ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF SOLAR PANEL PLACEMENT ON BUILDING STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY

¹Shri.Laxmikant Tibude, ²Mr.Megharaj Nimbekar, ¹Asistant Professor, ²M.Tech student, Dept. of Civil Engineering, KITS college, Ramtek, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India-441106

Abstract: The installation of solar panels on buildings has become increasingly popular in recent years due to the growing demand for renewable energy sources. However, the installation of these panels may impact the structural stability of the building, depending on the arrangement and weight of the panels. The aim of this study is to analyze the impact of solar panel installation arrangements on the structural stability of buildings. To achieve this, a sample of buildings with solar panels will be selected and analyzed using computer simulations and structural engineering software. The analysis will focus on the impact of the weight distribution, mounting system, and panel orientation on the building's stability. The results of this study will provide valuable insights into the impact of solar panel installation on building structures and inform future design and installation practices. Ultimately, this research aims to promote the safe and effective installation of solar panels on buildings to support the transition to renewable energy sources.

Keywords - STAAD-Pro, Solar panel, Structural Analysis, structural integrity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The installation of solar panels on buildings has become increasingly popular in recent years due to the growing demand for renewable energy sources. However, the installation of these panels may impact the structural stability of the building, depending on the arrangement and weight of the panels. The aim of this study is to analyze the impact of solar panel installation arrangements on the structural stability of buildings. To achieve this, a sample of buildings with solar panels will be selected and analyzed using computer simulations and structural engineering software. The analysis will focus on the impact of the weight distribution, mounting system, and panel orientation on the building's stability. The results of this study will provide valuable insights into the impact of solar panel installation on building structures and inform future design and installation practices. Ultimately, this research aims to promote the safe and effective installation of solar panels on buildings to support the transition to renewable energy sources.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Sayana M (2016) Suggested that Cell phones become an essential part of our day to day life. The working of mobile phones requires cell phone towers for transmitting and receiving signals from mobile phones. These tower system consume about 2 billion litres of diesel every year for operating the generators. Which will affects economy and also the environmental problems. Thus any change in the power generation method of cell phone tower would make tremendous impact in terms of resource saving and reduction in carbon emissions. Now diesel generators in India are being challenged by clean, renewable energy source such as sun. So solar powered cell phone towers arises. To collect the solar energy effectively from sun there is a necessity of proper alignment

www.ijcrt.org

© 2023 IJCRT | Volume 11, Issue 4 April 2023 | ISSN: 2320-2882

of solar panels. This study investigated the stability analysis of solar panel supporting structure and also the factors which affects the strength and stability in economic manner. Mainly buckling analysis can be performed in two methods such as, Eigen value buckling analysis and Nonlinear buckling analysis. Eigen value buckling analysis predicts the theoretical buckling strength of a structure. Nonlinear buckling analysis is more accurate than Eigen value analysis. Because it employs nonlinear, large deflection, static analysis to predict buckling load. In this work, CATIA which is a drawing software used for the modelling, and ANSYS software which is a finite element software used for the analysis of solar panel supporting structure. From this thesis work it is concluded that the stability of a structure depends on several factors such as sectional properties, sectional arrangements, modelling of the structure etc., and also find that the nonlinear buckling stress is less than that of the linear buckling stress **[1]**

Meghana A Patankar, Sripadraj K Kanchi, Rajesha R N, et.al (2017) Suggested that the use of nonrenewable source of energy in generation of electricity has led to emission of pollutants which has caused global warming. The increase in pollution has created awareness in public to use renewable source of energy such as solar energy which can be harnessed without the release of harmful pollutants to the environment. In our study solar photovoltaic panels are fixed on roof of existing industrial building in Kolar district Karnataka. The main purpose of the analysis is to decide the structural sections and connections to support the solar panel which are mainly loaded by wind load. The analysis is done in accordance with IS-875(Part III) 1987and all the calculations are done manually as per codal provisions. [2]

Dr.Santosh K Patil, Jatin Jayant Kulkarni, et.al(2019) Suggested that Solar energy has been widely accepted as a source of energy in the last few years owing to its numerous advantages over conventional energy sources. The most important fact is that it is environment friendly. Solar panels are majorly placed on building roof tops or on flat barren terrain. They do not produce any pollutants in the process of generating energy and, hence, is considered as a clean source. However, the other side of the coin is that, solar panels are expensive and are often vulnerable to wind forces which are fundamental atmospheric phenomena caused by air moving from high to low pressure due to change in temperature. Information about wind load on solar panels is rarely discussed in the Indian standard codes, which makes the study of wind forces an essential exercise. This paper deals with computational fluid dynamics analysis that is carried out to approximately determine the flow and formulate an equation that shall provide us with the wind movement and other characteristics of the wind flow. For the purpose of analysis, a building where carried out. ANSYS fluent was used for simulation. The results revealed sufficient suction wind pressure surrounding solar panel which may cause uplift. [3]

Rohit P. Panjawani, Kunal R. Bhandari, et.al (2020) this paper seeks the design of the structural components of a uni-pole design for solar panels connected to a water pump coupled directly without any power storage device. Agriculture is the most import sector for Indian growth of GDP. The higher running price and manufacturing cost is a threat to the farmers. So in such cases the renewable energy like solar will be effective source of power for them. The conclusion is that although the initial cost of solar water pumping system made is 2.14 times of the conventional diesel pumping system, initial cost. It's operating and maintenance costs and total life cycle cost are 8.7 times and 29.9 % lower than that of costs of conventional diesel pumping system. The use of conventional system also has given ride to the emission of greenhouse gasses which contributes to 8% to 12% of total emission. To alleviate this government of India has launched a solar pumping program for irrigation and drinking water with an ambition to target 1 million till 2020-2021 to five environmental and economic advantages. **[4]**

Ninad Pande, Bhavesh Thakur, et.al (2021) Suggested that Solar structure plays an important role in stability of a solar power plant. The solar structure has to withstand different types of loading conditions and bear the weight of photo-voltaic panels. This study reviews few papers and standards that a structure should be designed. If the structure is not designed considering all loading factors, then it can lead to breakage of structure which intern will affect the power generated. [5]

Harsh V. Chudasama, Vishalkumar B. Patel, et.al (2021) this paper highlights the concept of a ground-mounted solar PV plant. It deals with the ground-mounted solar photovoltaic design, and development using numerical analysis under static and dynamic conditions. Ground-mounted solar components are made up of steel shows superior performance and is cost-effective. CFD analysis is executed on the structure of the study for flow and assessment of wind pressure on the developed model using Indian environmental conditions. The CFD results have been compared and validated h the analytical calculations obtained through IS 875 codes part 3 for wind pressure. Structural FE analysis is carried out to ensure structural stability for the given hazardous environmental conditions like wind load. Also, modal analysis is carried out to study the effect of dynamic loading.

www.ijcrt.org

© 2023 IJCRT | Volume 11, Issue 4 April 2023 | ISSN: 2320-2882

Aditya Gulalkari, Prajwal Kalmegh, Chinmay Bokey, Anurodh Patil, et.al (2022) Suggested that nowadays the demand for clean, renewable energy sources is increasing. The use of renewable energy resource increasing rapidly. The structure plays an important role in stability of an entire solar mounting structure. The solar structure decide the life period of hole solar panel mounting system solar structure has to withstand different types of loading conditions and bear the weight of photo-voltaic panels. In this review paper, there is consideration about design and analysis and cost optimization of solar panel support structure by considering environmental effect like wind load, structural load and height of structure , material selection and also their properties . The analysis can be done by creating model in software and followed by analysis using different software to determine pressure distribution on the solar panel area and structure, then it can break and will be affect the power generation and also affect the life cycle of the whole solar panel mounting system.

III. AIM AND OBJECTIVE OF PROJECT

The aim of Project is Assessing the impact of solar panel placement on building structural integrity

A. Objective: - The Objective of Project are as fallows,

- To evaluate the potential risks to the structural integrity of a building caused by the installation of solar panels on the roof or walls.
- To analyze the effects of different solar panel mounting systems and configurations on the building's structural stability.
- To determine the maximum load that a building can safely support with the installation of solar panels, and to identify any necessary structural modifications to ensure safety.
- To develop recommendations and guidelines for the safe and effective installation of solar panels on buildings, with a focus on minimizing potential structural risks.

IV. OUTLINE OF PROJECT

A. Introduction

- 1. Briefly introduce the problem and the purpose of the project.
- 2. Discuss the importance of solar panels and their increasing use in buildings.
- 3. Explain the need to analyze the structural stability of buildings with solar panels.

B. Literature review

- 1. Provide an overview of existing research on the topic.
- 2. Discuss the different types of solar panel arrangements used in buildings.
- 3. Review the methods used to analyze the structural stability of buildings.

C. Methodology

- 1. Describe the approach and methods used in the project.
- 2. Explain the software and tools used for the analysis.
- 3. Outline the assumptions made in the analysis.

D. Results

- 1. Present the results of the analysis.
- 2. Compare and contrast the results for different solar panel arrangements.
- 3. Discuss the implications of the results for the structural stability of the building.

E. Conclusion and recommendations

- 1. Summarize the findings of the project.
- 2. Draw conclusions regarding the structural stability of the building due to the effect of solar panel arrangement.
- 3. Provide recommendations for the design and installation of solar panels on buildings.

F. Future work

1. Suggest areas for future research on the topic.

G. References

1. List the sources cited in the project.

V. SOFTWARE'S AWARENESS AND STEPS INVOLVED

To analyze the structural stability of a building due to the effect of solar panel installation arrangements, you would need to use a software that is specifically designed for structural analysis. There are many such software programs available, including:

A. STAAD.Pro Connect: (STAAD.Pro Connect) is a powerful software suite that is commonly used for structural analysis. It offers a wide range of capabilities, including finite element analysis, which can be used to model the effect of solar panel installations on building structures.

Performing structural analysis using STAAD Pro software typically involves the following steps:

- 1. Model Creation: Create a 3D model of the structure in STAAD Pro. This can be done either by importing the model from other software or by building the model from scratch in STAAD Pro.
- 2. Load Assignments: Assign appropriate loads to the structure such as dead loads, live loads, wind loads, seismic loads, etc. based on the design requirements.
- 3. Material Properties: Define the material properties such as modulus of elasticity, Poisson's ratio, yield strength, etc. based on the type of material used in the structure.
- 4. Support Conditions: Define the support conditions for the structure such as fixed supports, pinned supports, rollers, etc.
- 5. Analysis Settings: Set the analysis parameters such as the type of analysis (static, dynamic, etc.), load combinations, analysis type, etc.
- 6. Run the Analysis: Once the above steps are completed, run the analysis to obtain the results.
- 7. Review the Results: Review the analysis results to ensure that they are within the design limits and requirements.
- 8. Post-Processing: If necessary, perform post-processing tasks such as generating reports, graphs, and other output formats.
- 9. Design Optimization: Based on the analysis results, make necessary design modifications to optimize the structure's design.
- 10. Re-Analysis: Re-run the analysis with the modified design to ensure that the modifications have achieved the desired results.

VI. BUILDING ANALYSIS AND ANALYSIS OF SOLAR PANEL ARRANGEMENT

- A. CASE NO.1
 - 1 .BUILDING FLOOR PLAN



Fig 1: - floor plan of building

Building TypeEducational (ADM building)Building locationK.I.T.S. RamtekConstruction2004completed2004No. of stories3Floor to Floor height3.65mFoundation Height7.62mTotal height14.66 mGrade of ConcreteM25RebarFe 415 & Fe 500Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmB2 :- 305 X 600 mmC2 :- 350 X 610 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mm3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/m Parapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)	Contents	Descriptions		
building)Building locationK.I.T.S. RamtekConstruction2004completed2004No. of stories3Floor to Floor height3.65mFoundation Height7.62mTotal height14.66 mGrade of ConcreteM25RebarFe 415 & Fe 500Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmB2 :- 305 X 600 mmC1 :- 610 X 610 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mm3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mInternal wall = 9.57 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)	Building Type	Educational (ADM		
Building locationK.I.T.S. RamtekConstruction completed2004No. of stories3Floor to Floor height3.65mFoundation Height7.62mTotal height14.66 mGrade of ConcreteM25RebarFe 415 & Fe 500Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmB2 :- 305 X 600 mmC1 :- 610 X 610 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mm23:- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/m Internal wall = 9.57 KN/mLoad Combination factor1 and 1.5Load Combination II1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5 (DL+LL)		building)		
Construction completed2004No. of stories3Floor to Floor height3.65mFoundation Height7.62mTotal height14.66 mGrade of ConcreteM25RebarFe 415 & Fe 500Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmB2 :- 305 X 600 mmC2 :- 350 X 600 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC2 :- 350 X 610 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/m Internal wall = 9.57 KN/mLoad Combination1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)	Building location	K.I.T.S. Ramtek		
completedNo. of stories3Floor to Floor height3.65mFoundation Height7.62mTotal height14.66 mGrade of ConcreteM25RebarFe 415 & Fe 500Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC2 :- 350 X 600 mmC2 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mInternal wall = 9.57 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)	Construction	2004		
No. of stories3Floor to Floor height3.65mFoundation Height7.62mTotal height14.66 mGrade of ConcreteM25RebarFe 415 & Fe 500Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmB2 :- 305 X 600 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC2 :- 350 X 610 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall load0uter wall = 16.22 KN/mInternal wall = 9.57 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination1 .0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)	completed			
Floor to Floor height3.65mFoundation Height7.62mTotal height14.66 mGrade of ConcreteM25RebarFe 415 & Fe 500Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 600 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC2 :- 350 X 610 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/m1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)	No. of stories	3		
Foundation Height7.62mTotal height14.66 mGrade of ConcreteM25RebarFe 415 & Fe 500Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 600 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC2 :- 350 X 610 mmC2 :- 350 X 610 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mInternal wall = 9.57 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)	Floor to Floor height	3.65m		
Total height14.66 mGrade of ConcreteM25RebarFe 415 & Fe 500Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 600 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Hold SizesSizesVall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5 (DL+LL)	Foundation Height	7.62m		
Grade of ConcreteM25RebarFe 415 & Fe 500Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 600 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC2 :- 350 X 610 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mInternal wall = 9.57 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.5 (DL+LL)	Total height	14.66 m		
RebarFe 415 & Fe 500Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmB2 :- 305 X 600 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC2 :- 350 X 610 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mInternal wall = 9.57 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.5 (DL+LL)	Grade of Concrete	M25		
Slab Thickness125 mmBeam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mmB2 :- 305 X 600 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC2 :- 350 X 610 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mInternal wall = 9.57 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.5 (DL+LL)	Rebar	Fe 415 & Fe 500		
Beam sizeB1 :- 305 X 750 mm B2 :- 305 X 600 mmColumn sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mm C2 :- 350 X 610 mm C3 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load 3.125 KN/m^2 Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load 1.5 kN/m^2 Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/m Internal wall = 9.57 KN/m Parapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination factor1 and 1.5Load Combination I $1.0 (DL+LL)$ Load Combination II $1.5 (DL+LL)$	Slab Thickness	125 mm		
B2 :- 305 X 600 mm Column sizes C1 :- 610 X 610 mm C2 :- 350 X 610 mm C3 :- 350 X 305 mm Dead load 3.125 KN/m² Live load 4 KN/m² Floor Finishes load 1.5 kN/m² Wall load Outer wall = 16.22 KN/m Internal wall = 9.57 KN/m Parapet wall = 6.9 KN/m Load Combination factor 1.0 (DL+LL) Load Combination I 1.0 (DL+LL)	Beam size	B1 :- 305 X 750 mm		
Column sizesC1 :- 610 X 610 mmC2 :- 350 X 610 mmC3 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mInternal wall = 9.57 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination factor1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.5 (DL+LL)		B2 :- 305 X 600 mm		
C2 :- 350 X 610 mm C3 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/m Internal wall = 9.57 KN/m Parapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination factor1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5(DL+LL)	Column sizes	C1 :- 610 X 610 mm		
C3 :- 350 X 305 mmDead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mWall loadOuter wall = 9.57 KN/mParapet wall = 9.57 KN/mLoad Combination factor1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5(DL+LL)		C2 :- 350 X 610 mm		
Dead load3.125 KN/m²Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/m Internal wall = 9.57 KN/m Parapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination factor1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination I1.5(DL+LL)		C3 :- 350 X 305 mm		
Live load4 KN/m²Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mInternal wall = 9.57 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination factorLoad Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5(DL+LL)	Dead load	3.125 KN/m ²		
Floor Finishes load1.5 kN/m²Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/mInternal wall = 9.57 KN/mParapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination factorLoad Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5(DL+LL)	Live load	4 KN/m ²		
Wall loadOuter wall = 16.22 KN/m Internal wall = 9.57 KN/m Parapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination factor1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5(DL+LL)	Floor Finishes load	1.5 kN/m^2		
Internal wall = 9.57 KN/m Parapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination factorLoad Combination ILoad Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5(DL+LL)	Wall load	Outer wall = 16.22 KN/m		
Parapet wall = 6.9 KN/mLoad Combination factor1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5(DL+LL)		Internal wall = 9.57 KN/m		
Load Combination factor1 and 1.5Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5(DL+LL)		Parapet wall = 6.9 KN/m		
factorLoad Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5(DL+LL)	Load Combination	1 and 1.5		
Load Combination I1.0 (DL+LL)Load Combination II1.5(DL+LL)	factor			
Load Combination II 1.5(DL+LL)	Load Combination I	1.0 (DL+LL)		
	Load Combination II	1.5(DL+LL)		

Table A1:-	Structural	Analysis	details
	Suucuia	Analy 515	uctans

Table A2:- Analysis of Solar Panel Arrangement

Contents	Descriptions
Solar Type	Monocrystalline 72
	cell solar panel (Jinko solar panels)
<u><u> </u></u>	
Solar watt	320 watt
Solar panel dimensions	(1956 x 992 x 40) mm
Solar panel weight	27 kg
No. of panel	750
Total Weight of solar panel	20250 kg
Supported with	M.S. angle frame
Total Weight of supported system	46575 kg
Pedestal Size	0.3 x 0.3 x 0.6 mm
No. of pedestal	750
Total Weight of Pedestal	93150 kg
Wind zone	Zone III – Nagpur =
	44 m/s
Wind load	0.768 KN/m ²
Total load of increase on	1.146 KN/m ²
Terrace floor	

S. Account	1985	1 19	86 198	7 19	88 19	89 19	50 19	91 19	92 19	93 19	94 19	95 19	96 19	97	1998	1
2110		2125	2144 2	161	100 100	10000	100000	10114003	1022003	3515-5103	196-176	2190	2195	100		222
	1999	20	00 200	1				1.1.1				20	02	20	03	T
2111		2126	2145 2	162	2166	2169	2173	2244	2178	2183	2187	2191	2196	2212		222
Gunnan	2004	20	05 200	6								20	07	20	08	Ι
2112		2127	2146 2	163								2192	2197			222
1.0.0.01	2009	20	10 201	1 20	12 20	13 20	14 20	15 20	16 20	17 20	18 20	19 20	20 20	21	2022	I
2113	5.0511	2128	2147	_									2198	2213	-	223
	2023	20	24 202	5 20	26 20	27 20	28 20	29 20	030 20	31 20	32 20	33 20	34 20	35	2036	1.0
2114		2129	2148										2199	2214		223
	2037	T	T.										T		2038	T
2115		2130	2149										2200	2215		223
1.000	2039	T	1										1	1	2040	1
2116		2131	2150										2201	2216		223
	2041	• · · · · · ·	• *******										• 97 CAR		2042	t
2117		2132	2151										2202	2217		223
	2043	1	1										1	1	2044	•
2118		2133	2152										2203	2218		223
2110	2045	+	e											2210	2046	-
0116	2040	3434	2462										2204	2240	2040	222
2119	2047	2134	2155										2204	2219	20.40	223
2120	2047	2135	2154										2205	2220	2048	223
20	19 205	5	1.104						1				1200	and a	2051	1.0
2121	2140	2136	2155				210	2108	109				2206	2221		223
50	52 205	53					2174		2179					Sec.	2054	E
2122	2141	2137	2156			b. 24	DA	2105	Da 21	06			2207	2222		223
20	55 205	6 20	57 205	8 20	59 20	60 20	1841.2	2062	2120	63 20	64 20	65 20	66 20	67 20	68 20	69
2123	2142	2138	2157 2	164	2167	2171	2176	for something	2181	2185	2188	2193	2208	2223	2225	224
22	19 207	0 20	71 207	2 20	73 20	74 20	75	2076	20	77 20	78 20	79 20	80 20	81 20	82 20	83
2124			2158										2209			224
	224	5	1 2	165	2168	2172	2177		2182	2186	2189	2194	1	21	03	1
2247	2143	2139	2159	1233		1	1975		1000	12423	1000	1992	2210	2224	2226	224
College Science	224	8	205	0 20	91 20	92 20	9300	4095	09620	97 20	98 20	99 21	00	21	01	f - 200
2246		T	2160					Constant of					2211		1. C	224
201	4 309	5 20	RE										- 20	87 20	88 20	An

Fig 2:- terrace floor beam plan of building

2	Sr. no.	Parameter	With solar panel arrangement	Without solar panel arrangement	% Variation
	1	SHEAR BENDING			
		Shear Fy	344.878 kN	309.299 KN	10.31 %
		Bending Mz	532.639 KN.m	479.126 KN.m	10.04 %
	2	DEFLECTION	-2.015 mm	-1.922 mm	4.61 %

Table A3:- FOR INTERMEDIATE TERRACE BEAM NO. 2244

Table A4:- FOR END TERRACE BEAM NO. 2236

Sr. no.	Parameter	With solar panel arrangement	Without solar panel arrangement	% Variation
1	SHEAR BENDING			
	Shear Fy	67.124 kN	62.912 KN	6.27 %
	Bending Mz	35.77 KN.m	32.829 KN.m	8.22 %
2	DEFLECTION	-2.015 mm	-1.922 mm	4.61 %

B. CASE NO. 2

1. COLUMN LINE PLAN



Fig 3:- Column line plan

Contents	Descriptions	
Building Type	Commercial	
	(Hospital	
	Building)	
Building location	Lodhikheda,	
	chindwada ,MP	
Construction	2005	
completed	1	
No. of stories	4	
Floor to Floor height	3.05m	
Foundation Height	3.05m	
Total neight	14.00 m M25	
Babar	1123	
Slab Thickness	125 mm	
Beam size	R1 300 X 500	
Deam Size	mm	
	B2 :- 300 X 400	
	mm	
Column sizes	C1 :- 300 X 850 mm	
	C2 :- 300 X 400 mm	
Dead load	3.125 KN/m ²	
Live load	4 KN/m ²	
Floor Finishes load	1.5 kN/m ²	
Wall load	Outer wall = 17.00 KN/m	1 3
	Internal wall = 9.57 KN/m	1
	Parapet wall = 2.5 KN/m	all a
Load Combination	1 and 1.5	N.
factor		5. T
Load Combination I	1.0 (DL+LL)	
Load Combination II	1.5(DL+LL)	

Table B2:- Analysis of Solar panel Arrangement

Contents	Descriptions
Solar Type	Monocrystalli ne 72 cell solar panel (jinko solar panels)
Solar watt	320 watt
Solar panel dimensions	(1956 x 992 x 40) mm
Solar panel weight	25 kg
No. of panel	500
Total Weight of solar panel	13500 kg
Supported with	M.S. angle frame
Total Weight of supported system	5000 kg
Pedestal Size	0.3 x 0.3 x 0.6 mm
No. of pedestal	300

© 2023 IJCRT | Volume 11, Issue 4 April 2023 | ISSN: 2320-2882

Total Weight of Pedestal	10000 kg
Wind zone	Zone III –
	Jabalpur = 44
	m/s
Wind load	0.768 KN/m²
Total load of increase on	4.35 KN/m ²
Terrace floor	

2. ANALYSIS RESULT



Fig 4:- Terrace floor beam plan of building

Table B3:- FOR INTERMEDIATE TERRACE BEAM NO. 1328

Sr. no.	Parameter	With solar panel arrangement	Without solar panel arrangement	% Variation
1	SHEAR BENDING			
	Shear Fy	242.504 kN	169.236 KN	30.2 %
	Bending Mz	224.911 KN.m	163.933 KN.m	27.11 %
2	DEFLECTION	-1.64 mm	-1.572 mm	4.33 %

© 2023 IJCRT | Volume 11, Issue 4 April 2023 | ISSN: 2320-2882

Sr. no.	Parameter	With solar panel arrangement	Without solar panel arrangement	% Variation
1	SHEAR BENDING			
	Shear Fy	68.631 kN	51.743 KN	24.60 %
	Bending Mz	62.408 KN.m	46.306 KN.m	25.80 %
2	DEFLECTION	-1.640 mm	-1.572 mm	4.33 %

VII. RESULTS

Table 1:- Shear & bending moment on Intermediate beam

Sr. no.	Shear Y	%variation	Average Shear Y	Bending Mz	% variation	Average Bending Mz
1	Case 1	10.31 %	20.255 %	Case 1	10.04 %	18.575 %
2	Case 2	30.20 %	S LO	Case 2	27.11 %	

Table 2:- Shear & bending moment on End beam

Sr. no.	Shear Y	%variation	Average Shear Y	Bending Mz	% variation	Average Bending Mz
1	Case 1	6.27 %	15.435 %	Case 1	8.22 %	17.01 %
2	Case 2	24.60 %		Case 2	25.80 %	

Table 3:- Deflection on beam

Sr. no.	Inetermediate beam	% variation	Average %	End beam	% variation	Average %
1	Case 1	4.61 %	4.47 %	Case 1	4.61 %	4.47 %
2	Case 2	4.33 %		Case 2	4.33 %	

VIII. CONCLUSION

The analysis of the structure stability of a building due to the effect of solar panel installation is an important consideration for both architects and engineers. The installation of solar panels on a building can have a significant impact on the structural stability of the building, particularly if the panels are installed improperly or with an incorrect arrangement. To analyze the impact of solar panel installation on the structure of a building, several factors need to be considered, including the weight of the solar panels, the wind loads, and the arrangement of the panels. The weight of the panels can vary depending on the size and type of panel used, and this weight must be factored into the building's load-bearing capacity. Wind loads can also have a significant impact on the structure of a building with solar panels, particularly if the panels are installed in a way that increases wind resistance. The arrangement of the panels can also impact the stability of the building, with some arrangements potentially putting more stress on the structure than others. In conclusion, it is crucial to consider the impact of solar panel installation on the structural stability of a building. Proper planning, including an accurate assessment of weight, wind loads, and panel arrangement, can help ensure that the building remains stable and safe over time.

www.ijcrt.org

REFERENCES

- [1] Sayana M, Megha Vijayan, "Buckling Analysis of Solar Panel Supporting Structures" SSRG International Journal of Civil Engineering (SSRG - IJCE) – Volume 3 Issue 8 – August 2016.
- [2] Meghana A Patankar, Sripadraj K Kanchi, Rajesha R N, Prasanna Kumar," structural performance analysis and design of roof mounted solar panels" International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology eISSN: 2319-1163 / pISSN: 2321-7308.
- [3] Dr.Santosh K Patil, Jatin Jayant Kulkarni," Evaluation of Wind Loads on Solar Panel Attached to Building Roofs" International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), ISSN: 2278-0181, Vol. 8 Issue 07, July-2019.
- [4] Rohit P. Panjawani, Divyani V. Jain, Kunal R. Bhandari, Shivani U. Gaikwad, Prof. S.U. Deokar," Design and Analysis of Solar Structural and Mountings for Solar Panel," International Journal of Future Generation Communication and Networking, Vol. 13, No. 2s, (2020), pp. 668–679.

[5]

- [6] Ninad Pande, Bhavesh Thakur, Prof. Usha Pawar, Prof. Rajesh Jaware,"Different types of loads acting on Solar Structure"
- [7] International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) Volume: 08 Issue: 04 | Apr 2021.

