IJCRT.ORG

www.ijcrt.org

ISSN : 2320-2882



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON SUBGRADE STABILIZATION WITH LIME AND STONE POWDER IN FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT

A.V.V.SAIRAM¹,M. LOVA GANAPATHI MANIKANTA², M.SAI KRISHNA³,K. NAGARAJU⁴,K. NAGARAJU⁵ ¹AssistantprofessorDepartmentofCivilEngineeringMICCollageofTechnology,Kanchikacherla-521180, NTR Dist., A.P. ²³⁴⁵UGstudentsofCivilEngineeringMICCollage ofTechnology,Kanchikacherla-521180,NTRDist.,A.P.

Abstract: Theperformanceofflexiblepavementisdetermined by the functions of the component layers, particularly the subgrade. Subgrade is the compacted layer of soil provide the lateral support to the pavement. Our approachisto improve the properties of soil site line and stone dust with soil. Soil stabilization is one of the most suitable alternatives which are widely used in pavement construction. Soil stabilization and soil treatment gives an innovative solution no improve the engineering properties of soil by improving the bearing capacity, durability of weaks oil and other characteristics as per requirement. In this study lime and stone powder has been selected as a stabilizer. The soil is the laboratory by reinforcing it with different percentages of lime and stone dust, namely 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%. Atterberg limit and compaction to both unmodified and modified soil. California bearing ratio (CBR) test was performed to determine the strength properties of the soil, lime, stone powder mixtures.

Key words: Flexible Pavement, Subgrade Soil, Stabilization, Lime, Stone dust, CBR, BearingStrength.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pavement:

The pavement is the part of the road that carries the traffic, and has a set of layers or materialplaced over the natural ground. The pavement layers spread the load of the vehicles so that itdoesnot exceed the strength capacity of thesubgrade.

TypesofPavements:

Therearetwo types of pavements based on design considerations. They are,

- 1. RigidPavement,
- 2. FlexiblePavement.

. RigidPavement:

- 1. Arigidpavementisconstructed from cement concrete or reinforced concretes labs. Grouted concretero adsare in the category of semi-rigid pavements.
- 2. The design of rigid pavement is based on providing a structural cement concretes labor sufficient strength to resist sthe loads from traffic.

3. Therigidpavementhasrigidityandhighmodulusofelasticitytodistributetheloadsoverarelatively wideareaofsoil.

StructureofRigidPavement:



FIG.1.1.ComponentsofRigidPavement

FlexiblePavement:

- **1.** Flexible pavement can be defined as the one consisting of a mixture of asphaltic orbituminous material and aggregates placed on a bed of compacted granular material ofappropriatequality in layers over thesubgrade.
- **2.** Water bound macadam roads and stabilized soil roads with or without asphaltic toppingsareexamples of flexible pavements.
- **3.** The design offlexible pavement is based on the principle that for a load of any magnitude, the intensity of a load dimin is hes as the load is transmitted down wards from the surface by virtue spreading over an increasingly larger area, by carrying it deepenough into the ground through successive layers of granular material.
- . StructureofFlexiblePavement:

Surface Course

Base Course

Subgrade

SubbaseCourse

BinderCourse



FIG.1.2.ComponentsofFlexiblePavement

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1.M.A.PETER(2023).

The paper "effects of stone dust on lime stabilized granular soil" focuses on the variation of CBR value of stabilized granular soil on addition with lime and stone dust. The CBR value is important factor which determines the strength of the pavement. The lateral loadson the pavement distributed to the subgrade soil. So, subgrade soil should have highstrength.

2.DOMMARAJUSOMESH etall.(2020).

The purpose of the paper "techno-economical design of flexible pavement based on thestabilizationofsoilusingstonedustasadditive"istodeterminethepropertiesofexpansivesoildevelopedbymixingstonedusttoe xpansivesoilindifferentproportions and observing the improvement in properties.

3. ANTENEHGEREMEWetall.(2019).

The paper"performance studies on subgrade formation using lime and cement in roadprojects" determines the how CBR Values effects the pavement thickness of FlexiblePavement. CBR value is inversely proportional to pavement thickness. The CBR valuegives the total thickness requirement of the pavement above a subgrade and this thicknessvalue would remain the same irrespective of the quality of materials used in componentlayers.

4. AMERENDRAKUMAR, DR. RAVIKUMAR SHARMA (2013).

The paper " compaction and subgrade characteristics of clayey soil mixed with foundrysand and fly ash" determines the The maximum dry density of clay-foundry sand mixdecreased with addition fly ash.

III. METHODOLOGY



StudyArea

Thered soil used in this study was collected from west Ibrahimpatnam, AndhraPradesh, India



. StudyArea

MixingProportions

Themixing proportions of soil, limeand stone powder areasfollows:

SOIL	LIME	STONEPOWDER(
(%)	(%)	%)
100	0	0
90	5	5
80	10	10
70	15	15
60	20	20
50	25	25
40	30	30

IV. TESTS CONDUCTED

GRAINSIZEANALYSIS-MECHANICALMETHOD:

Aim: Todeterminethegrainsizedistribution of the given coarse-grained soil by sieving.

Apparatus: Thermostatically controlled oven,

Sieves and Wire brush, Mechanical Sieve shaker, Rubber Pedestal & Mortar.

Theory:

Thegrainsizedistributionisuniversally
used for road and airfield construction, dam and
otherembankment
constructionand
the
designoffiltersforearthdamsare
basedpartly
ontheresults
of grain sizeanalysis.dam and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and
and

Thegrainsize analysis is meant to determine the relative proportions of the different grainsizes that constitute a given soil mass. This is accomplished by obtaining the quantity of material passing through the apertures of a given sized sieve but retained on a size of smaller sized apertures. The weight of the quantity of soil retained any particular sieve with reference to the overall weight of the soil sample taken for the analysis, expressed as a percentage, is termed as the percentage weight of the soil retained. The percentage of soil that passes through the sieve is termed as the

www.ijcrt.org

percentage finer. For the purpose of determining the proportion of soil retained on or passing through the sieves of different apertures the sieve analysis, the soilretained on 75micron sieve is used. The analysis performed on this soil is called the coarseanalysis.

Procedure:

- **1.** Keepthegivenrepresentativesampleofsoilintheovenfor24hours.
- 2. Pulverize the ovendried sample by using the mortar and pedestal and sieve it on the 4.75 mm drive.
- 3. Take about 1 kg of the fraction of the soil passing 4.75 mm sieve and retained on 75 mm sieve for the sieve analysis.

Take the following setof sieves and stack them one over the other in the order of arrangement shown (i.e the sieve with the largest aperture at the top

Lid
4.75mmsieve
2.00mmsieve
1.00mm sieve
600-micron
300-micron
150-micron
75-micron
Pan

- **4.** Placethesoilinthetop sieve, closethelid, transfer these tofsieves with the receiver panatthebottom to a mechanical sieve and fit them. Sieve the soil for a period of 10 minutes.
- 5. Remove the stack of sieves from the shaker and obtain the weight of the material retained on each sieve.
- **6.** Compute the percentage retained one achsieve by dividing the weight retained one achsieve by the original weight of the soils ample taken for the analysis.
- **7.** Compute the percentage finer by starting with 100% and subtracting the percent retained on each sieve as accumulative procedure.
- **8.** Drawagraphbetweenthepercentagefiner,drawntonaturalscaleonthe Y-axisandtheparticlesizedrawto logarithmic scale on theX-axis.

Onthegraph, the grain sizes corresponding to the different colours of soils are shown on the X-axis (drawn to alog scale) as shown:

GRAVEL	COARSE	SAND COARSE MEDIUM FINE		SILT	CLAY
Grain sizerangein mm	4.75 – 2.00	2.00 - 0.425	0.425 - 0.075	0.075 – 0.002	<0.002

 $\label{eq:stable} From the given size distribution curves rain sizes such as D_{10}, D_{30}, and D_{60} may be obtained. Drefers to the grain size while the subscript (10, 30, 60) denotes the percentage finer. Thus D_{10}$

=0.10mmindicatesthediameteroftheparticlecorrespondingto1percentfiner.Or,inotherwords, 10% of thesample has grains smallerthan0.10mm.

For the above values, two quantities, namely the coefficient of uniformity, C_U , and the coefficient of curvature, C_C may be computed by using the following formulae.

$$C_U = D_{60} / D_{10}$$
.

 $C_{C}=(D_{30})^{2}/(D_{60}*D_{10}).$

These quantities enable to know whether the soils are well graded (W) i.e, it has a goodrepresentationofallparticlesizes,orpoorlygraded(P)i.e,agoodrepresentationofallparticlesizesdoes not exist.

For a well graded

soil,Cu>4 forgravels

Cu> 6 forsandsand

C_Cmustbe between 1 and 3 for both.

If the criteria are not met, the soil may be termed a poorly graded (P).

Formulae:

$$C_U = D_{60}/D_{10}$$
.

$$C_{C} = (D_{30})^2 / (D_{60} * D_{10}).$$

Where,

 $C_U = Coefficient of$

uniformity,Cc=Coefficientof

curvature,

D₁₀,D₃₀,D₆₀=Grainsizeat10%,30%,60% finer.

SI.No	ISSieve	ParticleSize, D(mm)	WeightR etained(gm)	%Retained	Cumulative %Retained	Cumulative %Finer
1.	4.75	4.75	68	6.80	6.80	93.2
2.	2.00	2.00	84	8.40	15.2	84.8
3.	1.00	1.00	92	9.20	24.4	75.6
4.	600	0.60	161	16.1	40.5	59.5
5.	300	0.30	262	26.2	66.7	33.3
6.	150	0.15	193	19.3	86.0	14.0
7.	75	0.075	97	9.70	95.7	4.30
8.	Pan	-	43	4.30	100	0
		TotalWeight	1000 gm			1

Result:

www.ijcrt.org

AsperIS:1498-1970classificationandidentificationofsoilsforgeneralengineeringpurposes. Ccrangesfrom 1 to 3 for well graded soil,

CU>4forgravels,CU

> 6 forsands.

For the collected soil sample, Cu= 5.143Cc= 1.032. Hence,

the soil is well graded soil.

PLASTICLIMITTEST:

NeedandScope:

Soil is used for making bricks, tiles and soil cement blocks in addition to its use as foundationforstructures.

ApparatusRequired:

- **1.** Porcelaindish,
- 2. Glassplateforrollingthespecimen,
- 3. AirtightContainers,
- **4.** WeighingBalance,
- **5.** ThermostaticallycontrolledOven.

Theory:

The plastic limit of a soil is the moisture content at which soil begins to behave as a plasticmaterial. At this water content (plastic limit), the soil will crumble when rolled into threads of 3.2mm in diameter.

Procedure:

 $\label{eq:lassing} \textbf{1.} \quad Take about 20 gmofthoroughly mixed portion of the material passing through 425 micron$

I.S Sieveobtained in accordance withIS: 2720 (Part 1).

- **2.** Mixitthoroughlywithdistilledwaterintheevaporatingdishtillsoilmassbecomesplasticenoughto be easily moulded withfingers.
- **3.** Allow it to season for sufficient time (for 24 hours) to allow water to permeate throughout the soil mass.
- **4.** Takeabout190gmsofthisplasticsoilmassandrollitbetweenfingersandglassplatewithjust sufficient pressure to roll the mass into a threaded of uniform diameter throughout itslengththe rateof rolling shallbebetween 60and90 strokesper minute.
- 5. Continuerollingtillyougetathreadedof3mmdiameter.
- 6. Kneedthesoiltogetherto auniformmass andre-roll.
- 7. Continuetheprocessuntilthethread crumbles whenthediameteris 3mm.
- 8. Collectthepiecesofthecrumbledthreadinairtightcontainerformoisturecontentdetermination.
- 9. Repeatthetesttoatleast3timesandtake theaverage of results.Calculatedtothenearestwholenumber.
- 10. Comparethediameterofthreadatintervalswiththerod.

www.ijcrt.org

Formulae:

Plasticity Index, $I_P = W_L - W_L$

W_P.Where,W_L=LiquidLimit,

W_P=Plastic Limit.

ObservationsandCalculations:

1. 100%Soil+5%Lime+5%StonePowder.

Soil(%)+ Lime(%)+StonePowder(%)	PlasticLimit,WP(%)	PlasticityIndex,IP(%)
100 +0 +0	25.00	15.25
90 +5 +5	24.08	13.32
80 +10 +10	22.85	13.47
70 +15 +15	20.70	12.56
60 +20 +20	18.54	12.27
50 +25 +25	16.40	11.16
40 + 30 + 30	14.28	10.15

V. CONCLUSION

- **1.** As per IS: 1498-1970 classification and identification of soils for general engineeringpurposes, CCranges 4 from to 3 for well graded soil, CU for gravels, 1 > CU > 6 for sands.Forthecollectedsoilsample,CU=5.143CC=1.032.Hence,thesoiliswellgradedsoil.
- **2.** The collected soil sample has 44.689 % of sand and 55.311 % of silt. Hence the soil is combination fsilt and sand.
- **3.** LiquidLimit,WL=40.25333%,PlasticityIndex,IP=14.785%.FromtheA-Linechart,theSoilis Intermediate Compressible Silt.
- **4.** Liquid Limit, WLis gradually decreases on increasing with the addition of different percentages of Lime and Stone Powder to the Soil. liquid Limit, WL between 35% to 50% normally indicates an intermediate compressibility and intermediate shrinkage/ swellingpotential.
- **5.** Plastic Limit, WPis gradually decreases on increasing with the addition of different percentages of limeandStone Powder to theSoil.
- **6.** Plasticity Index, IP is initially increases and then decreases with the addition of differentpercentages of Lime and Stone Powder to the Soil. The decreasing in Plasticity Index, IPgenerallyresults in high shear strength.
- 7. Theoptimummoisturecontent,OMC(%)ofsoilinitiallydecreaseswiththeadditionof20

% lime and 20% stone powder to the soil, and then the maximum dry density graduallyincreases with increasing percentages of lime and stone powder.

8. The CBR value of Soil initially increases with the addition of 20 % Lime and 20 % StonePowdertotheSoil,andthentheCBRvaluegraduallydecreases with increasing percentages of LimeandStone Powder.

9. The optimum percentage of lime and stone powder added to increase the strength ofsubgradesoilis20% lime and20% stonepowder.

VI. REFERENCES.

- **1.** M.A.PETER(2023), "EFFECTSOFSTONEDUSTONLIMESTABILIZEDGRANULARSOIL", IOPPUBL ISHERS, PP01-18.
- **2.** M.A.PETER(2022), "EFFECTSOFLIMEOFSUBBASEOFFLEXIBLEPAVEMENT", MATHMATICAL STATISTICIAN AND ENGINEERING APLICATIONS, VOL 71, NO.4(2022), PP. 01-09.
- 3. DOMMARAJUSOMESH,K.V.ASHOKKUMAR,T.SURESHBABU(2020), "TECHNO-ECONOMICAL DESIGN OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT BASED ON THESTABILIZATIONOFSOILUSINGSTONEDUST ASADDITIVE", INTERNALJOURNALOFCREATIVERESEARCHTHOUGHTS), VOL.8, ISSUE11NO VEMBER-2020, PP981-991.
- 4. OLUMAGUDINADINKA, ELMERC. AGON, ANTENEHGEREMEW (2019). "PERFORMANCESTUDI ESONSUBGRADEFORMATIONUSINGLIME ANDCEMENTINROADPROJECTS", APPLIEDJOURNALOFENVIRONMENTALENGINEERINGSC IENCE, VOL. 17, ISSUE4NOVEMBER - 2019, PP. 420-436.
- 5. N.VIJAYKUMAR, PRADEEPKUMAR (2018), "SOILSTABILIZATIONUSINGLIMEANDQUARRYDUS T", INTERNATIONALJOURNALOFINNOVATIVERESEARCH & STUDIES, VOL. 8, ISSUE1JANUARY -2018, PP103-111.
- 6. SANDEEPKUMAR,ER. PRASHANTGARG(2019),"EXPERIMENTALSTUDYONSTRENGTH IMPROVEMENT OF SUBGRADE USING PRESS MUD AND GRANITEDUST",JOURNALOFEMERGINGTECHNOLOGIESANDINNOVATIVERESEARCH,VOL .6,ISSUE5,MAY-2019,PP449-453.
- 7. SILPASENAN, MENAGPILLAI, ANITAKURIASKOSE, ANUJAHASHMI, SARANYAR. SREENI, SOO RYA.S(2015), "SUTABILITYOFWASTEPLASTICANDQUARRYDUSTINIMPROVINGTHESTRENG THCHARACTERISTICSOFSUBGRADESOIL", INDIANGEOTECHNICALCONFERENCE, PP224-236.
- 8. AMERENDRAKUMAR, DR. RAVIKUMARSHARMA (2013), "COMPACTIONAND SUBGRADE CHARACTERISTICSOF CLAYEY SOIL MIXED WITH FOUNDRYSANDANDFLYASH", INTERNATIONALJOURNALOFENGINEERINGRESEARCH&TE CHNOLOGY, VOL.2, ISSUE 10, OCTOBER - 2013, PP 1504 - 1509.
- **9.** IS:2720(PART5)–1985METHODSOFTESTFORSOILS,PART5– DETERMINATIONOFLIQUIDLIMITANDPLASTIC LIMIT.
- **10.** IS:2720(PART7)–1980METHODSOFTESTFORSOILS,PART7– DETERMINATIONOFWATERCONTENT–DRYDENSITYRELATIONUSINGLIGHTCOMPACTION.
- **11.** IS:2720(PART16)–1987METHODSOFTESTFORSOILS,PART16– LABORATORYDETERMINATIONOFCALIFORNIABEARINGRATIO(CBR).