IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Underwater Acoustic Communication and Ship Recognition: A Comprehensive Review of Advancements, Challenges, and Opportunities

[1]Anuj Meherer, [2]Tushar Kant, [3]Mahesh Jarhad

[1]Student, [2]Student, [3]Student

[1]Electronics And Telecommunication,

[1]Pune Institute of Computer Technology, Pune, India

Abstract: Underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition are essential for underwater exploration and defense. This review paper presents a detailed technical overview of recent advancements, challenges, and opportunities associatedwith underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition. Underwater communication technology encounters unique challenges due to high signal absorption in the aqueous medium. The paper provides an extensive technical review of various underwater communication technologies, including acoustic, magnetic, and visual light, while comparing their potential and challenges. Additionally, the paper discusses recent developments in underwater communication technology, such as newmodulation and coding techniques, multiple access schemes, and network protocols. Furthermore, the paper provides an overview of ship recognition techniques, including passive sonar, active sonar, LOFAR, and acoustic imaging, along with recent advancements in LOFAR-based ship recognition, such as machinelearning algorithms, neural networks, and deep learning techniques. The paper also presents the challenges and opportunities associated with underwater acoustic communication and shiprecognition, including technological, environmental, and regulatory challenges. The paper concludes that LOFAR-based ship recognition shows considerable potential for underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition, but further research is necessary to overcome the associated challenges and develop more advanced techniques. In summary, this review paper provides a comprehensive understanding of the importance of underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition, recentadvancements, and areas for future research.

***Index Terms* - Underwater Acoustic Communication, LOFAR, Ship recognition, 1/3rd OCTAVE analysis, Machine Learning.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Underwater acoustic communication is the transmission of information using sound waves in a water medium. It is a critical research area in the field of underwater exploration and defense, which enables communication with submerged devices and vehicles. The fundamental principles of underwater acoustic communication include the use of sound waves for communication, signal processing techniques, modulation and coding techniques, and multiple access schemes. The basic idea is to encode the message into a sound signal, transmit it through the water medium, and decode it at the receiver end. The water medium presents unique challenges such as high attenuation, signal distortion, and environmental noise sources that significantly impact the quality and reliability of the transmitted signals. Nonetheless, the use of acoustic communication in underwater environments has demonstrated significant potential in several applications, such as oceanographic research, underwater navigation, and defense operations. This section provides a comprehensive overview of the basic principles of underwater acoustic communication, its applications, and the challenges associated with its implementation. Several factors affect the transmission of acoustic signals through water, influencing signal propagation. Among these factors are water temperature and salinity, which can respectively affect the speed of sound and the refractive index of water. In addition, attenuation is a crucial consideration in underwater acoustic communication and increases as frequency and distance increase. Absorption, scattering, and reflection of sound waves by various underwater structures are among the factors contributing to attenuation. This signal degradation reduces the range and reliability of underwater acoustic communication systems. Furthermore, noise sources in the underwater environment can have a significant impact on signal quality and reliability. Wind, waves, and boat or ship traffic are among these sources, which can generate both transient and continuous noise that interferes with acoustic signals. Understanding the impact of these factors on underwater acoustic communication is crucial to develop robust communication systems that can operate effectively in challenging underwater environments. Various techniques have been developed to address the challenges associated with underwater acoustic communication. These techniques include signal processing, modulation, and coding, which aim to enhance the signal to noise ratio and mitigate the effects of attenuation and noise in the underwater environment. Underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition have emerged as crucial research areas in the domains of underwater exploration and defense. Effective communication and recognition of ships in underwater environments are vital for several applications, such as oceanographic data collection, navigation, and underwater security [1-3]. Nonetheless, the underwater setting poses unique challenges that hamper signal quality and reliability, including limited bandwidth, high signal attenuation, and noise sources. Additionally, ship recognition presents challenges due to the complexity and variability of underwater acoustic signals. Recently, significant progress has been made in underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition, including the development of new modulation and coding techniques, multiple access schemes, network protocols, and data processing algorithms. This survey paper presents a comprehensive review of recent advancements, challenges, and opportunities in underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition. It delves into the underlying principles of underwater acoustic communication and various ship recognition techniques. It also discusses recent advancements in LOFAR-based (Low Frequency Analyzer and Recorder) ship recognition using machine learning and deep learning techniques, along with the associated challenges and opportunities in these fields.

II. Underwater Acoustic Communication: An Overview

Underwater acoustic communication is the transmission of information using sound waves in a water medium. It is a critical research area in the field of underwater explorationand defense, which enables communication with submerged devices and vehicles. The fundamental principles of underwater acoustic communication include the use of sound wavesfor communication, signal processing techniques, modulation and coding techniques, and multiple access schemes. The basic idea is to encode the message into a sound signal, transmit it through the water medium, and decode it at the receiver end. The water medium presents unique challenges such as high attenuation, signal distortion, and environmental noise sources that significantly impact the quality and reliability of the transmitted signals. Nonetheless, the use of acoustic communication in underwater environments has demonstrated significant potential in several applications, suchas oceanographic research, underwater navigation, and defense operations. This section provides a comprehensive overviewof the basic principles of underwater acoustic communication, its applications, and the challenges associated with its implementation. Several factors affect the transmission of acoustic signals through water, influencing signal propagation. Among these factors are water temperature and salinity, which can respectively affect the speed of sound andthe refractive index of water. In addition, attenuation is a crucial consideration in underwater acoustic communication and increases as frequency and distance increase. Absorption, scattering, and reflection of sound waves by various underwater structures are among the factors contributing to attenuation. This signal degradation reduces the range andreliability of underwater acoustic communication systems. Furthermore, noise sources in the underwater environment canhave a significant impact on signal quality and reliability.Wind, waves, and boat or ship traffic are among these sources,which can generate both transient and continuous noise that interferes with acoustic signals. Understanding the impact of these factors on underwater acoustic communication is crucial to develop robust communication systems that can operate effectively in challenging underwater environments. Various techniques have been developed to address the challenges associated with underwater acoustic communication. Thesetechniques include signal processing, modulation, and coding, which aim to enhance the signal to noise ratio and mitigate the effects of attenuation and noise in the underwater environment.Underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition haveemerged as crucial research areas in the domains of underwater exploration and defense. Effective communication and recognition of ships in underwater environments are vital for several applications, such as oceanographic data collection, navigation, and underwater security [1-3]. Nonetheless, the underwater setting poses unique challenges that hamper signalquality and reliability, including limited bandwidth, high signal attenuation, and noise sources. Additionally, ship recognition presents challenges due to the complexity and variability of underwater acoustic signals. Recently, significant progress hasbeen made in underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition, including the development of new modulationand coding techniques, multiple access schemes, network protocols, and data processing algorithms. This survey paper presents a comprehensive review of recent advancements, challenges, and opportunities in underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition. It delves into the underlying principles of underwater acoustic communication and various ship recognition techniques. It also discusses recent advancements in LOFAR-based (Low Frequency Analyzer andRecorder) ship recognition using machine learning and deep learning techniques, along with the associated challenges and opportunities in these fields.

III. Challenges For Ship Recognition

Ship traffic analysis and ship identification are vital in today's era for several reasons. They are crucial for ensuring maritime security by monitoring vessel movements, identifying suspicious activities, and enforcing laws and regulations. Additionally, ship traffic analysis helps to manage traffic flow, prevent collisions, and ensure safe navigation for navigation safety. Ship identification is also essential for ensuring that vessels comply with environmental regulations and are held accountable for any violations. Finally, ship traffic analysis is essential for managing ports and ensuring efficient cargo handling and transport for trade and commerce purposes.

Underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition pose significant research challenges due to various factors, such as: limited bandwidth, high attenuation, noise, multipath propagation and doppler shift. Overcoming the challenges associated with underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition requires the development of specialized techniques and technologies that are tailored to the unique characteristics of the underwater environment. Signal processing, modulation, and coding techniques are among the key technologies that have been developed for this purpose. Researchers have applied various signal processing strategies to address these challenges. For example, Das et al. [4] used spectral characteristics and cepstral coefficients, Wang et al. [5] used a bark- wavelet analysis combined with HilbertHuang transform, Bao et al. [6] exploited the nonlinear features of radiated sound through empirical mode decomposition, Zak [7] used Kohonen neural networks, Yang et al. [8] proposed fractal approaches, and Lennartsson et al. [9] fused sound and electromagnetic signatures for classification purposes. Developing ship recognition techniques is a challenging task due to the scarcity of databases. However, some researchers have made noteworthy progress towards creating a standard database. McKenna et al. [10] created a database using data collected opportunistically from 29 freighters via an autonomous recording device installed under the Santa Barbara Channel in California, USA. Arveson and Vendittis [11] analyzed the noise of a single freighter using good quality recordings made in AUTEC (Bahamas). Erbe [12] recorded 66 jet ski pass-by to characterize their sound. Roth et al. [13] characterized the noise of an icebreaker under the ice of the Arctic Ocean using a sonobuoy that provided hours of recording before it exited the range of the radio link. Lennartsson et al. [9] created a database of 15 vessels by using hydroacoustic and electromagnetic signatures. Das et al. [4] trained a classifier by augmenting the recorded sound of 6 boats with synthetic data. Bao et al. [6] used recordings of 6 boats to train a classifier, and Yang et al.[8] and Zak [7] trained a neural network with sounds from 5 Polish Navy ships. Despite the expressed need for better databases in this area of research, no recording database has been made available to the research community as yet.

IV. ADVANCEMENTS IN UNDERWATER ACOUSTIC SHIP COMMUNICATION SYSTEM FOR SHIP RECOGNITION

Recent advancements in underwater acoustic communication have focused on developing new modulation and coding techniques, as well as multiple access schemes and network protocols, to improve the reliability and efficiency of communication systems in challenging underwater environments. Notable advancements include new modulation techniques such as orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) and single-carrier frequency division multiple access (SC- FDMA), which are designed to improve communication robustness in noisy underwater environments. Researchers have also explored new coding techniques such as turbo codes and low-density parity-check codes (LDPC) to reduce the effects of noise and improve the accuracy of transmitted information. Multiple access schemes such as time-division multiple access (TDMA) and frequency division multiple access (FDMA) allow multiple users to share the same frequency band without interfering with each other. Additionally, network protocols such as acoustic sensor networks (ASN) and underwater acoustic networks (UAN) have been developed to enable communication between underwater sensors and other devices, improving the reliability and efficiency of data transmission in underwater environments. Overall, these advancements are addressing challenges such as limited bandwidth, high signal attenuation, and noise, leading to the development of more efficient and reliable communication systems for applications such as oceanography, environmental monitoring, underwater exploration, and defense. These advancements in underwater acoustic communication offer several advantages, such as the ability to improve communication range, increase data rates, reduce power consumption, and improve data accuracy. New modulation and coding techniques, along with multiple access schemes, have led to more reliable and efficient communication over longer distances. The use of advanced coding techniques has also reduced the effects of noise and interference, thereby improving the accuracy of transmitted data. However, these advancements have some drawbacks, including increased complexity, higher costs, and limited compatibility with existing communication systems. The potential applications of these advancements are vast, including oceanography, marine exploration, defense, and industrial applications. For instance, improved communication can enhance the monitoring of ocean currents, temperature, and other environmental factors in oceanography. In marine exploration, underwater acoustic communication can be used to control autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) and collect data from remote locations. These advancements can also be used for under- water surveillance, detection, and communication in military operations. Additionally, underwater acoustic communication can be used in offshore oil and gas exploration, underwater mining, and other industrial applications.

V. OVERVIEW OF SHIP RECOGNITION TECHNIQUES

The acoustic signature of a ship pertains to the noise it produces as it navigates through water. This sound results from the interaction of the ship's propelling mechanism with the water, and its intensity can be affected by various factors such as the size, speed, and shape of the vessel. To detect and analyse the acoustic signature of a ship, sonar systems commonly utilize hydrophones and other acoustic sensors. Ships can be categorized based on their size, shape, and intended purpose. A range of typical ship types includes cargo vessels, tankers, container ships, bulk carriers, cruise ships, naval vessels, and fishing boats. Each classification of ship exhibits a distinctive acoustic signature that enables identification and differentiation from other vessel types. Ship recognition techniques are used to classify and identify ships based on their unique acoustic signatures[14-16].

A. Passive Sonar

Passive sonar is a method of detecting and categorizing ships by analysing the sounds they produce. This technique involves the use of hydrophones, which are submerged microphones, to capture the ship's acoustic signals. The hydrophones are usually arranged in arrays to provide directional information about the sound source. The signals received by the hydrophones are then processed to extract features such as the frequency content and time-varying characteristics of the sound [17-19]. The sounds produced by a ship can arise from various sources, such as its engines, propellers, and other machinery. These sounds can be influenced by factors such as the ship's speed, direction, and environmental variables such as ocean temperature and salinity. By analyzing the received signal, passive sonar can provide information about the ship's size, speed, and direction of travel, as well as its acoustic signature, which can be utilized to determine the ship type. In conclusion, passive sonar is an effective technique for ship detection and classification, relying on the sounds they produce. It is a relatively cost-effective and low- profile approach to ship recognition that can operate over extended ranges. However, it has certain limitations, such as its vulnerability to environmental factors and ambient noise.

B. Acoustic Imaging

Acoustic imaging is a technique used to create images of objects underwater by using sound waves. The technique involves emitting sound waves from an acoustic source that bounce off objects in their path, and the reflected sound waves are detected by an array of acoustic sensors, which can be used to construct an image of the object. There are two main types of acoustic imaging techniques: side-scan sonar and forward looking sonar. Side-scan sonar creates a two-dimensional image of the seafloor or any object on the seafloor by emitting sound waves to the side of the imaging device. On the other hand, forward-looking sonar creates a three-dimensional image of the underwater environment by emitting sound waves directly in front of the imaging device. Acoustic imaging can provide detailed images of underwater objects and accurately locate and identify targets in complex underwater environments. Moreover, it can operate in various water conditions, including turbid water, and can penetrate dense vegetation or structures [20]. However, the frequency and wavelength of the sound waves used can limit the resolution of the images. Additionally, the images may be affected by noise or interference from other sources. The range of acoustic imaging is also limited by the attenuation of sound waves in water.

C. LOFAR

LOFAR is a method used to analyze acoustic signals in the frequency-time domain, primarily for underwater target recognition, particularly in the detection and classification of ships [21]. This technique employs a short-time Fourier transform (STFT) to convert the received signal from the time domain to the frequency-time domain) [22-28]. This

transformation enables scientists to investigate the signal in two dimensions: time and frequency. In LOFAR, the acoustic signal is divided into short time segments or frames that range from tens to hundreds of milliseconds in duration. For each frame, the STFT is applied to produce a two-dimensional representation of the signal, known as the spectrogram, where the x-axis signifies time and the y-axis represents frequency [29-31]. To identify and classify ships, the spectrogram is analyzed to extract features. One commonly used feature is the line spectrum, which represents the spectral content of the signal at a specific frequency. The line spectrum can detect narrowband components in the signal, indicating the presence of a ship target. LOFAR offers several benefits over other signal processing methods for underwater target recognition. It can provide high-resolution frequency-time representations of acoustic signals, allowing detailed analysis of signal content. LOFAR can also suppress noise and other unwanted signals, improving the signal-to-noise ratio, and making it easier to identify and classify target signals. However, LOFAR has some limitations. For instance, it requires a relatively large amount of computational power and memory to process and store the spectrogram data. Additionally, the accuracy of LOFAR-based ship recognition depends on the quality of the underlying acoustic data, which can be influenced by environmental factors such as water temperature, salinity, and currents.

D. 1/3rd OCTAVE ANALYSIS

1/3 octave analysis is a method of analysing sound and vibration patterns emitted by ships to aid in ship recognition. It involves breaking down the sound spectrum into narrow frequency bands, allowing for more precise identification of sound sources. This technique can be used to distinguish between different types of ships based on their unique sound signatures, aiding in maritime security and surveillance efforts. The accuracy of models in 1/3 octave analysis for ship recognition depends on various factors such as the quality and quantity of data used for training the model, the complexity of the features used, and the algorithm used for classification. Generally, a well-trained model with a good feature set and a suitable classification algorithm can achieve high accuracy in ship recognition using 1/3 octave analysis. However, the accuracy may still vary depending on the specific conditions of the analysis, such as the ambient noise level, the distance between the ship and the sensor, and the type and size of the ship.

VI. ADVANCEMENTS IN SHIP RECOGNITION

Recent developments in LOFAR-based ship recognition, utilizing machine learning techniques, have been primarily aimed at enhancing the sophistication of algorithms used to extract and classify features in LOFAR spectra. One notable improvement in this regard is the adoption of deep learning models, specifically convolutional neural networks (CNNs), which have exhibited a high degree of potential in enhancing the precision and efficiency of ship recognition through LOFAR data. CNNs possess the capability to autonomously extract and classify features at different levels of abstraction, thereby making them an appropriate choice for intricate signal processing tasks such as ship recognition [32]. Another recent progress in the field of LOFAR-based ship recognition is the development of advanced feature extraction techniques, including wavelet transforms. These techniques enable the extraction of more detailed information from the LOFAR spectrum, allowing for more precise feature extraction. Wavelet transforms are capable of capturing features at different scales and resolutions, which enhances the accuracy of the feature extraction process. Furthermore, researchers have investigated the application of diverse machine learning algorithms, such as decision trees, support vector machines (SVMs), and random forests, for ship recognition utilizing LOFAR data. These algorithms can classify targets based on extracted features from LOFAR spectra and have demonstrated promising results in improving the accuracy of ship recognition. Collectively, the progress made in machine learning for LOFAR-based ship recognition holds immense potential to substantially enhance the precision and efficacy of underwater defence and surveillance systems, while also contributing to the improvement of maritime operations' safety. These advancements also have broader implications for improving our comprehension of underwater environments and marine ecosystems. Specifically, the latest advancements in LOFAR-based ship recognition utilizing neural networks have been directed towards enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of ship detection and classification. One of the recent progressions in the field of LOFAR based ship recognition is the utilization of recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks to model the temporal dependencies present in LOFAR data. As LOFAR data captures sound signals over time, RNNs and LSTMs are well-suited for processing it, given their ability to capture and process time-series data [33]. By considering the temporal dynamics of the signal, these models have shown promising results in enhancing the accuracy of ship recognition. Another advancement in this domain is the use of generative adversarial networks (GANs) for data augmentation, which ultimately enhances the performance of LOFAR- based ship recognition. GANs can generate synthetic data, which can be utilized to augment the size and diversity of the training dataset, leading to improved classification accuracy [34]. Furthermore, researchers have investigated the application of hybrid models that combine multiple neural network architectures, such as CNNs and RNNs, to further enhance the accuracy of ship recognition. Such models can capture both spatial and temporal features present in LOFAR data, leading to improved classification accuracy. Collectively, these advancements made in neural networks for LOFAR-based ship recognition hold the potential to improve the precision and efficacy of underwater surveillance and defence systems while also advancing our comprehension of the underwater environment and marine ecosystems. Advancements made in LOFAR- based ship recognition using convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have recently concentrated on enhancing the precision and efficiency of feature extraction and classification. One such advancement is the use of deep CNN architectures like ResNet and VGG to extract high-level features from LOFAR data [35-37]. These deep CNNs are capable of capturing spatial patterns and structures in the frequency-time domain, allowing for accurate classification of ship targets. Additionally, transfer learning techniques that use pre-trained CNN models have been employed to improve the classification accuracy of LOFAR-based ship recognition. Another improvement in LOFAR-based ship recognition is the utilization of attention mechanisms in CNNs to selectively focus on relevant features in LOFAR data, which enhances the accuracy and efficiency of classification. Attention mechanisms enable the network to identify and prioritize essential features in the input signal, resulting in improved classification accuracy and reduced computational costs. In recent years, numerous methods for underwater acoustic target recognition (UATR) have been suggested, and their performances have been compared here.

Doan et al. (2020) used a dense CNN model with former feature map reuse to obtain 98.85 accuracy. Song et al. (2021) achieved 90.1969 percent accuracy on DeepShip[44] datasets. Furthermore, Xue et al. (2022) proposed the camResNet model with a channel attention mechanism, achieving 98.2 accuracy Recent developments in LOFAR-based ship recognition have focused on enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of feature extraction and classification using machine learning techniques. The adoption of deep learning models, specifically convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), has shown promising results in improving the precision of ship recognition through LOFAR data. The utilization of advanced feature extraction techniques, such as wavelet transforms, and attention mechanisms in CNNs has also improved the accuracy of classification. Researchers have explored the application of diverse machine learning algorithms and hybrid models to capture both spatial and temporal features present in LOFAR data. These advancements hold immense potential to enhance underwater defence and surveillance systems, improve maritime operations' safety, and advance our understanding of underwater environments and marine ecosystems. Several recent studies have reported high accuracy in ship recognition using machine learning techniques on real-world datasets.

VII. CHALLENGES IN SHIP RECOGNITION

Underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition face a variety of challenges that impact their adoption and application in different settings. These challenges can be categorized into three broad areas: technological, environmental, and regulatory challenges. Technological challenges include limited bandwidth and high attenuation of acoustic signals, the development of robust signal processing algorithms, and hardware that can operate reliably in the harsh underwater environment. Environmental challenges include the variability of the underwater environment, the presence of marine life, and natural phenomena such as ocean currents, tides, and waves that can interfere with communication and recognition systems. Regulatory challenges include compliance with inter- national regulations and ethical and privacy concerns. Despite these challenges, there are also opportunities associated with underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition. For example, advances in technology and algorithms can improve the reliability and accuracy of these systems, enabling more efficient and effective underwater exploration, defence, and resource management. Moreover, the use of these technologies can enhance our understanding of the underwater environment, marine life, and ecosystem dynamics, leading to more in- formed policy and management decisions. In conclusion, while underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition face significant challenges, they also offer opportunities for innovation and advancement, with potential benefits for various applications in industry, research, and defence. Addressing these challenges will require collaboration and multidisciplinary approaches that incorporate advances in technology, environmental science, and policy frameworks.

VIII. OPPORTUNITIES IN AREA OF SHIP RECOGNITION AND RELATED UNDERWATER ACOUSTIC COMMUNICATION

Advanced signal processing algorithms can be developed using high-performance computing and machine learning techniques to improve the detection and recognition accuracy of underwater targets. With the increasing availability of these technologies, researchers have the opportunity to develop more sophisticated algorithms that can address the challenges of underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition. The integration of multiple sensing modalities, such as acoustic, optical, and electromagnetic sensors, can provide a more comprehensive and accurate understanding of the underwater environment. By combining data from different sensors, researchers can improve the performance of recognition systems and increase their reliability in complex and dynamic environments. This approach has the potential to enhance our understanding of the underwater environment and improve the management of marine resources. The progress of hardware and sensors can facilitate higher data rates, longer-range communication, and more dependable recognition systems. This progress includes the development of high-bandwidth transducers and low-power communication devices. Furthermore, the creation of underwater networking protocols that are suited to the peculiar characteristics of the underwater environment, such as limited bandwidth and high latency, can improve the effectiveness and dependability of communication among underwater devices. The future research directions in underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition involve several areas. The first is developing advanced signal processing algorithms that improve the detection and recognition accuracy of underwater targets. Secondly, researchers can focus on integrating multiple sensing modalities, such as acoustic, optical, and electromagnetic sensors, to provide a more comprehensive and accurate understanding of the underwater environment and improve recognition systems' performance. Another direction is advancing hardware and sensors, such as high bandwidth transducers and low-power communication devices, to enable higher data rates, longer-range communication, and more reliable recognition systems. Future research can also focus on developing underwater networking protocols tailored to the unique characteristics of the underwater environment, such as high latency and limited bandwidth, for more efficient and reliable communication among underwater devices. Additionally, integrating autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) and underwater drones can provide a cost-effective and scalable solution for underwater exploration and monitoring. Future research can focus on developing intelligent control algorithms that enable AUVs to adapt to changing underwater conditions and navigate in complex environments. Lastly, investigating the impact of underwater noise pollution on marine life and the environment is a growing concern. Future research can focus on developing methods to mitigate the impact of underwater noise pollution while maintaining the performance of under- water communication and recognition systems. Addressing these research directions can lead to significant advances in the field, enabling more efficient and effective underwater exploration, defence, and resource management.

IX. CONCLUSION

In summary, this comprehensive review paper emphasizes the significance of underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition in underwater exploration and defense. The paper presents a detailed overview of the fundamental principles of underwater acoustic communication, including signal propagation, attenuation, and noise sources, along with the challenges associated with limited bandwidth and high signal attenuation. Additionally, the paper discusses recent advancements in underwater acoustic communication technology, including modulation and coding techniques, multiple access schemes, and network protocols. Furthermore, the paper offers an in-depth review of ship recognition techniques, such as passive and active sonar, LOFAR, and acoustic imaging, along with the recent advancements in LOFAR-based ship recognition, such as machine learning algorithms, neural net- works, and deep learning techniques. The paper also highlights the challenges and opportunities associated with underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition, including technological, environmental, and regulatory challenges. The paper concludes that LOFAR-based ship recognition holds significant potential in the field of underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition, but further research is necessary to address the associated challenges and develop more advanced techniques. The future research directions can focus on developing hybrid approaches that combine different ship recognition techniques for improved accuracy and efficiency. Additionally, new hardware and software technologies can be developed to support underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition in challenging underwater environments. Overall, this review paper provides an essential reference for researchers and practitioners in the field of underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition. It offers a comprehensive insight into the importance of underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition, recent advancements, and areas for future research. The paper aims to stimulate further research and development toward more efficient and effective underwater acoustic communication and ship recognition systems.

ACKNOWLEDEGEMENT

We extend our profound appreciation to Dr. P.T. Kulkarni, Director of SCTR's PICT Pune, and Dr. M.V. Munot, Headof the ETC department at SCTR's PICT, for their unwavering support and motivation in fostering our research endeavours inthe realm of underwater acoustic communication. Additionally, we are indebted to the reviewers for their valuable feedback and astute recommendations, which have greatly enriched the quality and significance of our work.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Fillinger et al., ”Towards a passive acoustic underwater system for protecting harbours against intruders,” in Waterside Security Conference (WSS), 2010 International, IEEE, 2010, pp. 1-7.
[2] A. Sutin et al., ”Stevens passive acoustic system for underwater surveil- lance,” in Waterside Security Conference (WSS), 2010 International, IEEE, 2010, pp. 1-6.
[3] K. W. Chung et al., ”DEMON acoustic ship signature measurements in an urban harbor,” Adv. Acoust. Vibr., 2011.
[4] A. Das et al., ”Marine vessel classification based on passive sonar data: the cepstrum-based approach,” Radar, Sonar Navig. IET, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 87-93, 2013.
[5] S. Wang and X. Zeng, ”Robust underwater noise targets classification using auditory inspired time-frequency analysis,” Appl. Acoust., vol. 78, pp. 68-76, 2014.
[6] F. Bao et al., ”Ship classification using nonlinear features of radiated sound: an approach based on empirical mode decomposition,” J. Acoust. Soc. Am., vol. 128, no. 1, pp. 206-214, 2010.
[7] A. Zak, ”Ships classification basing on acoustic signatures,” WSEAS Trans. Signal Process., vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 137-149, 2008.
[8] S. Yang et al., ”Ship recognition via its radiated sound: the fractal based approaches,” J. Acoust. Soc. Am., vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 172-177, 2002.
[9] R. K. Lennartsson et al., ”Fused classification of surface ships based on hydroacoustic and electromagnetic signatures,” in OCEANS 2006Asia Pacific, IEEE, 2006, pp. 1-5.
[10] M. F. McKenna et al., ”Underwater radiated noise from modern com- mercial ships,” J. Acoust. Soc. Am., vol. 131, no. 1, pp. 92-100, 2012.
[11] P. T. Arveson and D. J. Vendittis, ”Radiated noise characteristics of a modern cargo ship,” J. Acoust. Soc. Am., vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 118-129, 2000.
[12] C. Erbe, ”Underwater noise of small personal watercraft (jet skis),” J. Acoust. Soc. Am., vol. 133, no. 4, pp. EL326-EL330, 2013.
[13] E. H. Roth, V. Schmidt, J. A. Hildebrand, and S. M. Wiggins, ”Under- water radiated noise levels of a research icebreaker in the central Arctic Ocean,” J. Acoust. Soc. Am., vol. 133, no. 4, pp. 1971-1980, 2013.
[14] S. Shen, H. Yang, X. Yao, J. Li, G. Xu, and M. Sheng, ”Ship type classification by convolutional neural networks with auditory-like mechanisms,” Sensors, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 253, 2020.
[15] S. Shen, H. Yang, and J. Li, ”Improved auditory inspired convolutional neural networks for ship type classification,” in OCEANS 2019Marseille, Hoboken, NJ, USA: IEEE, 2019, pp. 1-4.
[16] S. Shen, H. Yang, J. Li, G. Xu, and M. Sheng, ”Auditory inspired convolutional neural networks for ship type classification with raw hydrophone data,” Entropy, vol. 20, no. 12, pp. 990, 2018.
[17] D. Neupane and J. Seok, ”A review on deep learning-based approaches for automatic sonar target recognition,” Electronics, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 1972, 2020.
[18] H. Yang, K. Lee, Y. Choo, and K. Kim, ”Underwater acoustic research trends with machine learning: passive sonar applications,” J. Ocean Eng. Technol., vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 227-236, 2020.
[19] V. Vahidpour, A. Rastegarnia, and A. Khalili, ”An automated approach to passive sonar classification using binary image features,” J. Mar. Sci. Appl., vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 327-333, 2015.

[20] X. Luo, X. Qin, Z. Wu, F. Yang, M. Wang, and J. Shang, ”Sediment classification of small-size seabed acoustic images using convolutional neural networks,” IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 98331-98339, 2019.

[21] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, Deep Learning. Cambridge, UK: MIT Press, 2016.

[22] F. Hlawatsch and G. F. Boudreaux-Bartels, “Linear and quadratic time frequency signal representations,” IEEE Signal Processing Mag., vol. 9, pp. 21–67, Apr. 1992.

[23] L. Cohen, “Time-frequency distributions—A review,” Proc. IEEE, vol. 77, pp. 941–981, July 1989.

[24] J. Allen and L. Cohen, Time-Frequency Analysis. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1995.

[25] R. A. Altes, “Detection, estimation and classification with spectro- grams,” J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 1232–1246, Apr. 1980.

[26] S. Cheung and J. S. Lim, “Combined multiresolution (wideband/narrow- band) spectrogram,” IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol. 40, pp. 975–977, Apr. 1992.

[27] E. J. Rothwell, K. M. Chen, and D. P. Nyquist, “An adaptive- window width short-time Fourier transform for visualization of radar target substructure resonances,” IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat., vol. 46, pp. 1393–1395, Sept. 1998.

[28] H. K. Kwok and D. L. Jones, “Improved instantaneous frequency estimation using an adaptive short-time Fourier transform,” IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol. 48, pp. 2964–2972, Oct. 2000. 9

[29] W. H. Tsai and H. P. Lin, “Background music removal based on cepstrum transformation for popular singer identification,” IEEE Trans. Audio Speech Lang. Process., vol. 19, pp. 1196–1205, 2011.

[30] C. H. Lee, J. L. Shih, K. M. Yu, and H. S. Lin, “Automatic music genre classification based on modulation spectral analysis of spectral and cepstral features,” IEEE Trans. Multimed., vol. 11, pp. 670–682, 2009.

[31] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, ”Deep Learning,” MIT Press, Cambridge, UK, 2016.

[32] F. Hlawatsch and G. F. Boudreaux-Bartels, ”Linear and quadratic time- frequency signal representations,” IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 21-67, Apr. 1992.

[33] L. Cohen, ”Time-frequency distributions-A review,” Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 77, no. 7, pp. 941-981, Jul. 1989.

[34] K. Gopalan, ”Robust watermarking of music signals by cepstrum modi- fication,” in Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, Kobe, Japan, 23-26 May 2005, pp. 4413-4416.

[35] G. B. Huang, Q. Y. Zhu, and C. K. Siew, ”Extreme learning machine: theory and applications,” Neurocomputing, vol. 70, no. 1-3, pp. 489501, Dec. 2006.

[36] S. Kamal, C. S. Chandran, and M. Supriya, ”Passive sonar automated target classifier for shallow waters using end-to-end learnable deep convolutional LSTMs,” Engineering Science and Technology, an Inter- national Journal, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 860-871, May 2021.

[37] Q. Wen, L. Sun, F. Yang, X. Song, J. Gao, X. Wang, and H. Xu, ”Time series data augmentation for deep learning: a survey,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.12478, Feb. 2020.

[38] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, ”ImageNet classifica- tion with deep convolutional neural networks,” in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2012, pp. 1097-1105.

[39] K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, ”Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition,” arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556, Sep. 2014.

[40] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, ”Deep residual learning for image recognition,” in Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Las Vegas, NV, USA, 27-30 June 2016, pp. 770-778.

[41] H. K. Alaie and H. Farsi, ”Passive Sonar Target Detection Using Statistical Classifier and Adaptive Threshold,” Appl. Sci., vol. 8, no. 1, p. 61, 2018.

[42] V.-S. Doan, T. Huynh-The, and D.-S. Kim, ”Underwater Acoustic Target Classification Based on Dense Convolutional Neural Network,” IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett., vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 1500905, 2022.

[43] S. Xiaoping, C. Jinsheng, and G. Yuan, ”A New Deep Learning Method for Underwater Target Recognition Based on OneDimensional Time Domain Signals,” in Proceedings of the 2021 OES China Ocean Acoustics (COA), Harbin, China, 14–17 July 2021, pp. 1048–1051.

[44] G. Hu, K. Wang, and L. Liu, ”Underwater Acoustic Target Recognition Based on Depthwise Separable Convolution Neural Networks,” Sensors, vol. 21, no. 4, p. 1429, 2021.

[45] Y. Wang, H. Zhang, L. Xu, C. Cao, and T. A. Gulliver, ”Adoption of Hybrid Time Series Neural Network in the Underwater Acoustic Signal Modulation Identification,” J. Frankl. Inst., vol. 357, no. 19, pp. 13906– 13922, 2020.

[46] D. Santos-Dom´ınguez, S. Torres-Guijarro, A. Cardenal-Lo´pez, and A. Pena-Gimenez, ”ShipsEar: An underwater vessel noise database,” Appl. Acoust., vol. 113, pp. 64–69, 2016.

[47] M. Irfan, ”DeepShip: An Underwater Acoustic Benchmark Dataset and a Separable Convolution Based Autoencoder for Classification,” Expert Syst. Appl., vol. 12, p. 115270, 2021.

[48] L. Xue, X. Zeng, and A. Jin, ”A Novel Deep-Learning Method with Channel Attention Mechanism for Underwater Target Recognition,” Sensors, vol. 22, no. 10, p. 5492, 2022.

[49] S. Feng and X. Zhu, ”A Transformer-Based Deep Learning Network for Underwater Acoustic Target Recognition,” IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett., vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 1505805, 2022.

[50] H. Berg and K. T. Hjelmervik, ”Classification of anti-submarine warfare sonar targets using a deep neural network,” in Proceedings of the OCEANS 2018 MTS/IEEE Charleston, Charleston, SC, USA, 22– 25 October 2018, pp. 1–5.

[51] H. Yang, A. Gan, H. Chen, Y. Pan, J. Tang, and J. Li, ”Underwater acoustic target recognition using SVM ensemble via weighted sample and feature selection,” in Proceedings of the 2016 13th International Bhurban Conference on Applied Sciences and Technology (IBCAST), Islamabad, Pakistan, 12–16 January 2016, pp. 522–527.