ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

AVAILABILITY FORECAST FOR A REDUNDANT COMPLEX SYSTEM WITH WAITING

Aurisha Bhatnagar

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, DKNMU, Newai, Rajasthan

Dr Avneesh Kumar

Astt. Prof., Dept. of Mathematics, DKNMU, Newai, Rajasthan

ABSTRACT: In this modern society, systems are complex enough and are designed to be operative for a specified period. This specified period is called the mission time. Our aim is to get improvement in this mission time. Availability analysis of each unit of equipment under given operating conditions is helpful to design the units for a minimum failure and to develop a plan in advance for scheduled maintenance or preventive maintenance. the authors have considered in this paper, a multi - component redundant complex system for evaluation of various reliability parameters. Supplementary variable technique and Laplace transform have used to formulate and solve the mathematical model. Laplace transform of various state probabilities, reliability, availability and M.T.T.F. of the system have obtained. Some particular cases and steady-state behaviour of the system are also given at the end. A numerical example with graphical illustration has also been mentioned in last to highlight the important results. We may utilize this general approach to the similar systems used in any industry or elsewhere.

Key Words: Non-Markovian system, supplementary variables technique, Laplace transform, asymptotic behaviour etc.

1. INTRODUCTION

There should be no failure in any unit or part of unit of considered equipment, under specified operating conditions during the whole period. This whole period consists of operating period, administrative period and repair period. We may also increase the availability of any equipment by introducing redundancy at design stage. This redundancy is generally of two type as standby and parallel redundancy.

Keeping all the above facts in view, the authors have considered in this paper, a multi - component redundant complex system for evaluation of various reliability parameters. The whole system comprises of two subsystems namely A and B, connected in series. The subsystem A consists of n-identical units in series and a similar set of nidentical units in standby redundancy. On failure of 1 A-set of units we may change over the 2A-set of units on line with the help of an imperfect switching device. This subsystem A is of 1-out-of-n: F nature.

The subsystem B has two identical units in parallel redundancy and on failure of any one unit, the system works in reduced efficiency state. The whole system can fail due to failure of either subsystem, due to critical human-error and due to environmental reasons. If we are repairing both the units of subsystem B, the system has to wait for repair. On the other hand, in repair of any single unit (from A or B) the repair facilities are always available. Head-of-line policy has been used for repair purpose. This policy is nothing but the "first come first served" policy. All the failures, waiting and switching follow exponential time distribution whereas all the repairs follow general time distribution.

2. ASSUMPTIONS

The following assumptions have been associated with this chapter:

- 1. Initially, all the units are good.
- 2. In one step only one change can take place.
- 3. Failures are statistically independent.
- 4. All repairs follow general time distribution whereas all failures and waiting follow exponential time distribution.
- 5. The switching device used, is imperfect.
- 6. The whole system can fail due to environmental failure and critical human error.
- 7. The head-of-line policy has been used to repair purpose.
- 8. The system has to wait for repair, in case, both the units of subsystem B are failed.
- 9. Repair has given only if the system is either in degraded state or in failed state.
- 10. After repair, system works like a new and never damages anything.

3. NOTATIONS

The following notations have been used throughout this chapter:

e	
α / β	: Failure rate of a unit of subsystem A / B.
$\gamma e_1, \gamma e_2$: Failure rates due to environmental reasons.
$\gamma h_1, \gamma h_2$: Failure rates due to critical human-error.
(1-λ)	: Failure rate of switching device.
w	: Waiting rate
$\mu_1(x) \Delta / \mu_2(x) \Delta$: The first order probability that the subsystem 1A /2A
	will be repaired in the time interval $(x, x + \Delta)$
	conditioned that it was not repaired up to the time x.
ξ (y) Δ / ξ_1 (z) Δ	: The first order probability that the single unit / both units
	of subsystem B will be repaired in the time interval (y, y+
	Δ) / (z, z+ Δ), conditioned that it was not repaired up to the
	time y / z.
$\eta(r) \Delta \ / \ \mu_{e}(u) \Delta \ / \ \mu_{h}(v) \Delta$: The first order probability that the switching device
	/environmental failure / human-error will be repaired in the
	time interval (r, r+ Δ) / (u, u + Δ) / (v, v+ Δ) conditioned
	that it was not repaired up to the time $r / u / v$.
$P_{0}(t)/P_{1}(t)$: The probability that at time 't', the system is in operable
	state due to working of 1A/2A set of units.
$P_{3}\left(r,t\right) \Delta,P_{8}\left(r,t\right) \Delta$: The probability that at time 't', the system is in failed state due to failure of switching device. The elapsed repair time lies in the
\mathbf{D} (+) \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{D} : (+) \mathbf{A}	Interval (r, $r + \Delta$).
$Pe(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{t}) \Delta / Ph(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t}) \Delta$: The probability that at time 't', the system is in failed state

	due to environmental reasons / human-error and elapsed repair time lies in the interval $(u, u + \Delta) / (v, v + \Delta)$.
$P_2(x, t) \Delta / P_9(y, t) \Delta$: The probability that at time 't', the system is in failed state
	due to failure of subsystem A / subsystem A and 1B unit.
	The elapsed repair time lies in the interval $(x, x + \Delta) / (y, x + \Delta)$
	$y + \Delta$).
$P_4(y,t) \Delta / P_7(t)$: The probability that at time 't', the system is in degraded
	state due to failure of 1B unit / 1B and 1A-set of unit and
	elapsed repair time lies in the interval $(y, y + \Delta)$.
$P_5(t) / P_6(z, t) \Delta$: The probability that at time 't', the system is in failed state
	due to failure of subsystem B and is waiting / ready for repair. The elapsed repair
	time lies in the interval($z, z + \Delta$).
$P_{10}(x,t)\Delta$: The probability that at time 't', the system is in
	failed state due to failure of subsystem B and 1A- set of units.
	The elapsed repair time lies in the interval $(x, x + \Delta)$.
S	: Laplace transform variable.
-	
A(s)	: La <mark>place transform of function A (t).</mark>

6.4 FORMULATION OF MATHEMATICAL MODEL:

Using continuity argument, we obtain the following set of difference-differential

equations governing the behaviour of the model under consideration:- $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{dt} + n\alpha \lambda + 2\beta + \gamma_{e_1} + \gamma_{h_1} \end{bmatrix} P_0(t) = \int_0^\infty P_e(u,t) \mu_c(u) \, du + \int_0^\infty P_4(y,t) \xi(y) \, dy + \int_0^\infty P_h(v,t) \mu_h(v) \, dv + \int_0^\infty P_2(x,t) \mu_2(x) \, dx + \int_0^\infty P_6(z,t) \xi_1(z) \, dz \qquad ----(1)$

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt} + n\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma_{e_2} + \gamma_{h_2} + (1-\lambda)\right] P_1(t) = n\alpha \lambda P_0(t) + \int_0^\infty P_3(r,t)\eta(r) dr$$

$$----(2)$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + w \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + w \end{bmatrix} P_{5}(t) = \beta P_{4}(t) + \int_{0}^{\pi} P_{10}(x,t)\mu_{1}(x)dx \qquad ----(6)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi_{1}(z) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (1-\lambda) + n\alpha + \beta \end{bmatrix} P_{7}(t) = 2\beta P_{1}(t) + n\alpha\lambda P_{4}(t) + \int_{0}^{\pi} P_{8}(r,t)\eta(r) dr \qquad ----(8)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta(r) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi_{1}(y) \end{bmatrix} P_{9}(y,t) = 0 \qquad ----(9)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi_{1}(y) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mu_{1}(x) \end{bmatrix} P_{10}(x,t) = 0 \qquad ----(10)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mu_{n}(y) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mu_{n}(y) \end{bmatrix} P_{10}(x,t) = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mu_{n}(v) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mu_{n}(v) \end{bmatrix} P_{n}(v,t) = 0$$
Boundary conditions are:
$$P_{2}(0,t) = n\alpha P_{1}(t) + \tilde{f} P_{2}(y,t) \cdot \xi(y)dy \qquad ----(14)$$

 $P_3(0, t) = (1-\lambda) P_1(t)$ -----(15)

 $P_4(0, t) = 2\beta P_0(t)$ -----(16)

 $P_6(0, t) = w P_5(t)$ -----(17)

 $P_8(0, t) = (1-\lambda) P_7(t)$ -----(18)

$P_9(0, t) = n\alpha P_7(t)$	(19)
$P_{10}(0, t) = \beta P_7(t)$	(20)
$P_{e}(0, t) = \gamma_{e1}P_{0}(t) + \gamma_{e2}P_{1}(t)$	(21)
$P_{h}(0, t) = \gamma_{h1}P_{0}(t) + \gamma_{h2}P_{1}(t)$	(22)
T 1/1 1 11/1	

Initial conditions are:

 $P_0(0) = 1$ and other state probabilities at t = 0 are zero -----(23)

6.5 SOLUTION OF THE MODEL:

Taking Laplace transforms of equations (1) through (22) by making use of initial conditions (23), we may obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s + n\alpha \lambda + 2\beta + \gamma_{e_1} + \gamma_{h_1} \end{bmatrix} \overline{P}_0(s) = 1 + \int_0^\infty \overline{P}_e(u, s) \mu_e(u) \, du \\ + \int_0^\infty \overline{P}_4(y, s) \xi(y) \, dy + \int_0^\infty \overline{P}_h(v, s) \mu_h(v) \, dv \\ + \int_0^\infty \overline{P}_2(x, s) \mu_2(x) \, dx + \int_0^\infty \overline{P}_6(z, s) \xi_1(z) \, dz \\ -----(24) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} s + n\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma_{e_2} + \gamma_{h_2} + 1 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \overline{P}_1(s) = n\alpha \lambda \overline{P}_0(s) + \int_0^\infty \overline{P}_3(r, s) \eta(r) \, dr \\ -----(25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= \beta \overline{P}_{z}(s) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{P}_{v}(x, s) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{P}_{v}(x, s) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{P}_{z}(s) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}{\partial z} + s + \xi_{z}(z) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}{\partial z} + s + \eta(r) &= 0 & -----(31) \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}{\partial z} + s + \eta(r) &= 0 & -----(32) \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}{\partial z} + s + \eta(r) &= 0 & ----(32) \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}{\partial z} + s + \eta(r) &= 0 & ----(32) \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & ----(34) \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & ----(34) \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & ----(35) \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & ----(36) \\ \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & ----(36) \\ \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & ----(36) \\ \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & ----(37) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(38) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(38) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(39) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(38) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(39) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(39) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s + \mu_{z}(x) &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s &= 0 & -----(30) \\ \hline \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + s &$$

 $\overline{P}_{8}(0, s) = (1-\lambda) \overline{P}_{7}(s)$ ----(41)

$$P_{9}(0, s) = n\alpha P_{7}(s) -----(42)$$

$$\overline{P}_{10}(0, s) = \beta \overline{P}_{7}(s) -----(43)$$

$$\overline{P_c} (0, s) = \gamma_{e1} \overline{P_0(s)} + \gamma_{e2} \overline{P_1(s)}$$
-----(44)

$$P_{h}(0,s) = \gamma_{h1} \overline{P_{0}(s)} + \gamma_{h2} \overline{P_{2}(s)}$$
 -----(45)

Equation (28) gives on integration, using (39):

Integration equation (28) by using (38), we get

$$\overline{P}_{3}(s) = (1-\lambda) \overline{P}_{1}(s) D_{3}(s)$$
Equation (25) gives on simplification
$$\overline{P}_{1}(s) = A(s) \overline{P}_{0}(s)$$
where
$$A(s) = \frac{n\alpha\lambda}{s+n\lambda+2\beta+\gamma_{e_{2}}+\gamma_{h_{2}}+(1-\lambda) s D_{3}(s)}$$
-----(49)

Integration equation (32) by using (41), we get

Equation (31) gives on simplification

where

$$B(s) = \frac{2\beta[A(s) + n\alpha\lambda \cdot D_4(s + n\alpha\lambda + \beta)]}{s + n\alpha + \beta + (1 - \lambda) s \overline{D}_8(s)} - ---(52)$$

Integrating equation (33) by using (42), we get

On integrating equation (34) by making use of (43), we get

On integrating equation (35) by making use of (44), we get

Integrating equation (36) by making use of (45), we get

Integrating equation (26) by making use of (37), we get

Equation (29) gives on simplification

$$\overline{P}_{5}(s) = C(s) \overline{P}_{0}(s)$$

where

Integrating equation (30) by using (40), we get

Finally equation (24) gives on simplification by making use of relevant relations:

$$\overline{P}_0(s) = \frac{1}{E(s)}$$
 -----(61)

where,

$$E(s) = s + n\alpha\lambda + 2\beta + \gamma_{e_1} + \gamma_{h_1} - \left[\gamma_{e_1} + \gamma_{e_2}A(s)\right]\overline{S}_e(s) - 2\beta\overline{S}_4(s + n\alpha\lambda + \beta) - \left[\gamma_{h_1} + \gamma_{h_2}A(s)\right]\overline{S}_h(s) - n\alpha\left[A(s) + B(s)\cdot\overline{S}_9(s)\right]\overline{S}_2(s) - wC(s)\cdot\overline{S}_6(s) - ----(62)$$

Thus, we have finally the Laplace transforms of various state probabilities as below:



IJCRT2304865International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT)g813

where,

$$A(s) = \frac{n\alpha\lambda}{s+n\lambda+2\beta+\gamma_{e_2}+\gamma_{h_2}+(1-\lambda) \ s \ D_3(s)} ----(76)$$

$$B(s) = \frac{2\beta \left[A(s)+n\alpha\lambda \ D_4(s+n\alpha\lambda+\beta) + \beta(s) \ \overline{D}_8(s)\right]}{s+n\alpha+\beta+(1-\lambda) \ s \ \overline{D}_8(s)} ----(77)$$

$$C(s) = \frac{\beta}{s+w} \left[2\beta \ D_4(s+n\alpha\lambda+\beta) + B(s) \ \overline{S}_{10}(s)\right] ----(78)$$

and

6.6 ERGODIC BEHAVIOUR OF THE SYSTEM:

By using Abel's Lemma in probabilities; viz.

$$\lim_{s \to 0} s \overline{F}(s) = \lim_{t \to \infty} F(t) = F(Say)$$
, provided the limit on R.H.S. exists; one can obtain the

following time independent state probabilities from equations (63) through (75):

$$P_{9} = \frac{1}{E'(0)} - -----(80)$$

$$P_{1} = \frac{A_{1}}{E'(0)} - -----(81)$$

$$P_{2} = -\frac{n\alpha[A_{1}+B_{1}]}{E'(0)} M_{2} - -----(82)$$

$$P_{3} = -\frac{(1-\lambda)A_{1}}{E'(0)} M_{3} - -----(83)$$

$$P_{4} = \frac{2\beta}{E'(0)} \cdot D_{4}(n\alpha\lambda + \beta) - ----(84)$$

$$P_{5} = -\frac{C_{1}}{E'(0)}$$

$$P_{6} = -\frac{WC_{1}}{E'(0)} \cdot M_{6} - ----(85)$$

$$P_{7} = -\frac{B_{1}}{E'(0)} - M_{6} - ----(87)$$

$$P_{8} = -\frac{(1-\lambda)B_{1}}{E'(0)} \cdot M_{8} - -----(88)$$

$$P_{9} = -\frac{n\alpha \cdot B_{1}}{E'(0)} \cdot M_{9} - -----(89)$$

$$P_{10} = -\frac{\beta \cdot B_{1}}{E'(0)} \cdot M_{10} - -----(90)$$

$$P_{e} = \frac{\left[\gamma_{e_{1}} + \gamma_{e_{2}}\right]}{E'(0)} \cdot M_{h} \qquad ----(91)$$

where,



6.7 PARTICULAR CASES:

(a)When all repairs follow exponential time distribution

Setting
$$\overline{S}_i(k) = \frac{\mu_i}{(k+\mu_i)} \quad \forall \ k \ and \ i \ D_i(k) = \frac{1}{(k+\mu_i)} \quad \forall \ i \ \& \ k \ etc.,$$
 in equations (63)

through (75), one can obtain

$$\overline{P} \circ (s) = \frac{1}{F(s)}$$
 ----(98)

----(99)

$$\overline{P}_1(s) = \frac{G(s)}{F(s)}$$

$$\overline{P}_{4}(s) = \frac{2\beta}{F(s)} \cdot \frac{1}{(s + n\alpha\lambda + \beta + \xi)} - \dots - (102)$$

$$\overline{P}_{5}(s) = \frac{I(s)}{F(s)} - (103) - \dots - (103)$$

$$\overline{P}_{6}(s) = \frac{W \cdot I(s)}{F(s)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{s + \xi_{1}}\right) - \dots - (104)$$

$$\overline{P}_{7}(s) = \frac{H(s)}{F(s)} - (105) - (105)$$

$$\overline{P}_{8}(s) = \frac{(1 - \lambda) H(s)}{F(s)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{s + \eta}\right) - \dots - (106)$$

$$\overline{P}_{9}(s) = \frac{n\alpha \cdot H(s)}{F(s)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{s + \xi}\right) - \dots - (107)$$

$$\overline{P}_{10}(s) = \frac{\beta \cdot H(s)}{F(s)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{s + \mu_{1}}\right) - \dots - (108)$$

$$\overline{P}_{e}(s) = \frac{\gamma_{e_{1}} + \gamma_{e_{2}} G(s)}{F(s)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{s + \mu_{e}}\right) - \dots - (109)$$

----(110)

---(113)

$$\overline{P}_{h}(s) = \frac{\gamma_{h_{1}} + \gamma_{h_{2}}G(s)}{F(s)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{s + \mu_{h}}\right)$$

where,

$$I(s) = \frac{\beta}{s+w} \left[2\beta \cdot \frac{1}{(s+n\alpha\lambda+\beta+\xi)} + H(s) \left(\frac{\mu_1}{s+\mu_1}\right) \right]$$

and

$$F(s) = s + n\alpha\lambda + 2\beta + \gamma_{e_1} + \gamma_{h_1} - \left[\gamma_{e_1} + \gamma_{e_2}G(s)\right] \left(\frac{\mu_e}{s + \mu_e}\right) - 2\beta \frac{\xi}{(s + n\alpha\lambda + \beta + \xi)}$$
$$- \left[\gamma_{h_1} + \gamma_{h_2}G(s)\right] \left(\frac{\mu_h}{s + \mu_h}\right) - n\alpha \left[G(s) + H(s) \cdot (\frac{\xi}{s + \xi})\right] \left(\frac{\mu_2}{s + \mu_2}\right)$$
$$- w I(s) \cdot \left(\frac{\xi_1}{s + \xi_1}\right) - n\alpha \left[G(s) + H(s) \cdot (\frac{\xi}{s + \xi})\right] \left(\frac{\mu_2}{s + \mu_2}\right)$$

it is interesting to note that

Sum of equations (98) through (110) = $\frac{1}{s}$ ------(115)

(b) *Evaluation of up and down state probabilities*:

We have,

On inverting this, we get

$$P_{up}(t) = (1+L+N)e^{-(n\alpha\lambda+2\beta+\gamma_{e_1}+\gamma_{h_1})t} + Me^{-(n\lambda+2\beta+\gamma_{e_2}+\gamma_{h_2}+(1-\lambda))t} + Qe^{-(n\alpha\lambda+\beta)t} - ----(117)$$

where,



(c) *Reliability evaluation*:

we have,

On inverting this, we get

(d) Mean time to system failure (M.T.S.F.):

$$M.T.S.F. = \lim_{s \to 0} R(s) \qquad -----(124)$$

$$\Rightarrow M.T.S.F. = \lim_{s \to 0} \left[\frac{1}{s + n\alpha\lambda + 2\beta + \gamma_{e_1} + \gamma_{h_1}} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow M.T.S.F. = \frac{1}{(n\alpha\lambda + 2\beta + \gamma_{e_1} + \gamma_{h_1})} \qquad -----(125)$$

(e) Numerical computation:

For a numerical example, let us consider the values

 $n = 5, \ \alpha = 0.01, \ \lambda = 0.06, \ \beta = 0.02, \ \eta = 0.01, \ \gamma_{e1} = \gamma_{h1} = 0.001, \ \gamma_{e2} = \gamma_{h2} = 0.002, \ w = 0.005, \ \xi = \xi_1 = 0.004, \ \mu_1 = 0.003, \ \mu_2 = 0.007, \ \mu_e = 0.04, \ \mu_h = 0.03 \ \text{ and } t = 0.1, 2 - - - - , 10.$

6.8 CONCLUSION OF THE PAPER:

When we plot various graphs, shown in the figs (2) through (6), we observer that:

- (i) Availability of the considered system decreases slowly and for t = 7 and 8 it remains nearly same, after this it again decreases approximately in the constant manner.
- (ii) Reliability of the considered system decreases rapidly up to t = 5 and thereafter it decreases smoothly.
- (iii) When we make increase in the value of α the M.T.S.F. decreases at the constant rate and for $\alpha = 0.07$ and 0.08, it remains nearly the same.
- (iv) When we make increase in the value of β the M.T.S.F. decreases rapidly initially up to $\beta = 0.07$ and thereafter it decreases solely in constant way.

t	$P_{up}(t)$
0	1
1	0.992998
2	0.986628
3	0.980012

www.ijcrt.org

6

7

8

9

10

0.042635

0.051082

0.059922

0.069122

0.078649

4	0.972939
5	0.965384
6	0.957365
7	0.948918
8	0.940078
9	0.930878
10	0.921351

Table – 1







1		
t	R (t)	
0	1	
1	0.95599748	
2	0.91393118	
3	0.87371591	
4	0.83527021	
5	0.79851621	
6	0.76337949	
7	0.72978887	
8	0.69767632	
9	0.66697681	
10	0.63762815	

Table- 3



Fig-4

α	M.T.S.F.
0.01	22.22223
0.02	20.833334
0.03	19.607843
0.04	18.518518
0.05	17.543859
0.06	16.666667
0.07	15.873015
0.08	15.151515
0.09	14.492753
0.10	13.888889



β	M.T.S.F.
0.01	40.000000
0.02	22.222223
0.03	15.384615
0.04	11.764705
0.05	9.523809
0.06	8.000000
0.07	6.896552
0.08	6.060606
0.09	5.405405
0.10	4.878049
0.09 0.10	5.405405 4.878049





Fig-5