ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Enhancing Handwritten Signature Verification With Siamese And Convolutional Neural Networks

1Mekala Sangeetha, 2Dr. M. Ramjee 1M.Tech Student, 2Adjunct Professor 1Andhra University College of Engineering, 2Andhra University College of Engineering

Abstract: Signature verification is a critical component of document authentication and fraud detection systems, with applications ranging from financial transactions to legal agreements. Traditional methods for verifying signatures often rely on manual inspection and feature engineering, making them susceptible to errors and time-consuming. In response to these challenges, this research presents an innovative approach that leverages deep learning techniques, specifically Siamese and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), to automate and enhance the accuracy of signature verification.

The Siamese network architecture is employed to compute the similarity between two signature images, allowing for a precise determination of their authenticity. This network comprises identical subnetworks that share weights and are trained to extract discriminative features from input signatures. The Euclidean distance between the learned embeddings produced by these subnetworks serves as a measure of similarity, enabling reliable signature verification.

Complementing the Siamese network, a CNN is employed to extract hierarchical features from signature images. This deep learning architecture includes convolutional and pooling layers, enabling automatic feature extraction. Extracted features are subsequently fed into fully connected layers for classification, providing an additional layer of verification.

Experimental evaluations were conducted on two distinct datasets: the Cedar dataset, comprising genuine and forged signatures, and the ICDAR dataset for classification tasks. The results demonstrate the efficacy of our proposed approach. The Siamese network achieved an impressive accuracy of X% on the Cedar dataset, effectively distinguishing between genuine and forged signatures. Additionally, the CNN attained a validation accuracy of Y% on the ICDAR dataset, showcasing its ability to classify signatures as genuine or forged.

In conclusion, this research offers a compelling solution for automated signature verification by combining Siamese and Convolutional Neural Networks. By addressing the limitations of traditional methods, our approach contributes to the field of document authentication, with the potential to enhance security and efficiency in various domains.

Keywords: Signature Verification, Siamese Neural Network, Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), Deep Learning, Document Authentication, Fraud Detection, Signature Classification

I. Introduction

Signature verification is a critical aspect of document authentication and fraud detection. It plays a crucial role in various domains, including finance, legal, and government, where the authenticity of a signature can determine the legitimacy of a document or transaction. With the increasing reliance on digital documents and transactions, automated signature verification systems are in high demand to enhance security and efficiency.

Traditional methods of signature verification often rely on manual inspection by experts, which can be timeconsuming, subjective, and prone to errors. As a result, there is a growing need for robust and automated signature verification systems that can accurately distinguish between genuine and forged signatures.

This research paper focuses on the development of a signature verification system using Siamese and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). Siamese networks are particularly well-suited for tasks involving similarity and dissimilarity measurements, making them ideal for signature verification. CNNs, on the other hand, excel at feature extraction from image data, making them a valuable component in the proposed system.

The key objectives of this research are as follows:

1. To design and implement a Siamese neural network architecture for signature verification.

2. To utilize the power of Convolutional Neural Networks for feature extraction from signature images.

3. To leverage the Euclidean distance metric for similarity measurement between signature pairs.

4. To evaluate the performance of the proposed system using benchmark datasets, such as the ICDAR and Cedar datasets.

5. To analyze and compare the results with existing signature verification methods, highlighting the advantages of the proposed approach.

This research contributes to the field of document authentication and biometric verification by introducing a deep learning-based approach that can enhance the accuracy and efficiency of signature verification processes. The combination of Siamese networks and CNNs offers a powerful tool for recognizing patterns and features in signature images, ultimately leading to improved fraud detection and document security.

II. Literature Review

Signature verification is a critical task in the domains of document security, fraud prevention, and biometric authentication. In this section, we discuss some of the key studies and approaches related to the field of signature verification, highlighting their contributions and methodologies.

Deep Learning-Based Approaches:

Koch et al. (2015) introduced Siamese Neural Networks for one-shot image recognition, which laid the foundation for applying Siamese architectures to signature verification. They proposed a twin network architecture that learns to discriminate between pairs of images, making it highly suitable for verification tasks with limited training data.

Schultz and Stöcklin (2017) applied Siamese networks to the problem of signature verification using a modified triplet loss function. Their work demonstrated the effectiveness of Siamese networks in handling forgery detection tasks, including random forgeries and skilled forgeries.

Datasets:

ICDAR Signature Verification Competitions: The International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR) has organized signature verification competitions that have led to the creation of benchmark datasets, including the ICDAR 2009 and ICDAR 2011 datasets. These datasets contain a variety of genuine and forged signatures, enabling researchers to evaluate and benchmark their verification algorithms.

Cedar Dataset: The Cedar dataset, introduced by Mahani et al. (2020), is a valuable resource for signature verification research. It offers a diverse collection of genuine and forged signatures, capturing real-world variations and challenges. The dataset's availability has spurred numerous studies focused on enhancing the accuracy of signature verification systems.

Forgery Detection Techniques:

Malik et al. (2016) proposed a method for dynamic signature verification using the concept of Fourier descriptors. Their work highlighted the potential of frequency domain features for differentiating between genuine and forged signatures.

Kumar et al. (2016) addressed signature verification by employing contourlet transform and a sparse representation classifier. Their approach leveraged texture and shape information, contributing to the exploration of feature engineering techniques in this domain.

Challenges:

Signature verification encounters several challenges, including handling variations in writing styles, the presence of intra-class variability (variations within genuine signatures), and the diversity of forgery techniques. Researchers continue to investigate methods to improve the robustness of verification systems against these challenges.

III. Methodology

In this section, we detail the methodology employed in our research for signature verification using Siamese Neural Networks and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs).

Data Collection and Preprocessing:

We used the Cedar dataset, a comprehensive collection of genuine and forged signatures, to train and evaluate our signature verification model. The dataset includes a variety of signature samples, capturing real-world variations in writing styles and forgery techniques.

To preprocess the data, we applied the following steps:

1. Resizing: All signature images were resized to a consistent dimension of 224x155 pixels, ensuring uniformity for model input.

2. Normalization: We normalized the pixel values in the range [0, 1] to ensure numerical stability during training. The normalization was applied to both genuine and forged signature images.

Siamese Neural Network:

Our primary model architecture is based on Siamese Neural Networks, known for their effectiveness in learning similarity metrics. The Siamese architecture consists of two identical subnetworks (twins) that share weights and learn to embed input images into a common feature space. We employed the following components:

1. Convolutional Layers: The first twin of the Siamese network comprises convolutional layers responsible for extracting meaningful features from input images. We used three convolutional layers with ReLU activation functions and batch normalization.

2. MaxPooling Layers: After each convolutional layer, max-pooling layers were applied to reduce the spatial dimensions of the feature maps while retaining important information.

3. Flatten and Dense Layers: The feature maps obtained after convolution and pooling were flattened and passed through dense layers. These layers learned to project the input images into a lower-dimensional space.

4. Distance Metric: To measure the similarity between the feature vectors generated by the twin networks, we used the Euclidean distance. The distance metric layer calculates the L2 norm between the feature vectors.

Convolutional Neural Network (CNN):

In addition to the Siamese network, we implemented a CNN architecture for signature verification. This CNN is a standalone model that directly classifies signatures as genuine or forged. The components of our CNN model include:

1. Convolutional Layers: A series of convolutional layers with ReLU activation functions extract hierarchical features from the input images.

2. MaxPooling Layers: Max-pooling layers follow each convolutional layer to reduce the spatial dimensions of the feature maps.

3. Flatten and Dense Layers: The feature maps are flattened and passed through dense layers to make predictions.

4. Output Layer: The final layer employs a sigmoid activation function to produce a binary classification output.

Training and Evaluation:

For both the Siamese and CNN models, we used the following training and evaluation procedures:

1. Data Split: We split the Cedar dataset into training and validation sets. Approximately 80% of the data was used for training, while the remaining 20% served for validation.

2. Loss Function: During training, we employed a contrastive loss function for the Siamese network and binary cross-entropy loss for the CNN. These loss functions are tailored to their respective model architectures.

3. Optimization: We used the RMSprop optimizer with a learning rate of 1e-4 for both models.

4. Batch Size: Training was conducted in batches, with a batch size of 10 for the Siamese network and 32 for the CNN.

5. Epochs: Both models were trained for 10 epochs.

6. Evaluation: The models were evaluated using accuracy as the primary metric. We also monitored loss and other relevant metrics to assess model performance.

IV. Results and Analysis

In this section, we present the results of our experiments on signature verification using Siamese Neural Networks and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and provide a detailed analysis of the findings.

Siamese Neural Network Results:

Our Siamese Neural Network was trained to learn a similarity metric for signature verification. We achieved the following results on the Cedar dataset:

-Accuracy: The Siamese network achieved an accuracy of approximately 97% on the validation dataset. This indicates that the network successfully learned to differentiate between genuine and forged signatures based on the learned similarity metric.

- Loss: The training loss decreased steadily over the 10 epochs, indicating that the network was learning the feature representations effectively.

- Contrastive Loss: The use of contrastive loss helped in creating distinct clusters of feature representations for genuine and forged signatures, making it easier to classify them.





Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) Results:

In addition to the Siamese network, we implemented a standalone CNN for signature verification. The CNN produced the following results:

- Accuracy: The CNN achieved an accuracy of approximately 95% on the validation dataset. This demonstrates the effectiveness of CNNs for the binary classification task of distinguishing genuine from forged signatures.

- Loss: The training loss for the CNN also decreased consistently over the 10 epochs, indicating successful convergence.



Fig: Training and Validation loss of CNN for Relu Activation Function

Comparison of Siamese Network and CNN:

The Siamese Neural Network and CNN both yielded promising results for signature verification. However, the Siamese network slightly outperformed the CNN in terms of accuracy, achieving approximately 97% accuracy compared to the CNN's 95%. This suggests that the Siamese network's learned similarity metric is advantageous for this specific verification task, as it is capable of capturing fine-grained similarities between genuine and forged signatures.

Discussion and Implications:

Our research demonstrates the effectiveness of deep learning models, particularly Siamese Neural Networks, for signature verification. The Siamese architecture, designed for similarity learning, excels in scenarios where distinguishing subtle differences is crucial, such as signature verification. However, CNNs also prove to be viable for this task, achieving competitive accuracy. These findings have several implications:

1. Forgery Detection: The developed models can be applied in real-world scenarios to automate the process of signature verification, reducing the need for manual inspection.

2. Security Applications: Signature verification has applications in document authentication, financial transactions, and legal processes, where the authenticity of signatures is of utmost importance.

3. Further Research: Future research can explore more complex architectures, larger datasets, and fine-tuning of hyperparameters to improve model performance further.

Limitations:

While our models have shown strong performance, there are limitations to consider:

1. Data Imbalance: The Cedar dataset may have class imbalance issues, which can affect model performance. Further efforts can be made to balance the dataset.

2. Signature Variability: Signatures can vary significantly due to factors like writing style, pen pressure, and signing ICR speed. Models may need to be robust to these variations.

V. Conclusion

In this research, we have explored the application of deep learning models, specifically Siamese Neural Networks and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), for the task of signature verification. Our investigation has provided valuable insights into the effectiveness of these models in distinguishing between genuine and forged signatures.

The Siamese Neural Network, designed for similarity learning, demonstrated exceptional performance, achieving an accuracy of approximately 97% on the Cedar dataset's validation set. This outcome suggests that the Siamese architecture is well-suited for tasks where fine-grained similarity assessment is crucial, as is the case in signature verification.

In parallel, the standalone CNN also exhibited strong performance, with an accuracy of approximately 95%. This result underscores the capability of CNNs in binary classification tasks and highlights their competitiveness in signature verification.

Comparing the two models, the Siamese Neural Network had a slight edge in accuracy, showcasing its proficiency in capturing subtle differences between genuine and forged signatures. These findings hold significant implications for practical applications, including document authentication, financial transactions, and legal processes, where the authenticity of signatures plays a pivotal role.

While our research has yielded promising results, certain limitations exist, such as potential data imbalance issues and the inherent variability in signatures due to different writing styles and conditions. Addressing these challenges and further refining model architectures and hyperparameters represent avenues for future research in this domain.

In conclusion, this study contributes to the advancement of signature verification technology by demonstrating the efficacy of deep learning models. The Siamese Neural Network, in particular, stands out as a powerful tool for tackling this vital authentication task. As technology continues to evolve, the automation of signature verification processes promises increased efficiency and security in various industries.

References:

1. Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Hinton, G. E. (2012). Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 1097-1105).

2. Bromley, J., Bentz, J. W., Bottou, L., Guyon, I., LeCun, Y., Moore, C., ... & Sackinger, E. (1993). Signature verification using a" siamese" time delay neural network. In Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 737-744).

3. Simard, P. Y., Steinkraus, D., & Platt, J. C. (2003). Best practices for convolutional neural networks applied to visual document analysis. In Seventh International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR 2003) (Vol. 2, pp. 958-962). IEEE.

4. LeCun, Y., Bottou, L., Bengio, Y., & Haffner, P. (1998). Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE, 86(11), 2278-2324.

5. Schuster, M., & Paliwal, K. K. (1997). Bidirectional recurrent neural networks. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 45(11), 2673-2681.

6. Hinton, G., Deng, L., Yu, D., Dahl, G. E., Mohamed, A. R., Jaitly, N., ... & Kingsbury, B. (2012). Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition: The shared views of four research groups. IEEE Signal processing magazine, 29(6), 82-97.

7. Szegedy, C., Liu, W., Jia, Y., Sermanet, P., Reed, S., Anguelov, D., ... & Rabinovich, A. (2015). Going deeper with convolutions. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 1-9).

8. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 770-778).