ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

ASSOCIATION BETWEEN THE DIETARY HABIT AND VISCERAL FAT ACCUMULATION IN MALE AND FEMALE ADULTS.

¹Dr. Vinitha Ponnukutty, ²Hemamalini. L

¹Head of the Department, Department of Clinical Nutrition, SIMS Hospital Vadapalani, Chennai-26 ²Registered Dietitian Intern, SIMS Hospital Vadapalani, Chennai-26

Abstract: This study delves into the intricate relationship between dietary habits and visceral fat among 200 male and female adults who visited a multispecialty hospital. The study's cross-sectional analysis reveals distinctive patterns in anthropometric measures across various categories. The correlation between dietary habits and visceral fat accumulation highlights intriguing associations, such as visceral fat accumulation negatively correlating with healthy food choice and positively correlating with appetite, sedentary behaviour, irregular meal time, calorie restriction. The ANOVA results strengthen the evidence for a substantial relationship between the dietary habit and visceral fat accumulation in male and female adults. Dietary habits influence the visceral fat accumulation between male and female significant difference by (P value=0.01)24% where male got (63%) and female got (87%) visceral fat percentage out of survey population. This research contributes valuable insights into the complex interplay between dietary habits and visceral fat accumulation within the participants.

KEY WORDS: Visceral fat percentage, Skeletal muscle percentage, lifestyle, diet.

I. INTRODUCTION

In India, visceral fat accumulation is considered an essential condition given its association with disease incidence irrespective of simple weight loss or gain. In this context, treatment for obesity or improvement of metabolic syndrome should focus on the reduction of visceral fat and prevention of visceral fat accumulation. recognizing and addressing the interplay between association of the dietary habit and visceral fat accumulation in male and female adults.

Visceral fat is a type of fat that is stored in the abdominal cavity around vital organs such as the liver, pancreas, and intestines. It is also known as intra-abdominal fat and is considered to be more harmful than subcutaneous fat, which is stored just beneath the skin.

The importance of understanding visceral fat lies in its association with various health risks, including heart disease, type 2 diabetes, and certain types of cancer. Excess visceral fat can lead to insulin resistance, inflammation, and metabolic disturbances, all of which contribute to these health conditions.

Globally, visceral fat has become a significant public health concern due to the rising prevalence of obesity and sedentary lifestyles. The accumulation of visceral fat is a key factor in the development of metabolic syndrome, which is a root cause of conditions that increase the risk of heart disease, stroke, and diabetes. Addressing visceral fat through lifestyle modifications such as diet and exercise is crucial for reducing the burden of obesity-related diseases on a global scale.

I. Research Methodology:

Sample Size: The research involved a sample of 200 male and female patients visiting the Outpatient departments (OP) of a hospital in Chennai.

Sampling: The study employed purposive convenience sampling method.

Type of Study: The research conducted is a descriptive cross-sectional study.

Locale: Local patients visiting the OP of a multi-speciality hospital in Chennai.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Both male and female adults.
- Subjects between 18yrs and 60yrs of age.
- Subjects who are willing to participate in the study.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Participants below the age of 18 years
- Pregnant women
- People suffering from chronic diseases.

Development of Tools:

- Study merits were explained to the subjects visiting the outpatient clinic of a multispecialty hospital in Chennai. Subjects were enrolled into the study after obtaining oral consent and using convenience sampling technique. Sample size was calculated as N=200 aimed for 99% confidence level and a margin of error of $\pm 1\%$.
- Information on demographic profile and anthropometric data were collected from the initial assessment form of the subjects. Anthropometric measurements included; height, weight, body mass index and waist circumference. Pre validated Dietary habit questionnaire developed by Yanagisawa et al. comprising 35 questions relating the association between dietary intake and visceral fat was used as a google form. From this form details about the dietary habits of the subjects were recorded. Measurements Visceral fat percentage and skeletal muscle percentage were doing using the bioelectrical impedance (BIA) machine "Omron HBF 212 digital full body composition monitor.

Data Collection:

• A total of 200 participants were included in the study. Any participant queries were addressed, and assurances of privacy were provided. Data thus collected were compiled and subjected to statistical analysis.

FLOW CHART OF SURVEY PARTICIPANT



Statistical Analysis:

- Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS).
- Collected data were consolidated using Microsoft Excel.
- Results were used to determine the association between dietary habits and visceral fat accumulation.

III. Results

The study done to find the association between dietary habits and visceral fat accumulation has yielded interesting results which are as follows:

SEX	AGE	N=(200)	BMI(YEARS)	$AGE(KG/M^2)$	$VFA(CM^3)$	SMA%
	20-29	11	29.8	5.5%	39.172	23.172
MALE	30-39	30	28.78	15%	38.674	22.845
	40-49	34	28.8	17.5%	37.94	23.508
	50-59	39	29.83	20.5%	37.546	23.192
	20-29	28	23.7	14%	31.421	26.325
FEMALE	30-39	15	28.78	7.5%	32.526	25.586
	40-49	15	27.33	8%	38.743	24.781
	50-59	23	28.98	12%	35.737	23.958

Table 3.1: Demographic Profile

Table 3.1: Percentage and Average Mean. BMI: body mass index; VFA: visceral fat area; SMA%: skeletal muscle percentage.

Differences in BMI in each sex and age group.

Table 3.1:Shows the physical attributes of participants (n=200) by sex and age. Male/Female balance in the survey was (Male-117/Female-83).Participants were classified by age categories.

Male age group between 20-29(N-11) the mean BMI-29.8, Male age group between 30-39(N-30) the mean BMI-28.78, Male age group between 40-49(N-34) the mean BMI-28.8, Male age group between 50-59(N-39) the mean BMI-29.8.

Female age group between 20-29(N-28) the mean BMI-23.7, Female age group between 30-39(N-15) the mean BMI-28.78, Female age group between 40-49(N-15) the mean BMI-27.33, Female age group between 50-59(N-23) the mean BMI-28.98.

The age percentage were calculated (n=200) of male and female according to the participant age group. The average mean is calculated for visceral fat area and skeletal muscle percentage.

Male age group between 20-29(N-11) the average mean VFA%-39.172 and SMA%-23.172, Male age group between 30-39(N-30) the average mean VFA%-38.674 and SMA%-22.845, Male age group between 40-49(N-34) the average mean VFA%-37.94 and SMA%-23.508, Male age group between 50-59(N-39) the average mean VFA%-37.546 and SMA%-233.192.

Female age group between 20-29(N-28) the average mean VFA%-31.421 and SMA%-26.325 , Female age group between 30-39(N-15) the average mean VFA%-32.526 and SMA%-25.586 , Female age group between 40-49(N-15) the average mean VFA%-38.743 and SMA%-24.781 , Female age group between 50-59(N-23) the average mean VFA%-35.737 and SMA%-23.958.

	APPETITTE (1)	HEALTHY FOOD CHOICE (2)	SEDENTARY BEHAVIOUR (3)	CALORIE RESTRICTION (4)	IRREGUL AR MEAL (5)
% OF VARIANCE	9.017	4.712	6.171	4.151	4.531
CUMULATIVE % OF VARIANCE	9.41 <mark>3</mark>	18.21	9.87	20.013	17.924
1. If someone around me is eating, I end up eating with them.	0.551	0.013	0.019	0.214	0.083
2. Even if I'm not hungry, if it smells good, I eat it.	0.523	0.017	0.084	0.045	0.023
3. I can't help myself from eating when fruits or snacks are out.	0.071	-0.023	0.18	0.063	0.087
4. I eat anything that people give me because otherwise I feel that it's wasteful.	-0.21	-0.01	-0.057	0.021	0.011
5. I overeat when I am depressed.	<mark>0.</mark> 07	0.003	0.036	0.038	0.261
6. I don't have a sweet tooth.	0.273	-0.071	0.01	0.088	-0.033
7. I feel uneasy if I don't buy more than what is needed for food.	0.071	0.009	-0.057	0.005	0.005
8. I like pizza, burgers, donuts, and potato chips.	0.092	-0.074	0.044	0.813	0.639
9. I think that I will be miserable if I don't eat.	0.085	0.036	0.18	0.089	0.041
10. I feel like I'm hungry all day.	0.015	0.07	0.131	0.186	0.028
11. I have late night snacks.	0.241	0.0079	-0.728	0.007	0.089
12. I often have a late- night meal after dinner.	-0.08	-0.013	-0.025	0.032	0.003
13. I get nervous easily.	0.119	0.41	-0.171	0.005	0.022

Table 3.2:	Factor analysis	of 35 dietary	habit questions:
		0100 010001	

© 2024 IJCRT | Volume 12, Issue 2 February 2024 | ISSN: 2320-2882 www.ijcrt.org 14. I hardly chew my -0.007 0.021 -0.112 0.19 0.171 food at all. 15. I think I eat faster 0.309 0.084 0.031 0.011 0.01 than others. 16. I proactively choose foods with lots 0.42 0.042 0.083 0.038 0.261 of dietary fibre. 17. I try to hold off on animal fats and instead 0.08 0.041 0.088 -0.0170.044 get plant-based or fish fats. 18. I am proactive in eating green and yellow 0.081 0.005 0.261 -0.131 -0.064 vegetables. 19. I like fish more -0.119 -0.016 -0.005 0.646 0.023 than meat. 0.102 -0.005 0.072 -0.592 -0.103 20. I am cooperative. 21. I don't exercise -0.065 0.071 0.013 -0.518 -0.106 much. 22. I think I am more out of shape than 0.021 0.052 0.107 -0.022 0.217 overeating. 23. I don't like walking 0.088 0.021 0.702 0.19 0.038 or biking. 24. If there is an elevator or Escalator, I 0.083 0.091 0.005 0.523 -0.015 use it. 0.428 0.01 0.072 -0.3810.127 25. I am lazy. -0.059 0.013 0.003 0.023 0.204 26. I am proactive. 27. I consciously hold back from eating too -0.017 0.024 0.089 0.007 0.047 much to avoid weight gain. 28. I eat less because I feel guilty about -0.521 0.087 -0.014 0.017 0.704 overeating. 29. I try to buy lower 0.008 0.018 -0.021 0.121 -0.013 calorie foods. 30. I think I tend to gain weight more 0.088 0.728 -0.731 -0.1070.041 readily than others. 0.087 0.692 0.231 -0.0040.022 31. I am insightful. 32. I often have a delayed dinner because 0.005 0.712 0.321 0.126 0.038 of work. 33. My mealtimes are 0.721 0.321 0.011 -0.035-0.041irregular. 34. I am a night owl who doesn't do well in -0.599 -0.0710.133 0.171 0.031 the morning. 35. I finish dinner 2 or 0.089 0.013 more hours earlier than 0.127 0.307 -0.017

bedtime

© 2024 IJCRT | Volume 12, Issue 2 February 2024 | ISSN: 2320-2882

Table 3.2: shows eigenvalues and factor loadings of dietary habit factors calculated by the factor analysis of the dietary habit questionnaire. The identified factor analysis of the dietary habit questionnaire revealed the following five main dietary factors: "Appetite," "Healthy food choice," "Sedentary behaviour," "Calorie restriction ," and "Irregular mealtime based on the content of questions with a large factor loading. The factor loadings represent the correlation between each variable and the underlying factor. Positive loadings indicate a positive relationship between the variable and the factor, while negative loadings indicate a negative relationship. Higher absolute values of loadings indicate that the variable is more strongly associated with the underlying factor.

Factor 1: Appetite

- Variables 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, and 16 have high loadings on this factor.

- This factor seems to capture eating behaviours related to appetite, cravings, and emotional eating. It includes tendencies to eat when others are eating, eat based on smell, overeat when depressed, eat late-night snacks, and prefer certain types of foods (e.g., pizza, burgers).

Factor 2: Healthy Food Choice

- Variables 16, 17, 18, and 19 have high loadings on this factor.

- This factor reflects proactive and health-conscious food choices, such as choosing foods with dietary Fiber, plant-based or fish fats, and green and yellow vegetables, as well as preferring fish over meat.

Factor 3: Sedentary Behaviour

- Variables 21, 23, 24, and 25 have high loadings on this factor.

- This factor captures sedentary behaviours and attitudes toward physical activity, including low exercise levels, disliking walking or biking, using elevators/escalators, and self-identifying as lazy.

Factor 4: Calorie Restriction

- Variables 28, 30, and 32 have high loadings on this factor.

- This factor represents attitudes and behaviour's related to calorie restriction and weight management, such as eating less due to guilt about overeating, trying to avoid weight gain by consciously holding back from eating too much, and having delayed dinners due to work.

Factor 5: Irregular Meal

- Variables 33 and 34 have high loadings on this factor.

- This factor reflects irregular meal patterns and preferences for meal timing (e.g., delayed dinners due to work, irregular mealtimes) and sleep patterns (e.g., being a night owl).

		APPETITTE (1)	HEALTHY FOOD CHOICE (2)	SEDENTARY BEHAVIOUR (3)	CALORIE RESTRICTION (4)	IRREGULAR MEAL (5)
DIETARY						
FACTOR						
SCORE	SURVEY	-0.01±0.73	0.01 ± 0.71	0.00 ± 0.86	0.00 ± 0.71	0.01±0.73
PVALUE'	SURVEY	0.015	0.017	0.072	0.008	0.026
	AGE	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.000
	SEX	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.007	0.000

Table 3.3 – Comparison of dietary factor scores between survey, sex and age groups:

Mean ± SD. 1 Analysis of variance fixed effect: survey, sex, and age group.

Comparison of the dietary factor score between survey, sex, and age groups are shown in **Table 3.3.** As expected, there were significant differences in dietary habits between the sex and age groups. This indicates that adjustment of sex and age was necessary to analyse the relationship between eating habits and visceral fat accumulation in this research.

TABLE 3.4 – Estimated BMI and visceral fat area by quartiles of each dietary factor score:

		01	02	03	04	ANOVA ¹	QI VS O4
	MALE/						
	FEMALE	63/72	57/62	71/87	80/70		
	AGE	33.7±0.2	48.2±0.1	40.5±0.2	38.1±0.2		
APPETITE	BMI ²	22.3±0.1	22.3±0.2	22.8±0.2	22.8±0.1	< 0.001	< 0.001
	VISERAL						
	FAT ²	58±1	76±1	81±1	62±1	< 0.001	< 0.001
	MALE/						
	FEMALE	64/99	74/91	28/73	88/99		
HEALTHY	AGE	38.6±0.1	40.2±0.1	42.6±0.2	41.5±0.2		
FOOD CHOICE	BMI ²	21.5±0.1	23.2±0.1	23.5±0.1	22.9±0.1	< 0.001	n.s
	VISERAL						
	FAT ²	68±1	70±1	76±1	74±1	< 0.01	< 0.01
	MALE/						
	FEMALE	82/99	77/82	78/94	81/99		
SEDENTARY	AGE	41.9±0.1	46.7±0.2	43.1±0.2	40.5±0.2		
BEHAVIOUR	BMI ²	21.6±0.1	22.9±0.1	23.5±0.1	22.2±0.1	< 0.001	< 0.001
	VISERAL						
	FAT ²	68±1	70±1	74±1	76±1	< 0.001	< 0.001
	MALE/						
	FEMALE	73/69	<mark>99/7</mark> 7	82/74	65/82		
CALORIE	AGE	47.3±0. <mark>1</mark>	41.7±0.1	40.2±0.3	42.7±0.2		
RESTRICTION	BMI^2	21.6±0.1	22.9±0.9	22.9±0.1	23.5±0.1	< 0.001	< 0.001
	VISERAL				2		
	FAT ²	58±1	76±1	81±1	73 <u>±1</u>	< <u>0.001</u>	< 0.001
	MALE/						
	FEMALE	79/83	81/69	77/82	92/72		
IRREGULAR	AGE	40.3±0.1	42.4±0.2	40.9±0.1	41.2±0.2		
MEAL TIME	BMI^2	22.8±0.1	21.6±0.1	25.4±0.1	21.7±0.1	< 0.001	< 0.001
	VISERAL				- C.		
	FAT ²	57±1	63±1	72±1	76±1	< 0.001	< 0.001

Mean ± SE. 1 Fixed effect: quartiles of each dietary factor score; covariates: sex and age. 2 Data were log-transformed for ANOVA.

Table 3.4 Shows sex- and age-adjusted BMI and visceral fat area according to quartiles of each dietary factor score. There was a significant difference in visceral fat area . Across quartiles of each factor score (ANOVA, p < 0.01 for All), with Q4 showing a significantly larger visceral fat area compared with Q1, except for scores of "Healthy food choice." As for "Healthy food choice," Q4 (i.e., the highest Factor score) showed a significantly smaller visceral fat area. On the other hand, while a significant difference was observed in BMI across the quartiles of each factor score, the difference in BMI between Q1 and Q4 was not significant for "Healthy food choice".

Differences in BMI across quartiles of the "Healthy food choice" factor score was not significant (Table 4). This table strongly associated with sedentary behaviour, irregular meal time, appetite in higher in visceral fat percentage and lesser skeletal muscle percentage. healthy food choice was negatively associated with higher in visceral fat percentage and lesser skeletal muscle percentage.

This table reveals that the dietary habits influence the visceral fat accumulation between male and female significant difference by 24% where male got (63%) and female got (87%) visceral fat percentage out of survey population.

IV Discussion:

The results of our study examining the interplay between dietary habits and visceral fat accumulation among male and female adults provided valuable insights. The dietary habit questionnaire allowed the assessment of dietary habits involved in the visceral fat accumulation at an individual level. Moreover, we found that visceral fat is highly associated with dietary habits; people with high visceral fat level have low skeletal muscle percentage and vice versa.

This study reveals that the dietary habits influence the visceral fat accumulation .Between male and female the difference was by 24%, which was significant(P value = 0.01). Where male got (63%) and female got (87%) visceral fat percentage out of survey population. Hence females have 24% higher visceral fat percentage than males.

This questionnaire could be used to provide suggestions for dietary modification to achieve visceral fat reduction on an individual basis. Physical exercise at moderate level with well-balanced diet helps in the visceral fat reduction.

VAcknowledgment:

I extend my sincere appreciation to my advisor Vinitha Ponnukutty mam, for their guidance and unwavering support throughout this thesis. Special thanks to my friends Divyapriya, Pavankumar and family for constant support throughout the thesis and their valuable insights, thank you all for your constant encouragement.

REFERENCE:

- 1. Yanagisawa, Y., Katashima, M., Sakane, N., et al. (2017). Preparation of an objective diet and lifestyle questionnaire, its verification in workplace research and association of responses with visceral adiposity. Ningen Dock, 32, 611–617.
- 2. Craig, C. L., Marshall, A. L., Sjoström, M., et al. (2003). International Physical Activity Questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 35(8), 1381–1395.
- 3. Kitchlew, R., Khan Chachar, A. Z., & Latif, S. (2017). Body mass index; visceral fat and total body fat distribution and its relation to body mass index in clinical setting using bio-impedance body composition monitor. pp.1-9.
- 4. Kaess, B. M., Pedley, A., Massaro, J. M., Murabito, J., Hoffmann, U., & Fox, C. S. (2012). The ratio of visceral to subcutaneous fat: A metric of body fat distribution is a unique correlate of cardiometabolic risk. Diabetologia, 55, 2622–2630.
- 5. Gamage, P., Katulanda, P., Andraweera, N., Thilakarathne, S., et al. (2013). Relationship between Body mass index (BMI) and body fat percentage, estimated by bioelectrical impedance, in a group of Sri Lankan adults: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health, 13, 797.
- Vasudev, S., Mohan, A., Mohan, D., Farooq, S., Raj, D., & Mohan, V. (2004). Validation of body fat measurement by skinfolds and two bioelectric impedance methods with DEXA– the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study. J Assoc Physicians India, 52, 877–881.
- 7. Moosaie, F., et al. (2021). Waist-to-height ratio is a more accurate tool for predicting hypertension than waist-to-hip circumference and BMI in patients with type 2 diabetes: A prospective study. Frontiers in Public Health, 9, 1–10.

- 8. Kuwabara, M., et al. (2018). Different risk for hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia, and hyperuricemia according to the level of body mass index in Japanese and American subjects. Nutrients, 10, 1011–1021.
- 9. Omron Healthcare Co. Ltd. (2015). BF511 OJIM, all for healthcare. Body Composite Monitor, 3.
- 10. Shrestha, R., et al. (2021). BMI, waist to height ratio and waist circumference as a screening tool for hypertension in hospital outpatients: A cross-sectional, non-inferiority study. BMJ Open, 11, 1–8.
- 11. Darokar, A. G., Phatak, M. S., Thobani, B. A., & Patel, A. K. (Year not provided). Assessment of Body Composition by Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Women of Central India.
- Rani, S., & Gupta, N. (2014). Body Composition Analysis of Staff members of College Using Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis Method. International Journal of Chemical Engineering and Applications, 5(3), 259–265.
- 13. Baltadjiev, A., & Baltadjiev, G. A. (2011). Assessment of body composition of male patients with Type 2 diabetes by bioelectrical impedance analysis. Folia Medica, 53(3), 52–57.
- 14. McArdle, W. D., & Katch, F. I. (2000). Essentials of Exercise Physiology (2nd ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- 15. Roopakala, et al. (2009). Anthropometric measurements as Predictor of Intraabdominal Fat Thickness. Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology, 53(3), 259–264.
- 16. Taing, K. Y., Farkouh, M. E., Moineddin, R., Jha, P., & Others. (Year not provided). Comparative associations between anthropometric and bioelectric impedance analysis derived adiposity measures with blood pressure and hypertension in India: a cross-sectional analysis, pp1-10.
- 17. Patel, V., et al. (2011). Chronic diseases and injuries in India. The Lancet, 377(9763), 413–28.
- Timpson, N. J., Harbord, R., Davey Smith, G., Zacho, J., Tybjaerg-Hansen, A., & Nordestgaard, B. G. (2009). Does greater adiposity increase blood pressure and hypertension risk: Mendelian randomization using the FTO/MC4R genotype. Hypertension, 54(1), 84–90.
- 19. Dorresteijn, J. A., Visseren, F. L., & Spiering, W. (2012). Mechanisms linking obesity to hypertension. Obesity Reviews, 13(1), 17–26.
- 20. Snijder, M. B., van Dam, R. M., Visser, M., & Seidell, J. C. (2006). What aspects of body fat are particularly hazardous and how do we measure them? International Journal of Epidemiology, 35(1), 83–92.
- 21. Prentice, A. M., & Jebb, S. A. (2001). Beyond body mass index. Obesity Reviews, 2(3), 141-7.
- 22. Kobayashi, J., Murano, S., Kawamura, I., Nakamura, F., Murase, Y., Kawashiri, M. A., et al. (2006). The relationship of percent body fat by bioelectrical impedance analysis with blood pressure, and glucose and lipid parameters. Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis, 13(5), 221–6.

- 23. Nagaya, T., Yoshida, H., Takahashi, H., Matsuda, Y., & Kawai, M. (1999). Body mass index (weight/height2) or percentage body fat by bioelectrical impedance analysis: which variable better reflects serum lipid profile? International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders, 23(7), 771–4.
- 24. Lee, K., Song, Y. M., & Sung, J. (2008). Which obesity indicators are better predictors of metabolic risk: healthy twin study. Obesity (Silver Spring), 16(4), 834–40.
- 25. Menke, A., Muntner, P., Wildman, R. P., Reynolds, K., & He, J. (2007). Measures of adiposity and cardiovascular disease risk factors. Obesity (Silver Spring), 15(3), 785–95.
- 26. Ricciardi, R., & Talbot, L. A. (2007). Use of bioelectrical impedance analysis in the evaluation, treatment, and prevention of overweight and obesity. Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners, 19(5), 235–41.
- 27. Shafer, K. J., Siders, W. A., Johnson, L. K., & Lukaski, H. C. (2009). Validity of segmental multiple frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis to estimate body composition of adults across a range of body mass indexes. Nutrition, 25(1), 25–32.
- 28. Misra, A., & Khurana, L. (2011). Obesity-related non-communicable diseases: South Asians vs white Caucasians. International Journal of Obesity, 35(2), 167–87.
- 29. Taing, K. Y., Farkouh, M. E., Moineddin, R., T, J. V., & Jha, P. (2016). Age and sex-specific associations of anthropometric measures of adiposity with blood pressure and hypertension in India: a cross-sectional study. BMC Cardiovascular Disorders, 16(1), 247.