project involves curating sample-sized datasets for drinking and irrigation water, which serve as training and testing sets for ML models. The data curation phase focuses on collecting suitable datasets from relevant websites. Subsequent data preprocessing involves cleaning, removing null entries, and prioritizing units and metrics. Data labeling is performed based on calculated Water Quality Index (WQI) and Irrigation Water Quality Index (IWQI) values. In the training phase, ML models process labeled data. Feature extraction identifies crucial parameters influencing model accuracy, leading to retraining for improved classification accuracy. The holistic approach integrates data collection, preprocessing, labeling, training, and feature extraction to optimize the ML models for accurate water quality assessment.

In the absence of large, dedicated, and openly accessible datasets for drinking and irrigation water in Africa, the researchers undertook the creation of their own datasets for machine learning (ML) research. They aggregated smaller datasets primarily from Elsevier's Data in Brief (DiB), an open-access journal focusing on research data. Using search phrases like "irrigation water," "potable water," "groundwater," and "drinking water," irrelevant articles were filtered out, resulting in 11 publications, mostly from Asia, with seven containing irrigation water data. The datasets were compiled, scraped, and organized into two CSV files, one for drinking water and another for irrigation, using Microsoft Excel. While acknowledging the ideal role of a water monitoring network as a data source for water parameters, the researchers improvised due to the lack of such a network. The primary focus at this proof-of-concept stage is on obtaining usable data for training and testing ML models, with less emphasis on the source's origin. This initiative showcases adaptability and resourcefulness in addressing data challenges for advancing ML applications in water quality assessment.

Preprocessing: The provided text outlines the methodology adopted for processing a dataset focused on drinking and irrigation water quality. With only 16% of entries pre-labelled, a Python script was developed for calculating the Water Quality Index (WQI) and Irrigation Water Quality Index (IWQI) for unlabelled data. Unlike conventional practices, equal weights were assigned to all parameters in the script to maintain a generic model devoid of bias. The labelling process involved cross-referencing WQI values with a threshold of 50, classifying values below 50 as non-potable (0) and above 50 as potable (1) for drinking water. A similar approach was taken for IWQI in the context of irrigation water. The chosen threshold of 50 was justified as a widely accepted standard in literature, denoting good or excellent water quality. However, the text underscores the need for caution, noting that while an overall WQI may indicate fitness for use, it may not capture constituents beyond the threshold, such as toxicity. Table 3 is referenced as a summary of acceptable value ranges for each parameter in the labelling script, and future subsections are teased for a more in-depth exploration of the calculation processes for WQI and IWQI.
In this section, the text discusses the utilization of hypothetical datasets curated from existing online sources, deviating from the expected data provision by WaterNet. The data curation process is detailed, highlighting the use of Algorithm 1 to calculate both the Water Quality Index (WQI) and Irrigation Water Quality Index (IWQI) for all entries. The resulting WQI values, ranging from 0 to 100, were subsequently mapped to determine the fitness of the water for drinking or irrigation purposes. Figures 2 and 3 visually present excerpts from the final labelled datasets. The penultimate column in both figures displays the calculated WQI values, while the last column indicates the assessed fitness of use for each entry. This methodology provides insight into the overall water quality and suitability for specific purposes based on the generated indices.

**Algorithm 2**

Calculating WQI for Drinking Water.

1. Identify prominent parameters in the sample, i.e., EC, sodium, chloride, bicarbonate, SAR.
2. Determine weights for each parameter.
3. Obtain
   \[ WQI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \times w_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i} \]
   where \( q_{\text{max}} \) is the max value of \( q_i \) in its class; \( X_{ij} \) is the value of parameter \( i \); \( X_{ij} \) is the lowest value in the class to which \( X_{ij} \) falls; \( q_{\text{amp}} \) is the amplitude of \( X_{ij} \)’s class; \( w_i \) = parameter weight; \( F = \text{autovalue of the } \_\_\text{rst component}; A_{ij} = \text{explanation of parameter } i \) by \( j; j = \text{factor count}. \]

VI. Conclusion

This study addresses two primary objectives: proposing a real-time water monitoring network utilizing LoRa technology for collecting water parameter data, and applying machine learning (ML) models to assess water quality. The developed LoRa-based monitoring network, simulated in Radio Mobile, favors a partial mesh topology for optimal citywide coverage. The gathered data is intended to be aggregated on a Cloud server, where ML models—Random Forest (RF), Logistic Regression (LR), and Support Vector Machine (SVM)—are trained and tested. LR performs best for drinking water, boasting high accuracy and minimal false positives/negatives, while SVM excels for irrigation water. The study employs recursive feature elimination (RFE) to identify pH and total hardness as least influential for drinking water and Suspended Solids (SSP) as least influential for irrigation. Although the study acknowledges the potential application of deep learning models, it focuses on ML models and suggests their consideration in future work. Additionally, the manual calculation of water quality indices prompts the exploration of unsupervised ML models as alternatives. Alternative approaches, such as multi-criteria decision-making, could replace RFE for identifying influential parameters. The study proposes extending the research by incorporating usage prediction models, microbial monitoring, and tracking water contaminant sources within the water network.
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