



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

International Business amidst the Russo-Ukraine Conflict: Geopolitical Roles of the USA and European Union

Dr. Navneet

Ph.D, Centre for International Politics, Organization and Disarmament
Jawaharlal Nehru University

Abstract

This article explores the dynamics of international business amid the crisis in Ukraine, focusing on the Russo-Ukraine conflict and its implications for the Global South. It analyzes the approach of the United States (US) towards the conflict. The study delves into the concerns of the US and the EU in the context of the crisis in Ukraine. By examining these key points, the article aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the complex interactions between geopolitics and international business, shedding light on the challenges and opportunities presented by the Russo-Ukraine tussle for the global players.

Key Words: Geopolitics, International Business, Russia- Ukraine Crisis.

Introduction

In the intricate web of global affairs, the Russo-Ukraine conflict has emerged as a pivotal point of geopolitical tension, significantly influencing international business dynamics. This article delves into the multifaceted implications of the conflict, specifically exploring the interplay between international business strategies and the dual geopolitical roles undertaken by the United States (USA) and the European Union (EU). As these global powers navigate their positions amidst the crisis, a nuanced understanding of their approaches becomes essential in deciphering the impact on businesses worldwide. Join us on this exploration as we unravel the complexities of the Russo-Ukraine conflict, shedding light on its consequences for international business and the divergent roles played by the USA and the EU in shaping the broader geopolitical landscape

Global Business Operations During Crisis in Ukraine

War is commonly understood as a clash or dispute involving two or more factions, occurring intermittently or frequently depending on the strength and impact of the individuals involved. In a state of war, there is a destructive confrontation between influential opposing forces, significantly affecting the entities under siege and their respective stakeholders. This detailed explanation recognizes the intricate nature of war, where formidable armies vie for dominance over a specific issue. The Russia-Ukraine conflict commenced shortly after the COVID-19 pandemic, which had a substantial impact on the global economy. The closure of borders by various countries heightened nationalist sentiments worldwide, prompting many enterprises to undergo a process of de-internationalization as they redirected their focus to their domestic markets. However, the COVID-19 crisis also drew attention to a shared challenge, garnering widespread support

for health initiatives. Consequently, the conflict between Russia and Ukraine has reshaped public perceptions of war, particularly when it involves one nation annexing the long-held territory of another. Ukraine-Russian conflict poses three key issues:

- 1) The duration of conflicts can be challenging to predict, as it depends on various factors such as political negotiations, international intervention, military developments, and the will of the involved parties to find a resolution. In the case of Ukraine and Russia, the conflict had been ongoing for several years, with periods of escalation and de-escalation.
- 2) The response of Russia to sanctions is often a complex and evolving aspect of conflicts. Sanctions can have economic, political, and social repercussions. The effectiveness of sanctions and how Russia responds can depend on factors such as the severity of the sanctions, the resilience of the Russian economy, and the political will of the Russian government.
- 3) Geopolitical events, especially conflicts involving major players like Russia, can have repercussions on the global economy. The impact can be felt through disruptions in energy markets, changes in commodity prices, and shifts in investor confidence. The global economic impact can vary depending on the scale and duration of the conflict.

Global economic instability has repercussions on inflation rates and energy expenses. Sanctions are perceived both as a retaliatory measure and a tool to influence Russian political conduct. Given the concentrated political power held by a select few in Russia, sanctions may inadvertently harm marginalized communities. While sanctions negatively affect Russian society and the diaspora on a psychological and morale level, their primary impact is economic. The debate over whether these sanctions can bring about changes in Russian politics, particularly in terms of altering the administration, is ongoing. Challenges arise from the rapidly changing financial landscapes in many countries, and the accuracy of these assertions is contingent on fluctuations in global prices. Drawing a parallel with historical events, like the 1962 Cuban missile crisis, which had a relatively modest impact on the stock market compared to other crises, suggests that the scale and consequences of the Ukraine-Russian conflict on the global economy hinge on its duration.

The potential adverse social and environmental consequences of using nuclear weapons are a significant cause for concern. Ukraine has received various forms of humanitarian aid, encompassing personal care items, medical equipment, and supplies such as first aid kits. Some countries have provided military assistance, including tanks and other modes of transportation. An example of international support for Ukraine was its victory in the 2022 Eurovision competition, which garnered strong public backing and renewed interest in resolving the Ukrainian issue through negotiation.

Volodymyr Zelensky, the leader of Ukraine, has emerged as a prominent global figure advocating for peace in Ukraine. Prior to entering politics, Zelensky was an actor, and he was elected in 2019. Over time, he has gained popularity as a politician, using live broadcasts to raise awareness about the Ukrainian conflict. Notable international figures, such as Nancy Pelosi and Dr. Jill Biden of the United States, have visited President Zelensky, further elevating his global standing. Zelensky has also shown support for Ukraine worldwide by illuminating structures in the blue and yellow colors of the Ukrainian flag. Amid the Russia-Ukraine war, various organizations have reevaluated their relationships with Russia, considering whether to continue collaboration or terminate it. This reassessment extends to intellectual works produced by Russian scientists. On March 4, 2022, the European Commission ceased collaboration with Russian institutions participating in EU-funded projects in retaliation to the conflict.

The commitment to sever ties with Russia stemmed from the belief that Russia's actions impeded a nation's right to self-determination, conflicting with EU policy. Collaborative research programs with Germany, Denmark, and Norway were abruptly halted following this perception, indicating a case-by-case approach to dispute resolution. Russia's academic publications are dwindling, affecting its standing and prestige. Unofficial pressure, coupled with Russian-accented social media advertisements, led companies to withdraw from Ukraine post-invasion. The resultant sanctions and corporate relocations have impacted Russia's macrostability, reflected in the depreciation of the ruble and Russian asset values. The invasion of Ukraine is making Russia less competitive due to alterations in the supply chain, a

decline in technology transfer, and a brain drain. Major multinational businesses exiting Russia with substantial R&D budgets contribute to the decline in technology transfer. Russia's exclusion from crucial knowledge networks hampers its position as a knowledge leader. Sanctions impede Russian scientists' access to vital intelligence, affecting various industries and international partnerships, thus influencing Russia's competitiveness. Supply chain disruptions, resulting from bans on payment systems and necessary production goods, hinder the Russian economy's ability to compete.

The crisis in Ukraine has led to rising food prices, impacting geopolitical situations and global economic growth. Sanctions have halted the Nord Stream 2 pipeline, affecting gas delivery to Europe. The brain drain, wherein individuals leave Russia for employment elsewhere, poses a threat to national security by causing shortages in various sectors. The conflict underscores the need to transition to clean energy, while boycotts against Russian businesses may shift oil and gas production to other nations. Restrictions on Russian airspace, debates over SWIFT exclusion, and limitations on financial transactions impact Russia's financial capabilities and have broader consequences for global economic interactions.

USA and European Union Concerns in Ukraine

After 1991, Following the disunion of the Soviet Union, the United States prioritized convincing Ukraine, Belarus, and Kazakhstan to relinquish their nuclear arsenals, leaving Russia as the sole possessor of the former union's weapons. Zbigniew Brzezinski's article prompted the US, UK, and Russia to jointly support Ukraine's independence and sovereignty. In exchange, Ukraine agreed to sign the Budapest Referendum and become a nuclear-free state. The Minsk agreements were endorsed by the US before the 2022 invasion as a potential resolution to the Donbas issue. In response to Russia's 2022 attack, Western countries and their allies have taken various measures to support Ukraine. By the end of February 2023, the US had provided over \$50 billion in assistance to Ukraine, including advanced military equipment such as tanks, helicopters, drones, and rocket launchers. Several NATO countries are offering similar aid.

International sanctions are currently impacting a significant portion of Russia's banking, oil, defense, and technology sectors, targeting influential oligarchs and individuals. The central bank of Russia was blacklisted, some Russian banks were excluded from the SWIFT financial messaging system, and access to Russia's substantial foreign reserves was restricted by the US and some European countries. Numerous Western companies have ceased business operations in Russia, and Russia's Group of 8 membership, suspended in 2014, remains permanently revoked, leaving it as the Group of 7. The eagerly awaited Nord Stream 2 pipeline was lost by Russia after Germany halted regulatory approval in February due to opposition from representatives of the US and Ukrainian governments.

US Approach to Russo- Ukraine Conflict and Global South

The elites, hegemonic powers, and those anticipating material and environmental gains from this conflict believe it aligns with their long-term objectives without risking American lives. As Russia's influence wanes, its allies are compelled to choose sides in the emerging divide between democracy and autocracy. Nations like China, India, Central Asia, and others in the global South are sought after for potential alliances. The US's approach to Russia reflects its triumphalism following the Soviet Union's demise. The freedom of Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union's collapse resulted from an uncontrollable arms race, the bloodshed during the Afghanistan occupation, and other factors contributing to the USSR's stagnation and failed reforms. The West envisions Ukraine as a second Afghanistan for Russia. After a decade of attempting to find common ground with NATO, Russia has prepared for both the war and subsequent sanctions. The US perceives China's economic and military progress as a significant challenge to its principles and its status as the world's foremost power.

In the evolving global landscape, the Global South emerges as an enigmatic and resilient collective, resisting Western influence. Despite initial condemnation of Russian aggression by several South Asian nations, they later adopted a neutral position similar to India and other BRICS nations. This stance, unlike traditional non-alignment, is purely transactional, driven by each country's interests. The

Global South perceives the conflict as regional, and sanctions are seen as detrimental to their collective well-being. Skepticism towards the US freezing Russian foreign funds stems from concerns about America's own history of human rights violations. In the new global order, a multipolar world is deemed inevitable by the Global South. Steering clear of military alliances, these nations opt for strategic neutrality, with China's robust economic fundamentals ensuring continued growth and dominance. Russia, having severed ties with the West, is prioritizing stronger connections with the Global South and Africa. In this evolving militarized world order, there are no winners, and the casualties are the ordinary people, the environment, climate, and the pursuit of equitable development. Any order that fails to recognize the changing strategic and security architecture of the world is inherently unstable

Geopolitical Role of European Union

The European Union (EU) has actively participated in diplomatic endeavors to address the conflict, engaging in negotiations and dialogues with Ukraine and Russia to seek a peaceful resolution. Diplomatic initiatives include mediation, advocating for ceasefires, and encouraging dialogue between conflicting parties. The EU has played a pivotal role in implementing sanctions against Russia in response to its actions in Ukraine, targeting individuals, entities, and sectors of the Russian economy. The aim is to exert economic pressure, motivating Russia to alter its behavior and engage in diplomatic solutions. The effectiveness of sanctions is a subject of debate, with the EU meticulously monitoring their impact on both Russian and European economies. Demonstrating strong support for Ukraine's sovereignty and territorial integrity, the EU provides financial aid, economic assistance, and political backing. The EU is actively involved in assisting Ukraine with reforms and strengthening its institutions. The conflict underscores the significance of energy security in Europe, prompting the EU to diversify energy sources and reduce dependence on Russian gas for increased resilience to geopolitical tensions.

Additionally, the EU extends humanitarian assistance to those affected by the conflict, including internally displaced persons and refugees. This aid encompasses funding for essential needs such as food, shelter, and medical assistance. The EU's engagement in the conflict extends beyond regional involvement, as it participates in international forums and collaborates with organizations like the United Nations to address the crisis globally. Emphasizing its commitment to international law, democracy, and human rights, the EU consistently advocates for respecting the principles of sovereignty and territorial integrity in the context of the conflict

Conclusion

Russia-Ukraine conflict has profound implications for geopolitics and international business. The complex interplay of diplomatic maneuvers, economic sanctions, and regional dynamics underscores the intricate nature of global affairs. Geopolitically, the conflict has led to shifts in alliances, with the Global South adopting a strategic and transactional approach, navigating a multipolar world. The European Union, as a key player, has leveraged diplomatic efforts, economic measures, and humanitarian aid to address the crisis and uphold principles of sovereignty. International business has been significantly impacted, with sanctions affecting Russia's economy and global companies reassessing their relationships. The evolving energy landscape, driven by the conflict, has emphasized the importance of diversification and energy security. The war has demonstrated the interconnectedness of geopolitical events and economic stability, with repercussions felt globally.

The engagement of major international actors, such as the United States, in supporting Ukraine through financial aid and military assistance, reflects the intricate balance between strategic interests and humanitarian considerations. The conflict has prompted a reassessment of global power dynamics, with Russia seeking closer ties with non-Western nations and the West responding with sanctions and economic measures. As the situation continues to unfold, the lasting impact on international business and geopolitics remains uncertain. The evolving nature of the conflict underscores the need for continued diplomatic efforts, cooperation among nations, and a nuanced understanding of the intricate interdependencies shaping the world order. The consequences of the Russia-Ukraine war

extend beyond the immediate crisis, influencing the trajectory of international relations and the conduct of global business in the years to come.

References

1. *6 ways Ukraine war led energy crisis reshaped the world.* (2022). World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2022/11/russia-ukraine-invasion-global-energy-crisis/>
2. Adamsky, D. D. (2017). From Moscow with coercion: Russian deterrence theory and strategic culture. *Journal of Strategic Studies*, 41(1–2), 33–60. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402390.2017.1347872>
3. *Address by the President of the Russian Federation.* (2022, February 24). President of Russia. <http://en.kremlin.ru/events/president/news/67843>
4. Adekoya, O. B., Oliyide, J. A., Yaya, O. S., & Al-Faryan, M. A. S. (2022). Does oil connect differently with prominent assets during war? Analysis of intra-day data during the Russia-Ukraine saga. *Resources Policy*, 77, 102728
5. Afp. (2023, February 14). How Russia's invasion of Ukraine changed geopolitics. *The Times of India*. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/world/europe/how-russias-invasion-of-ukraine-changed-geopolitics/articleshow/97900136.cms>
6. Bahuguna, A. B. & N. (2023, February 27). One Year of Russia Ukraine War: Geopolitics in Flux. *Organiser*. <https://organiser.org/2023/02/27/163182/world/one-year-of-russia-ukraine-war-geopolitics-in-flux/>
7. Bassin, M., & Aksenov, K. E. (2006). Mackinder and the Heartland Theory in Post-Soviet Geopolitical Discourse. *Geopolitics*, 11(1), 99–118. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14650040500524129>
8. Boungou, W., & Yatie, A. (2022). The impact of the Ukraine-Russia war on world stock market returns. *Economics Letters*, 215, 1–3.
9. Butler, N. (2022). *The impact of the Ukraine war on global energy markets.* Centre for European Reform. <https://www.cer.eu/insights/impact-ukraine-war-global-energy-markets>
10. Casier, T. (2016). From logic of competition to conflict: understanding the dynamics of EU–Russia relations. *Contemporary Politics*, 22(3), 376–394. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13569775.2016.1201311>
11. *Conflict in Ukraine.* (n.d.). Global Conflict Tracker. <https://www.cfr.org/global-conflict-tracker/conflict/conflict-ukraine>
12. Cumming, D. J. (2022). Management scholarship and the Russia-Ukraine war. *British Journal of Management*, (in press), 33, 1663–1667.
13. Daalder, I. (2022, January 19). *Vladimir Putin's deepest fear is the freedom of Russia's neighbours.* Financial Times. <https://www.ft.com/content/6c0c9e21-0cf7-4732-a445-bc117fb5d6f8>
14. *Foreign minister sergey lavrov's interview with Rossiya television network, Moscow.* (2022). https://www.mid.ru/ru/foreign_policy/news/1808917/?lang=en
15. *Foreign minister sergey Lavrov's remarks and answers to questions as part of the 100 questions for the leader project at the Yevgeny Primakov School, Moscow,* (2022, May 23). Ministry of foreign affairs the Russian Federation, https://mid.ru/en/foreign_policy/news/1814502/
16. *Geopolitical Consequences of the War in Ukraine - Turkish Policy Quarterly.* (n.d.). Transatlantic Policy Quarterly. <http://transatlanticpolicy.com/article/1152/geopolitical-consequences-of-the-war-in-ukraine>
17. Hopf, T. (2016). 'Crimea is ours': A discursive history. *International Relations*, 30(2), 227–255. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117816645646>
18. House, T. W. (2022, March 26). *Remarks by President Biden on the United Efforts of the Free World to Support the People of Ukraine.* The White House. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/speeches-remarks/2022/03/26/remarks-by-president-biden-on-the-united-efforts-of-the-free-world-to-support-the-people-of-ukraine/>
19. Howey, W. (2022, April 12). *What does the Ukraine crisis mean for the US? - Economist Intelligence Unit.* Economist Intelligence Unit. <https://www.eiu.com/n/what-does-the-ukraine-crisis-mean-for-the-us/>
20. Jackson, T. (2022). Engaging with contemporary issues: Should we study war? *International Journal of Cross-Cultural Management*, 22(1), 3–6.
21. Khudoley, K. (2022). New Russia-West Confrontation: War of Attrition or Escalation? *Strategic Analysis*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09700161.2022.2149980>
22. Lim, M., Chin, M. W. C., Ee, Y. S., Fung, C. Y., Giang, C. S., Heng, K. S., ... Weissmann, M. A. (2022). What is at stake in a war? A prospective evaluation of the Ukraine and Russian conflict for business and society. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 1–14. In press.

23. Markus, S. (2017). Oligarchs and corruption in Putin's Russia: Of sand castles and geopolitical volunteering. *Georgetown Journal of International Affairs*, 18, 26– 32.
24. Markus, S. (2022). Long-term business implications of Russia's war in Ukraine. *Asian Business & Management* (in press).
25. Masters, J. (2023, February 14). Ukraine: Conflict at the Crossroads of Europe and Russia. *Council on Foreign Relations*. <https://www.cfr.org/backgrounder/ukraine-conflict-crossroads-europe-and-russia>
26. *Meeting with President of Belarus Alexander Lukashenko*. (2022, June 25). President of Russia. <http://en.kremlin.ru/events/president/news/68702>
27. Mohapatra, N. K. (2023, February 23). Ukraine War: How Russia Played The Geopolitics of Energy Weaponisation In The Invasion Of Ukraine. <https://www.outlookindia.com/>. <https://www.outlookindia.com/international/ukraine-war-how-russia-played-the-geopolitics-of-energy-weaponisation-in-the-invasion-of-ukraine-news-264445>
28. Patro, S. (2023). *Russia-Ukraine War: What All Affected In India?* BW Businessworld. <https://www.businessworld.in/article/Russia-Ukraine-War-What-All-Affected-In-India-/18-06-2022-433143/>
29. Rasmussen, A. F., Stent, A., Walt, S. M., Mohan, C. R., Niblett, R., Fix, L., Alden, E., & Theil, S. (2022, December 15). How U.S. Grand Strategy Is Changed by Ukraine. *Foreign Policy*. <https://foreignpolicy.com/2022/09/02/us-grand-strategy-ukraine-russia-china-geopolitics-superpower-conflict/>
30. Ratten, V. (2022). The Ukraine/Russia conflict: Geopolitical and international business strategies. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 65(2), 265–271. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.22319>
31. Richard, C., Burdekin, K., & Siklos, P. (2022). Armageddon and the stock market: US, Canadian and Mexican market responses to the 1962 Cuban missile crisis. *Quarterly Review of Economics Finance*, 84, 112– 117.
32. *Russia's War on Ukraine – analysing the impacts of Russia's invasion of Ukraine on global energy markets and international energy security*. (n.d.) IEA. <https://www.iea.org/topics/russia-s-war-on-ukraine>
33. *Russia-Ukraine War: No Winners and Many Losers as the Balance of Geopolitical Forces Changes*. (n.d.). The Wire. <https://thewire.in/world/russia-ukraine-war-geopolitical-forces-change-america-china>
34. *Taking action on the geopolitical consequences of Russia's war* | EEAS. (n.d.). https://www.eeas.europa.eu/eeas/taking-action-geopolitical-consequences-russia%E2%80%99s-war_en
35. Taneja, K. (2023, January 16). Reshaping geopolitics: The middle powers' response to the Ukraine crisis. *ORF*. <https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/reshaping-geopolitics/>
36. Tankersley, J., & Stevis-Gridneff, M. (2022, June 28). *The West Seeks a More Effective Way to Tighten Sanctions on Russia*. The New York Times. <https://www.nytimes.com/live/2022/06/27/world/russia-ukraine-war-news?smid=url-share>
37. Taylor, A. (2014, April 18). 'Novorossiya,' the latest historical concept to worry about in Ukraine. Washington Post. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/worldviews/wp/2014/04/18/understanding-novorossiya-the-latest-historical-concept-to-get-worried-about-in-ukraine/>
38. *Trade and Development Report 2022*. (n.d.). UNCTAD. <https://unctad.org/tdr2022>
39. United Nations. (2022). Global impact of war in Ukraine on food, energy and finance systems (Brief No 1).
40. *Valdai International Discussion Club meeting*. (2022, October 27). President of Russia. <http://en.kremlin.ru/events/president/news/69695>
41. Wise, J. (2022). Ukraine conflict: Global research community reviews links with Russia. *BMJ*, 376, o637. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.0637>