



A BRIEF STUDY OF PROJECTIVE BOUNDED SETS, STRONG PROJECTIVE CONVERGENCE, STRONG PROJECTIVE CONTINUITY

1. **Dr. KARUNA KUMARI SHARMA**

Assistant professor

Dept. of Mathematics

Sardar Vallabh Bhai Patel College, Bhabua, Bihar

(A constituent unit of Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara)

2. **Dr. LAL BABU SINGH** (professor)

Department of mathematics

Jai Prakash University, Chapra

1. ABSTRACT:

In this chapter projective-bounded set has been defined. A necessary and sufficient condition has been given when a set X is $\sigma_p(f)$ – bounded. We also define strong projective convergence and strong projective continuity. Some theorems have been established concerning these notions. We have also considered a case of family of functions when projective convergence and strong projective convergence coincide.

Keyword: Norm, projective bounded set, strong projective convergence and strong projective continuity.

2. Definitions:

We use the idea of ‘Norm’ for the study of the subject-matter suggested by the heading of this chapter, so we begin with the definition of ‘Norm’.

A linear space L is said to be normed¹ if to each element $f \in L$ there corresponds a non-negative number $\|f\|$ which is called the **norm** of f , and is such that

(i) $\|f\| \geq 0$ for each $f \in L$

¹Cooke, R.G. “Linear operator” Macmillan, London 1953, p-35

- (ii) $\|f\| = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$
- (iii) $\|af\| = |a|\|f\|$, for every scalar a , and
- (iv) $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$ for every $f, g \in L$

In $\sigma_p(f)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, the norm is given by

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_0^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

In $\sigma_{\infty}(f)$, the norm is given by

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in [0, \infty)} |f(x)|$$

3. Projective bounded sets:

If $\alpha^*(f) \geq \beta(f)$, and if $\left| \int_0^{\infty} f(x)g(x) dx \right| \leq K(g)$

for every f in a set X in $\alpha(f)$ and every g in $\beta(f)$, where $K(g)$ is a positive constant depending on g , then we say that the set X is **projective-bounded** (p-bd) relative to $\beta(f)$, or $\alpha(f)\beta(f)$ – bd. When $\beta(f) = \alpha^*(f)$, we say that X is **p – bd** in $\alpha(f)$, or $\alpha(f)\beta(f)$ – bd. [cf. Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces, 293]

if $\alpha^*(f) \geq \beta(f)$, and we take a set X in $\alpha(f)$ to be the family $f_{\lambda}(x)$ with $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$, then we say that $f_{\lambda}(x)$ is $\alpha(f)\beta(f)$ – bd if

$$\left| \int_0^{\infty} f_{\lambda}(x)g(x) dx \right| \leq K(g) \quad \dots\dots (4.30)$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$ and every g in $\beta(f)$.

It is obvious from the definition of $\alpha(f)\beta(f)$ – convergence given in 3.2, and that of $\alpha(f)\beta(f)$ – boundedness given above, that $\alpha(f)\beta(f)$ – convergence does not necessarily imply $\alpha(f)\beta(f)$ – boundedness, since even if

$$\int_0^{\infty} f_{\lambda}(x)g(x) dx$$

tends to finite limit as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, it may not be necessarily bounded as λ runs through $[0, \infty)$. But, unlike this, in the case of sequence spaces (see Infinite Matrices and Sequence Space, 293)

$\alpha\beta$ – convergence always implies $\alpha\beta$ – boundedness.

Theorem (3.I): A set X is $\sigma_p(f)$ – bd if and only if, $\|f\|_p \leq M$ for every f in X , where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Proof: Let $f \in X$ in $\sigma_p(f)$, and g be any function in $\sigma_q^*(f) = \sigma_q(f)$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

That the condition is sufficient follows at once from Holder's inequality

$$\left| \int_0^{\infty} f(x)g(x)dx \right| \leq \|f\|_p \cdot \|g\|_q$$

We now prove the necessity of the condition.

If X is $\sigma_p(f)$ – bd, then

$$|F_f(g)| = \left| \int_0^{\infty} f(x)g(x)dx \right| \leq K(g) \quad \dots (3.1)$$

for every f in X and every g in $\sigma_q(f)$. $F_f(g)$ is a number for every $f \in X$ in $\sigma_p(f)$ and every g in $\sigma_q(f)$,

therefore, $\|F_f(g)\| = |F_f(g)|$, and so, by equation (3.1)

$$\|F_f(g)\| \leq K(g) \quad \dots (3.2)$$

for each g in $\sigma_q(f)$, as f runs through the set X .

Hence it follows from equation (3.2), by the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem on uniform boundedness. [see Cooke, (1), 319-20, Zaanen, (1), 135, or Banach and Steinhaus, (1)] that

$$\|F_f(g)\| \leq M \cdot \|g\|_q \quad \dots (3.3)$$

for every f in X and every g in $\sigma_q(f)$.

Now, for each f in $\sigma_q(f)$,

$$F_f(g) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x)g(x)dx$$

is a bounded linear functional on $\sigma_q(f)$.

So, it follows from equation (3.3), by definition of the norm of a bounded linear functional [see Cooke, (2), 350, or Zaanen, (1), 138], that

$$\|F_f\| \leq M \quad \dots (3.4)$$

for every f in X ; and also, for each f in X we have

$$\|F_f\| = \sup|F_f(g)|$$

for all g in $\sigma_q(f)$ with $\|g\|_q \leq 1$; i.e.,

$$\|F_f\| = \sup \left| \int_0^\infty f(x)g(x) dx \right| \dots (3.5)$$

for all given $\sigma_q(f)$ with $\|g\|_q \leq 1$.

But,

$$\|f\|_p = \sup \left| \int_0^\infty f(x)g(x) dx \right| \dots (3.6)$$

for all g in $\sigma_q(f)$ with $\|g\|_q \leq 1$.

Hence, by equations (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\|F_f\| = \|f\|_p \dots (3.7)$$

Therefore, by equations (3.4) and (3.7),

$$\|f\|_p \leq M$$

for every f in X , and thus the condition is necessary.

In $\sigma_q(f)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and in $\sigma_q(f)$,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_{x \in [0, \infty)} |f(x)|$$

Hence, we have

Corollary 1: If $1 \leq p < \infty$, a set X is $\sigma_q(f)$ – bd if and only if,

$$\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p dx \leq M^p$$

for every f in X . [cf. Infinite Matrices and Sequence Space, 298(10.4.IV), and 299, (10.4.V)]

corollary 2: A set X is $p - bd$ in $\sigma_q(f)$ if and only if, $|f(x)| \leq M$ for almost all $x \geq 0$ and every f in X . (M is the same for all the functions $f(x)$ in the set X). [cf. Infinite Matrices and Sequence Space, 298, (10.4.III)].

4. Strong projective convergence and strong projective continuity

If $\alpha^*(f) \geq \beta(f)$, and $f_\lambda(x)$ in $\alpha(f)$ satisfies the condition that to every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $p - bd$ set \mathcal{U} in $\beta(f)$ corresponds a positive number $N(\varepsilon, \mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\left| \int_0^\infty g(x) \{f_\lambda(x) - f_{\lambda'}(x)\} dx \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \dots (4.1)$$

for every g in \mathcal{U} and all $\lambda, \lambda' \geq N(\varepsilon, \mathcal{U})$, then $f_\lambda(x)$ is said to be **strong projective convergent** ($\underline{p} - cgt$) relative to $\beta(f)$, or $\underline{\alpha(f)\beta(f)} - cgt$ when $\beta(f) = \alpha^*(f)$, we say that $f_\lambda(x)$ is $\underline{p} - cgt$ in $\alpha(f)$, or $\underline{\alpha(f)} - cgt$. [cf. Infinite Matrices and Sequence Space, 302].

If $\alpha^*(f) \geq \beta(f)$, and $f_\lambda(x)$ in $\alpha(f)$ satisfies the condition that to every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $p - bd$ set \mathcal{U} in $\beta(f)$ corresponds a positive number $\delta(\varepsilon, \mathcal{U})$ such that equation (4.40) holds for every g in \mathcal{U} and all non-negative λ and λ' such that $|\lambda - \lambda'| < \delta(\varepsilon, \mathcal{U})$, then $f_\lambda(x)$ is said to be **strong projective continuous** ($\underline{p} - continuous$) relative to $\beta(f)$, or $\underline{\alpha(f)\beta(f)} - continuous$ when $\beta(f) = \alpha^*(f)$, we say that $f_\lambda(x)$ is $\underline{p} - continuous$ in $\alpha(f)$, or $\underline{\alpha(f)} - continuous$.

By taking \mathcal{U} to consist of one function only, we see from the definitions that $\underline{\alpha(f)\beta(f)} - convergence$ implies $\alpha(f)\beta(f) - convergence$, and that $\underline{\alpha(f)\beta(f)} - continuity$ implies $\alpha(f)\beta(f) - continuity$.

Theorem (4.I): Every parametric convergent family in $\sigma_\infty(f)$ is $\underline{p} - cgt$ in $\sigma_\infty(f)$.

Proof: We have $\alpha_\infty^*(f) = \sigma_1(f)$. Let \mathcal{U} be a $p - bd$ set in $\sigma_1(f)$; then by theorem (3.I), cor.1,

$$\int_0^\infty |g(x)| dx \leq M \quad \dots (4.2)$$

for every g in \mathcal{U} .

Let $f_\lambda(x)$ belong to $\sigma_\infty(f)$ and be parametric convergent ($\lambda - cgt$), then corresponding to every $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose a positive number $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for almost all $x \geq 0$

$$|f_{\lambda}(x) - f_{\lambda'}(x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \quad \dots (4.3)$$

for all $\lambda, \lambda' \geq N$.

Hence by equations (4.2) and (4.3),

$$\left| \int_0^{\infty} g(x) \{f_{\lambda}(x) - f_{\lambda'}(x)\} dx \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \cdot \int_0^{\infty} |g(x)| dx \leq \varepsilon$$

for every g in \mathcal{U} and all $\lambda, \lambda' \geq T(\varepsilon)$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$

therefore $f_{\lambda}(x)$ is \underline{p} -cgt in $\sigma_{\infty}(f)$.

Theorem (4.II): Every family $f_{\lambda}(x)$ in $\sigma_{\infty}(f)$, such that $f_{\lambda}(x) \in \zeta_1(f)_{\lambda}$, is $\sigma_{\infty}(f)$ -continuous.

Then proof is similar to that of (4.4.I).

Theorem (4.III): For every parametric convergent family in $\sigma_1(f)$, \underline{p} -convergence and \underline{p} -convergence coincide. [cf., Infinite Matrices and Sequence Space, 304, (10.5, II)].

Proof: We have $\alpha_1^*(f) = \sigma_{\infty}(f)$. If \mathcal{U} is a \underline{p} -bd set in $\sigma_{\infty}(f)$, then, by theorem (3.I), cor 2,

$$|g(x)| \leq M \quad \dots (4.4)$$

for every g in \mathcal{U} and almost all $x \geq 0$.

Let $f_{\lambda}(x)$ belong to $\sigma_1(f)$ and be λ -cgt, and suppose that it is $\sigma_1(f)$ -cgt. Then, since $\sigma_{\infty}(f)$ is normal and $f_{\lambda}(x)$ is λ -cgt, we can taking $g(x) = 1$ for all $x \geq 0$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ determine a positive number $N(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} |f_{\lambda}(x) - f_{\lambda'}(x)| dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \quad \dots (4.5)$$

for all $\lambda, \lambda' \geq N$

Hence by equations (4.4) and (4.5),

$$\left| \int_0^{\infty} g(x) \{f_{\lambda}(x) - f_{\lambda'}(x)\} dx \right| \leq M \int_0^{\infty} |f_{\lambda}(x) - f_{\lambda'}(x)| dx \leq \varepsilon$$

for every g in \mathcal{U} and all $\lambda, \lambda' \geq T$.

Therefore $f_{\lambda}(x)$ is $\sigma_1(f)$ -cgt.

Also \underline{p} – convergence implies p – convergence.

Hence the result follows.

Theorem (4.IV): For every family $f_\lambda(x)$ in $\sigma_1(f)$, such that $f_\lambda(x) \in \zeta_1(f)_\lambda, p$ – continuity and \underline{p} – continuity coincide.

The proof is similar to that of (4.III)

Theorem (4.V): when $\beta(f)$ is normal and is such that sections of functions in it belong to it, then the necessary and sufficient condition that $f_\lambda(x)$ in $\alpha(f)$ should be $\underline{\alpha(f)\beta(f)}$ – cgt is that, to every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every p – bd set \mathcal{U} in $\beta(f)$, these corresponds a positive number $N(\varepsilon, \mathcal{U})$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty |g(x)\{f_\lambda(x) - f_{\lambda'}(x)\}| dx \leq \varepsilon$$

for every g in \mathcal{U} and all $\lambda, \lambda' \geq N$. [cf. Infinite Matrices and Sequence Space, 303, (10.5.I)].

Proof: It follows from the definition of \underline{p} – convergence that the condition is sufficient.

To prove that it is necessary, we construct the sections

$$h_r(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq r \\ 0 & \text{for } x > r \end{cases}$$

of every g in \mathcal{U} , and consider the set \mathcal{V} which consists of all functions $\theta_r(x)$ such that

$$|\theta_r(x)| = |h_r(x)|, \text{ for all } x \geq 0$$

By hypothesis, $\beta(f)$ is such that sections of functions in it belong to it, so $h_r(x) \in \beta(f)$; also $\beta(f)$ is normal, therefore

$\theta_r(x) \in \beta(f)$ for all $\omega(x)$ in $\beta^*(f)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^\infty \theta_r(x)\omega(x) dx \right| &\leq \int_0^\infty |\theta_r(x)\omega(x)| dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty |h_r(x)\omega(x)| dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty |g(x)\omega(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \int_0^{\infty} |g(x)\omega(x)| dx$$

for every $r > 0$; hence V is $p - bd$ in $\beta(f)$.

Consequently, if $f_{\lambda}(x)$ is $\underline{\alpha(f)\beta(f) - cgt}$

$$\left| \int_0^{\infty} \theta_r(x) \{f_{\lambda}(x) - f_{\lambda'}(x)\} dx \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $\lambda, \lambda' \geq N(\varepsilon, U)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Given any two fixed number $\lambda, \lambda' \geq N$,

We choose the signs of $\theta_r(x)$ so that $\theta_r(x) \{f_{\lambda}(x) - f_{\lambda'}(x)\}$ is non-negative.

Then we have, for all $\lambda, \lambda' \geq N(\varepsilon, U)$,

$$\int_0^r |g(x) \{f_{\lambda}(x) - f_{\lambda'}(x)\}| dx \leq \varepsilon$$

for every $r > 0$ and every g in U ; the result thus follows.

In (4.V), unlike the case of sequence spaces [see Infinite Matrices and Sequence Space, 303, (10.5, I)], we have to make the additional hypothesis that $\beta(f)$ is normal and is such that sections of functions in it belong to it, because sections of a sequence always belong to the sequence space Φ , whereas the sections of a function do not necessarily belong to the function space $\Phi(f)$, since a section of a function is not necessarily essentially bounded, but functions in $\Phi(f)$ are, by definition essentially bounded.

5. Reference books

1. Allen, H.S.: "Projective Convergence and limit in Sequence Spaces", P. L. M. S., (2), 48, (1944), 310-338
2. Allen, H.S.: "Transformations of Sequence Spaces", journal London math soc: 31, 374-76(1956)
3. Banach, S., and Steinhaus, H.: "Sur le Principe de La Condensation de Singularites", Fundamental Math, 9 (1927, 50-61).
4. Chandra, P. and Tripathy, B.C.: "On generalized Kothe-Toeplitz duals of some sequence Spaces", Indian J. pure and applied math 1301-1306, Aug 2002.
5. Chandra, P.: "Investigation into the theory of operators and linear spaces", Ph.D. Thesis, Patna university, 1977.

6. Cooke, R. G.: "*Linear Operators*" Macmillan, London, 1953.
7. Cooke, R.G.: "*Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces*", Macmillan, London 1950.
8. Dienes, P.: "*The Taylor series*", (oxford), 1931.
9. Dunford, N., and J. Schwartz: "*Linear Operators Part 1*", Inter Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 1967.
10. Edwards, R. E.: "*Functional Analysis*", Holt, Rinchart and Winston, Inc., New York, 1965.
11. Goffman, C. and Pedrick, G.: "*First Course in Functional Analysis*", Prentice Hall, India, New Delhi (1974).
12. Halmos, P.R.: "*Finite Dimensional Vector Spaces*," van nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1958.
13. Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E., And Polya, G.: In equalities, (Cambridge) 1934.
14. Hardy, G.H.: "*Divergent Series*", oxford 1949.
15. Kamthan, P.K. and Gupta, M.: "*Sequence Spaces and Series*", Marcel Dekkar, New York (1981)
16. Kothe G., and toeplitz, o.: "*Linear Raume Mit Unendlichvielen Koordinaten Und Ringe Unendlicher Matrizen*", Crelle 171, 193-226(1934)
17. Iascaridesa, C. G.: Pac. J. Math. 38 (2), 487-500, 1971.
18. Leonard, I.E.: "*Banach Sequence Spaces*", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 54(1976)
19. Loeve, M.: "*Probability Theory*", (D. Van Nostrand, New York, London and Toronto), 1960.
20. Maddox, I. J.: "*Elements of Functional Analysis*", Cambridge university press, Cambridge 1970.
21. Maddox, I. J.: J. London Math. Soc. 21 (1969) 316-22.
22. Natanson, I.P.: "*Theory of Functions of a Real Variable*", (Frederic Ungar, New York), 1955.
23. Okutoyi, J. I.: Acta. Math. Hungarica, 59 (No. 3-4) 291-299, 1992.
24. Rath, D. and Tripathy, B.C.: "*Characterisation of Certain Matrix Operators*", J. Orissa math. Soc. 8 (1989) 121-134.
25. Riesz, F., and B. Sz. – Nagy: "*Functional Analysis*", Fredrick Ungar, New York, 1955/
26. Royden, H.L.: "*Real Analysis*", the Macmillan company New York, 1964.
27. Rudin, W.: "*Functional Analysis*", Mc Graw Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1973.
28. Rudin, W.: "*Functional Analysis*", McGraw-Hill book company, inc., New York, 1975.
29. Simmons, G.F.: "*Introduction to Topology and Modern Analysis*," McGraw-Hill book Company, inc., New York, 1963.
30. Taylor, A.E.: "*Introduction to Functional Analysis*", Wiley, New York ,1958.
31. Titchmarsh, E. C.: "*The Theory of Functions*", Oxford University Press, 1939.
32. Tripathy, B.C. and Sen, M.: "*On A New Class of Sequence Related to The Space L^p* ", Tamkang J. math. 33(no. 2) (2002), 167-171.
33. Vermes, P.: "*Certain class of Series to Series Transformation matrices*", Amer Journal Math, 72 (1950), 615-620.

34. Vermes, P.: *“Series to Series Transformation and Analytic Condition by Matrix methods”*, Amer Journal math, 71 (1949).
35. Wilansky, A.: *“Functional Analysis”*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, 1964.
36. Yoshida, K.: *“Functional Analysis”*, Springer Verlag, New York, Inc., New York, 1968.
37. Zaanen, A.C.: *“Linear Analysis”*, (Amsterdam and Groningen), 1953.
38. Zaanen, A.C.: *“Linear Analysis”*, (North- Holland, Amsterdam and P. NOORDHOFF N.V., Groningen), 1960.

