IJCRT.ORG

ISSN: 2320-2882



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

A Study On Awareness And Impact Of Government Schemes For Farmers In Coimbatore District (Sulur Taluk)

Dr. P. JAYASUBRAMANIAN M.Com., M.Phil., Ph.D., PGDCA., MBA., Ph.D.

Professor

Department of Commerce with Computer Application.

Dr. N. G. P. Arts and Science College, Coimbatore-48.

KAMALESH.V

B.COM CA Dr. N.G.P. ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE COIMBATORE – 48

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the awareness and impact of government schemes among farmers in Coimbatore District, specifically focusing on Sulur Taluk. With agriculture being a vital sector in India, government schemes play a crucial role in supporting farmers and enhancing agricultural productivity. However, the effectiveness of these schemes relies heavily on farmers' awareness and their ability to access them. The research employs a mixed-methods approach, combining both qualitative and quantitative techniques. Surveys, interviews, and focus group discussions are conducted to gather data from a representative sample of farmers in Sulur Taluk. The study assesses farmers' awareness levels regarding various government schemes, their access to information channels, and the perceived impact of these schemes on their agricultural practices and livelihoods. Preliminary findings suggest that while there is a moderate level of awareness about government schemes among farmers in Sulur Taluk

1.1 INTRODUCTION OF THE STUD

Agriculture is the backbone of India's economy, employing a significant portion of its population and contributing substantially to its GDP. In the pursuit of ensuring food security, promoting rural development, and alleviating poverty, the Government of India has implemented various schemes and policies aimed at supporting farmers and enhancing agricultural productivity. However, the success of these initiatives relies heavily on the awareness and participation of the farming community. Coimbatore

District, located in the southern state of Tamil Nadu, is renowned for its agricultural diversity and significance. Within the district, Sulur Taluk stands as a microcosm of agricultural activity, comprising a mix of smallholder farmers, landless laborers, and agro-based industries. Despite the government's efforts to introduce schemes targeting rural development and agricultural welfare, the extent to which these schemes benefit farmers in Sulur Taluk remains underexplored.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform policymakers, agricultural extension services, and other stakeholders about the ground realities faced by farmers in accessing and benefiting from government schemes. By identifying bottlenecks, challenges, and success stories, this research seeks to contribute to the formulation of evidence-based strategies aimed at enhancing the livelihoods of farmers, promoting sustainable agricultural practices, and fostering rural development in Sulur Taluk and beyond.

1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The agricultural sector is still in development and is also growing significantly to getting schemes by the government. The aim of the government on establishing the schemes are to help out the farmers in an efficient way, the main problem is not all the farmers are known to the schemes announced and the satisfaction obtained by the farmers towards schemes. This research is mainly carried out to find awareness, level of satisfaction, opinion of farmers and issues of farmers towards government schemes and to find out a best possible solution to the problems faced by farmers in obtaining government schemes. This study focuses on awareness and impact of government schemes for farmers in Coimbatore city has been analyzed.

1.3 OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

- To study about the awareness of the farmers regarding government schemes.
- To study about the level of satisfaction and opinion of farmers towards agricultural government schemes.
- To study about the issues faced by farmers in agricultural government schemes.
- To analyze the risk relief measures undertaken by the farmers.

1.4 LIMITATATIONS OF THE STUDY

- The sample respondents may not represent the entire population
- The respondents may vary over a time period
- Size limited to only 120
- Samples are restricted to Coimbatore district only

1.5 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology gives an idea about the type of research design, the sampling techniques, the process of data collection and the instrument used for data collection

1.5 ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETION

1.5.1 SIMPLE PERCENTAGE:

Simple percentage analysis refers to a special kind of rates, percentage are used in marketing comparison between two or more series of data.

Formula:

Percentage = Number of Respondents / Total Number of Respondents * 100

S.NO	VRIABLE	CATEGORIES	NO OF	PERRCENTAGE
			RESPONDENT	
			S	
1	Gender	Male	96	80%
		Female	24	20%
2	Age	Below - 25	10	8.2%
		26 - 40	34	28.3%
		41 - 60	58	48.3%
		Above - 60	18	15.2%
3	Educational	School level	26	21.7%
	Qualification	Under graduate	42	35%
		Post graduate	30	25%
		No education	22	18.3%
4	Marital Status	Married		68.3%
		Single		31.7%
5	Monthly	Below Rs50,000	24	20%
	Income	50,000-1,00,000	43	35.8%
		1,00,000-2,00,000	41	34.2%
		Above-2,00,000	12	10%
6	Easy access to	Yes	73	60.8%
	information	No	47	39.2%
7	Land	Own land	89	74.2%
	ownership	Lease land	31	25.8%
8	Beneficiaries	Television	22	18.3%
	got information	Newspapers	36	30%
	about scheme	Government	33	27.5%
		officials		
		Word of mouth	29	24.2%
9	Influencing	Potential financial	24	20%
	your decision	benefits		
	to participate in	Ease of	25	20.8%
	scheme	application	35	29.2%

www.ijo	ert.org	©	2024 IJCRT Volum	e 12, Issue 4 April 202	24 ISSN: 2320-2882
		process			
		Trust in	15	12.5%	
		government			
		initiatives	21	17.5%	
		Recommendation			
		s from fellow			
		farmers			
		All the above			
10	Primarily rely	Agricultural	13	10.8%	
	on farming	extension services			
	related	Farming coming	42	35.1%	
	information	community			
		discussions			
		Local agricultural	40	33.3%	
		fairs			
		Internet and	25	20.8%	
		digital platforms			
11	Government	Daily	9	7.5%	
	officials	Weekly	39	32.5%	
	regarding	Monthly	44	36.7%	
	farming related	Never	28	23.3%	
12	Most beneficial	Subsidies on	10	8.3%	
	for farmers	agricultural inputs			
		Training and	25	20.9%	
		capacity-building			
		programs	51	42.5%	
		Access to credit			
		& loans	34	28.3%	
		Infrastructure			
		development in			
		rural areas			
13	Transparency	Highly satisfied	14	11.7%	
	of application	Satisfied	49	40.8%	
	process	Neutral	43	35.8%	
		Dissatisfied	14	11.7%	
14	Specific needs	Highly effective	18	15%	
	of small-scale	Somewhat	22	18.3%	
	farmers	effective	39	32.5%	

Neutral 15
Very ineffective 15 Agricultural Extremely 15 12.5% cooperatives in promoting Somewhat 30 25% important 23 192% Neutral 21 17.2% Not important Highly important Highly important productivity Somewhat 17 14.1% positive productivity Somewhat 15 12.5% Somewhat 15 12.5% Somewhat 15 12.5% negative
15 Agricultural Extremely 15 12.5% cooperatives in important 31 25.8% promoting Somewhat 30 25% important 23 192% Neutral 21 17.2% Not important Highly important Highly important productivity Somewhat 17 14.1% positive productivity Somewhat 17 22.5% Neutral 27 22.5% Somewhat 15 12.5% negative
cooperatives in promoting important 31 25.8% Somewhat 30 25% important 23 192% Neutral 21 17.2% Not important Highly important If Improving agricural positive productivity Somewhat 17 14.1% positive 30 25% Neutral 27 22.5% Somewhat 15 12.5%
promoting Somewhat 30 25% important 23 192% Neutral 21 17.2% Not important Highly important 25.8% agricural positive productivity Somewhat 17 14.1% positive 30 25% Neutral 27 22.5% Somewhat 15 12.5% inegative
important 23 192% Neutral 21 17.2% Not important Highly important If Improving Significantly 31 25.8% agricural positive productivity Somewhat 17 14.1% positive 30 25% Neutral 27 22.5% Somewhat 15 12.5%
Neutral Not important Highly important If Improving Significantly 31 25.8% agricural positive productivity Somewhat 17 14.1% positive 30 25% Neutral 27 22.5% Somewhat 15 12.5%
Not important Highly important If Improving Significantly 31 25.8% agricural positive productivity Somewhat 17 14.1% positive 30 25% Neutral 27 22.5% Somewhat 15 12.5%
Highly important In Improving Significantly 31 25.8% agricural positive 17 14.1% productivity Somewhat 17 25% Neutral 27 22.5% Somewhat 15 12.5%
Improving Significantly 31 25.8% agricural positive productivity Somewhat 17 14.1% positive 30 25% Neutral 27 22.5% Somewhat 15 12.5% negative
agricural positive productivity Somewhat 17 14.1% positive 30 25% Neutral 27 22.5% Somewhat 15 12.5%
Productivity Somewhat 17
positive 30 25% Neutral 27 22.5% Somewhat 15 12.5% negative 15
Neutral 27 22.5% Somewhat 15 12.5% negative
Somewhat 15 12.5% negative
negative
Significantly
organite and y
negative
17 Accountability Very confident 13 10.8%
& monitoring Confident 31 25.8%
mechanisms Neutral 30 25%
Not very 27 22.5%
confident 19 15.9%
Not confident at
all
18 Significant Lack of 26 21.7%
barrier awareness 31 25.8%
preventing Bureaucratic 38 31.7%
farmers hurdles 25 20.8%
Bureaucratic
hurdles
Corruption

Source: Primary Data

Inference:

From the above table we found that 80% of the respondents were Male and 20% of the respondents were Female. From the above table we found that 8.2% of the respondents age is below -25 year, 28.3% of the respondents age is 26 - 40 year, 48.3% of the respondents age is 41 - 60 years and 15.2% of the respondents is above 61 years From the above table we found the 21.7% of respondents were school level,

35% of the respondents were completed under graduate, 25 of the respondents were completed post graduate and 18.3% of the respondents of the farmer is illiterate. From the above table we found that 68.3% of the respondents were Married and 31.7% of the respondents were Unmarried. From the above table we found that 20% of the respondents were month income is below than Rs50,000, 35% of the respondents monthly income are 50,000 - 1,00,000, 34% of the respondents monthly income is Rs1,00,000 - 2,00,000 and 10% of the respondents monthly income is above- Rs2,00,000. From the above table we found that 60.8% of the respondents were Yes and 39.2% of the respondents were No. From the above table we found that 74.2% of the respondents were own land and 25.8% of the respondents were lease land. From the above table we found that 18.3% of the respondents were got information through Television, 30% of the respondents got information through Newspapers, 27.5% of the respondents got information through Government officials and 24.2% of the respondents were information through word of mouth.

FINDING

- Majority 80% of respondents were Male.
- Majority 48.3% of respondents age is 41 60 years
- Mostly 35% of respondents of the farmers is under graduate.
- Majority 68.3% of the respondents were married.
- Majority 35% of the respondents were monthly income is 50,0000-1,00,000.
- Majority 60.8% of the respondents were Yes.
- Majority 74.2% of the respondents were own land.
- Majority 30% of the respondents were newspapers.
- Majority 29.2% of the respondents were Trust in government initiatives.
- Majority 35.1% of the respondents were Farming coming community discussions.
- Majority 36.7% of the respondents were monthly.
- Majority 42.5% of the respondents were Access to credit & loans.
- Majority 40.8% of the respondents were satisfied.
- Majority 32.5% of the respondents were neutral.
- Majority 25.8% of the respondents were somewhat important.
- Majority 25.8% of the respondents were somewhat negative.
- Majority 25.8% of the respondents were confident.
- Majority 31.7% of the respondents were insufficient funding.

SUGGESTION

- Proper awareness of crop Insurance schemes and procedure to buy policy should be created.
- All crops need to be covered to make it a strong risk mitigating tool.
- Indemnity payment should meet the loss incurred and it should not be negligible amount.
- Procedure to purchase Crop Insurance should be made easy and at farmers door step or nearest place

CONCLUSION

Government schemes for farmers play a vital role in promoting agricultural development, improving farmers' livelihoods, and ensuring food security in Coimbatore District (Sulur Taluk). By providing financial assistance, technical support, and infrastructure development, these schemes empower farmers to overcome challenges and achieve sustainable growth in agriculture. Through effective implementation and targeted interventions, government schemes can contribute significantly to the prosperity and well-being of agricultural communities in the region. Through effective implementation and targeted interventions, government schemes can contribute significantly to the prosperity and well-being of agricultural communities in the region.

BIBLOGRAPHY

- Agricultural Development and Food Security in Developing Nations" by Arjan de Haan, John Cameron, and Luc Mougeot
- Handbook of Agriculture in India" by ICAR (Indian Council of Agricultural Research)
- Government Schemes for Development in India" by K.R. Gupta
- Agricultural Policy in India: The Larger Picture" by Ashok Gulati, Marco Ferroni, and Yuan Zhou
- Indian Agriculture: Performance, Growth and Challenges" edited by Ramesh Chand and P.K. Joshi

BOOKS:

- 1.C.R. Kothari (1985) "Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques" Edition illustrated, reprint, revised which was published by New Age International, 2004
- 2. Dr. Prabhat Pandey Dr. Meenu Mishra Pandey (2015) "RESEARCH

METHODOLOGY: TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES" published by Bridge Center

REFERENCE WEBSITES:

- 1. Website: agriculture.gov.in
- 2. tn.gov.in/department/agriculture
- 3. nabard.org