**ISSN: 2320-2882** 

IJCRT.ORG



## **INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)**

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

# **Formulation And Evaluation Of Floating Tablet Of Granisetron Hydrochloride**

Lokesh Patle \*, Dr. Rajesh Mujariya, Dr. Manjit singh, Mr. Atul Bisen, Mrs. Disha Chouhan

Institute of Pharmaceutical Science and Research, Sardar Patel University Dogariya, Tahasil - Lalbarra, Disstric - Balaghat, Balaghat-481331 (M.P), INDIA

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research was to develop a novel gastroretentive drug delivery system based on controlled delivery of active agent. Granisetron is an indole derivative having the antiemetic activity. It's a selective serotonin receptor antagonist. Granisetron blocks the action of serotonin at 5HT3 receptors. It also results in Suppression of Chemotherapy-and radiotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. The incorporation of swellable and natural polymer for binding action and also good water solublility with high molecular weight such as carbopol present it in the gastro retentive floating tablets, which are designed to provide the desired controlled and complete release of drug for prolonged period of time. Lactose was used as filler. Buoyancy was achieved by adding an effervescent mixture of sodium bicarbonate and anhydrous citric acid. Floating tablets were prepared by direct compression method. All the required evaluation parameters such as hardness, friability, drug content uniformity and swelling index were performed and found within the acceptance limit.

**Keywords:** Granisetron, Gastroretentive Drug Delivery System, Floating tablets

### **INTRODUCTION**

Floating systems tells that the system which are having low density having a greater property to buoyancy to float over the gastric fluids present in stomach and help in maintaining of longer action. When this system floats on the gastric contents present in stomach the drug get release in constant manner and help to provide a better result and decrease in plasma fluctuation. The category of drugs which are poses short biological half-life, they can be sustained by floating drug delivery system and there efficacy could be increased and help in decreasing the dosing frequency .This feature of feds is helping in a way to enhance the patient compliance and improve the therapy of drug. The fluctuations or no constant ratio in drug plasma concentration in plasma are minimized or maintained in constant and thus lead to release its drug contain in constant manner and help in its efficacy. The need of prolonged gastric retention is to improve bioavailability of drug, reduces drug waste in stomach, and improves solubility of drugs that are having less soluble in a high pH in the environment of the stomach. The vital need of gastro retention is to help with the better therapeutic value of the formulation for the patients and help in sustained drug release profile.

#### Objective

The purpose of modification of the gastrointestinal transit time of a drug delivery system through floating drug delivery system (FDDS), for providing gastrointestinal absorption of drugs for longer period of time and also at site specific delivery.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

**Materials** Granisetron, guar gum, Xanthan gum, Carbopol, sodium carbonate, magnesium stearate, citric acid, talc and lactose were used.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

#### **1 GRANULES PREPARATION**

**Dry Granulation:** Dry granulation is a controlled crushing of precompacted powders densified by slugging. All the ingredients are properly weighed. API, polymers and lactose are sifted through 40#. Sodium bicarbonate, magnesium stearate and talc are separately sieved through 18#. Both mixtures are blended in a polybag for about 6 min. Sluggs are prepared by using flat punches in compression machine by compressing at minimum hardness.

#### 2. FORMULATION OF FLOATING TABLETS

Fabrication of tablet for In vitro studies: For study purpose, 6 formulation with different excipients were prepared as shown in table 1 Mixture passed through the sieve for purpose of uniformity of particle and remaining particles again triturated and mixed. Floating tablets were prepared by wet compression method. Tablets were compressed using 10 mm die/punch set in a single punch with hand operated tablet compression machine (Lab Tech. instruments)..

| Ingredients                 | F1  | F2  | F3  | F4  | F5  | F6  |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Granisetron HCL             | 20  | 20  | 20  | 20  | 20  | 20  |
| HPMC K15M                   | -   | 100 | -   | 50  | 50  | -   |
| HPMC K4M                    | 100 | -   | -   | 50  | -   | 50  |
| Carbapol                    | -   | -   | 100 | -   | 50  | 50  |
| Sodium carbonate            | 60  | 60  | 60  | 60  | 60  | 60  |
| Micro crystalline cellulose | 10  | 10  | 10  | 10  | 10  | 10  |
| Magnesium Stearate          | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   |
| Talc                        | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   |
| Citric acid                 | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   |
| Lactose                     | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   |
| Guar gum                    | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   |
| Total weight                | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |

Table 1: Formulation of Granisetron hydrochloride Floating tablet

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION-** Formulation of all the seven batches of floating tablets was prepared by changing the concentration of polymers. Hardness of tablets was in the range of 3.8- 5 kg/cm2 and friability was in the limit of acceptance. Uniformity of weight of all the formulations was also within the range. , and content uniformity reported Results of pre-compression studies were mentioned in Table no.2 & 3 Results of post compression studies were mentioned in Table no.2 & 3 Results of post formulation F6 showed least cumulative percentage drug release in 24 hours.

|   | Formulatio<br>n | Angle of<br>Repose ( <sup>0</sup> ) | Loose<br>Bulk<br>Density<br>(g/ml) | Tapped Bulk<br>Density<br>(g/ml) | Compressibi<br>lity<br>Index<br>(%) |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|   | F1              | 24°.30'                             | 0.130                              | 0.155                            | 16.13                               |
|   | F2              | 2 <mark>6°.77'</mark>               | 0.110                              | 0.130                            | 15.67                               |
|   | F3              | 2 <mark>5°.28'</mark>               | 0.090                              | 0.102                            | 14.48                               |
| Ľ | F4              | 28°.56'                             | 0.105                              | 0.126                            | 16.30                               |
|   | F5              | 2 <mark>9°.88</mark> '              | 0.129                              | 0.146                            | 15.41                               |
|   | F6              | 25°.30'                             | 0.114                              | 0.135                            | 14.30                               |

 Table 2: Evaluation of pre compressed parameter

| Formulation | Carr's Index | Hausner's Ratio | Type of flow     |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1           | 5-15%        | -               | Excellent        |
| 2           | 12-16%       | < 1.25          | Good             |
| 3           | 18-21 %      | -               | Fair to passable |
| 4           | 23-35%       | > 1.25          | Poor             |
| 5           | 33-38%       | 1.25-1.5        | Very poor        |
| 6           | >40%         | -               | Extremely poor   |

| Formulation | Thickness<br>(mm) | Hardness<br>(Kg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) | Friability<br>(%) | Weight<br>Variation<br>(mg) | Drug content<br>uniformity<br>(mg) |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| F1          | 3                 | 3.5                               | 0.96              | 200.15                      | 97.01                              |
| F2          | 3.02              | 3.9                               | 0.72              | 201.5                       | 96.01                              |
| F3          | 3.01              | 2.5                               | 0.93              | 201.1                       | 96                                 |
| F4          | 3.12              | 3.8                               | 0.79              | 199.85                      | 96.3                               |
| F5          | 3.08              | 4.0                               | 0.86              | 200.26                      | 97                                 |
| F6          | 3.25              | 3.5                               | 0.76              | 201.5                       | 93.5                               |

#### **Table 4: Physical Properties of Tablets of Batch F1 to F6**

 Table 5. Cumulative % Drug Released from Tablet Formulations F1 to F6

| - | Time<br>(hrs) | F1    | F2    | F3    | F4    | F5    | F6    |
|---|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|   | 1             | 18.64 | 20.81 | 20.90 | 21.92 | 18.95 | 21.09 |
|   | 2             | 30.40 | 33.68 | 34.65 | 25.35 | 32.29 | 37.87 |
| 1 | 4             | 53.08 | 55.33 | 57.63 | 56.49 | 49.26 | 58.80 |
|   | 6             | 59.85 | 66.44 | 65.06 | 65.72 | 61.44 | 70.65 |
|   | 8             | 70.33 | 71.83 | 72.29 | 71.79 | 68.06 | 76.52 |
|   | 10            | 76.89 | 77.78 | 78.57 | 77.64 | 76.48 | 81.60 |
|   | 12            | 86.09 | 84.75 | 85.43 | 85.69 | 81.14 | 88.44 |
|   | 24            | 94.29 | 94.70 | 96.45 | 96.96 | 95.34 | 97.87 |

#### CONCLUSION

Floating tablets Granisetron were successfully prepared. From the study, it can be concluded that polymers used in different concentrations which provide longer buoyant property and prolonged drug release.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Hirtz J. The git absorption of drugs in man: a review of current concepts and methods of investigation. **Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol**. 1985; 19:77-83.
- Harder S, Furh U, and Bergmann D. Ciprofloxacin Absorption in Different Regions of the Human GIT, Investigation with the Hf Capsule. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 1990; 30(1):35–39.
- 3. Rouge N, Buri P, and Doelker E. Drug Absorption Site in the Gastrointestinal Tract and Dosage Forms for Site-Specific Delivery. **Int. J. Pharm**.1996; 136(1): 117–139.
- Drewe J, Beglinger C, and Kissel T. The Absorption Site of Cyclosporin in Human GIT. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.1992; 33(1):39–43.
- Streubel A, Siepmann J and Bodmeier R. Gastroretentive drug delivery system, Ex Ponchel G and Irache JM. Specific and non-specific bioadhesive particulate system for oral delivery to the gastrointestinal tract. Adv. Drug. Del. 1998; 34:191-219.
- Ponchel G and Irache JM. Specific and non-specific bioadhesive particulate system for oral delivery to the gastrointestinal tract. Adv. Drug. Del. 1998; 34:191-219.
- 7. Lenaerts VM and Gurny R. Gastrointestinal Tract- Physiological variables affecting the performance of oral sustained release dosage forms Bioadhesive Drug Delivery System. CRC Press; 1990
- 8. Deshpande AA, Shah NH, Rhodes and CT, Malick W. Development of a novel controlled-release system for gastric retention. **Pharm. Res**. 1997; 14:815-819.
- 9. Rednick AB and Tucker SJ. Sustained release bolus for animal husbandry. US patent 3 507 952. April 22, 1970.
- 10. Davis SS, Stockwell AF, Taylor MJ, et al. The effect of density on the gastric emptying of single and multiple unit dosage forms. **Pharm Res**. 1986; 3:208-213.
- Mamajek RC, Moyer ES, inventors. Drug dispensing device and method. US Patent 4 207 890. June 17, 1980.
- 12. Fix JA, Cargill R and Engle K. Controlled gastric emptying. III. Gastric residence time of a nondisintegrating geometric shape in human volunteers. **Pharm. Res**. 1993; 10:1087-1089.
- 13. Kedzierewicz F, Thouvenot P, Lemut J, Etienne A, Hoffman M, and Maincent P. Evaluation of peroral silicone dosage forms in humans by gamma-scintigraphy. J.Control. Release. 1999; 58:195-205
- Groning R and Heun G. Oral dosage forms with controlled gastrointestinal transit. Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm. 1984; 10:527-539.

- 15. Vantrappen GR, Peeters TL, Janssens J. The secretory component of interdigestive migratory motor complex in man.**J Gastroenterol**. 1979; 14:663-667.
- Wilson CG, Washington N. The stomach: its role in oral drug delivery. In: Rubinstein MH, ed. Physiological Pharmacetical: Biological Barriers to Drug Absorption. Chichester, UK: Ellis Horwood. 1989; 47-70.
- 17. Desai S and Bolton S. A floating controlled release drug delivery system: in vitro- in vivo evaluation.Pharm Res. 1993; 10:1321-1325.
- 18. Davis SS, Stockwell AF, Taylor MJ, et al. The effect of density on gastric emptying of single and multiple unit dosage forms. **Pharm Res**. 1986; 3:208-213.
- 19. Maru AD and Lalla JK. Intragastric floating tablets as Novel Oral Drug Delivery System. Indian drugs.
  1987; 25(2):57-64.
- 20. Takada, K. and Yosikawa, H. Oral Drug Delivery Traditional, In: Encyclopedia of Controlled drug delivery, Mithiowtz, Ed. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York) 1999; 752.
- 21. Bechgaard H, Ladefoged K. Distribution of pellets in gastrointestinal tract. The influence on transit time exerted by the density or diameter of pellets. J Pharm Pharmacol. 1978; 30:690-692.
- 22. Iannuccelli V et al. Air Compartment Multiple-Unit Systems for Prolonged Gastric Residence, Part I: Formulation Study Int. J. Pharm. 1998; 174(1): 47-54.
- 23. Reddy LH and Murthy RS Orit. Floating Dosage form in Drug Delivery. Orit. Rev. Ther. Drug Carrier Sys. 2002; 19(6):553-585.
- 24. Michaels AS., Bashwa JD, and Zaffaroni A, "Integrated Device for Administering Beneficial Drug at Programmed Rate", US Patent No. 3, 901, 232 (26 August 1975).
- 25. Hillton AK and Deasy PB. In vitro and In vivo Evaluation of an Oral Sustained-Release Floating Dosage Form of Amoxycillin Trihydrate Int. J. Pharm. 1992; 86 (1): 79-88.
- P.R. Sheth and J.L. Tossounia, "Novel Sustained-Release Tablet Formulations", US Patent Nos. 4, 167, 558 and 4,140, 75 (1979).
- 27. Sheth PR and Tossounian J. The Hydrodynamically Balanced System; A Novel Drug Delivery System for Oral Use. **Drug Dev. Ind. Phar**. 1984;10 (2): 313-339.
- Gupta PK and Robinson J. Oral Controlled-elease Deliery. in Treatise on Controlled Drug Delivery, A Kydonieus, Eds. (Marcel Dekker, New Jersey, 1992), pp. 255-310.
- Sakr FM.A Programmable Drug Delivery System for Oral Administration. Int. J. Pharm. 1999; 184 (1): 131-139.

- P.K. Gupta and J.R. Robinson, "Oral Controlled-elease Deliery," in Treatise on Controlled Drug Delivery, A Kydonieus, Eds. (Marcel Dekker, New Jersey, 1992), pp. 255-310.
- C.H. Seng et al., "Bioadhesive Polymers as Platforms for Oral Controlled Drug Delivery II Synthesis and Evaluation of Some Swelling, Water-Insoluble Bioadhesive Polymers", J. Pharm. Sci.1985; 74 (4): 399-405.
- 32. I.R. Wilding, S.S. Davis and D.T. O'Hagan, "Targetting of Drugs and Vaccines to the Gut", in Pharmac., Ther., C.J. Hawkey, Eds. (1994), pp 98-124.
- 33. Park K and. Robinson JR. Bioadhesive Polymers as Platforms for Oral-Controlled Drug Delivery: Method to Study Bioadhesion. **Int. J. Pharm**. 1984; 19 (1): 107-127.
- Y.W. Chein, "Oral Drug Delivery Systems," in Noel Drug Delivery Systems, Y.W. Chein, Eds. (Marcel Dekker, New York) 1992, pp. 139-196.
- 35. L.J. Caldwell, C.R. Garnder, and R.C. Cargil. "Drug Delivery Device which can be Retained in the Stomach for a Controlled Period of Time", US Patent No. 4735804 (3 April 1988).
- 36. Gupta P, Vermani K, and S. Garg S. Hydrogels: From Controlled Release to pH- Responsive Drug Delivery. Drug Discov. Today. 2002; 7 (10): 569-579
- 37. Singh B., Kim H., Floating drug delivery systems: an approach to oral controlled drug delivery via gastric retention, *J Control Release.*, 2000, 235-259.
- 38. Timmermans J., Andre M., Factors controlling the buoyancy and gastric retention capabilities of floating matrix capsules: new data for reconsidering the controversy, *J Pharm Sci.*, 1994, 18-24.
- 39. L.X. Cubeddu, I.S. Hoffmann, N.T. Fuenmayor, New Engl. J. Med., 322, 810 (1990).
- 40. M.B. Tyres, K.T. Bunce, and P.P.A. Humphrey, Eur. J. Cancer Clin. Oncol., 25 (Suppl I), S15 (1998).
- 41. C. Martin, V. Roman, and D. Agay, Radiation Res., 149, 631 (1998).