IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Deep Convolutional Neural Network Techniques On Denoising The Wireless Channel Corrupted Images

[1] M.Sreedhar, [2] K. Srinivasa Rao, [3]K. Mamatha

[1]Assistant Professor Adhoc, [2]Assistant Professor Adhoc, [3]Assistant Professor Adhoc

[1]ECE Department,

[1]JNTUA College of Engineering, Ananthapuramu, India.

Abstract: Denoising the pictures faces numerous significant difficulties prior to being sent through the correspondence channel, the picture is compacted and sent as a bitstream. Various types of commotions debase this bitstream as it voyages through the correspondence channel. Thus, the beneficiary gets a boisterous (defiled) picture, which has a more terrible picture quality. Different picture denoising methods are used to defeat this issue. For quite a long time, picture denoising has been a captivating subject of examination. Many methodologies and ideas for picture denoising have been introduced over time. Most of these calculations accepted that the image commotions were Gaussian, rash and spot clamor are convoluted wellsprings of clamor in imaging.

Index Terms **- Image denoising, Noises in images, Convolutional Neural Network, Deep Neural Network.**

I. Introduction

Noises are present in photos acquired from real world. Electric signal instability, faulty sensor, poor illumination conditions, data transmission faults over vast distances, and other factors might cause these noises. Because the original pixel values are changed by random values owing to noise, this might decrease the acquired image's quality and cause information loss. Although images are commonly transferred in multimedia applications, the communication channel has significant resource limitations, such as electricity and bandwidth. Before being transmitted through the communication channel, the image is compressed and sent as a bitstream. Different forms of noises contaminate this bitstream as it travels via the communication channel. As a result, the receiver receives a noisy (corrupted) image, which has a worse image quality. Various image denoising techniques are utilised to overcome this problem.

When it comes to low-level vision tasks and image processing, it is therefore necessary to eliminate these noises from pictures. Image denoising is the technique of eliminating such noises from images. Image denoising is an important step for image restoration, which were employed in a variety of image-processing applications. Technique to reduce noise from the image is called as image denoising. The addition of noise will result in information loss. Noise can be caused by a variety of factors, including low-light photography, heat-damaged electric circuits, digital camera sensor illumination levels, or incorrect memory locations in hardware, as well as bit mistakes in data transfer over long distances.

For decades, image denoising has been a fascinating topic of research. Many approaches and concepts for image denoising have been presented throughout the years. The majority of these algorithms assumed that the picture noises were Gaussian or impulsive noise.

1. Gaussian noise: Noise with a PDF equal to the normal distribution is called Gaussian noise. i.e. the Gaussian distribution of the pixel values that these sounds can take.
2. Impulse noise: Sharp and rapid interruptions in the visual signal generate impulse noise. It commonly appears in the picture as white and black pixels. There are two varieties of impulse nose: random valued impulse noise (RVIN), salt-and-pepper impulse noise (SPIN).

However, this assumption does not entirely hold true in case of real-world noise in images. Real-world noise (sometimes referred to as blind noise) is more complex and varied. As a result, most denoising algorithms performed badly when it came to eliminating actual noise from photos.

As a result, more complex approaches are required to address the problem of denoising real-world noisy photos. This is where deep learning comes in, as trials have shown that training a convolutional blind denoising deep learning network beats other image denoising approaches by a significant margin. This is why picture denoising jobs require deep learning. Images function nicely with convolutional neural networks.

II. Literature Survey

This section presents various research works carried on image denoising and the summary of the research works were presented in table 1.

Table 1: Summary of existing research works done by researchers for image denoising

Ref no.	Data	Method/Technique	Remarks
1	Gray scale images	3-D transform shrinkage, block matching, adaptive grouping	Approach may also be tweaked to denoise 1-D signals and video, restore images, and solve other issues that benefit from very sparse signal representations
2	Natural images collected from web	SSDA, KSVD BLS-GSM	SSDA relies heavily on supervised training.
3	Berkeley segmentation dataset [4] LabelMe dataset [5] Pascal VOC 2007 [6] McGill dataset [7] Standard test images	Multi-layer perceptron (MLP)	Proposed approach can be applied on any dataset.
8	Berkeley segmentation Dataset [4]	CSF	Effectiveness is fundamentally limited to the types of prior given
9	Standard dataset	TNRD	They only trained single model for the particular noise level, thus blind-image denoising is restricted.
11	Berkeley segmentation Dataset [4]	CNN	MRF model does not have same or even better representation power like CNNs.
12	Berkeley segmentation Dataset [4]	DnCNN	They didn't work on real-time complex noise
13	Kodak PhotoCD Dataset [14]	PGPD	Image processing techniques like super resolution and deblurring, can be added to the suggested technique

15	Tomato and lena images	HQAM	HQAM is a simple approach that enables image transmission over a defective wireless channel having poor SNR in a more effective manner.
16	Berkeley segmentation Dataset [4] Other dataset with 12 images	CNN	Worked on smaller dataset

Despite their great denoising quality, the majority of denoising algorithms have two significant flaws. First, at the testing stage, those approaches often require a difficult optimization issue, and makes de-noise, a time taking task. As a result, most approaches can only achieve great performance at the expense of computational efficiency. Secondly, models were non-convex in general, which requires multiple parameters to set manually, allowing for considerable flexibility in denoising performance.

To tackle the aforementioned limitations, numerous discriminative learning approaches for learning picture in the framework of previous models which shortened inference procedures have recently been devised. In the test phase, the generated model, eliminates iterative optimization technique. CSF (cascade of shrinkage fields) technique described by authors in [8],[9] combines half quadratic optimization and random field-based model as one learning framework. The authors of [9] suggested the “trainable nonlinear reaction diffusion” (TNRD) model that unfold the fixed number of gradient descent inference steps to learn a modified fields of expert's image prior. Although CSF and TNRD have showed that it bridge’s gap between denoising quality and computational economy, their effectiveness were fundamentally limited to types of prior given. Priors used in TNRD and CSF ere based on analytical model, which was limited in its ability to capture the complete range of picture structural properties. Furthermore, the parameters are learnt using stage-by-stage greedy training and joint fine-tuning across all stages, by larger number of handmade parameters involved. Another significant disadvantage were they only trained single model for the particular noise level, thus blind-image denoising is restricted.

The authors of [1] suggested image denoising technique by using “transform domain enhanced sparse representation”. Sparsity was improved by combining comparable 2-D picture fragment (for example, block) to 3-D data array known as "groups”. Collaborative filtering would be one-of-a-kind approach to deal with 3-D groups. They do it in three steps: 3-D group transformation, shrinking of transform spectrum, and inverse 3-D transformation. Outcome is the 3-D estimate made up of grouped picture blocks that have been simultaneously filtered. Collaborative filtering minimizes noise, disclosing even the finest data shared by group block still retaining every individual block's key distinctive qualities. Later, filtered blocks were put back in their original locations. Because these blocks overlap, the authors acquire numerous distinct estimates for each pixel, which must be integrated. Aggregation is a special type of averaging that is used to take advantage of this redundancy. A particularly constructed collaborative Wiener filtering provides a big improvement. A fully detailed method based on this unique denoising technique and its efficient implementation is described, as well as a color-image denoising extension. In terms of subjective visual quality, peak signal-to-noise ratio, the testing findings show that computationally scalable approach delivers state-of-the-art denoising performance. By altering computation of coefficients' variances in fundamental and Wiener sections of algorithm, suggested technique may be extended to other noise models like non-gaussian and additive coloured noise, and so on. Established approach may also be tweaked to denoise 1-D signals and video, restore images, and solve other issues that benefit from very sparse signal representations.

As DA designed to be used for inpainting and desoining, the authors in [2] used DA to undertake pre-training in their technique. The DA neural network is the 2 layer NN which attempts to recreate original input from the noise version. First, they used additive white Gaussian noise combined by varied standard deviations for distorting the photos. One SSDA (Stacked Sparse Denoising Auto-encoders) model was trained for every noise level in the proposed technique. We compare several hyperparameter combinations and report on the optimal one. They set K=2 in every situations since adding more layers may increase performance marginally whereas requires a significant amount of training time. Meanwhile, they experiment with various patch sizes and discover that a greater noise level necessitates a bigger patch-size. In most cases, the dimension of hidden layer was specified to be constant factor times the input3 dimension. Weights of the regularisation terms have little effect on SSDA. They employ the fully trained and optimised toolbox for KSVD and “Bayes Least

Squares- Gaussian Scale Mixture" (BLS-GSM) methods. Each of three models is calibrated to a certain degree of noise in the input. The differences between the three algorithms are statistically inconsequential, according to the data. Although the PSNR values are close, the authors discovered that SSDA provides clear boundaries as well as recovers more texture features compared with BLS-GSM and KSVD. SSDA is superior at denoising complicated areas, even though averaged reconstruction errors across all pixels are the same. Furthermore, the suggested approach does not require a priori knowledge of the region that requires inpainting. The suggested technique performs well in picture denoising and blind inpainting tasks, as evidenced by experimental findings. They also show that their new DA training strategy is more effective and can increase unsupervised feature learning performance.

Image denoising using NN can reach state-of-the-art results. It is critical that 1. Sufficient training set, 2. Sufficient patch size, 3. Sufficient network's capacity. These criteria can be met by using GPUs to create MLPs, which are well-suited to the calculations required to train and deploy NN. Computations would have taken a year to complete if they [3] hadn't used GPUs. However, in comparison to other denoising algorithms, their most competitive MLP was specialized for the particular noise level and does not generalize well for different noise level. This significant problem that they have already attempted to address with MLP trained on a variety level of noises. However, did not match specialized MLP's performance to ($\sigma = 25$). Nonetheless, they believe that the network with appropriate training and even more capacity time, this will be doable.

In [11], authors proposed that image denoising be done with convolutional neural networks (CNNs), claiming that CNN had equivalent or even superior representation power compared to MRF model. "Multi-layer perceptron" (MLP) is used for successfully denoising images in [3]. The "stacked sparse denoising auto-encoders" approach iss used to handle Gaussian noise reduction in [2], and the results were comparable to K-SVD. [9] introduced "trainable nonlinear reaction diffusion" (TNRD) model, that can be implemented as a feed-forward deep network by unfolding the fixed number of gradient descent inference steps. MLP and TNRD, among the deep neural network-based algorithms mentioned above, show promise and can compete with BM3D. For TNRD [9] and MLP [3[, on the other hand, the specific model was trained for the specified noise level. To their knowledge, there hasn't been any research on developing CNN for general image-denoising.

In [12], authors introduced a deep CNN for image-denoising, in which residual learning was used to separate noise from noisy observations. To speed up training process and improve denoising performance, batch normalisation and residual learning were combined. Unlike classic discriminative models that train several models for different noise levels, their single DnCNN model handles blind-Gaussian denoising for noise levels which were not known. Furthermore, they demonstrated that a DnCNN model trained for handling 3 individual image denoising tasks:

1. JPEG image deblocking with various quality factors
2. Single picture super-resolution with numerous upscaling factors
3. Gaussian denoising with unknown noise level.
4.

Extensive testing revealed that suggested technique offers favourable image denoising performance in terms of both quantity and quality, and also had a promising run-time due to GPU implementation.

The topic of learning explicit models of "nonlocal self-similarity" (NSS) priors for image restoration was still unresolved, but the authors in [13] made a promising start by shifting from patch to patch-group (PG) based image modelling. In an image region, a PG is a collection of comparable patches. PG may naturally depict NSS fluctuations of natural pictures after group mean subtraction. To train the NSS prior from real pictures, a "PG-based Gaussian Mixture Model" (PG-GMM) learning technique were created, as well as a weighted sparse coding approach for higher performance image denoising. PG Prior based Denoising (PGPD) algorithm not only outperforms state-of-the-art denoising approaches in terms of PSNR, it's also more efficient and maintains image edges and textures. Image processing techniques like super resolution and deblurring, can be added to the suggested technique.

If the sensitive data in a sent image was distorted during image transmission across erroneous wireless channels, it is difficult to retrieve that image due to poor channel SNR. "Hierarchical QAM" (HQAM) solves this drawback by giving greater protection to image data's higher-priority bits while offering lesser security to lower-priority bits. The reconstructed median filter is used to boost the PSNR "Peak Signal to Noise Ratio".

As a result, HQAM is a simple approach that enables image transmission over a defective wireless channel having poor SNR (Signal to Noise Ratio) in a more effective manner.

Authors in [16] built CNN to denoise the picture that has been distorted by noisy channel. Traditional methods typically denoise the image for just a particular type of noise (Gaussian noise) as well as for the certain noise level (σ= 25), whereas their trained network remove the noise in the image for any level of noise. Denoising performance is improved by applying batch normalisation and residual learning approaches. Experiments show that their trained model is quite efficient when it comes to image denoising. Suggested network was trained in Python and MATLAB using GPU computing, which improves the performance of the neural network. Their paper's application fields are mostly in the digital communications sector.

III. Objective of The Proposed Work

Our main objective is to develop efficient model for denoising corrupted images in wireless communication channel. Following are the objectives of this research work.

- The available datasets are small, so need to built an larger dataset for the model
- Denoise the noisy real-world images as closely as possible to the ground truth image.
- To build a deep-CNN (DnCNN) by combining residual learning and batch normalization techniques, for image denoising (corrupted images) in wireless communication channel.
- Our proposed model denoise the images to un-known noise level.
- Compare results of DnCNN with Auto encoders, RINNet (real image denoising network) results.

IV. Conclusion

In this paper, we sum up and concentrate on the profound CNN utilized in picture denoising. Regardless, a piece of the CNN related upheaval killing methodologies shows fabulous result when take a gander at to current notable non-CNN approaches. To start with, we concentrate on the rudimentary structures of profound CNN procedures for loud assignments, including truly boisterous pictures, Gaussian uproarious pictures, incautious loud pictures and dot uproarious pictures. Then, for each boisterous errand classifications, we examine the hypothesis and inspiration of picture denoising networks. A portion of the profound CNN networks for denoising the picture is explored in the paper. CNN, DNN, FFDNet and DnCNN are the surveyed networks for uproarious pictures recuperation and a few potential regions were recommended for additional examination and difficulties of profound learning CNN in picture denoising are talked about.

V. References

[1]. Dabov, Kostadin, et al. 2007 "Image denoising by sparse 3-D transform-domain collaborative filtering." IEEE Transactions on image processing 16.8: 2080-2095.

[2]. Xie, Junyuan, Linli Xu, and Enhong Chen.2012 "Image denoising and inpainting with deep neural networks." Advances in neural information processing systems.

[3]. Burger, Harold C., Christian J. Schuler, and Stefan Harmeling.2012 "Image denoising: Can plain neural networks compete with BM3D?." 2012 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. IEEE.

[4]. D. Martin, C. Fowlkes, D. Tal, and J. Malik.2001, "A database of human segmented natural images and its application to evaluating segmentation algorithms and measuring ecological statistics. In Proc. 8th International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), volume 2, pages 416–423.

[5]. B. Russell, A. Torralba, K. Murphy, and W. Freeman. Labelme: 2007 "a database and web-based tool for image annotation. International Journal of Computer Vision.

[6]. M. Everingham, L. Van Gool, C. K. I. Williams, J. Winn, and A. Zisserman.2007,"The PASCAL Visual Object Classes Challenge 2007 (VOC2007) Results. http://www.pascalnetwork.org/challenges/VOC/voc2007/workshop/index.html.

[7]. A. Olmos et al.2004, A biologically inspired algorithm for the recovery of shading and reflectance images. Perception, 33(12):1463.

[8]. Schmidt, Uwe, and Stefan Roth,2014 "Shrinkage fields for effective image restoration." *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*.

[9] . Chen, Yunjin, and Thomas Pock, 2016 "Trainable nonlinear reaction diffusion: A flexible framework for fast and effective image restoration." *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 39.6, 1256-1272.

[10] . Chen, Yunjin, Wei Yu, and Thomas Pock. 2015 "On learning optimized reaction diffusion processes for effective image restoration." *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*.

[11] . Jain, Viren, and Sebastian Seung. 2008 "Natural image denoising with convolutional networks." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 21.

[12] . Zhang, Kai, et al. 2017 "Beyond a gaussian denoiser: Residual learning of deep cnn for image denoising." *IEEE transactions on image processing* 26.7: 3142-3155.

[13] . Xu, Jun, et al.2015 "Patch group based nonlocal self-similarity prior learning for image denoising." *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*.

[14] . Kodak PhotoCD Dataset (http://r0k.us/graphics/kodak/)

[15] . Kader, Md Abdul, Farid Ghani, and R. Badlishah Ahmad. 2013 "Image Transmission over Noisy Wireless Channels Using HQAM and Median Filter." *International Journal of Information and Electronics Engineering* 3.5: 529.

[16] . Sufian, Muhammad, et al. 2019 "Denoising the Wireless Channel Corrupted Images Using Machine Learning." *2019 20th IEEE/ACIS International Conference on Software Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Networking and Parallel/Distributed Computing (SNPD)*. IEEE.