ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

ICHTHYOFAUNAL DIVERSITY OF NONA RIVER FLOWS THROUGH BAKSA AND NALBARI DISTRICT (ASSAM),INDIA

Champak Rajbongshi Department of Zoology, Ulubari Higher secondary school Kamrup (M), Ghy 07

ABSTRACT:

The current investigation aimed to access the ichthyofaunal diversity of Nona (Mutunga) river flowing through Baksa & Nalbari district of Assam, India. Survey was conducted from Nov 2022 to Oct.2023. Fish specimens were collected from four pre-selected sites, using standard methods and subsequently preserved and identified .A total of 41 fish species belonging to 7 orders, 18 families and 30 genera were recorded. Cypriniformes was the dominant order with 15 species followed by Perciformes with 12 species. Three vulnerable, two near threatened and 36 species under the least concern category were identified based on IUCN status. Anthropogenic threats such as setting up brick industries, garbage dispersal, flow of agricultural pesticide to the river and river digging, etc. may affect the fish population in this river. Awareness among *inhabitants of surrounding villages is needed to address these threats*.

Keywords: Ichthyofaunal diversity, Nona River, Baksa, Nalbari, Anthropogenic threats.

INTRODUCTION:

Indian rivers are renowned for their abundant fish diversity making them one of the most significant reserves in the world.People of India particularly those residing in rural areas rely on fresh water fishes as a source of sustenance and livelihood (Vass et.al, 2011).Research studies on diversity and conservation of fish in aquatic ecosystem have always piqued the interest of numerous fishery researchers (Kar et.al,2006).Jayaram (1981) documented a total of 742 freshwater fish species belonging to 230 genera,64 families and 16 orders. Talwar and Jhingran (1991) reported 930 species of freshwater fishes under 326 genera and 99 families.Most recently, Frouse and Pauly (2021) found the availability of 999 freshwater fish species out of 2801 valid species.

Northeast region of India constitutes a portion of two biodiversity hotspots recognised by Conservation international, namely the Himalayas and Indo Burma, Roach(2005). Hora(1921) is credited with pioneering research on freshwater fishes of NE region, while Dey (1973) conducted an extensive study on ichthyofauna from this region.Ghosh and Lipton (1982) reported 172 species and their economic importance.Sinha (1994) catalogued 230 fish species from the NE region. Nath and Dey(1997) documented a total of 131 fish species solely from the drainages in Arunachal Pradesh. Kar(2003a) discovered the presence of 133 fish species through a pilot survey conducted in 19 rivers spread in Barak drainage(Assam), Mizoram and Tripura.Goswami et al. (2012) listed a comprehensive total of 422 fish species belonging to 39 families from northeast India including Himalayan and Indo Burma biodiversity hotspots.

www.ijcrt.org

© 2024 IJCRT | Volume 12, Issue 2 February 2024 | ISSN: 2320-2882

Assam, a state in the Northeast region of India, is home to a diverse range of fish species .The two major river systems in Assam - Brahmaputra and Barak , are known as the harbours of large Diversity of ichthyofauna.Several studies have been conducted to record the diversity of freshwater fish in the Brahmaputra river and surrounding areas. Motwani et.al (1962) recorded 126 species from 26 families, while Sen (2000) reported 187 species from Assam and its reservoir. Bhattacharya et.al (2003) found 217 fish species inhabiting various water bodies in Assam, belonging to 104 genera, 37 families, and 10 orders. Vishwanath (2017) reviewed and found a cumulative diversity of 229 finfish species in the Brahmaputra drainage system, including 27 endemic species. However, around one-third of these species have not been evaluated according to IUCN red list criteria.

The current investigation is focused on the Nona river a sub tributery of Brahmaputra river in Assam, India that mainly originated from Bhutan. The paper presents for the first time an account of the echthyofaunal diversity within this river system that connects to Baksa and Nabari district, Assam, India.

The study aims to document and assess the economic value, present status and existing risks faced by the fish species inhabiting in this riverine environment.

STUDY SITES:

The nona river originates from Bhutan hills (SamdrupJungkhar) where two small rivulets Bogajuli and Daimabari joins to form a single stream known as Mutunga at Dewbari (currently located in Baksa, Assam), which subsiquently becomes known as Nona.The total length of the Nona river from its source to its confluence with Baralia river is 63 km. (Brahmaputra Board,1996). The river has all the characteristics of fleshy river like Pagladia .It also meanders freely and has many loops and slopes. The river nona is bounded by Baralia to the east and Pagladia to the west.Nona joins Baralia river near Kismat village, Nalbari (Assam).The river Baralia is a tributery of Pagladia covers a length of 75 km. The Nona river basin extends latitudinaly from 26°22'49" N to 26°48'35"N and longitudinally from 91°32'02"E to 91°36'17"E.

SAMPLING METHODS:

A Survey was conducted throughout pre monsoon, monsoon and post monsoon seasons spanning from Nov 2022 to Oct 2023. Four distinct locations were choosen for study, situated along the banks of the river, namely Daimabari, Pub Hawly (Tamulpur), Akna(Borajol) and Kismat village(Nalbari), Fig:1 shows All the location of study sites. Local fishermen were involved in netting and collection of fish samples.

Additional Information were collected from the local markets situated along the river banks. Fishing gears like fish nets of different mesh sizes were used such as gill nets, caste nets, drag nets, scoop nets, as well as different types of bamboo traps including hooks and lines. Specimens were instantly fixed in 4% formalin solution and after 4 to 8 hours of fixation washing with tap water and transferred to 70% alcohol.A good quality photographs were taken for the study of the specimens and the site of the area. The fish specimens have been identified after standard literature by following Talwar & Jhingran (1991), Viswanath (2002), Kar&Sen (2007), Jayaram (2010). Current conservation status of each species was varified based on the IUCN Red list threatened category version 2022.2 .Nomenclature and classification of the collected species updated by using the following websites http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/Species By Family (Eschmeyer and Fong,2015) and www.fishbase.org(Frouse & Pauly,2019).



Fig 1: Location Map of study area Source: Google Earth imagery.

www.ijcrt.org © 202 Table 1: Ichthyofaunal diversity of Nona river

ORDER	FAMILY	SPECIES	LOCAL	ECONOMI	IUCN	
			NAME	С	STATU	
				VALUE	S	
OSTEOGLOSSIFOR	NOTOPTERI	Chitala chitala	Chital	FF, OR	NT	
MES	DAE	(Ham-Buch,1822)				
		Notopterus notopterus	Kanduli	FF, OR	LC	
		(Pallas,1769).				
CLUPEIFORMES	CLUPEIDAE	Gudusia chapra	Koroti	FF, OR	VU	
		(Ham. 1822)				
CYPRINIFORMES	CYPRINIDAE	Amblypharyngodon	Moa	FF, OR	VU	
		mola				
		(Ham-Buch, 1822)				
		Catla catla	Bhakua	FF	LC	
		(Ham-Buch, 1822)				
		Cirrhinus mrigala Mirika FF, OR		FF, OR	LC	
		(Ham-Buch,1822)				
		Ctenopharyngodon	Grass	FF, EX	LC	
		idella	Carp			
		(Val. 1844).		lan .		
		Cyprinus carpio	Commo	FF, EX	VU	
		(Linn. 1758)	n Carp			
		Esomus danricus	Dorikon	FF, OR	LC	
		(Ham-Buch,1822)	a		r	
				1		

ORDER	FAMILY	SPECIES	LOCAL	ECONOMIC	IUCN
			NAME	VALUE	STATUS
		Hypophthalmichthys	Silver	FF, EX	NT
		molitrix	Carp		
		(Val. 1844)			
		Labeo bata	Bhangon	FF	LC
		(Ham-Buch, 1822)			
		Labeo calbasu	Bhakua	FF, OR	LC
		(Ham-Buch, 1822)			
		Labeo gonius	Kurhi	FF	LC
		(Ham-Buch, 1822)			
		Labeo rohita	Rau	FF	LC
		(Ham-Buch, 1822)			
		Puntius sophore	Puthi	FF, OR	LC
		(Ham-Buch, 1822)			
		puntius ticto	Puthi	FF, OR	LC
		(Ham-Buch, 1822)			
		Puntius juvanicus	Puthi	FF, OR	LC
		(Bleeker, 1855)			
		Salmostoma bacaila	Chelekoni	FF, OR	LC
		(Ham-Buch, 1822)			
	COBITIDAE	Lepidocephalichthys	Botia	FF, OR	LC
		guntea			
		(Ham-Buch, 1822)			

IJCRT2402648International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT) www.ijcrt.orgf507

ORDER	FAMILY	SPECIES	LOCAL NAME	ECONOMI C VALUE	IUCN STATUS
PERCIFORM ES	AMBASSIDAE	Chanda nama (Ham-Buch,1822)	Chanda	FF, OR	LC
		Parambassis ranga (Ham-Buch,1822)	Chanda	FF, OR	LC
	NANDIDAE	Nandus nandus (Ham-Buch,1822)	Bhetki/ Bhehri	FF, OR	LC
	CICHLIDAE	Oreochromis mossambicus W.K.H.Peters,185 2)	Japani Koi	FF, EX	VU
	GOBIDAE	Glossogobius giuris (Ham-Buch,1822)	Panimutur a	FF, OR	LC
	ANABANTIDAE	Anabas testudineus (Bloch,1792).	Kawoi	FF, OR	LC
	OSPHRONEMID AE	Trichogaster fasciatus (Bl Schn,1801)	Kholihona	FF, OR	LC
J.		Trichogaster lalius (Ham. 1822)	Besheli/ Besa	FF, OR	LC
4	CHANNIDAE	Channa gachua (BlSchn. 1822)	Cheng	FF, OR	LC
		Channa gachua (BlSchn. 1822)	Goroi	FF, OR	LC
		Channa striatus (Bl. 1793)	Sol	FF, OR	LC
		Channa stewarti (Playfair,1867)	Chenar	FF, OR	LC

N.B: LC - Least concern, EN - Endangered, VU - Vulnerable, NT-Near Threatened FF- Food Fish,

OR - Ornamental, EX - Exotic species

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION :

A total no of 41 species belonging to 18 family, 7 order and 30 genera were recorded from river Nona(Mutunga). Cyprinidae was found to be the dominant family with a total of 15 species followed by Channidae (4 species),Bagridae (3 species), Notopteridae (2 species), Mastasimalidae(2 species), Ambassidae (2 species), Claridae (2species),Osphronemidae (2 species), Clupidae (1 species), Cobitidae(1species),Siluridae(1 species), Heteropneustedae (1 species), Belonidae (1 species), Synbranchidae (1 species), nandidae (1 species), Cichlidae (1 species), Gobidae (1 species) Anabantidae (1 species).

During survey it was found that Out of total of 41 species 9 species have only food value, 32 species have both food and ornamental value. Almost all fishes holds Commercial significance with none being abundant; rather 35 are moderately abundant and 6 species are least abundant. The highly demanded Clarius magur and Wallago attu which hold a high market value as food fish are included in endangered category .Two species are deemed Nearly threatened, while 3 are Vulnerable, however 36 species fall under the category of least concern.All cataagorizations were done based on IUCN Red list of threatened species 2023.The emergence of 6 numbers of exotic fish is alarming sign to this river.

www.ijcrt.org

© 2024 IJCRT | Volume 12, Issue 2 February 2024 | ISSN: 2320-2882

In the upper reach of Nona (Mutunga) river, the riverbed is built up of boulders, shingle and sand with a steep slope, but the downstrram is in the alluvial stage. Lower part of the river is susceptible to natural hazard like flood and bank erosion due to less gradient and confluence of Baralia and Nona river along with many small nalas. (Bishya & Saharia et al, 2017). Heavy siltation not only elevates their verbed but also obstructs channels connecting to the beels, this prevents the riverine fish from entering the wetland .

The major problem for this river is unplanned construction of embankment for flood control, setting up of brick industries on the bank of the river, river digging which may lead to destruction of habitat, loss of breeding ground and disrupted migration pattern of fishes. According to Kottelat and Whitten (1996), drastic reduction in abundance of the freshwater fishes in the northeastern region is due to destruction of the habitat, overexploitation and other anthropogenic effects. In addition various human activities such as, over fishing, use of mosquito nets forcapturing fishes, use of inorganic poisons, Sewage disposal from Brick industries, garbage disposal from local market and pesticides used in agricultural practices Pose serious theats to the aquatic fauna in this river.





CONCLUSION:

There is a vast potential for further investigation into fish diversity, as the current study was conducted over a period of one year only. As the river is subjected to various anthropogenic impacts, it is possible that fish population may be declining and experiencing fluctuations. Despite many acts and regulations in place many members of general public remain unaware of their existence. Therefore it is imperative to raise awareness among local residents to mitigate pollution risk, prevent overfishing and illigal capturing of brood fishes.

www.ijcrt.org REFERENCES:

1)Bakalial B, Biswas SP, Borah S and Baruah D. (2014) Checklist of fishes of lower Subansiri river drainage, Northeast India. Annals Biol Res. 5(2): 55-67.

2) Brahmaputra Board (1996): Master Plan of Pagladiya River, Ministry of Water Resources, Govt. of India, pp. 36-59.

3)Bhattacharjya BK, Choudhury M and Sugunan VV (2003). Ichthyofaunastic resources of Assam with a note on their sustainable utilization. In: Participatory Approach for fish biodiversity conservation in North East India, published by Director (National Bureau of Fish Genetic Resources, Lucknow) 87-105.

4) Baishya SJ and Saharia DJ 2017. Bank erosion and changing course of Baralia and Nona rivers of Assam. North Eastern Geographer, Vol. 39, No. 1&2, 2016-17, pp.46-65.

5)Eschmeyer, W.N. and J.D. Fong. 2015. Catalog of fishes: genera, spe-cies, references. San Francisco: California Academy of Sciences. Accessed at http://research archive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/SpeciesByFamily.asp on 5 March 2015. 6)Froese, R. and D. Pauly. 2019. FishBase, World Wide Web electronic publication. Accessed at http://fishbase.org/search. Version (12/2019)

7)Froese, R. and Pauly. D. (Eds.) 2021. Fish Base. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org, version (06/2021).

8) Goswami UC, Basistha SK, Bora D, Shyamkumar K, Saikia B and Changsan K (2012). Fish diversity of North East India, inclusive of the Himalayan and Indo Burma biodiversity hotspots zones: A checklist on their taxonomic status, economic importance, geographical distribution, present status and prevailing threats. International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation 4(15) 592-613.

9)Ghosh, S.K. and A.P. Lipton (1982). Ichthyofauna of the NEH Region with special reference to their economic importance. ICAR Research Complex, NEH Region, Shillong. Spl. Bulletin 1: 119-126

10) IUCN 2023. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2022-2.Electronic database accessible at https://www.iucnredlist.org .

11) Jayaram, K. C. 1981. The Freshwater Fishes of India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Burma and Sri Lanka. Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta, 475 pp.

12)Jayaram KC (2010). The Freshwater Fishes of the Indian Region. 2nd Edition. Narendra Publ. House, Delhi, pp. 625.

13) Kar, D. (2003a). Fishes of Barak drainage, Mizoram and Tripura, pp.203-211. In: Kumar, A., C. Bohra and L.K. Singh (Eds.). Environment, Pollution and Management. APH Publishing Corporation, New Delhi,604pp.

14)Kar, D., A.V. Nagarathna, T.V. Ramachandra and S.C. Dey. 2006. Fish diversity and conservation aspect in an aquatic ecosystem in northeastern India. Zoos' Print Journal 21(7): 2308–2315.

15)Kar D, Sen N (2007). Systematic List and Distribution of Fishes in Mizoram, Tripura and Barak Drainage of Northeastern India. Zoos' Print J. 22(3):2599-2607

16)Kalita GJ and Sarma PK. (2015) Ichthyofaunal diversity, status and Anthropogenic stress of Beki river, Barpeta, Assam. Int J Fisheries Aquat Stud. 2(4): 241-248.

17)Kalita.M, Rajbongshi MK, Das.N (2022.) Present status of Ichthyofaunal diversity of Barnadi river, Darrang district, Assam, India. International Journal of Creative Research thoughts (IJCRT) www.ijcrt.org. Vol.10 : (96 - 101)

18)Kottelat M and Whitten T (1996). Freshwater Biodiversity in Asia with special reference to Fish. World Bank Technical Paper No. 343. (Washington, DC: The World Bank) 59.

19) Motwani, M. P., Jayaram, K. C. and Sehegal, K. L. 1962. Fishand fisheries of the Brahmaputra river system, Assam.I. Fish fauna with observations on their zoogeographical distribution. Tropical Ecology, 3(1-2):17-43.

20) Roach J (2005). Conservationists name nine new biodiversity hotspots. National Geographic news, February 2, 2005.

21) Sen N (2000). Occurrence, distribution and status of diversified fish fauna of North East India. In: Ponniah, A.G. & Sarkar, U.K. (Eds.).

22)Sinha M (1994). Fish genetic resources of the northeastern region of India. Journal of Inland Fisheries Society of India, 26(1) 1-19.

23)Talwar PK and Jhingran AG (1991). Inland fishes of India and adjacent countries, Vols. 1 & 2. New Delhi, India (Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., Pvt. Ltd) 1-1158.

24)Vishwanath W (2002). Fishes of North East India: A Field Guide to Species Identification. (Manipur University and National Agriculture Technology Programme) 198.

25)Vass, K. K, Das, M. K, Tyagi, R. K, Katiha, P. K, Samanta, S.,Srivastava, N. P., Bhattacharjya, B. K., Suresh, V. R,Pathak, V., Chandra, G., Debnath, D. and Gopal, B.2011. Strategies for Sustainable Fisheries in the Indian Part of the Ganga Brahmaputra River Basins.International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, 37(4): 157-218.

26)Vishwanath, W. 2017. Diversity and conservation status of freshwater fishes of the major rivers of northeast India.Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management, 20(1-2):86-101.