IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

PEPTIC ULCER: A SURVEY ON ETIOLOGY AND PATHOGENESIS

[1]Peehu Kaushik *student M. Pharm PCO [JVWU].*

Department of pharmaceutical science PCO [JVWU] Jaipur.

ABSTRACT

Peptic ulcer disease (PUD) is a serious gastrointestinal disease caused by the growth of bacteria in the lining of the stomach or upper intestine. This review aims to provide an overview of the aetiology and pathogenesis of peptic ulcer, focusing on factors contributing to its development. The main cause of ulcer disease is infection with Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori), a spiral-shaped virus that colonizes the gastric mucosa. H.pylori infection causes inflammation and disrupts the stomach's immune system, causing mucosal damage and ulceration. In addition, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are another major risk factor for peptic ulcers. Long-term use of NSAIDs affects the production of prostaglandins, which are important for maintaining the integrity of the gastric mucosa

Keywords:

Peptic ulcer, aetiology, pathogenesis, Helicobacter pylori, NSAIDs, inflammation, mucosal damage.

INTRODUCTION

Ulcers are profound injuries entering through the whole thickness of the gastrointestinal tract (g.i.t) mucosa and muscularis mucosa. Peptic ulcer has obviously been a illness of the twentieth century. Epidemiological information for this infection and its complications have appeared striking topographical varieties in rate and predominance. There are diverse sorts of ulcers most common are peptic ulcer: gastric ulcer, which showed up to be due to harm to the lining of the stomach, and duodenal ulcer, which was related with intemperate corrosive discharge by the stomach. The aetiology of peptic ulcer was furiously talked about. It is accepted that peptic ulcers create due to an lop-sidedness between forceful components (Helicobacter pylori, NSAIDs, gastric corrosive) and defensive components (mucin, bicarbonate, prostaglandins), leading to an interference within the mucosal judgment. Various factors are involved that play a essential part within the pathogenesis of ulcerations like, inactive life fashion, liquor admissions, hot

nourishment, drugs and different bacterial contaminations. Besides, a few endogenous substances have been recognized and are detailed to be included within the generation of gastrointestinal injuries in creatures. The more critical ones incorporate a few of the bacterial disease, different drugs and chemicals, gastric emission, lipid metabolites, neuropeptides, provocative arbiters and responsive free radicals. Oxidative push has risen as one of the major pathogenic components in movement of ulcer that specifically impeded the cellular capacities and promotes cellular organelles harm within the cells, counting mitochondria, lysosomes, and core. Too, NO is acknowledged as imperative arbiter of GIT mucosal defence as diminished NO era or amalgamation

contribute to the pathogenesis of ulceration. The display ponder summarizes the ulcerogenic components of these substances and the empower us to get it superior the aetiology of peptic ulcer.

TYPES OF PEPTIC ULCER

Peptic Ulcer: Peptic ulcer may be a wide term which incorporates ulcers of stomach related tract within the stomach or the duodenum. Prior it was accepted that one created this sort of ulcers due to stretch and hot nourishment. Be that as it may, later inquire about has appeared that these are fair the exasperating components. The causative specialist is disease caused by the microscopic organisms H. pylori or response to certain solutions like non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)[1] Signs of peptic ulcers consolidate stomach burden and torment. Other side effects incorporate weight misfortune, destitute craving, bloating, queasiness, and heaving. A few may moreover involvement blood in stool and upchuck, and black stools that demonstrate gastrointestinal bleeding[2]

Aphthous Ulcers: Bruises that create within the inward lining of the mouth are alluded to as mouth ulcers. Mouth ulcers are common and are as a rule due to injury such as from sick-fitting dentures, broken teeth, or fillings. Iron deficiency, measles, viral contamination, verbal candidiasis, persistent contaminations, throat cancer, mouth cancer and vitamin B lack are a few of the common causes of ulcers or bruises within the mouth [3]. Aphthous minor is among the foremost common shape of verbal ulcerative infections and influences an evaluated 15-20% of the populace around the world. In a few populaces, the predominance has been reported as being as tall as 50-66% and it is particularly common in North America [4-5]. The frequency of aphthous ulcers has been found to be lower in smokers than in non-smokers [6].

Oesophageal Ulcers: Oesophageal ulcers are injuries that happen within the oesophagus (the nourishment pipe). These are most commonly shaped at the conclusion of the nourishment pipe and can be felt as a torment right underneath the breastbone, within the same range where side effects of acid reflux are felt. Oesophageal ulcers are related with corrosive reflux or GERD, delayed utilize of drugs like NSAIDs, and smoking[7].

ULCER ETIOLOGY PATHOGENESIS OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI

H. Pylori is the most cause of stomach ulcers, was to begin with recognized by the two Australian researchers in 1982. H. Pylori may be a gram negative bacillus, motile, microaerophilic, flagellated and winding formed microscopic organisms [8]. Sort I strains of H. Pylori have a pathogenic movement, that encodes the effector protein cytotoxin-associated quality A (cag A). After translocation into the have cell, cag A impacts cell shape, increments cell motility, irritates cell junctional movement and in this way mindful for gastric carcinomas and gastric ulcers [9]. H. Pylori causes increments expression of cytokines such as TNF-α in gastritis. Advance, IL-1β is as well overexpressed within the H. Pylori-induced gastritis [10]. H. pylori-infected gastric mucosa appeared invasion of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, lymphocytes, monocytes, and plasma cells within the lamina propria, and intraepithelial serious neutrophil invasion [11]. The suitable anti-microbial regimens can effectively annihilate the contamination with total determination of mucosal irritation and a negligible chance for repeat of ulcers [12]. Triple treatment regimens comprising of a proton pump inhibitor or ranitidine bismuth citrate and two anti-microbials (amoxicillin and clarithromycin) are the standard treatment to treat H. pylori contamination [13].

GASTRIC ACID SECRETION IN STOMACH

Gastric corrosive is set up as one of the major ulcerogenic calculate for the acceptance of gastric ulcer infection. It has been detailed that around 50% of gastric ulcer patients are pepsin and corrosive hypersecretory [14]. But, on the other hand, gastric corrosive plays a rigid part in gastric defense. It is the primary line of mucosal defense to anticipate bacterial colonization and diminished their ability to entrance within the mucosal layer[15]. Corrosive discharge is proposed to be invigorated by three guideline secretagogues histamine, acetylcholine and gastrin. The receptors on the surface of parietal cell incorporate H2 receptors reacting to histamine discharged from specialized pole cells, receptors that are delicate to the muscarinic impacts of acetylcholine discharged from the vague nerve and likely receptors responsive to endogenous circulating gastrin [16]. Gastrin invigorates corrosive discharge either by coordinate incitement of parietal cells or by the release of histamine from ECL cells [17-18]. Within the 1972, Dark et al, hypothesized that histamine invigorated corrosive discharge through a novel

histamine receptor, the H2 receptor [19]. In addition, different ponders demonstrate various epithelial cells at the base of pyloric organs contain histamine and histidine decarboxylase (HDC), the chemical capable for the amalgamation of histamine [20]. The as it were source of the acetylcholine (Ach) that can act straightforwardly on the parietal cell is from the postganglionic filaments of the enteric apprehensive framework. The muscarinic-1 agonist McN-A-343 invigorates corrosive emission without influencing histamine discharge, hence recommending that the muscarinic receptor on the parietal cell [21] .

NSAID-ASSOCIATED ULCER MALADY AND THE UTILIZE OF PROTON PUMP INIBITOR

Numerous methodologies are accessible for the avoidance of NSAID and aspirin-associated gastroduodenal ulcers and their complications, such as the co-therapy of NSAIDs with a PPI, H_2 receptor opponent, or misoprostol; the utilize of COX-2-selective NSAIDs; or their combination with a gastroprotective specialist. PPIs are the foremost well known and compelling prophylactic operators[41]. The component of activity is diminishing the generation of gastric corrosive through irreversible authoritative to the hydrogen/potassium ATPase protein on gastric parietal cells. The combination of COX-2-selective NSAIDs and a PPI offers the leading assurance against peptic ulcer complications[42] . Standard measurements of H_2 receptor enemies cannot diminish the hazard of gastric ulcers [43]. Gastrointestinal disturbed and abortifacient activities restrain the utilize of misoprostol for gastric security, in spite of its successful avoidance of peptic ulcer complications. Ulcers recuperate in more than 85% of cases with six to eight weeks of PPI treatment in the event that the irritating agent is suspended. All of the gastric ulcers require rehash endoscopy to assess the victory of recuperating. On the off chance that ulcers come up short to mend, medicate compliance ought to be checked. For hard-headed ulcers, the multiplying of PPI dose for another six to eight weeks is regularly suggested, in spite of the fact that the prove supporting usually frail. After the avoidance of false-negative H. pylori status, unordinary causes of peptic ulcer ought to be investigated, such as malignancies, infections, Crohn's illness, vasculitis, upper stomach radiotherapy, cocaine utilize, and Zollinger–Ellison syndrome .PPIs are among the foremost commonly utilized and overprescribed medicines within the world [44]. The side impacts of the PPIs, such as a migraine, the runs, stoppage, and stomach inconvenience, are minor and effortlessly overseen. In any case, later ponders have recommended an affiliation between PPI utilize and a few genuine antagonistic impacts, which has been a source of major concern to patients and doctors. A few of the antagonistic impacts of PPIs are related to their concealment of gastric corrosive emission, permitting ingested microbial pathogens that would have been crushed by gastric corrosive to colonize the upper gastrointestinal tract and cause contaminations. Reports are recommending that the utilize of PPIs might increment the hazard of enteric contaminations such as Salmonella and Campylobacter, community-acquired pneumonia [45], Clostridium difficile diseases [46], and unconstrained bacterial peritonitis [47] . With gastric corrosive concealment, there's no incitement of endocrine D cells to create somatostatin, and in this manner no restraint of G cells for gastrin discharge, coming about in hypergastrinemia. Gastrin could be a development figure that can increment expansion in Barrett metaplasia and the colon [48]. In any case, PPI-induced hypergastrinemia in people for the most part is mellow, and once in a while causes carcinoid tumours in human patients unless they have a hereditary anomaly [49]. Besides, PPI utilization might ensure against cancer in Barrett's oesophagus, since PPIs heal the constant oesophageal irritation of reflux esophagitis, which may be a chance figure for the advancement of malignancy. Gastric corrosive restraint by PPIs too can influence the take-up of certain vitamins, minerals, and solutions. There are reports of patients on PPIs creating vitamin B12 insufficiency and press insufficiency frailty [50] . Also, PPIs might increment the hazard for osteoporosis and bone breaks by interferometer with the ionization and solubilization of the calcium salts that are required for their assimilation[51] . The fundamental instrument for hypomagnesemia is still not clear. PPI-induced gastric corrosive concealment diminishes ketoconazole retention and encourages the assimilation of digoxin [52]. Moreover, PPIs can influence the digestion system of other drugs metabolized by the cytochrome (CYP) P450 framework; for occasion, they can delay the clearance of warfarin, diazepam, and phenytoin. Significant consideration has been given to the potential of PPIs to diminish the antiplatelet activity of clopidogrel, since both are metabolized by the CYP2C19 chemical [53]. The clinical significance of the interaction remains debated, but the Nourishment and Medicate Organization (FDA) has issued notices to maintain a strategic distance from utilizing omeprazole or esomeprazole with clopidogrel. There has been a sensational increment in reports of random, unexpected unfavourable impacts of PPIs over the past a few a long time, such as myocardial dead tissue, stroke, intense and inveterate kidney malady, and eosinophilic esophagitis. The expanded recurrence of cardiovascular occasions in patients on clopidogrel who too utilize PPIs can be the

result of the drugs competing for digestion system by CYP2C19, in spite of the fact that there's a plausibility that PPIs might have cardiovascular impacts that are free of their impacts on clopidogrel enactment, maybe by the diminished generation of nitric oxide and changed vascular homeostasis [54]. It has been suggested that PPIs may contribute to the development of eosinophilic esophagitis by affecting digestion and absorption.[55]. The concealment of corrosive generation raises gastric pH and inactivates pepsin, hindering peptide ingestion and debasement, and causing allergic responses within the little digestive tract.

NSAIDS (NON-STEROIDAL ANTI-INFLAMMATORY DRUGS) :

NSAIDs are not only anti-inflammatory, but also anti-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory drugs. They are used in many medical conditions, including arthritis and other musculoskeletal diseases. Unfortunately, their use is limited to gastric ulcer-causing effects. Approximately 25% of people using this drug develop stomach ulcers[22]. Many studies have shown that NSAIDs aid wound healing by inhibiting the expression of cyclooxygenase (COX), an enzyme that has been shown to inhibit the conversion of AA to PG and contributes to the body, destroying the mucosal barrier and causing corrosion by the action of pepsin. . Development of peptic ulcer[23-24].In addition, inhibition of COX-1 by NSAIDS leads to the release of endothelin-1 (ET-1), a potent vasoconstrictor that has been shown to cause mucosal damage. NSAIDs cause gastric damage by inhibiting prostaglandin synthesis Prostaglandins cause neutrophil activation and local release of reactive oxygen species (ROS)[25]. In addition, NSAIDs are also responsible for ulcer pathogenesis by causing reduction in mucosal blood, mucus-bicarbonate secretion, impaired platelet aggregation, decreased epithelial cell turnover, and increased leukocyte adhesion[26]. Stomach acid. It exacerbates the effects of NSAIDs by deepening the wound, inhibiting platelet aggregation and disrupting the ulcer process[27-28] .

RESERPINE

The pathogenesis of gastric ulceration initiated by drugs isn't however clear. It has found that histamine, catecholamines and acetylcholine have been ensnared within the ulcerogenic movement of a number of drugs viz. phenylbutazone, acetyl salicylic corrosive, oxyphenbutazone, indomethacin and reserpine [29-31]. Reserpine is one of the drugs, determined from the roots of the rauwolfia serpentine detailed to have significant part within the movement of ulcer. Different reports indicate that reserpine causes the degranulation of pole cells with increment within the gastric corrosive emission by sympathetic activation [32]. Reserpine is recorded to create free radicals and restrain the prostaglandin blend. The precise instrument how reserpine caused gastric ulceration isn't clear. It has showed up that fringe cholinergic and adrenergic instruments are included within the ulceration initiated by reserpine [33]. It has illustrated that reserpine delivered gastric ulceration due to a discharge of catecholamines from the thoughtful nerve endings [34-35]. Both at fringe level and central apprehensive framework, reserpine depletes catecholamine, serotonin (5-HT) and histamine (H2) stores. Moreover, it is discharging gastrin and corticosteroids [36]. From these discharged endogenous atoms, serotonin can act specifically on the gastric mucosa. Moreover, number of creators clarifies the arrangement of ulcers by mediation of reserpine within the digestion system of serotonin. As in is well known, serotonin and its forerunners, when connected in bigger dosages, are able of causing dangerous changes within the stomach wall[37]. As for the catecholamines, the association within the ulcerative handle is more complicated. In a to begin with arrange, discharged catecholamines act on gastric blood vessels and afterward, after the stores are exhausted, they create a useful adrenergic shortage. This phasic activity on adrenergic components is predominant at the central anxious framework level. The result is an lop-sidedness between the adrenergic and the cholinergic tonus with critical results on gastric work [38]. Change of the adrenergic cholinergic adjust is transmitted to the gastric level by means of the vagus nerve. It is known the truth that vagotomy and muscarinic and nicotinic-cholinolytic drugs diminish the gastric ulcerative activity of the reserpine [39]. Reclamation of the adrenergic movement by catecholamine forerunners and drugs that fortify the biosynthesis and discharge of the forerunners moreover have defensive activity at gastric level.

ETHANOL

Ethanol The instrument of ethanol-induced gastric injuries is changed, counting the exhaustion of gastric bodily fluid substance, harmed mucosal blood stream and mucosal cell damage. It has been recorded that ethanol causes extreme harm to the gastrointestinal mucosa begins with microvascular harm comes about in increment vascular penetrability, edema arrangement and epithelial lifting. Szabo et al recommended that after intragastric

organization of ethanol a fast and time subordinate discharge of endothelin-1 into the systemic circulation gone before the improvement of the haemorrhagic mucosal disintegrations by vasoconstriction [14]. Additionally, by diminishing the discharge of bicarbonate (HCO3-) and bodily fluid generation, ethanol produces the necrotic injuries in gastric mucosa. Encourage ethanol moreover has been detailed to enact TNF-α and mitogen enacted protein kinases (MAPK) \[40]. Moreover, ethanol has too initiate apoptosis which lead to cell passing [40]. Advance, ethanol after digestion system has been detailed to discharges superoxide anion and hydroperoxyl free radicals which lead to an expanded lipid peroxidation [41]. Increment in lipid peroxide substance and oxygen-derived free radicals comes about in stamped changes in cellular levels and causes layer harm, cell passing, peeling and epithelial disintegration [41-42].

CYTOKINES

Cytokines play a central part within the control of the mucosal safe framework, and so are amazingly important in mucosal defence. A few proinflammatory cytokines are included within the pathogenesis of peptic ulcer, like interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8 and tumour corruption calculate (TNF)-α. When irritation of the gastric mucosa happens, it leads to invasion of neutrophils and mononuclear cells that fortifies the translation and leads to the blend of a few proinflammatory cytokines [43]. IL-1 has been appeared to diminish the seriousness of gastroduodenal harm and increment the resistance to damage 44 -45. The component fundamental the defensive activities of IL-1 isn't completely caught on, but it has been found that IL-1 decreases injury through a dumbfounding inhibitory activity on leukocyte adherence. Advance, IL-1 has too play a part within the restraint of gastric corrosive emission [44,46,47]. Too, IL-1 invigorates the discharge of prostaglandin and NO conceivably by actuating iNOS expression and COX-2 expression, hence give a security to gastroduodenal mucosa [48].Besides, IL-1 has been appeared to repress the discharge of other ulcer-promoting go between like PAF and histamine from pole cells. Moreover, Lychkova et al, 2007 illustrate the part of resistant framework within the pathogenesis of ulcers, basically T-lymphocytes and cytokines created by them. Takeuchi et al, 2002 found that NF- B actuation taken after by TNF- discharge contribute to tissue harm in gastric ulcer [49-50].

VEGF (VASCULAR ENDOTHELIAL GROWTH FACTOR)

VEGF, a 46-kDa homodimeric glycoprotein, is the foremost strong stimulator of angiogenesis which is created by a assortment of cell sorts counting macrophages, smooth muscle cells, fibroblasts, megakaryocytes, and neoplastic cells [51 -53]. Angiogenesis and VEGF play a major part in numerous repair forms such as mending of gastric ulceration coming about from a irritated adjust between variables which harm the gastric mucosa obstruction and those which have a defensive part. A few ponders have given prove for a part of VEGF in gastric ulcer recuperating. Jones et al watched upgraded ulcer recuperating in rats taking after a single infusion of exposed DNA encoding VEGF [54].

NO (NITRIC OXIDE)

NO is synthesized from L-arginine by means of the catalytic activity of a bunch of proteins, the NO synthases (NOS). NO has been considered to play an imperative part in GI mucosal defense and the pathogenesis of mucosal damage [55]. Too, NO may impact muscle tone as well as endocrine and exocrine emission. cNOS, nNOS, eNOS are exceptionally vital within the ordinary work of the GI tract in that hindrance of these chemicals can result in unsettling influences of GI motility, blood stream, discharge, etc [56]. On the other hand, the inducible NOS (iNOS), which produces generally expansive sums of NO beneath certain obsessive conditions, contributes to mucosal harm and brokenness [57-58]. Concealment of NO blend renders the gastric mucosa more vulnerable to damage. NO restrains enrolment of neutrophils to destinations of aggravation. NO decreases neutrophil invasion into the GI tract mucosa [59]. The occasions related to the gastroprotective impacts of nitric oxide incorporate a diminishment in corrosive discharge and advancement of angiogenesis [60-61]. Gastroprotective impacts of nitric oxide may be due to itis fast reactivity with different oxygen . species in the biologic system [62]. Also, Nitric oxide inhibits gastric secretion by suppression of histamine release from enterochromaffin-like cells [63-64] .

PROSTAGLANDINS

Prostaglandins are 20-carbon greasy acids delivered from arachidonic corrosive through the chemical cyclooxygenase. Hawkey and Rampton found that prostaglandins apply their cytoprotective activities by invigorating the bodily fluid and bicarbonate discharge, keeping up mucosal blood stream, and by upgrading the resistance of epithelial cells to damage initiated by cytotoxins [65]. Prostaglandins found to be restrains the leukocyte enrolment which might contribute to the advantageous impacts of these substances in circumstances in which the GI mucosa is aroused . Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) has been appeared to be a strong silencer of discharge of PAF, histamine and of TNF-α from peritoneal and intestinal mucosal pole cells [67-68] . Another, it has moreover found that prostaglandins smother the era of receptive oxygen metabolites by neutrophils [69] .

LTS (LEUKOTRIENES)

Leukotrienes are inferred from arachidonic corrosive through the activity of lipoxygenase and are considered to be vital arbiters of incendiary and unfavourably susceptible responses [70]. Two fundamental subclasses of LTs has been recommended, leukotriene B4 and the peptide-leukotrienes (LTC4, LTD4, and LTE4). LTB4 could be a exceptionally strong chemotaxes for neutrophils, it invigorate the discharge of receptive oxygen metabolites from neutrophils and contributes essentially to the tissue damage related with mucosal irritation. Goldberg and Subers depicted the part of LTs) on the stomach [71]. It has been appeared that LTs actuate vasoconstriction within the vascular bed of the stomach taken after by spillage of macromolecules from the postcapillary venules. Encourage, different other ponders detailed that LTC4 can too initiate vasoconstriction in both the venous and arteriolar vessels in rodent submucosa, which comes about in tissue rot [70,72]. Hence, LTs might serve as a potential PR ulcerogenic operator. It has been detailed that on ethanol organization there's a concentration-dependent increment in gastric mucosal LTC4 [73] and B4 union which give the prove of LTs as go between in ethanol-induced gastric harm [74]. The component by which ethanol invigorates LT arrangement by the rodent gastric mucosa isn't known. It may be caused by the annoyance of cell membranes, coming about within the actuation of phospholipase movement and increment in arachidonic corrosive level, with a consequent upgrade of LT union. In addition, LTB4 has been proposed to contribute to the pathogenesis of NSAID-induced gastric harm through its capacity to advance leukocyte adherence to the vascular endothelium [66] . Also, LTB4 may play a comparable part within the pathogenesis of ulceration related with Helicobacter pylori contamination. Interests, gastric juice LTB4 levels are altogether higher in patients with gastric H. pylori colonization than in those who are H. pylori negative [75] .

ENDOTHELIN

Endothelin could be a 21-amino corrosive peptide inferred from vascular endothelial cells and it has been proposed that it includes a patho-physiological part in conditions characterized by vascular fit. Moreover, endothelin may act as an endogenous controller of vascular tone, with inverse activities to endothelium-derived unwinding variables (EDRF) and prostacyclin (PGI2). Vascular blockage may be a highlight characterizing gastric ulceration actuated by substances such as ethanol and headache medicine [76]. Several lipid go between have ulcerogenic activities within the gastric mucosa, and these activities may influence the vascular endothelium and/or vascular smooth muscle [77-78] . Endothelin rendered the mucosa more helpless to harm actuated by ethanol. Endothelin too potentiated gastric harm actuated by hydrochloric corrosive at a concentration endured by the gastric mucosa. Assist, endothelin delivered checked increment in gastric vascular perfusion weight, probably a reflection of vasoconstriction. It is completely attainable that the PR ulcerogenic actions of endothelin may be inferable to its vasoconstrictor activities within the stomach. It shows up that a adjust between endothelial contracting and unwinding components is essential for the support of gastric mucosal keenness, especially within the case of challenge with a necrotizing specialist [79] .

APOPTOSIS

Apoptosis was initially defined by Kerr et al. (1972) who suggested that cells dying in this process go through defined morphological changes that involve chromatin condensation, cytoplasmic and nuclear blebbing, and eventual cellular demise without loss of membrane integrity [80]. Under normal physiological conditions, the balance between gastric epithelial cell proliferation and death is of great importance in maintaining gastric mucosal integrity. Since, the balance between cell apoptosis and cell proliferation

has important role to keep the gastric mucosa healthy [81]. Since, the gastric epithelial cells proliferate in the lower part of the glandular neck and migrate up the crypt towards the surface and then are shed into the lumen by apoptosis [82]. Disturbance of this balance could result in either cell loss, leading to mucosal damage and ulcer formation, or cell accumulation, leading to cancer development [83].

CONCLUSION

Peptic ulcer infection remains a visit clinical issue in our environment overwhelmingly influencing all age of individuals. As the predominance of peptic ulcer illness increments with progressing age it is anticipated that this common illness will proceed to have a critical worldwide affect on health-care conveyance, wellbeing financial matters and the quality of life of patients.

REFERENCES

1. Bandyopadhyay D, Biswas K, Bhattacharyya M, Reiter RJ, Banerjee RK. Gastric toxicity and mucosal ulceration induced by oxygen-derived reactive species, protection by melatonin. Curr Mol Med 2001;1:501-513.

2. Leslie W. Peptic Ulcer: A Reappraisal of its peptic aetiology. Ann Roy Coll Surg Engl 1972;50:146-163.

3. Crispian S, Rosemary S. Mouth ulcers and other causes of orofacial soreness and pain Br Med J 2000;321:162-165.

4. Tilliss TSI a nd McDowell JD. Differential Diagnosis: I s It Herpes or Aphthous? J Contemp Dent Pract 2002;1:001-015.

5. Scully C and Porter SR. Recurrent aphthous stomatitis: current concepts of etiology, pathogenesis and management. J Oral Pathol Med 1989; 18:21-7.

6. Axell T, Henricsson V. Association between recurr ent aphthous ul cers and tobacco habits. Scand J Dent Res 1985;93:239–42.

7. Mohammad A. Al-Mofarreh, Ibrahim A. Al Mofleh. Esophageal ulceration complicating doxycycline therapy. World J Gastroenterol 2003;9:609-611.

8. Majumdar D, Bebb J, Atherton J. Helicobacter pylori infection and peptic ulcers. Med 2011:39;154-161.

9. Ludovico B, Eric S, Annemarthe G. Van DV, Ri no R, Antonello C, et al. Helicobacter Pylori cytotoxin-associated gene A subverts the apoptosis-stimating protein of p53 ASPP2 tumor suppressor pathway of the host. 2011; 1-6.

10. Martin JB. Not all Helicobacter pylori strains are created equal; should all be eliminated. Lancet 1997;349:1020-22. 62. Mannick EE, Bravo LE, Zarama G, Realpe JL, Zhang XJ, Ruiz B et

11. Fan XG, Kelleher D, Fan XJ, Xia HX, Keeling PW. Helicobacter pylori increases proliferation of gastric epithelial cells. Gut 1996;38:19-22.

12. Marshall B, Warren JR. Unidentified curved bacillus in active chronic gastritis. Lancet 1983;1:1.

13. Akram M, Shahab-uddin, Ahmed A, Usmanghani K, Hannan A, Mohiuddin E, et al. Peptic ulcer and Helicobacter pylori eradication: A review article. Int J Med Sci 2010;2:370-375.

14. Szabo S, Vincze A, Sandor Z, Jadus M, Gombos Z, Pedram A, et al. Vascular approach to gastroduodenal ulceration. New stud-ies with endothelins and VEGF. Dig Dis Sci 1998;43:40-45.

15. Aihara T, Nakamura E, Amagase K, Tomita K, Fujishita T, Furutani K et al. Pharmacological control of gastric acid secretion for the treatment of acid-related peptic disease: past, present, and future. Pharmacol Ther 2003;98:109-127.

16. Tasman-Jones C. Pathogenesis of peptic ulcer disease and gastritis: Importance of aggressive and cytoprotective factors. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1986; 21: 1-5.

17. Lindstrom E, Chen D, Norlen P, Andersson K, and Hakanson R. Control of gastric acid secretion: the gastrin-ECL cell-parietal cell axis. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 2001;128: 505–514.

18. Terence C, Enrique R. CCK2 receptor mediates rapid protein kinase D activation through a protein kinase C-dependent pathway. FEBS Lett 2001;489:101-106.

19. Waldum HL, Kleveland PM, Sandvik AK, Brenna E, Syversen U, Bakke I, and Tommeras K. The cellular localization of the cholecystokinin 2 receptor in the stomach. Pharmacol Toxi col 2002;91:359–362.

20. Hunyady BL, Lyomi ARZ, Hoffman BJ, Mezey EV. Gastrin-Producing Endocrine Cells: A Novel Source of Histamine in the Rat Stomach. Endocrinology 1998;139:4404-4415.

21. Sandvik AK, Kleveland PM, Waldum HL. Muscarinic M2 stimulation releases histamine in the t otally isolated, vascularly perfused rat stomach. Scand J Gastroenterol 1988;23: 1049-56.

22. Griffin MR., Piper JM., Daugherty JR., Snowden M., Ray WA. Non steroidal anti-inflammatory drug u se and increased risk for peptic ulcerdisease in elderly persons. Ann Intern Med 1991;114:257-263.

23. Scarpignato C, Hunt RH. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug-related injury to the gastrointestinal tract: clinical picture, pathogenesis, and prevention. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2010;39:433-464.

24. Vane JR, Botting RM. Mechanism of action of non steroidal anti inflammatory drugs. Am J Med 1998: 104; 2S-8S.

25. Whittle BJ. Gastrointestinal effects of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Fundam Clin Pharmacol 2002;17:301–313.

26. Allen A, Flemstrom G, Garner A and Kivilaakso E. Gastro duodenal mucosal protection. Physio Rev 1993;73:823-857

27. Valkhoff VE, Sturkenboom MC, Kuipers EJ. Risk factors for gastrointestinal bleeding associated with low-dose aspirin. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2012;26:125-40.

28. Malfertheiner P, Chan FKL, McColl KEL. Peptic ulcer disease. Lancet 2009;374:1449–1461.

29. Bhargava KP, Gupta MB, T angri KK. Mechanism of ulcerogenic activity of indomethacin and oxyphenbutazone, European J Pharmacol 1973; 22:191.

30. Alphin RS, Ward JW. An investigation of antihistaminic activity and gastric ulceration, European J Pharmacol 1969;6:6l.

31. Djahanguiri B. Effect of a single dose of phentolamine and M.J. 1999 on aspirin-induced gastric ulceration in rats. J Pharm Pharmacol 1969; 21:541.

32. Cho CH, Ogle CW and Dai S. A study on the etiology of reserpine ulceration and the antiulcer action of solcoseryl in rat stomach. J Pharm Pharmacol 1985;37:823-82.

33. Gupta MB, Tangri KK, Bharga va KP. Mechanism of ulcerogenic activity of reserpine in albino rats. European J Pharmacol 1974;27:269-271.

34. Brodie BB, O lin JS, Kuntzman RG, Shore A. Possible interrelationship between release of brain norepinephrine and serotonin by reserpine. Sci 1957;125:1293.

35. Chidsey CA, Braunwald E, Morrow AC. Reserpine and noradrenaline stores, Lancet 1962;2:458.

36. Konturek SJ, Radecki T, Konturek D, Dimitrescu T. Effect of calcitonin on gastric and pancreatic secretion and peptic ulcer formation in cats. In: Am J Dig Dis 1974; 19: 235-241.

37. Zavodskaya IS, Khodzhaev BR. The mechanism of reserpine ulcers of the stomach. Bulletin of Experimental Biology and Medicine. 1963;57:196-198.

38. Nedelcu LD, Grapa V, Sandor P, Orbai DL. Dumitrascu. Inhibition of reserpine-induced ulcers by calcitonin in rats. Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov Series VI: Medical Sciences 2011; 4:1.

39. Tache Y, Kolve E, Maeda HM, Kauffman GL. Central nervous system action of calcitonin to alter experimental gastric ulcers in rats. In: Gastroenterol 1988; 94: 145-150.

40. Vidya S, Ramesh A, Alekhya N, Lohitha I. Antiulcer activity of Eugenia Jambolana leaves against Ethanol-induced gastric ulcers in albino rats. IIJPRD 201;:3:106-112.

41. Mahmood AA, Al-Bayaty FH, Salmah I, Nor Syuhada AB, Harita H, Mughrabi FF. Enhacement of gastric ulcer of gastric ulcer by ar eca catechu nut in ethanol induced gastric mucosal injuries in rats. Journal of medicinal plant Research 2011;5:2562-2569.

42. Umamaheswari M, Asokkumar K, Rathidevi R, Sivashanmugam AT, Subhadradevi V, Ravi TK. Antiulcer and in vitro antioxidant activities of Jasminum grandiflorum L. J. Ethnopharmacol 2007;110: 464-470.

43. Lindholm C, Quiding- Jarbrink M, Lonroth H, Hamlet A, Svennerholm AM. Local cytokine response in Helicobacter pylori-infected subjects. Infect immune 1998;66: 5964-71.

44. Robert A, Olafsson AS, Lancaster C, Zhang W. Interleukin-1 is cytoprotective, antisecretory, stimulates PG E2 synthesis by the stomach, and retards gastric emptying. Life Sci 1990;48:123–134.

45. Wallace JL, Keenan CM, Mu gridge KG, Parente L. Reduction of the severity of experimental gastric and duodenal ulceration by interleukin-1β. Eur J Phar macol 1990;186:279–284

46. Wallace JL, Keenan CM, Cu cala M, Mugridge KG, Parente L. Mechanisms underlying the protective effects of interleukin-1 in experimental NSAID gastropathy. Gastroenterology 1992;102:1176–1185.

47. Uehara A, Okumura T, Sekiya C, Okamura K, Takasugi Y, Namiki M. Interl eukin-1 inhibits t he secretion of gastric acid in rats: possible involvement of prostaglandin. Biochem Bi ophys Res Commun 1989;162:1578–1584.

48. Wu KK, Sanduja R, Tsai AL, Ferhanoglu B, Loose-Mitchell DS. Aspirin inhibits interleukin 1-induced prostaglandin H synthase expression in cultured endothelial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1991;88:2384–2387

49. Batool MM. Some cytokines profile in ga stric ulcer. N Iraqi J Med 2011; 7:33-37.

50. Takeuchi K, Ohuchi T, Okabe S. Effects of nitric oxide syntha se inhibitor NG-nitro-L -arginine methyl ester on duodenal alkaline secretory and ulcerogenic responses induced by mepirizole in rats. Dig. Dis. Sci 1995;40:670-677.

51. Szabo S, Vincze A. Growth factors in ulcer healing: lessons from recent studies. J Physiol Paris 2000;94:77–81.

52. Maloney JP, Silliman CC, Ambruso DR, Wan J, Tuder RM, Voelkel NF. In vitro release of vascular endothelial growth factor duri ng platelet aggregation. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 1998;275: H1054–H1061

53. Berse B, Brown LF, Van de Water L, Dvorak HF, Senger DR. Vascular permeability factor gene is expressed differentially in normal tissues, macrophages, and tumors. Mol Biol Cell 1992;3:211–220

54. Jones MK, Kawanaka H, Baatar D, Szabo IL, Tsugawa Pai R, Koh GY, Kim I, Sarfeh J, Tarnawski AS. Gene therapy for gastric ulcers with single local injection of naked DNA encoding VEGF and angiopoietin-1. Gastroenterology 2001;121:1040–1047.

55. Wallace JL, Miller MJ. Nitric oxide in mucosal defense: a little goes a long way. Gastroenterology 2000;119:512–520.

56. Bilski J, Konturek PC, Konturek SJ, Cieszkowski M, and Czarnobilski K. Role of endogenou s nitric oxide in the control of gastric acid secretion, blood flow and gastrin release in conscious dogs. Regul Pept 1994;53: 175–184.

57. Whittle BJR, Lopez-Belmonte J, Moncada S. Regulation of gastric mucosal integrity by endogenous nitric oxide: interactions with prostanoids and sensory neuropeptides in the rat. Br J Pharmacol 1990;99:607–611.

58. MacNaughton WK, Cirino G, Wallace JL. Endothelium-derived relaxing factor has protective actions in the stomach. Life Sci 1989;45:1869–1876.

59. Miller MJ, Sandoval M. Nitric oxide: III. A molecular prelude to intestinal inflammation. Am J Physiol 1999;276:G795–G799.

60. Ma L, wallace JL. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase modulates gastric ulcer healing in rats. Am. J physiol Gastrointest. Liver physiol 2000;279:G341-G346.

61. Takeuchi T, Miura S, Wang L, Uehara K, Mizumori M , Kishikawa H et al. Nuclear Factor- B and TNF-Mediate Gastric Ulceration Induced by Phorbol Myristate Acetate Dig Dis Sci 2002;47:2070-8

62. Mannick EE, Bravo LE, Zarama G, Realpe JL, Zhang XJ, Ruiz B et al. Inducible nitric oxide synthase, nitrotyrosine, and apoptosis in Helicobacter pylori ga stritis: effect of antibiotics and antioxidants. Cancer Res 1996;56:3238-3243.

63. Freitas CS, Baggio CH, Da Silva-Santos JE, Rieck L, de Moraes Santos CA, et al. Involvement of nitric oxide in the gastroprotective effects of an a queous extract of Pfaffia glomerata Pedersen, Amaranthaceae, in rats. Life Sci 2004;74:1167-1179.

64. Kato S, Kitamura M, Korolkiewicz RP, Takeuchi K. Role of nitric oxide in regulation of gastric acid secretion in rats: effects of NO donors and NO synthase inhibitor. Br J Pharmacol 1998;123:839-846.

65. Hawkey CJ, Rampton DS. Prostaglandins a nd the gastrointestinal mucosa: are they important in its function, disease, or treatment? Gastroenterol 1985;89:1162–1188,

66. Asako H, Kubes P, Wallace JL, Wolf RE, Granger DN. Modulation of leukocyte adhesion in rat mesenteric venules by aspirin and salicylate. Gastroenterol 1992;103:146–152.

67. Hogaboam CM, Bissonnette EY, Chin BC, Befus AD, Wallace JL. Prostaglandins inhibit inflammatory mediator release from rat mast cells. Gastroenterol 1993;104:122–129.

68. Kunkel SL, Spengler M, May MA, Spengler R, Larrick J, Remick D. Prostaglandin E2 regulates macrophage-derived tumor necrosis factor gene expression. J Biol Chem 1988;263:5380–5384.

69. Wong K, Freund F. Inhibition of n-formylmethiony-leucyl-phenylalanine induced respiratory burst in human neutrophils by adrenergic agonists and prostaglandins of the E series. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 1981;59:915–920.

70. Whittle BJR, Oren-Wolman N, Guth PH. Gastric vasoconstrictor actions of leukotriene C4, PGF2 alpha, and thromboxane mimetic U-46619 on rat submucosal microcirculation in vivo. Am J Physiol 1985;248:580-586.

71. Goldberg MM, Subers EM. The r eactivity of rat isolated gastrointestinal tissues to leukotrienes, European J Pharmacol 1982;78:463-466.

72. Peck MJ, Piper PJ, Williams TJ. The effect of leukotrienes C4 and D4 on the microvasculature of the.guinea pig skin. Prostaglandins 1981;21:315-321, 1981.

73. Peskar BM, Lange K, Hoppe H, Peskar BA. Ethanol stimulates formation of Leukotriene C4 in rat gastric mucosa. Prostaglandins 1986;31:283-293.

74. Wallace JL, MacNaughton WK, Morris GP, Beck PL. Inhibition of leukotriene synthesis markedly accelerates healing in a rat model of inflammatory bowel disea se. Gastroenterol 1989;96:29-36.

75. Yoshida N, Takemura T, Granger DN, Anderson DC, Wolf RE, McIntire LV et al. Molecular determinants of aspirin-induced neutrophil adherence to endothelial cells. Gastroenterol 1993;105:715–724.

76. Yanagisawa M, Kurihara H, Kimura S, Tomobe Y, Kobayashi M, Mitsui Y, Yazaki Y, Goto K, Masaki T.90. G. PIHAN, C. ROGERS and S. SZABO. A novel potent va soconstrictor peptide produced by vascular endothelial cells. Nature 1988;31:332:411-415.

77. Pihan G. Rogers C, Szabo S. Vascular injury in acut e gastric mucosal damage: mediatory role in leukotrienes. Dig Dis Sci 1988;33:625-632.

78. Rosam, AC, Wallace JL, Whittle BJR. Potent ulcerogenic actions of platelet-activating factor on the stomach. Nature 1986;319:54-56.

79. Whittle BJR, Kauffman GL, Moncada S. Vasoconstriction with thromboxane A2 induces ulceration of the gastric mucosa. Nature 1981;292:472-474.

80. Kerr JF, Wyllie AH, Currie AR. Apoptosis: a basic biological phenomenon with wide-ranging implications in tissue kinetics. Br J Cancer 1972;26:239-257.

81. Kalia N, Bardhan KD, Reed MW, Jacob S, Brown NJ. Mast cell stabilization prevents ethanol-induced rat gastric mucosal injury: mechanisms of protection. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2000;15:133-141.

82. Ohkura Y, Furihata T, Kawamata H, Tabuchi M, Kubota K., Terano A et al.. Evaluation of cell proliferation and apoptosis in Helicobacter pylori gastritis using an i mage analysis processor. Gastric Cancer 2003;6:49-54.

83. Kohda K, Tanaka K, Aiba Y, Yasuda M, Miwa T, Koga Y. Role of apoptosis induced by Helicobacter pylori infection in the development of duodenal ulcer. Gut 1999;44: 456-462.