ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

HEAT AND MASS TRANSFER EFFECTS ON CONVECTIVE LAMINAR FLOW OVER HEAT GENERATION, VISCOUS DISSIPATION AND RADIATION ABSORPTION IN THE PRESENCE OF TRANSVERSE MAGNETIC FIELD

P. Valsamy¹, B. Chiranjeevi² and G. Vidyasagar³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, FEAT, Annamalai University Annamalainagar, Tamilnadu, India.

²Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, FEAT, Annamalai University Annamalainagar, Tamilnadu,

India.

³Assistant Professor, Department of EM&H, SRKR Engineering College, Bhimavaram, AP, India.

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the study of boundary layer flow due to an exponentially stretching surface in the presence of an applied magnetic field. Analysis is carried out in the presence of thermal radiation, radiation absorption, transverse magnetic field and Chemical reaction. The governing partial differential equations are firstly converted into nonlinear ordinary differential equations by using appropriate transformations and then solved numerically by using 4th order Runge Kutta method along with shooting technique. The effect of Magnetic field, Porous parameter, Radiation parameter, Heat generation parameter, Chemical reaction parameter, Prandtl number, Suction parameter and Eckert number on the flow variables is analyzed.

KEYWORDS: Thermal radiation, Radiation Absorption, Transverse Magnetic Field, and Chemical Reaction

INTRODUCTION

In the present paper a study of radiation absorption on MHD free converting fluid flow over a vertical porous plate with suction and chemical reaction in the presence of thermal radiation and viscous dissipation. The basic equations governing the flow are in the form of partial differential equations and have been reduced to a set of non-linear ordinary differential equations by applying suitable similarity transformations. The governing equations of the flow are numerically solved by shooting technique. The expressions for velocity, temperature and concentration are obtained graphically.

1

FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM



Figure A. Physical Model for flow geometry

We study the steady two-dimensional stagnation point flow of a viscous incompressible electrically conducting fluid near a stagnation point at a surface coinciding with the plane y = 0, with the flow being restricted to y > 0. Two equal and opposing forces are applied along the x-axis so that the surface is stretched (while keeping the origin fixed). The potential flow that arrives from the y-axis (impinges on the flat wall at y = 0), divides into two streams on the wall and leaves in both directions. The flow is through a porous medium where the Darcy model is assumed. The components of the fluid velocity by (u, v) at any point (x, y) for the viscous flow, while (U, V) denote the velocity components for the potential flow. We consider the case in which there may be a suction velocity (-w) on the stretching surface. The velocity distribution of the frictionless flow in the neighborhood of the stagnation point is becomes

$$U(x) = ax, V(x) = -ay$$

where the parameter a > 0 is proportional to the free stream velocity. The continuity and momentum equations for the two-dimensional steady flow, using the usual boundary layer approximations reduces to

Continuity equation

 $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$

Momentum equation

$$\rho\left(u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}+\mu\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}+g\beta\rho(T-T_{\infty})+g\beta^*\rho(C-C_{\infty})-\sigma B_o^2 u-\frac{\mu}{K}u$$
where $-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}=U\frac{dU}{dx}+\frac{\sigma B_o^2}{\rho}U+\frac{v}{K}U$
4

Energy equation

$$\rho c_p \left(u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) = k \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y} + Q(T - T_{\infty}) + Q_0(C - C_{\infty}) + \mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \sigma B_0^2 u^2 + \frac{D_M K_T}{C_s} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2}$$
where $\frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y} = 4\alpha^2 (T - T_{\infty})$

Concentration equation

$$u\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = D_M \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} + D_T \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + K_1(C - C_\infty)$$
6

From equation (3) & (4), then the equation (3) reduces to

$$\rho\left(u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)=U\frac{dU}{dx}+\mu\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}+g\beta\rho\left(T-T_{\infty}\right)+g\beta^*\rho\left(C-C_{\infty}\right)+\sigma B_o^{-2}(U-u)+\frac{\mu}{K}(U-u)$$
7

The corresponding boundary conditions are

$$u = cx, \ v = 0, T = T_w, C = C_w \quad at \quad y = 0$$

$$u = ax, T \to T_{\infty}, C \to C_{\infty} \quad as \quad y \to \infty$$

where c > 0.
8

We introduce non-dimensional quantities are:

$$M = \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho}, B = \frac{Q}{c\rho C_p}, Pr = \frac{\rho v C_p}{k}, K = \frac{v}{ck'}, Sc = \frac{v}{D_M}, s = \frac{W}{\sqrt{cv}}, Gr = \frac{g\beta(T - T_x)}{c^2}$$

$$Gc = \frac{g\beta^*(C - C_x)}{c^2}, \theta = \frac{T - T_w}{T_w - T_x}, \theta = \frac{C - C_w}{C_w - C_x}, Ra = \frac{4\alpha^2}{c\rho C_p}, B = \frac{Q}{c\rho C_p}, Ec = \frac{c^2 x^2}{C_p (T - T_x)}$$

$$Sr = \frac{D_T (T_w - T_x)}{(C_w - C_x)}, Ch = \frac{K_1}{c}, Df = \frac{D_M K_T (C_W - C_x)}{C_S C_p (T_W - T_x)}$$

$$Q_0 = \frac{Q}{\rho c C_9}$$

$$9$$

$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{c}{v}} y, \ \psi = \sqrt{cv} x f(\eta)$$
10
where ψ is the stream function defined as
$$u(x, y) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = xcf'(\eta), \quad v(x, y) = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = -\sqrt{cv} f(\eta)$$
The Equations (4.5) to (4.7) take the form
$$f'' + ff'' - (f')^2 + Gr\theta + Gc\phi + (M + K)(C - f') + C^2 = 0$$

$$\theta^{11} + \Pr f\theta^1 - \Pr(Ra - B)\theta + \Pr Ec(f^1)^2 + M^2 u^2 + Df\phi^1 - Q_0\phi = 0$$
13
$$d^{11} + Scf\phi^1 + SrSc\theta^{11} + ScCh\phi = 0$$

denote the differentiation with respect to η , K is the porosity parameter, M is the magnetic parameter, C = a/c > 0 is the stretching parameter, B is the dimensionless heat generation or absorption parameter, Pr is the Prandtl number, Sc is the Schmidt number, Sr is the Soret effect, Ec is the Eckert number, Ra is the Radiation parameter and Ch is the Chemical Reaction.

The corresponding boundary conditions are

$$f' = 1, f = s, \ \theta = 1, \ \phi = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad \eta = 0$$

$$f' = C, \ \theta = 0, \ \phi = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to \infty$$

15

METHOD OF SOLUTION

The governing equations are (12) to (14) subject to boundary conditions (4.15) are solved numerically by using shooting method. The resulting nonlinear ordinary differential equations are solved by 4th order Range-Kutta Method along with shooting technique.

DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

In order to analyze the results, numerical computations have been carried out for various values of free convection parameter Gr, modified Grashof number Gc, suction parameter S, porosity parameter K, magnetic parameter M, heat generation/absorption parameter B, Prandtl number Pr, stretching parameter C, Schmidt number Sc, Chemical Reaction Ch, Soret effect Sr, Radiation parameter Ra and

Echet number Ec are depicted in Figs 2-19, on the velocity $f'(\eta)$, temperature $\theta(\eta)$ and concentration $\phi(\eta)$.

The effects of the grashof number (free convection parameter) Gr on the velocity, temperature and concentration are shown in Figs 2-4. Form this figure the results indicates that the velocity in the y direction decreases in magnitude with an increase in the free convection parameter Gr for air and an increase in Gr yields a uniform increase in temperature and concentration. Figs. 5-7 illustrate the dissimilarity of modified Grashof number Gc on velocity, temperature as well as concentration. From this figure it was observed that the velocity decreases with the increase of modified Grashof number Gc, but the effect was occurred in case of temperature and concentration.

The effect of suction/injection parameter (S) on velocity, temperature and concentration is presented in the Figs. 8-10. We find that the velocity increases with the increase of suction parameters. We notice that increases in S results in a uniform increase in the temperature and concentration. The effects of the porosity parameter K on velocity is shown in Fig. 11. It was perceived that the velocity declined by means of the rise of permeability parameter K.

The influence of the Prandtl number Pr the temperature is shown in Fig. 12. It was observed that the temperature diminished with the enhancement of Prandtl number Pr. Fig 13-14 represents the dissimilarity of stretching parameter (C) on temperature as well as concentration. From this figure it was observed that the velocity rises with the rise of stretching parameter (C), but the effect was declined the temperature and concentration.

Figs. 15-16 demonstrated that the velocity and concentration for various values of chemical reaction Ch. From this figure it was cleared that the velocity reduces with the rise of Ch, but inverse effect was occurred in case of Ch. Figs. 17 gives evidence for the temperature profile for diverse estimators of Echet number Ec. It was observed that the temperature enhancement with the rise of Ec.

Fig. 18 conforms that the concentration for diverse physical values of Soret number (Sr). We have seen that the velocity enhancement of Sr, but the effect was dissimilar values of Sr in concentration.



Fig (2) : The velocity profile for différent values of Gr.



IC.R

ig (3) : The Température profile for différent values of Gr.



Fig (4) : The Concentration profile for different values of Gr



Fig (5): The Velocity profile for different values of Gc



Fig (6) : The Temprature profile for different values of Gc



Fig(7) : The Concentration profile for different values of Gc





Fig(10) : The concentration profile for different values of s











Fig(14): The concentration profile for different values of c



values of Ch

Fig(12) : The Temprature profile for different values of Pr



Fig(17) : The Temprature profile for different values of Ec



Fig(18) : The Concentration profile for different values of Sr

10'



Fig(16) : The Concentration for different values of Ch

CONCLUSION

In the chapter we discuss of heat and mass transfer on MHD free convective flow over a permeable stretching surface with suction, viscous dissipation, thermal absorption and chemical reaction. The expressions for the velocity, temperature and concentration distributions are the equations governing the flow are numerically solved by shooting technique.

- An enhancement of dissimilar estimators of stretching parameter leads to rise in the velocity, but the effect was declined with the rise of stretching parameter in the temperature or concentration.
- ▶ It was perceived that the velocity declined by means of the rise of permeability parameter K.
- From this figure it was cleared that the velocity reduces with the rise of Ch, but inverse effect was occurred in case of Ch.
- > It was observed that the temperature enhancement with the rise of Ec.
- We observed that the Concentration enhancement of Sr, but the effect was dissimilar values of Sr in concentration.

REFERENCES

- 1. Abbas Z and Hayata T (2008). Radiation effects on MHD flow in a porous space, Int. J. of Heat and Mass Transfer, Volume 51, Issues 5-6, March, Pages 1024-1033.
- 2. Afify A. A. (2009). Similarity solution in MHD: effects of thermal diffusion and diffusion thermo on free convective heat and mass transfer over a stretching surface considering suction or injection, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 2202–2214,.
- 3. Awang Kechil R and Hashim I (2007). Series solution of flow over nonlinearly stretching sheet with chemical reaction and magnetic field, Physics Letters a, In Press,.
- 4. Chen C. H. (2007). Marangoni effects on forced convection of power-law liquids in a thin film over a stretching surface, Physics Letters A, vol. 370 (1), pp. 51–57.
- 5. Cortell R (2005). Flow and heat transfer of a fluid through a porous medium over a stretching surface with internal heat generation/absorption and suction/blowing, Fluid Dyn. Res. Vol. 37, pp. 231–245.
- 6. Cortell R (2006). Effects of viscous dissipation and work done by deformation on the MHD flow and heat transfer of a viscoelastic fluid over a stretching sheet, Phys. Lett. A, vol. 357, pp. 298–305.
- 7. Cortell Bataller R (2007). Similarity solutions for flow and heat transfer of a quiescent fluid over a nonlinearly stretching surface, Journal of Materials Processing Technology, In Press.
- 8. Cortell R (2007). Viscous flow and heat transfer over a nonlinearly stretching sheet, Applied Mathematics and Computation, Volume 184, Issue 2, pp. 864-873.
- 9. Cortell R (2008). Effects of viscous dissipation and radiation on the thermal boundary layer over a nonlinearly stretching sheet, Physics Letters A, Vol 372, (5), pp. 631-636.
- 10. Dhanalakshmi M, and Jayarami Reddy K (2018). Heat and Mass transfer effects on MHD free convective flow over a permeable stretching surface with suction, viscous dissipation and heat generation in the presence of Chemical Reaction, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, Vol 13, Issue 7, pp. 5271-5280.
- 11. El-Aziz M. A. (2008). Thermal-diffusion and diffusion-thermo effects on combined heat and mass transfer by hydromagnetic three-dimensional free convection over a permeable stretching surface with radiation, Physics Letters a, vol. 372, (3), pp. 263–272.
- 12. Khaled A.R.A and Vafai K (2003). The role of porous media in modeling flow and heat transfer in biological tissues, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. vol. 46, pp. 4989–5003.
- 13. Liao S J (2006). An analytic solution of unsteady boundary-layer flows caused by an impulsively stretching plate, Commun. Non-linear Sci. Numer. Simul. Vol. 11, pp. 326–339.
- 14. Mohan Krishna P, Vidyasagar G, Sandeep N and Sugunamma V (2015), Soret and Dufour Effects on MHD Free Convective Flow over a Permeable Stretching Surface with Chemical Reaction and Heat Source, International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Vol 6, Issue 9, pp.143-147.
- 15. Puvi Arasu P., Loganathan P., Kandasamy R., and Muhaimin I. (2011). Lie group analysis for thermal diffusion and diffusion-thermo effects on free convective flow over a porous stretching surface with variable stream conditions in the presence of thermophoresis particle deposition, Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems, vol. 5 (1), pp. 20–31.
- 16. Ramalingeswara Rao S., Vidyasagar G., and Deekshitulu. G.V.S.R (2019). Radiation effects on MHD free convective flow over a moving vertical porous plate with heat generation in the presence of viscous dissipation and chemical reaction, Materials Today: Proceedings, Vol. 18, pp. 2172-2177.

- 17. Robert .A, Van Gorder and Vajravelu K. (2011). Convective heat transfer in a conducting fluid over a permeable stretching surface with suction and internal heat generation/ absorption. J. of Appl. Math. and Comp. (Elsevier), vol. 217, pp 5810 5821.
- 18. Srinivasa Rao.G, Ramana B, Rami Reddy B and Vidyasagar G (2014). Soret and Dufour effects on MHD Boundary layer flow over a Moving Vertical porous plate with suction, International Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Development, Vol.2, Issue 4, pp. 215-226.
- 19. Vidyasagar G, Ramana B and Bala Anki Reddy P (2013). MHD Convective heat and mass transfer flow over a permeable stretching surface with suction and internal heat generation/absorption, International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology, Vol 4, Issue 1, pp. 41-45.

