IJCRT.ORG

ISSN: 2320-2882



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE **RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)**

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

"A STUDY TO DETERMINE THE NATURE OF PSYCHOLOGICAL CONTRACT IN SELECTED SUGAR INDUSTRIES OF BELGAVI DISTRICT".

Dr Shankargouda C.Patill, Ramchandra G Killedar2

1Registrar, Vijayanagara Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Bellary-Karnataka 2Research Scholar, Department of Business Administration, Rani Channamma University Belagavi- Karnataka

ABSTRACT: The purpose of the study was to understand and to determine the Nature of psychological contract in selected Sugar Industries of Belagavi district. The study was also conducted by administering questionnaire and interview containing in the area of psychological contract that is Initial expectation of the Employee, about the employee's relationship with management. The initial level of promises and commitments made by management, fulfillment of responsibilities and obligation towards the employment. Psychological contract is regarding unwritten obligations that are expected to be fulfilled, regarding career development and opportunities, communication and transparency and trust and mutual obligation between employees and management from the employee perspective. Total 300 employees were taken for the study, fifty employees from each six selected Talukas of Sugar factories from Belagavi district. Interview method was also used to collect their experience in the Sugar industries regarding their psychological contract. The analyses were carried out separately on the basis of Martial status, Designation, Experience and Qualification. To make an efficient and effectively competitive and motivated human resource in the industry, Management has to understand the nature of Psychological contract which is prevailing in their industries and to keep the psychological contract intact, and to meet employees unwritten expectations. Therefore proper care has to be taken that the breach of psychological contract should not happen in the Industry.

Key words: Psychological Contract, Expectation, Mutual Obligation, perception, Trust, reward and Benefits and Communication

INTRODUCTION: The present employment scenario has been changed, previously employees could rely on job security in exchange for their loyalty, but that is no longer the case. The present the feeling of security, fulfillment and satisfaction in the work place has been replaced by a sense of fear, uncertainty and stress. The Psychological Contract in the sugar industries refers to the unwritten, implicit and intangible set of expectations, perception and beliefs exists between employers and employees within the industries. Unlike the formal and legally binding aspects of an employment contract, the Psychological Contract is more of emotional and social agreement between two parties. Psychological Contract shapes the nature relationship, influences employee behaviors and attitudes and effects overall job satisfaction and organization commitment. The nature of Psychological Contract is characterized by fair compensation, work life balance, training and development, safety and health, commitment and sustainability expectation, team work, transparency and communication. It is very important to recognize that the Psychological Contract can vary among different organization within the sugar industries and also it may evolve due to changing external factors, labor market conditions and internal factors of the organization. As with any industry, an organization ability to understand, manage and fulfill the Psychological Contract. If the employees Psychological Contract is fulfilled, it can influence significantly on employee's engagement, job satisfaction and motivate human resource and there will be overall better organization performance in long term. Psychological Contracts are an individual's set of believes regarding the terms and conditions of a reciprocal exchange agreement between the focal person and another party (Rousseau 1989). Psychological Contract develops based on the premise that a promise has been made by the organization in terms of reward and benefits, career development and opportunities, communication, transparency, trust and mutual obligations.

Psychological contract plays very important role in understanding the changing relations between employers and employees in the organization. The Employment contract clearly explains the formal and tangible asset of the job, such as working hours, salary, other benefits, rules and duties. Whereas the Psychological Contract, which deals with intangible, emotional, and subjective aspects at the organization.

Psychological contract develops over a time through interactions, experience and communication between two parties. Psychological contract is not legally binding agreement but holds major important factor in shaping the attitude, behavior and level of commitment of employees.

Understanding the nature of psychological contract in industry and managing it, is very important for both the parties that is employer and employees. Employers must be aware of their employee's expectation and strive to create positivity in work environment which enhances trust and engagement in the industries. And employs too should communicate their needs and concerns openly and professionally with management or employer. Psychological contract is a complex and dynamic aspect of employment relationship in the industries. Management has to recognize and nurture the positive psychological contract which can lead to more productive and harmonious work environment for both the employees and employer.

OBJECTIVES OF STUDY

To understand the nature of psychological contract within the industries and it's implications within the organization framework.

To examine factors that are influencing psychological contract.

To compare psychological contract on the basis of employees designation, experience, qualification and marital status.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The help of sector questionnaires primary data was collected from 304 sugar industries employees. The responses were collected throw conversation and personal interviews.

Secondary data was collected from the published in reputed journals and reports.

SAMPLING METHOD

Convenience sampling technique is used for the study from the selected sugar industries

Sample Size: Total 304 Employees were selected from the Sugar Industries of Belagavi District.

Stratified random sampling method is used to ensure representation of job role, experience and education qualification. Purposeful sampling is used to collect from selected participant.

DATA ANALYSIS

During the analysis of the study, it has been observed that among 304 respondents. 264(86.8%) respondents were married. 40(13.2%) Respondents were unmarried. 92(30.3%) Respondents were Supervisor. 90(29.6%) Respondents were Assistant Manager. 122(40.1%) Respondents were Manager. 26(8.6%) Respondents were having 1 to 5 Years of work experience. 136(44.7%) Respondents were having 5 to 10 Years of work experience. 46(15.1%) Respondents were having 10 to 20 Years of work experience. 96(31.6%) Respondents were having 20 and above 20 years of work experience. 92(30.3%) Respondents were having Degree, 212(69.7%) Respondents were having Master Degree.

Expectation

Table 1

| Response | initial expe you jo | s opinion on ctation when ined this ization. | Employees response for level of satisfaction was at higher tempo after joining the organization. | | Employees opinion on better employee relationship will gain better job satisfaction. | | Rating of Employees on level of promises and commitment made by management during recruitment. | |
|----------|------------------------|---|--|------------|--|------------|--|-----------|
| | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentag |
| | | | | | | | | e |
| Disagree | 20 | 6.6 | | | 50 | 16.4 | 74 | 24.3 |
| Neutral | 52 | 17.1 | 72 | 23.7 | 26 | 8.6 | 72 | 23.7 |
| Agree | 172 | 56.6 | 212 | 69.7 | 118 | 38.8 | 92 | 30.3 |
| Strongly | 60 | 19.7 | 20 | 6.6 | 110 | 36.2 | 66 | 21.7 |
| Agree | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 |

In this survey 20 (6.6%) respondents were of opinion that they are disagree while initial expectation. And 52 (17.1%) respondents are neutral while initial expectations, 172 (56.6%) and 60 (19.7%) respondents agree and strongly agree during initial expectation.

The above table 1 explains, 72(23.7%) respondents were of opinion that they are Neutral, 212(69.7) respondents were Agree, 20(6.6%) respondents were Strongly Agree while level of satisfaction was at higher tempo.

The above table 1. Also explains, 50(16.4%) respondents were Disagree, 26(8.6%) respondents were Neutral, 118(38.8%) respondents were Agree and 110(36.2%) respondents were strongly agree that the better employee relationship will gain better job satisfaction.

From the above table no.1.it speaks 74(24.3%) respondents were Disagree, 72(23.7%) respondents were Neutral, 92(30.3%) respondents were Agree and 66(21.7%) respondents strongly Agree this is about the level of promises and commitments made by management.

Perception

Table No 2

| | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Response | Your o | pinion on | The | | The | | The | | You fee | l there are |
| | fulfilln | nent of | organi | zation | organization | | organization | | any implicit or | |
| | primar | у | has | | has an | | has an | | unwritten | |
| | respon | sibilities & | expect | ations | expect | ation | expect | ations | obligation | ns that you |
| | obligat | ions as an | from n | ne in | from r | ne in | form r | ne in | are exp | ected to |
| | employ | yee. | terms | of | terms | of | terms | of | ful | fill. |
| | | | perfor | mance | behavi | ors. | Contri | bution. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | cy | ıge | cy | egi | cy | agı | cy | agı | cy | ıge |
| | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage |
| | edı | rce | edı | rce | edı | rce | edı | rce | ıbə | rce |
| | 占 | Pe | Ŗ | Pe | Ŧ | Pe | Ŧ | Pe | Ŧ | Pe |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | 52 | 17.1 | 26 | 8.6 | | | | | 72 | 23.7 |
| Neutral | 26 | 8.6 | 20 | 6.6 | 52 | 17.1 | 26 | 8.6 | 26 | 8.6 |
| Agree | 186 | 61.2 | 142 | 46.7 | 142 | 46.7 | 162 | 53.3 | 186 | 61.2 |
| Strongly | 40 | 13.2 | 116 | 38.2 | 110 | 36.2 | 116 | 38.2 | 20 | 6.6 |
| Agree | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 |

From the above table, 52(17.1%) respondents were Disagree, 26(8.6%) respondents were Neutral, 186(61.2%) respondents were Agree and 40(13.2%) respondents were strongly agree about their fulfillment of primary responsibility and obligations as an employee.

As per the table no.2, 26(8.6%) respondents were Disagree, 20(6.6%) respondents were Neutral, 142(46.7%) respondents were Agree and 116(38.2%) respondents strongly Agree about their expectation in term and performance.

Above table no.2 shows, 52(17.1%) respondents were Neutral, 142(46.7%) respondents were Agree and 110(36.2%) respondents strongly Agree about the organization has an expectation from employees in terms of behaviors.

Above table no.2 Explains, 26(8.6%) respondents were Neutral, 162(53.3%) respondents were Agree and 116(38.2%) respondents strongly Agree about the organization has an expectation from employees in terms of contribution.

As per the above table no 2 it speaks, 72(23.7%) respondents were Disagree, 26(8.6%) respondents were Neutral, 186(61.2%) respondents were Agree and 20(6.6%) respondents were strongly agree that any implicit or unwritten obligations that their expected fulfill.

Rewards & Benefits

Table No 3

| Response | Yes, I agree th | at the rew <mark>ards</mark> | Yes, I an | n satisfied with | Yes, there have been some | | |
|----------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | & benefits | given by th <mark>e</mark> | the fairne | ess & adequacy | changes happened in the | | |
| | organization a | re meeting <mark>my</mark> | of the rew | ards & benefits | rewards | & benefits that I | |
| | expec | tations. | I curre | ntly receive. | was init | tially promised. | |
| | | | A A | | | | |
| | Frequency | Percentage Percentage | Frequen | Percentage | Frequen | Percentage | |
| | | | су | | су | 1/2 | |
| Disagree | 96 | 31.6 | 20 | 6.6 | 66 | 21.7 | |
| Neutral | 76 | 25.0 | 166 | 54.6 | 46 | 15.1 | |
| Agree | 112 | 36.8 | 98 | 32.2 | 166 | 54.6 | |
| Strongly | 20 | 6 .6 | 20 | 6.6 | 26 | 8.6 | |
| Agree | | | | | | | |
| Total | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | |

As per the above table, 96(31.6%) respondents were Disagree, 76(25.0) respondents were Neutral, 112(36.8%) respondents were Agree and 20(6.6%) respondents were strongly agree that the rewards & benefits given by the organization are meeting their expectations.

Table no 3. Explains, 20(6.6%) respondents were Disagree, 166(54.6%) respondents were Neutral, 98(32.2%) respondents were Agree and 20(6.6%) respondents were strongly agree that they are satisfied with the fairness & adequacy of the rewards & benefits. Table no.3 shows, 66(21.7%) respondents were Disagree, 46(15.1%) respondents were Neutral, 166(54.6%) respondents were Agree and 26(8.6%) respondents were strongly agree about the any changes happened in the rewards & benefits they were initially promised.

Career development & opportunities

Table No 4

| Response | opportunities l within the org | development expect to have anization have d or matched. | oppor advancen skill d | sfied with the tunities for nent, leading & evelopment ded by the | I believe the organization has fulfilled its obligations regarding our career progression. | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|---|------------|
| | | | organization | | | |
| | Frequency | Percentage | Frequen | Percentage | Frequen | Percentage |
| | | | cy | | cy | |
| Strongly | | | 26 | 8.6 | 26 | 8.6 |
| Disagree | | | | | | |
| Disagree | 76 | 25.0 | 52 | 17.1 | 44 | 14.5 |
| Neutral | 50 | 16.4 | 90 | 29.6 | 136 | 44.7 |
| Agree | 158 | 52.0 | 116 | 38.2 | 78 | 25.7 |
| Strongly | 20 | 6.6 | 20 | 6.6 | 20 | 6.6 |
| Agree | | | | | | |
| Total | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 |

As per the above table 76(25.0) respondents were Disagree, 50(16.4) respondents were Neutral, 158(52.0) respondents were Agree and 20(6.6) respondents were strongly agree that the growth & development opportunities they expect to have within the organization.

As per the table no 4 it explains, 26(8.6) respondents were Strongly Disagree, 52(17.1) respondents were Disagree, 90(29.6) respondents were Neutral, 116(38.2) respondents were Agree and 20(6.6) respondents were strongly agree that satisfied with the

respondents were Neutral, 116(38.2) respondents were Agree and 20(6.6) respondents were strongly agree that satisfied with the opportunities for advancement, leading & skill development provided by the organization

The above table no 4 speaks, 26(8.6) respondents were Strongly Disagree, 44(14.5) respondents were Disagree 136(44.7) respondents were Neutral, 78(25.7) respondents were Agree and 20(6.6) respondents were strongly agree that they believe the organization has fulfilled its obligations.

Communication & Transparency Table No 5

| | | | | | | | | | | 100 |
|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Response | Yes, I | accept | Yes, I | agree | Yes ,I | agree | Yes, | I feel I | Yes, I fee | l that there |
| | organiz | zation | that th | e | the | | am well | | are gaps i | n |
| | commi | unicates | organi | zation | organization | | informed | | communication that | |
| | with m | e about its | comm | unicate | comm | unicate | abo | ut the | affects my | / |
| | decisio | n | with m | ne about | with n | ne | organi | ization' | understan | ding of my |
| | | | its cha | nges | about | its | s g | oals, | role & the | ; |
| | | | | | future | plans. | strate | gies & | organizati | on's |
| | | | | | | | poli | icies. | expectation | ons. |
| | | 4) | | 0) | | 0 | | 0 | , | 0 |
| | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage |
| | ine | ent | lne | ent | lne | ent | lne | ent | lne | ent |
| | rec | erc | reç | erc | řeč | erc | řec | erc | rec | erc |
| | Щ. | ď | Щ | Ā | <u>т</u> | Ā | Щ | Д. | Щ | P |
| Strongly | | | 26 | 8.6 | | | | | | |
| Disagree | | | 20 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Disagree | | | | | | | | | | |
| Disagree | 96 | 31.6 | 46 | 15.1 | 116 | 38.2 | 98 | 32.2 | 66 | 21.7 |
| Neutral | 112 | 36.8 | 86 | 28.3 | 92 | 30.3 | | | 70 | 23.0 |
| Agree | 96 | 31.6 | 146 | 48.0 | 96 | 31.6 | 114 | 37.5 | 168 | 50.3 |
| Strongly | | | | | | | 92 | 30.3 | | |
| Agree | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 |

As per the above table 96(31.6%) respondents were Disagree, 112(36.8%) respondents were Neutral, 96(31.6%) respondents were Agree that the organization communicate its decision

In this survey 26(8.6%) respondents were Strongly Disagree, 46(15.1%) respondents were Disagree, 86(28.3%) respondents were Neutral, 146(48.0%) respondents were Agree, about the organization communication.

The above table no 5 speaks, 116(38.2) respondents were Disagree, 92(30.3) respondents were Neutral, 96(31.6) respondents were Agree that the organization communicate its future plans

The table no 5 shows, 98(32.2) respondents were Disagree, 114(37.5) respondents were Agree and 92 (30.3) respondents were strongly agree, that they are well informed about the organizations goals, strategies & policies

The table no 5 speaks 66(31.6) respondents were Disagree, 70(23.0) respondents were Neutral, 168(50.0) respondents were Agree, that any gaps in communication that affects understanding of their role & the organization expectation

Trust & Mutual Obligation

Table No 6

| Response | | | Yes ,I | believe the | Yes ,I fe | eel that there are |
|----------|----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | Yes, I be | elieve the | organiz | ation trusts & | instances where the | |
| | managen | nent of the | values i | ts employees. | organizat | ion failed to meet |
| | organization h | as trust on me. | | | my ex | spectations or |
| | | | | | violated | my expectations. |
| | Frequency | Percentage | Frequen | Percentage | Frequen | Percentage |
| | | | cy | | cy | |
| Strongly | 26 | 8.6 | | | | |
| Disagree | | | | | | |
| Disagree | | | | | 98 | 32.2 |
| Neutral | 46 | 15.1 | 116 | 38.2 | 132 | 43.4 |
| Agree | 160 | 52.6 | 142 | 46.7 | 74 | 24.3 |
| Strongly | 72 | 23.7 | 46 | 15.1 | | |
| Agree | | | | | | |
| Total | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 |

As per the above table, 26(8.6%) respondents were Strongly Disagree, 46(15.1%) respondents were Neutral, 160(52.6%) respondents were Agree and 72 (23.7%) respondents were strongly agree that they believe the management of the organization has trust on them.

The table no 6 shows, 116(38.2) respondents were Neutral, 142(46.7) respondents were Agree and 46 (15.1) respondents were strongly agree that they believe the organization trusts & values.

The table no 6 speaks, 98(32.2) respondents were Disagree, 132(43.4) respondents were Neutral, 74(24.3) respondents were that any instances where the organization failed to meet their expectations or violated their expectations.

Table No 7

| | | | Yes, I feel that there are implicit or unwritten obligations that I am expected to fulfill. | | | | | |
|---------|----------|------------------------|---|-----------|------------|-------------|--------|--|
| | | | | that I an | n expected | to fulfill. | | |
| Marital | | | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly | Total | |
| Status | | | | | | Agree | | |
| | Married | Count | 65 | 22 | 159 | 18 | 264 | |
| | | % Within Married | 24.6% | 8.3% | 60.2% | 6.8% | 100.0% | |
| | | %Within Marital Status | 90.3% | 84.6% | 85.5% | 90.0% | 86.8% | |
| | Unmarrie | Count | 7 | 4 | 27 | 2 | 40 | |
| | d | | | | | | | |
| | | % Within Unmarried | 17.5% | 10.0% | 67.5% | 5.0% | 100.0% | |
| | | %Within Marital Status | 9.7% | 15.4% | 14.5% | 10.0% | 13.2% | |
| | | Count | 72 | 26 | 186 | 20 | 304 | |
| | Total | %Within Marital Status | 23.7% | 8.6% | 61.2% | 6.6% | 100.0% | |
| | | %Within Marital Status | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | |

| | Chi-Square Test | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|----|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Value | Df | Asymp.Sig (2-Sided) | | | | | | | |
| Pearson Chi-Square | 1.331a | 3 | .722 | | | | | | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 1.395 | 3 | .707 | | | | | | | |
| Linear-by-Linear | .469 | 1 | .494 | | | | | | | |
| Association | | | | | | | | | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 304 | | | | | | | | | |

- H0- Marital Status of Employees (Married and Unmarried) in the Sugar industries are not associated on their nature of the psychological contract.
- H1- Marital Status of Employees (Married and Unmarried) in the Sugar industries are associated on their nature of the psychological contract.

The above table speaks about the association tests between Marital Status and nature of the psychological contract of Sugar Industries Employees. Here the Pearson Chi Square sig value is 0.722which is greater than 0.05 so H0 accepted and H1 rejected. It means there is no significant difference between married and unmarried employees of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract.

Above table explains out of 304 respondents of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract. Among 264 (86.8%) married respondents, 65(24.6%) respondents were disagree, 22 (8.3%) respondents were neutral, 159(60.2%) respondents were agree and 18 (6.8%) respondents were strongly agree. An unmarried respondents 40 (13.2%) sugar industry employees, 7 (17.5%) respondents were disagree, 4 (10%) respondents were neutral, 27 (67.5%) respondents were agree and 2 (5.0%) respondents were strongly agree On any implicit or unwritten obligations that they were expected to fulfill. H0 accepted and H1 rejected.

Table No 8

| | | | Yes, I feel | that there ar | e implicit o | or un <mark>written o</mark> | bligations |
|-------------|----------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|
| - | | | | that I am | expected | to fu <mark>lfill.</mark> | |
| Designati / | | | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly | Total |
| on | | | | | | Agree | |
| | Supervis | Count | 20 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 92 |
| | or | % Within Supervisor | 21.7% | 0 | 78.3% | 0 | 100.0% |
| | | %Within Designation | 27.8% | 0 | 38.7% | 0 | 30.3% |
| | Asst. | Count | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 90 |
| | Manager | %Within Assistant Manager | 0 | 0 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% |
| | | %Within Designation | 0 | 0 | 48.4% | 0 | 29.6% |
| | Manager | Count | 52 | 26 | 24 | 20 | 122 |
| | | %Within Manager | 42.6% | 21.3% | 19.7% | 16.4% | 100.0% |
| | | %Within Designation | 72.2% | 100.0% | 12.9% | 100.0% | 40.1% |
| | | Count | 72 | 26 | 186 | 20 | 304 |
| | Total | %Within Designation | 23.7% | 8.6% | 61.2% | 6.6% | 100.0% |
| | | %Within Designation | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| | Chi-Square Test | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|----|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Value | Df | Asymp.Sig (2-Sided) | | | | | | | |
| Pearson Chi-Square | 169.470 ^a | 6 | .000 | | | | | | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 211.090 | 6 | .000 | | | | | | | |
| Linear-by-Linear | 16.825 | 1 | .000 | | | | | | | |
| Association | | | | | | | | | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 304 | | | | | | | | | |

- H0- Designation wise Employees in the Sugar industries are not associated on their nature of the psychological contract.
- H1- Designation wise Employees in the Sugar industries are associated on their nature of the psychological contract.

The above table speaks about the association tests between Designation and nature of the psychological contract of Sugar Industries Employees. Here the Pearson Chi Square sig value is 0.000 which is less than 0.05 so H0 rejected and H1 accepted. It means there is significant difference between designations of employees of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract.

Above table explains out of 304 respondents of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract. Among 92 (30.3%) supervisor respondents, 20(21.7%) respondents were disagreed and 72(78.3%) respondents were agreed. Assistant supervisor respondents 90(29.6%) sugar industry employees, 90(100.0%) respondents were agree. Manager respondents 122(40.0%) sugar industry employees, 52(42.6%) respondents were disagree, 26(21.3%) respondents were neutral, 24(19.7%) respondents were agree and 20(19.4%) respondents were Strongly agree on any implicit or unwritten obligations that they were expected to fulfill. H0 rejected and H1 accepted.

Table 9

| | | | Yes, I feel that there are implicit or unwritten obligations that I am expected to fulfill. | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---|---------|--------|-------------------|--------|--|
| Experien ce | | | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree | Total | |
| CC | 1-5 | Count | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 26 | |
| | Years | % Within 1-5 Years | 0 | 0 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | |
| | | % Within Experience | 0 | 0 | 14.0 | 0 | 8.6 | |
| | 5-10 | Count | 20 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 136 | |
| | Years | %Within 5-10 Years | 14.7% | 0 | 85.3% | 0 | 100.0% | |
| | | %Within Experience | 27.8% | 0 | 62.4% | 0 | 44.7% | |
| | 10-20 | Count | 0 | 26 | 20 | 0 | 46 | |
| | Years | %Within 10- <mark>20 Years</mark> | 0 | 56.5% | 43.5% | 0 | 100.0% | |
| | | % Within Experience | 0 | 100.0% | 10.8% | 0 | 15.1% | |
| | 20Years | Count | 52 | 0 | 24 | 20 | 96 | |
| | Above | %Within 20 Years above | 54.2% | 0 | 25.0% | 20.8% | 100.0% | |
| | | %Within Experience | 72.2% | 0 | 12.9% | 100.0% | 31.6% | |
| | | Count | 72 | 26 | 186 | 20 | 304 | |
| | Total | %Within Designation | 23.7% | 8.6% | 61.2% | 6.6% | 100.0% | |
| | | %Within Designation | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | |

| | | Chi-Square Test | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----|---------------------|
| P(C) | Value | 41 | Df | Asymp.Sig (2-Sided) |
| | | | | (Z-Sided) |
| Pearson Chi-Square | 290.727 ^a | | 9 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 257.271 | | 9 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 30.223 | | 1 | .000 |
| Association | | | _ | |
| N of Valid Cases | 304 | | | |

H0- Less experience and more experience Employees in the Sugar industries are not associated on their nature of the psychological contract.

H1- Less experience and more experience Employees in the Sugar industries are associated on their nature of the psychological contract.

The above table speaks about the association tests between experience and nature of the psychological contract of Sugar Industries Employees. Here the Pearson Chi Square sig value is 0.000 which is less than 0.05 so H0 rejected and H1 accepted. It means there is significant difference between experiences of employees of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract.

Above table explains out of 304 respondents of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract. Among 26(8.6%) 1-5 years experienced respondents, 26(100.0%) respondents were agreed. 5-10 year experience respondents 136(44.7%) sugar industry employees, 20(14.7%) respondents were disagree and 116(85.3%) respondents were agreed. 10-20 year experience respondents 46(15.1%) sugar industry employees, 26(56.5%) respondents were neutral, 20(43.5%) respondents were agree. 20 years and above experience respondents 96(31.6%) sugar industry employees, 52(54.2%) respondents were disagree, 24(25.0%) respondents were agree and 20(20.8) respondents were Strongly agree on any implicit or unwritten obligations that they were expected to fulfill. Ho rejected and H1 accepted.

Table No 10

| | | | Yes, I feel that there are implicit or unwritten obligations that I am expected to fulfill. | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|---------|-------|-------------------|--------|
| Qualifica tion | | | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree | Total |
| | Degree | Count | 0 | 0 | 72 | 20 | 92 |
| | | % Within Degree | 0 | 0 | 78.3% | 21.7% | 100.0% |
| | | %Within Qualification | 0 | 0 | 38.7% | 100.0% | 30.3% |
| | Master Degree | Count | 72 | 26 | 114 | 0 | 212 |
| | | %Within Master Degree | 34.0% | 12.3% | 53.8% | 0 | 100.0% |
| | | %Within Qualification | 100.0% | 100.0% | 61.3% | 0 | 69.7% |
| | | Count | 72 | 26 | 186 | 20 | 304 |
| | Total | %Within Qualification | 23.7% | 8.6% | 61.2% | 6.6% | 100% |
| | | %Within Qualification | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Chi-Square Test | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|----|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | Value | Df | Asymp.Sig | | | | |
| | | | (2-Sided) | | | | |
| Pearson Chi-Square | 94.903 ^a | 3 | .000 | | | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 124.467 | 3 | .000 | | | | |
| Linear-by-Linear | 77.683 | 1 | .000 | | | | |
| Association | | | | | | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 304 | | | | | | |

H0- Qualification of Employees in the Sugar industries is not associated on their nature of the psychological contract.

H1- Qualification of Employees in the Sugar industries is associated on their nature of the psychological contract.

The above table speaks about the association tests between Qualification and nature of the psychological contract of Sugar Industries Employees. Here the Pearson Chi Square sig value is 0.000 which is less than 0.05 so H0 rejected and H1 accepted. It means there is significant difference between qualifications of employees of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract.

Above table explains out of 304 respondents of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract. Among 92(30.3%) Degree holder respondents, 72(78.3%) respondents were agreed, 20(21.7%) respondents were Strongly agreed. Master Degree Holder respondents 212(69.7%) sugar industry employees, 72(34.0%) respondents were disagree and 26(12.3%) respondents were neutral, 114(53.8%) respondents were on any implicit or unwritten obligations that they were expected to fulfill. H0 rejected and H1 accepted.

Results and Discussion:

In the subject of promises and commitment 24.3% respondents were Disagree, 23.7% respondents were Neutral, 30.3% respondents were Agree and 21.7% respondents strongly agree on level of promises and commitment made by management.

In this research observation, 23.7% respondents were Disagree, 8.6% respondents were Neutral, 61.2% respondents were Agree and 6.6% respondents were strongly agree that any implicit or unwritten obligations that their expected fulfill.

In this research it has observed that 6.6% respondents were Disagree, 54.6% respondents were Neutral, 32.2% respondents were Agree and 6.6% respondents were strongly agree that they are satisfied with the fairness & adequacy of the rewards & benefits

In this research it has observed that 8.6% respondents were Strongly Disagree, 17.1% respondents were Disagree, 29.6% respondents were Neutral, 38.2% respondents were Agree and 6.6% respondents were strongly agree that satisfied with the opportunities for advancement, leading & skill development provided by the organization

In this survey 32.2% respondents were Disagree, 37.5% respondents were Agree and 30.3% respondents were strongly agree that they are well informed about the organizations goals, strategies & policies

In this survey 38.2% respondents were Neutral, 46.7% respondents were Agree and 15.1% respondents were strongly agree that they believe the organization trusts & values.

As per chi-square test

- 1. The association tests between Marital Status and nature of the psychological contract of Sugar Industries' Employees. Here the Pearson Chi Square sig value is 0.722which is greater than 0.05 so H0 accepted and H1 rejected. It means there is no significant difference between married and unmarried employees of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract.
- **2.** The association tests between Designation and nature of the psychological contract of Sugar Industries Employees. Here the Pearson Chi Square sig value is 0.000 which is less than 0.05 so H0 rejected and H1 accepted. It means there is significant difference between designations of employees of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract.
- **3.** The association tests between experience and nature of the psychological contract of Sugar Industries Employees. Here the Pearson Chi Square sig value is 0.000 which is less than 0.05 so H0 rejected and H1 accepted. It means there is significant difference between experiences of employees of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract.
- 4. The association tests between Qualification and nature of the psychological contract of Sugar Industries Employees. Here the Pearson Chi Square sig value is 0.000 which is less than 0.05 so H0 rejected and H1 accepted. It means there is significant difference between qualifications of employees of sugar industries about the nature of the psychological contract.

CONCLUSION

The main intention of this study was to compare the overall impact of psychological contract breach on employees of selected sugar industries. The sugar industries are like many other factors the nature of psychological contract in sugar industries is a complex and multifaceted relationship between employees and employer.

In every sugar industry there is an evidence of explicit and implicit agreement between employers and employees regarding benefits and rewards system, expectation and responsibility. These agreements are influenced by various factors such as social norms historical practices organization culture and individual perception. Nature of psychological contract will very across different segments of the industries, And even among employees within same work place. In every organization both employers and employees need to be willing to renegotiate terms and conditions and to adapt to new realities to maintain a mutually beneficial relationship.

The nature of the psychological contract in sugar industry is not solely dependent on tangible factors like salary and other benefits but it is also influenced by intangible elements such as trust job security and opportunities for career growth. Sugar industries that prioritizing in building and maintaining a positive psychological contract that are more likely to encourage a motivated and committed workforce, leading to enhance productivity and overall performance.

To foster the passive psychological contract within the sugar industries employers should strive for open communication, transparency, and fairness in dealings with employees and on regular basis employees perception and expectations will help to identify areas in which they can bring the improvement.

The nature of psychological contract is shaped by organizational factors societal norms and individual perception. By nurturing a constructive, balanced and positive psychological contract in the sugar industry can enhance loyalty of purpose motivated and engaged employees lead to the sustained success in this competitive sector.

Reference:

Adams, J. S. (1965). Inequity in social exchange. In Advances in experimental social psychology (Vol. 2, pp. 267-299): Elsevier.

Agarwal, U. A. (2014). Linking justice, trust and innovative work behaviour to work engagement. Personnel Review. . Argyris, C. (1960). Understanding organizational behavior.

Bailey, C., Madden, A., Alfes, K., & Fletcher, L. (2017). The meaning, antecedents and outcomes of employee engagement: A narrative synthesis. International journal of management reviews, 19(1), 31-53.

Impact of psychological contract on employee work engagement at sugar Industry, dera ismail khan (kpk). Pjaee, 18(10) (2021)

Boshoff, C., & Mels, G. (1995). A causal model to evaluate the relationships among supervision, role stress, organizational commitment and internal service quality. European Journal of marketing.

Buyens, D., & De Vos, A. (2001). Perceptions of the value of the HR function. Human Resource Management Journal, 11(3), 70-89.

Impact of psychological contract on employee work engagement at sugar industry, dera ismail khan (kpk)

Rousseau, D.M., (1989), "Psychological and implied contracts in organizations", Employee Responsibilities and Rights J., Vol. 2, pp. 121-139.

Rousseau, D.M., (1990), "New hire perceptions of their own and their employer's obligations: A study of psychological contracts", J. Organizational Behavior, Vol.11, pp. 389-400.

