IJCRT.ORG ISSN: 2320-2882



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Repercussions Of Incivility At Workplace: Corroboration From The Prior Studies

¹Divyanshu Pandey, ²Akanksha Singh

- 1. Research Scholar, Banaras Hindu University
- 2. Research Scholar, Banaras Hindu University

Abstract

The study endeavors to organize the literature with regard to the repercussions of workplace incivility in which several individual and organizational issues have been examined as its outcome. The incidents related to incivility are spreading in almost all the organizations and exist all over the world which indicates a growing concern as it will affect the employee and organizational wellbeing. This study especially highlights the effects of workplace incivility so that its far-reaching consequences can be avoided. There are various issues can be incurred as a cost to incivility such as increasing withdrawal behaviour, low level of job satisfaction, engagement and commitment etc. This article will also be helpful for academicians, management and HR practitioners in perceiving the destructive role of incivility which will induce them to investigate its practicality in the form of conducting future studies on such issue and also to prevent incivility at workplace through remedial measures.

Keywords

Workplace Incivility, Employee & Organizational Wellbeing, Withdrawal Behaviour, Job Satisfaction, Engagement, Commitment

Introduction

Workplace incivility, considered as low-intensity deviant acts with no evident intent to harm the target (Andersson & Pearson, 1999), is permeating and has grave repercussions that have been theorized about (Andersson & Pearson, 1999; Bies & Moag, 1986) and experimentally demonstrated (Hershcovis, 2011), and frequently transpires in public settings (Porath & Pearson, 2010). Cortina et al. (2001) cite ignoring or making disparaging remarks about an individual as examples of incivility at workplace. Given its rampancy, it has been estimated that approximately 96% of the personnel have experienced or been affected (Porath & Pearson, 2010), perceiving observer reactions to incivility as these reactions will probably have a ripple effect on the originator, the target, and the organization; spectators may prevent future abuse and mitigate the devastating impact of incivility for targets if they react drastically towards the instigator or constructively towards the target. On the other hand, if spectators have an adverse approach toward the target or favourably towards the instigator, they may make the situation worse for the target and it will perpetrate incivility in the organization (Reich & Hershcovis, 2015). Because workplace incivility is common in an interpersonal setting, it is quite inevitable that other people could witness it. However, uncivil behaviour mostly hits on the desired targets, it is quite feasible that a greater number of employees notice uncivil occurrences than truly undergo them (Schilpzand et al., 2014). It is crucial to study this kind of workplace

incivility since the few studies that have looked into it have found that being there when uncivil episodes occur might lead to negativity (Porath & Erez, 2009; Totterdell, et al., 2012), has an adverse impression on performance-related standards (Porath and Erez, 2009), and which brings work withdrawal as a severe consequence (Miner-Rubino & Cortina, 2004) and emotional exhaustion (Totterdell et al., 2012). Our worry about incivility springs from two sources: individual and organisational performance, as well as the setting up of a professional and courteous workplace. The screening of the literature has highlighted a pair of challenges in particular. For initial reasons, there is a general lack of knowledge of rudeness at work throughout the human resource development (HRD) community. While "recent years have seen triggering work on ingenious, nonphysical indications of interpersonal misconduct in the workplace" (Cortina, 2008), HRD academics and analysts have made limited endeavours to investigate this subject. This has been reinforced by the fact that there have been few publications on this subject in the field (Estes & Wang, 2008). Researchers have shown a correlation between high levels of rudeness and a number of unfavourable consequences. There are various previous and current investigations which have already described or are focusing on unfavourable effects such as low levels of well-being and work satisfaction, as well as intents to leave one's current position and difficulties sleeping etc. Both emotional and physical well-being are significantly impacted when people behave in an impolite or inconsiderate manner (Lim et.al, 2008; Reio et al., 2009). Studies have shown time and again that persons who are subjected to incivility in the workplace, from either the perspective of a target or an initiator, have lower levels of job satisfaction (Reio et al., 2009; Penney & Spector, 2005). Even when job stress was taken into account, the researcher Lim et al. (2008) discovered that incivility has a negative influence on the overall organisation in the form of lower levels of job satisfaction and mental health. An increasing corpus of studies emphasises the costs of being treated with incivility on both a personal and organisational level, even though it is less severe than other forms of mistreatment (Harold & Holtz, 2014). For instance, in a poll of 800 employees from various businesses, according to the study of Porath and Pearson (2013), 48% of victims purposefully dropped their work effort, 38% deliberately decreased the quality of their work, 66% had lower job performance, and 78% had lower levels of commitment. Furthermore, research evidence demonstrates that encountering incivility has a detrimental impact on job satisfaction, psychological well-being, physical health, and turnover decisions (Cortina et al., 2001; Lim et al., 2008; Pearson et al., 2000; Pearson et al., 2001). Additionally, it has been shown that even observing rudeness can lead to less commitment, decreased job satisfaction, burnout, and higher turnover (Miner-Rubino & Cortina, 2007). Porath and Erez (2007, 2009) discovered that experiencing and witnessing rudeness at work has a negative impact on helping behaviour, creativity, and task performance. Low job satisfaction, psychological stress, absenteeism, poor health, an increase in retaliatory aggression, and higher employee turnover are all not the only side-effects of rude behaviour. However, when endured or not properly addressed, there is a risk of harm to organisations due to increased costs of hiring and training employees, an uncomfortable and unproductive work environment, inferiorquality customer service, prospective legal fees for claims made by victims, or even perpetrators of wrongful termination accusations, etc (Sutton, 2007b; Cortina et al., 2001; Lim & Cortina, 2005; Pearson et al., 2000). This is crucial for comprehending the impact of workplace rudeness because it is difficult to determine the true motivation behind such actions. Mostly, in line with the idea that rudeness contains ambiguous intent, Andersson and Pearson stated that simply because someone may respond rudely after noticing it, it does not imply that they are doing so with the intention of hurting the person they are responding to; an unpleasant reaction could simply indicate that unfavourable feelings or ideas have been sparked. Likewise, a person who feels like they have been the focus of rudeness may retaliate by being rude back to the offender or by diverting rudeness onto a defenceless individual (Harold & Holtz, 2014). This makes it simple for rudeness to propagate throughout an organisation. In spite of the widespread nature of rude behaviour and the significant harm it causes, organizational solutions are, at best, inconsistent. Some managers choose to disregard rude behaviour because they do not want to become embroiled in difficult interpersonal confrontations. Other managers either never hear about the occurrences or, if they do, they downplay the significance of the incidents as so-called private or internal affairs. Some businesses condone or even encourage violent conflict among their staff members as a means of gaining a competitive advantage. Rude words and subtle bad behaviours can have a significant impact on targets, their coworkers, their family and friends, their organisations, and their consumers if they are ignored (Pearson & Porath, 2005).

Objective of the Study

There are several deleterious effects of uncivil behaviour which ultimately influence the individual and organizational performance. It is of much need to study the consequences of workplace incivility, even though it is a low-intensity deviant behaviour but it is becoming a serious concern nowadays in view of its cost and ubiquity. The main purpose of this paper is to understand the concept of workplace incivility and also to review the repercussions of workplace incivility with the help of previous studies.

Studies showing Consequences of Incivility at Workplace

S.No.	Title, Author & Year	Objective of the	Sector/	Effects of Incivility	
		Study	Organization		
1.	Work and nonwork	To investigate	Different	Lower satisfaction	
	outcomes of	the connection	organization	among co-workers	
	workplace incivility:	between	from Singapore	and supervisors,	
	Does family support	incivility, work-		raised unfair	
	help? (Lim & Lee,	to-family		treatment, caused	
	2011)	conflict and		depression, increased	
		family support.		work-to-family	
	M 11 CW 1 1	T C 1 4	C 1' 1 II 4 1	conflict	
2.	Models of Workplace		Swedish Hotel	Lessen job	
	Incivility: The	negative	and Restaurant	satisfaction, degraded	
	Relationships to	consequences of	Workers Union	well-being, decreased	
	Instigated Incivility	workplace		stay intentions, caused	
	and Negative Outcomes (Holm et	incivility.		sleeping problems.	
	al., 2015)				
	ai., 2013)				
3.	Emotional and	To find the	Employed	Incivility leads to	
	Behavioral Responses	emotional and	MBA students	several emotional	
	to Workplace		from western	responses such as	
	Incivility and the	responses of	universities	anger, fear and	
	Impact of	incivility		sadness. And it also	
	Hierarchical Status			provokes aggression,	
	(Porath & Pearson,			displacement and	
	2012)			withdrawal.	
4.	Antecedents and	To explore the	Volunteer	Age, gender and	
	Outcomes of	antecedents and	training course	workplace adaptation	
	Workplace Incivility:	l .	participants	predicts workplace	
	Implications for	incivility	from mid-south	incivility. Incivility	
	Human Resource		region of the	affects job satisfaction	
	Development		United States	and physical health.	
	Research and Practice				
	(Reio & Ghosh,				
5.	2009)	To identify the	Healthcare	It has been found out	
3.	How workplace incivility influences	To identify the effects of	Organizations	that workplace	
	job performance: the	workplace	in China		
	role of image outcome	incivility on job		negative impact on in-	
	expectations (Jiang et	performance.		role job performance	
	al., 2018)	performance.		and innovative job	
	ai., 2010)			performance.	
6.	A model of workplace	To investigate	South-eastern	Negative influence on	
	incivility, job burnout,	the relationship	University in	job performance and	
	turnover intentions,	between	United States	positively associated	
	,			•	

7.	and job performance (Rahim & Cosby, 2016) Relationships among workplace incivility, work engagement and job performance	incivility, job burnout, job performance and turnover intention. To explore the effects of co- worker incivility and customer	Hospitality industry in Taiwan	with job burnout, which in turn, turnover intention to increase and viceversa. Co-worker incivility is more impactful than customer incivility to reduce work	
8.	(Wang & Chen, 2020) Effects of workplace incivility and empowerment on	incivility on work engagement and job performance. In part, the study wants to find out the impact of	The College of Nurses of Ontario (CNO)	engagement and job performance. The nurses who have experienced high level of incivility,	
	newly-graduated nurses' organizational commitment (Smith et al., 2010)	workplace incivility on organizational commitment.		their commitment level was low. This shows incivility negatively influences organizational commitment.	
9.	Effect of Workplace Incivility on OCB Through Burnout: the Moderating Role of Affective Commitment (Liu et al., 2018)	The study aimed to identify the impression of workplace incivility on organizational citizenship behaviour with the mediation and moderation model.	Full-time employees in North America	There is a significant indirect effect of workplace incivility on OCB through burnout. It also suggested that targets of workplace rudeness may experience burnout and have less energy for OCB.	
10.	The Impact of Workplace Incivility on Employee Absenteeism and Organization Commitment (Ziaud-Din et al., 2017)		Health sector of Pakistan	Incivility and employee absenteeism were found to be positively correlated and there was a negative relationship between workplace incivility and organizational commitment.	
11.	The effect of workplace incivility on service employee creativity: the mediating role of emotional exhaustion and intrinsic motivation (Hur et al., 2016)	The main purpose of the study to investigate the influence of incivility on employee creativity.	Hotel employees in South Korea	The result of the study demonstrated that coworker and customer incivility affect employee creativity negatively.	
12.	The nexus of workplace incivility and emotional	The aim of the study to analyze the connection	Hotel industry in Nigeria	The outcome of the study is consistent with the previous	

	exhaustion in hotel industry (Alola et al., 2020)	between workplace incivility, emotional exhaustion, job satisfaction and turnover intention.		findings, it showed positive association between supervisor & customer incivility and emotional exhaustion. Moreover, it also indicated positive and negative effects of supervisor and customer incivility on turnover intention and job satisfaction respectively.
13.	The Impact of Workplace Incivility on Knowledge Sharing Intention (Moosakhani et al., 2012)	To investigate the impact of workplace incivility on intention to share knowledge.	Hospitals in Iran	The study revealed that there is a significant negative impact of workplace incivility (experienced and instigated incivility) on the intention to share knowledge.
14.	Examining the pathway linking workplace incivility and employee wellbeing: a study among frontline hotel employees in India (Kuriakose et al., 2022)	The study tried to ascertain the relationship between workplace incivility and employee wellbeing.	Frontline hotel employees in India	The study evidenced to support that there are destructive effects of workplace incivility on wellbeing of the employees.
15.	Effect of workplace incivility on job satisfaction and turnover intentions in India (Sharma & Singh et al., 2016)			Job satisfaction was negatively influenced by Workplace incivility, whereas workplace incivility was positively associated with turnover intention.
16.	Empirical research on the examination of the relationship between perceived workplace incivility and job involvement: the moderating role of collaborative climate (Tastan & Davoudi, 2015)	The main purpose is to identify the relationship between workplace incivility and job involvement.	Employees from various industries such as finance, education, manufacturing in Turkey	Job involvement was negatively impacted through experienced workplace incivility with all its dimensions (hostility, privacy invasion, exclusionary behaviour and gossiping).
17.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	To check the association between workplace incivility and the	Postal workers in canada	The outcome of the analysis displayed that the change in the level of burnout, anxiety, depression

(Gel	dart et al., 2018)	measures workers' wellbeing.	of		and hostility among workers were significantly explained through workplace incivility. Conclusively, incivility has an effect over the measures of workers' well-being.	

Conclusion

After examination of the studies presented above, it is concluded that workplace incivility is a form of negative workplace behaviour which will intoxicate the whole organization and create hurdles in the growth and prosperity of the individual and organization both. Incivility does not only besmirch the company's reputation but also give rise to various pernicious outcomes in long run such as increasing turnover intention, decreasing job satisfaction and job performance, lower the level of organizational commitment, job involvement, employee engagement and so on. To combat with the situation, the top management should ensure proper code of conduct regarding employee behaviour and always be vigilant to such kind of issues. On the part of employees, they should not be stay silent and not to involve in the matters related to these sorts of undesirable behaviour.

References

- Alola, U. V., Avcı, T., & Öztüren, A. (2021). The nexus of workplace incivility and emotional exhaustion in hotel industry. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 21(3), e2236.
- Andersson, L. M., & Pearson, C. M. (1999). Tit for tat? The spiraling effect of incivility in the workplace.

 Academy of Management Review, 24, 452-471
- Bies, R. J., & Moag, J. S. (1986). Interactional justice: Communication criteria of fairness. In R.J. Lewicki, B. H. Sheppard, & M. H. Bazerman (Eds.), Research on negotiations in organizations (Vol. 1, pp. 43-55). Greenwich, CT: JAI.
- Cortina, L. M. (2008). Unseen injustice: Incivility as modern discrimination in organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 33, 55-75.
- Cortina, L. M., Magley, V. J., Williams, J. H., & Langhout, R. D. (2001). Incivility in the workplace: Incidence and impact. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 6, 64-80.
- Estes, B., & Jia Wang. (2008). Integrative Literature Review: Workplace Incivility: Impacts on Individual and Organizational Performance. *Human Resource Development Review*, 7(2), 218–240.
- Geldart, S., Langlois, L., Shannon, H. S., Cortina, L. M., Griffith, L., & Haines, T. (2018). Workplace incivility, psychological distress, and the protective effect of co-worker support. *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*, 11(2), 96-110.
- Harold, C. M., & Holtz, B. C. (2014). The effects of passive leadership on workplace incivility. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 36(1), 16–38.
- Hershcovis, M. S. (2011). Incivility, social undermining, bullying...Oh My! A call to reconcile constructs within workplace aggression research. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 32, 499-519.
- Holm, K., Torkelson, E., & Bäckström, M. (2015). Models of workplace incivility: The relationships to instigated incivility and negative outcomes. *BioMed research international*, 2015.

- Hur, W. M., Moon, T., & Jun, J. K. (2016). The effect of workplace incivility on service employee creativity: the mediating role of emotional exhaustion and intrinsic motivation. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 30(3), 302-315.
- Jiang, W., Chai, H., Li, Y., & Feng, T. (2019). How workplace incivility influences job performance: the role of image outcome expectations. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, *57*(4), 445-469.
- Kuriakose, V., Paul V, M. T., & Bishwas, S. K. (2023). Examining the pathway linking workplace incivility and employee well-being: a study among frontline hotel employees in India. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 35(7), 2465-2480.
- Lim, S., & Cortina, L. M. (2005). Interpersonal Mistreatment in the Workplace: The Interface and Impact of General Incivility and Sexual Harassment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(3),483-496.
- Lim, S., & Lee, A. (2011). Work and nonwork outcomes of workplace incivility: Does family support help?. *Journal of occupational health psychology*, *16*(1), 95.
- Lim, S., Cortina, L. M., & Magley, V. J. (2008). Personal and workgroup incivility: impact on work and health outcomes. *Journal of applied psychology*, 93(1), 95.
- Liu, W., Zhou, Z. E., & Che, X. X. (2019). Effect of workplace incivility on OCB through burnout: The moderating role of affective commitment. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 34, 657-669.
- Miner-Rubino, K., & Cortina, L. M. (2004). Working in a context of hostility toward women: Implications for employees' wellbeing. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 9, 107–122.
- Miner-Rubino, K., & Cortina, L. M. (2007). Beyond targets: Consequences of vicarious exposure to misogyny at work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92, 1254–1269.
- Moosakhani, M., Hajizadeh, M., Eyni, A., & Sarfarazi, M. (2012). The impact of workplace incivility on knowledge sharing intention. *Journal of American Science*, 8(9), 157-159.
- Pearson, C. M., & Porath, C. L. (2005). On the nature, consequences and remedies of workplace incivility: No time for "nice"? Think again. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 19(1), 7–18.
- Pearson, C. M., Andersson, L. M., & Porath, C. L. (2000). Assessing and attacking workplace incivility. *Organizational Dynamics*, 29, 123–137.
- Pearson, C. M., Andersson, L. M., & Wegner, J. W. (2001). When workers flout convention: A study of workplace incivility. *Human Relations*, 54, 1387–1419.
- Penney, L. M., & Spector, P. E. (2005). Job stress, incivility, and counterproductive work behavior (CWB): The moderating role of negative affectivity. *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior*, 26(7), 777-796.
- Porath, C. L., & Erez, A. (2007). Does rudeness really matter? The effects of rudeness on task performance and helpfulness. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50, 1181–1197.
- Porath, C. L., & Erez, A. (2009). Overlooked but not untouched: How rudeness reduces onlookers' performance on routine and creative tasks. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 109, 29–44.
- Porath, C. L., & Pearson, C. M. (2010). The cost of bad behavior. Organizational Dynamics, 39, 64-71.
- Porath, C. L., & Pearson, C. M. (2012). Emotional and behavioral responses to workplace incivility and the impact of hierarchical status. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 42, E326-E357.
- Rahim, A., & Cosby, D. M. (2016). A model of workplace incivility, job burnout, turnover intentions, and job performance. *Journal of Management Development*, 35(10), 1255-1265.

- Reich, T. C., & Hershcovis, M. S. (2015). Observing workplace incivility. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100(1), 203–215.
- Reio Jr, T. G., & Ghosh, R. (2009). Antecedents and outcomes of workplace incivility: Implications for human resource development research and practice. Human resource development quarterly, 20(3), 237-264.
- Schilpzand, P., De Pater, I. E., & Erez, A. (2014). Workplace incivility: A review of the literature and agenda for future research. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 37, S57–S88.
- Sharma, N., & Singh, V. K. (2016). Effect of workplace incivility on job satisfaction and turnover intentions in India. South Asian Journal of Global Business Research, 5(2), 234-249.
- Smith, L. M., Andrusyszyn, M. A., & Spence Laschinger, H. K. (2010). Effects of workplace incivility and empowerment on newly-graduated nurses' organizational commitment. Journal of nursing management, 18(8), 1004-1015.
- Sutton, R. M. (2007b). Building the Civilized Workplace. McKinsey Quarterly, Issue 2, 30-39
- Taştan, S. B., & Davoudi, S. M. M. (2015). An empirical research on the examination of the relationship between perceived workplace incivility and job involvement: the moderating role of collaborative climate. International Journal of Work Organisation and Emotion, 7(1), 35-62.
- Totterdell, P., Hershcovis, S. M., & Niven, K. (2012). Can employees be emotionally drained by witnessing unpleasant interactions between coworkers? A diary study of induced emotion regulation. Work and Stress, 26, 112–129.
- Wang, C. H., & Chen, H. T. (2020). Relationships among workplace incivility, work engagement and job performance. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights, 3(4), 415-429.
- Zia-ud-Din, M., Arif, A., & Shabbir, M. A. (2017). The impact of workplace incivility on employee absenteeism and organization commitment. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 7(5), 205-221. IJCR