IJCRT.ORG

ISSN: 2320-2882



COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON RESISTANT SPOT WELDING OF ALUMINIUM-6061

¹Ravi G. Mulchandani, ²Hemant N. Panchal, ³Kaizerhusein Bhaisaheb

¹Research Student, ²Assistant professor, ³Temp. assistant professor ¹Department of metallurgical and materials engineering, ¹Faculty of Technology and Engineering, Vadodara, India

Abstract: The present research work consists of the reviewed study of RSW of Al-6061. The different reviews and research work comprise the study of different parameters such as nugget formation, welding time and current according to their respective ASTM (American Standard Testing of Materials). This article helps for the improving the different physical-mechanical properties of this particular material, along with the highlighted parameters. Different references for the detailed studies of the materials and their results are being comprised and compared.

Index Terms - Parameters, Testing, Review, Al standards.

Introduction:

Resistance spot welding (RSW) is widely used in automobile and aeronautical industries [40]. This method of welding needs a massive amount of current and a very low voltage. Metals like Steel, Aluminium (Al), Magnesium (Mg), Titanium (Ti), Copper (Cu), and their alloys. Mostly, metal sheets of small thickness of same or different type of metals are joined by RSW. RSW also suffers from a few limitations like liquation cracking, voids, misalignment, electrode wear, etc. Metal sheets of high thickness are difficult to join by RSW method because the heat flows into the surrounding metal very easily[1].

Fundamentals of resistance spot welding:

The purpose of the RSW process is to generate heat efficiently in the joints of the welded material while minimizing heat conduction of cooler adjoining material. This heat generation is a term that can be used to describe,



Figure 1: the resistance in RSW process

Figure 2: RSW schematic diagram

Where, Q is the heat energy in joules, I is the current in amperes, R is the resistance in ohms, and t is the time in seconds [9]. Fig. 1 illustrates the resistances contributed by the secondary circuit resistance welding machine.

As shown in Figure 1, the RSW process resistance is determined by the total resistance, which can be represented as,

 $R = R_c + 2R_w + 2R_{ew} + 2R_e$

(1)

Where, R is the total resistance, Re is the electrode resistance, Rew is the specimen electrode contact resistance, Rw is the specimen resistance, and Rc is the specimen's contact resistance.

The melting temperature in the spot weld in the RSW process and the material's weldability are affected by the resistance transformation of the material work piece [11, 28]. During material smelting, electrode force is required for explosive solutions, and also the intensity of the electric welding current has a significant impact on heat generation leading to smelting. The spot weld will generate a lot of heat if the electric intensity is significant. This will have an impact on the nugget size and weld joint strength [15]. The resistance and welding time affect the nugget size and weld joint strength, so the welding duration affects the mechanical properties of the weld joints [16, 17]. As illustrated in schematic diagram RSW cycle Figure 2, melting occurs as a result of the relationship between welding current, welding time, and electrode force, where I is welding current, t is welding time, and F is electrode force.

Researchers had investigated the relationship between RSW parameters and concluded that welding process parameters have a significant impact on weld quality. Furthermore, the resistance of the material due to the thickness of the work piece is important, as indicated by the fact that thicker pieces develop more nuggets than thin pieces. High resistance materials or materials with lesser thermal conductivity will generate a satisfactory nugget in different materials. As a result, resistance is included in this study as a factor.

Experimental:

Material:

Aluminium 6061 is one of the most common alloys of aluminium for general-purpose use. 6061 is a precipitation-hardened aluminium alloy, containing magnesium and silicon as its major alloying elements. It has good mechanical properties, and exhibits good weldability. Aluminium and its alloys have been used extensively in modern life, from soda cans, household cookers to automotive and aircraft structures. low density, high strength, high ductility, excellent formality and high corrosion resistance in the ambient environment make them promising candidates for vehicles, particularly the closure panel such as hoods that decklids and lift-gets.

The weldability of aluminium alloys varies depending on the chemical composition of alloy used. The 6xxx series aluminium alloys mainly used in this project designating the Al-Mg-Si-(Cu) alloys, are most commonly used for extrusion purpose and are widely used as automobile body sheets. This 6xxx series alloys are heat treatable and have the following qualities,

- Good corrosion resistance
- Perfect surface finish
- ➢ Good formability
- Medium strength
- Hemming behavior
- Easy recyclability

All these advantages make them suitable for these structural applications. Magnesium and silicon are the main additions and combine to form the stoichiometric compound Mg_2Si . which makes 6xxx series alloys heat treatable and capable of achieving medium strength after artificial aging and increase in the Mg_2Si content result in improve tensile properties.

The materials used in this study was 6000 series aluminium alloy (Al 6061) Its chemical composition is presented,

	Table 1: chemical composition of AI-6061												
Aluminium Alloy	Si	Fe	Cu	Cr	Zn	Mn	Mg	В	Other Each	Other Total	Al		
6101	0.40- 0.80	0.50	0.10	0.03	0.10	0.03	0.50- 0.80	0.06	0.03	0.10	REM		
6103	0.50- 0.90	0.50	0.10	0.03	0.10	0.03	0.60- 0.90	0.06	0.03	0.10	REM		

Table 1: chemical composition of Al-6061

Sheets of thickness 1.5 mm were cut into 50×50 mm strips, roughly polished using 400 grit silicon carbide papers to remove surface contamination, and then cleaned with acetone.

Machine and equipment used during the experiment:

Spot/Projection welder:

Resistance spot welding is the joining of overlapping pieces of metal by applying pressure and electrical current. These joints created by resistance spot welding form a "button" or "fused nugget." Resistance spot welds are found typically on flanges, staggered in a single row of consecutive welds. Vehicle manufacturers use resistance spot welding in the factory because they can produce high quality welds at a very low cost.



Figure 3: Spot welding machine

Spot Welding Procedure

- 1. Electrodes seated in a weld head are brought to the surface of the parts to be joined and force (pressure) is applied
- 2. Current is applied through the electrodes to the workpiece to melt the material
- 3. Current is removed but electrodes remain in place at force to allow the material to cool and solidify Spot welding times range from 0.01 sec to 0.63 sec depending on the thickness of the metal, the electrode force and the diameter of the electrodes themselves.

Sr No.	Number	SQ	\mathbf{W}_1	C ₁	S_1	\mathbf{W}_2	C ₂	W ₃	S_2	НО	OFF
1	01	30	08 (0)	05	8	8 (1.1)	5	0	05	5	00
2	02	20	0	05	8	8 (1.5)	5	7	05	5	00
3	03	35	0	05	8	12 (1.5)	5	0	05	8	00
4	04	20	0	05	8	8 (2.9)	5	23	05	5	00
5	05	20	0	06	8	10 (4.1)	5	28 (0.2)	05	5	00
6	06	35	00	05	8	15	5	0	5	8	00
7	06	35	0	5	8	20 (4.1)	5	0	5	8	00
8	06	35	0	5	8	12 (4.1)	5	0	5	8	00
9	06	35	0	5	8	10 (4.1)	5	15 (4.0)	5	8	00
10	06	35	0	5	8	15 (4.3)	5	20 (4.1)	5	8	00
11	06	35	0	5	8	20 (4.3)	5	25 (4.5)	5	8	00
12	06	35	0	5	8	25 (3.5)	5	25 (3.5)	5	8	00
13	06	35	0	5	8	25 (4.5)	5	25 (3.5)	5	8	00
14	06	20	0	5	8	25 (4.5)	5	25 (3.5)	5	8	00
15	06	35	0	5	8	25 (5.5)	5	25 (3.5)	5	8	00
16	06	35	08 (3.5)	5	8	12 (4.5)	5	23 (3.5)	5	8	00
17	06	20	10 (1.0)	5	8	12 (4.5)	5	23 (3.5)	5	8	00

Table	2:	Trials	of	experim	ent
Lanc		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	UL.	CAPUIIII	UIIU

© 2023 IJCRT | Volume 11, Issue 3 March 2023 | ISSN: 2320-2882

								•			
18	06	20	10 (8.0)	5	8	12 (8.0)	5	12 (8.0)	5	8	00
19	06	20	10 (9.0)	5	8	12 (9.0)	5	12 (9.0)	5	8	00
20	06	20	0	5	8	12 (10.0)	5	12 (10.0)	5	8	00
21	06	35	8 (4.3)	6	8	10 (4.0)	5	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
22	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	5	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
23	06	35	8 (5.0)	6	8	10 (5.0)	5	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
24	06	35	8 (4.7)	6	8	10 (5.0)	5	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
25	06	35	8 (4.6)	6	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
26	06	35	8 (4.7)	6	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
27	06	35	8 (5.0)	6	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
28	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
29	06	35	8 (4.2)	6	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
30	06	35	8 (4.1)	6	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
31	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
32	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
33	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.6)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
34	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
35	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.7)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
36	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.9)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
37	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (5.0)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
38	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.3)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
39	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (4.0)	5	8	00
40	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.3)	05	25 (4.9)	5	8	00
41	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.3)	05	25 (4.8)	5	8	00
42	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.3)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
43	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.3)	05	25 (4.7)	5	8	00
44	06	35	8 (4.4)	6	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
45	06	35	8 (3.9)	6	8	10 (3.9)	05	25 (3.9)	5	8	00
46	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.0)	05	25 (4.0)	5	8	00
47	06	35	8 (4.1)	6	8	10 (4.1)	05	25 (4.1)	5	8	00
48	06	35	8 (4.2)	6	8	10 (4.2)	05	25 (4.2)	5	8	00
49	06	35	8 (4.3)	6	8	10 (4.3)	05	25 (4.3)	5	8	00
50	06	35	8 (4.4)	6	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (4.4)	5	8	00
51	06	35	8	6	8	10	05	25	5	8	00

www.ijcrt.org

© 2023 |JCRT | Volume 11, Issue 3 March 2023 | ISSN: 2320-2882

			(4.5)			(4.5)		(4.5)		-	
52	06	35	(4.3) 8 (4.6)	6	8	(4.3) 10 (4.6)	05	(4.5) 25 (4.6)	5	8	00
53	06	35	(4.0) 8 (4.7)	6	8	10 (4.7)	05	(4.0) 25 (4.7)	5	8	00
54	06	35	8 (4.8)	6	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (4.8)	5	8	00
55	06	35	8 (4.9)	6	8	10 (4.9)	05	25 (4.9)	5	8	00
56	06	35	8 (3.9)	6	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
57	06	35	8 (5.0)	6	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
58	06	35	8 (4.8)	6	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
59	06	35	8 (4.8)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
60	06	35	8 (4.4)	6	8	10 (4.7)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
61	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.0)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
62	06	35	8 (4.1)	6	8	10 (4.1)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
63	06	35	8 (4.2)	6	8	10 (4.2)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
64	06	35	8 (4.3)	6	8	10 (4.3)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
65	06	35	8 (4.4)	6	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
66	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
67	06	35	8 (4.6)	6	8	10 (4.6)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
68	06	35	8 (4.7)	6	8	10 (4.7)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
69	06	35	8 (4.8)	6	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
70	06	35	8 (4.9)	6	8	10 (4.9)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
71	06	35	8 (3.9)	6	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
72	06	35	8 (5.0)	6	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
73	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.0)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
74	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.1)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
75	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.2)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
76	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.3)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
77	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
78	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
79	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.6)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
80	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.7)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
81	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
82	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.9)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
83	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
84	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (3.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
85	06	35	8	6	8	10	05	25	5	8	00

IJCRT2303423 International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT) www.ijcrt.org

d677

www.	iicrt.	ora

© 2023 IJCRT | Volume 11, Issue 3 March 2023 | ISSN: 2320-2882

			(5.0)			(4.4)		(4.0)			
86	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.0)	05	25 (4.1)	5	8	00
87	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (4.2)	5	8	00
88	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (4.3)	5	8	00
89	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (4.4)	5	8	00
90	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (4.5)	5	8	00
91	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (4.6)	5	8	00
92	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (4.7)	5	8	00
93	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (4.8)	5	8	00
94	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (4.9)	5	8	00
95	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (3.9)	5	8	00
96	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (3.8)	5	8	00
97	06	35	8 (4.0)	6	8	10 (4.7)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
98	06	20	8 (5.0)	5	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
99	06	20	8 (4.5)	5	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
100	06	20	8 (4.2)	5	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
101	06	20	8 (4.1)	5	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
102	06	20	8 (4.0)	5	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
103	06	20	8 (4.5)	5	8	10 (4.5)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
104	06	20	8 (4.0)	5	8	10 (4.6)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
105	06	20	8 (4.0)	5	8	10 (4.8)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
106	06	20	8 (4.0)	5	8	10 (4.7)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
107	06	20	8 (4.0)	5	8	10 (4.9)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
108	06	20	8 (4.0)	5	8	10 (5.0)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
109	06	20	8 (4.0)	5	8	10 (4.3)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
110	06	20	10 (4.5)	5	8	10 (4.9)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
111	06	20	10 (4.5)	5	8	10 (4.4)	05	25 (5.0)	5	8	00

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

This chapter discusses about the results obtained by manual pull-out test, and mechanical tests. All the samples were prepared using the Welding parameters mentioned in table (2).

Results:

Nugget diameter and Spot diameter:

In RSW, the size of the nugget formed during welding is generally correlated to the strength of the lap-joint, and is therefore used as a key quality criterion in production

		Table 3:	Comparisor	i of nugg	gets dian	ieter and sp	ot diamet	er of Sample	e-1		
	Number	SQ	\mathbf{W}_1	C ₁	S_1	W_2	C ₂	W ₃	S_2	НО	OFF
	06	35	8 (4.3)	6	8	10 (4.0)	5	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
Sr No	Spot		N	Nugget			Nugget				
	Diamet	er	Di	ameter				Diameter			
1	4.5 m	n	0.	0.8 mm			0.9 mm				
2	4.7 m	n	0.5 mm			4.4 mm			0.8 mm		
3	4.7 mm 0.9 mm				0.9 mm						





Figure 4: Nugget and spot formation of sample I

For Sample – II:

Table 4: Comparison of nuggets diameter and spot diameter of Sample - II

	Number	SQ	\mathbf{W}_1	C ₁	\mathbf{S}_1	\mathbf{W}_2	C ₂	W ₃	S_2	НО	OFF
	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (4.5)	5	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
Sr No	Spot Nugget Diameter Diameter				Nugget Diameter						
1	3.7 mr	3.7 mm 1.5 mm				1.2 mm					
2	3.9 mm 1.1		.1 mm	mm		4.8 mm			1.2 mm		
3	3.9 mm 1.5 mm				1.6 mm						



Figure 5: nuggets diameter and spot formation of Sample – II

		Table 5	: Compariso	i of nugg	gets diam	eter and spo	i diameter	of Sample –	111		
	Number	SQ	\mathbf{W}_1	C ₁	S_1	\mathbf{W}_2	C ₂	W ₃	S_2	НО	OFF
	06	35	8 (4.5)	6	8	10 (5)	5	25 (5.0)	5	8	00
Sr No	Spot Diamet	ter	N Di	Nugget Diameter				Nugget Diameter			
1	4.7 mr	n	1.	.7 mm			1.2 mm				
2	4.6 m	n	1.7 mm				1.5 mm				
3	4.5 mr	n	1.6 mm		4.1 mm			1.6 mm			
4	4.7 mr	n	1.6 mm		4.6 mm			1.7 mm			



Figure 6: Nugget and spot formation of sample III

Conclusion:

The results gives details on the formation of the weld nugget and so predict the welding quality prior to the actual welding process. The input parameters to the model can be adjusted to give different dimensions of the weld nugget. As a result, appropriate setting of the welding parameters for the required quality and different materials of the workpiece can be obtained, without performing large number of physical experiments.

References

- 1. Resistance Spot Welding: Principles and Its Applications written by Das Tanmoy
- 2. Kim HC, Wallington TJ (2013) Life-cycle energy and greenhouse gas emission benefits of lightweighting in automobiles: review and harmonization. Environ Sci Technol 47(12):6089–6097
- 3. Humpenöder F, Popp A, Stevanovic M, Müller C, Bodirsky BL, Bonsch M, Dietrich JP, Lotze-Campen H, Weindl I, Biewald A (2015) Land-use and carbon cycle responses to moderate climate change: implications for land-based mitigation? Environ Sci Technol
- 4. Modaresi R, Pauliuk S, Løvik AN, Müller DB (2014) Global carbon benefits of material substitution in passenger cars until 2050 and the impact on the steel and aluminum industries. Environ Sci Technol 48(18):10776–10784
- 5. Das S (2014) Life cycle energy and environmental assessment of aluminum-intensive vehicle design. SAE Int J Mater Manuf 7(2014-01-1004):588–595
- 6. Kulekci MK (2008) Magnesium and its alloys applications in automotive industry. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 39(9-10):851-865
- 7. Medraj M, Parvez A (2007) Analyse the importance of magnesium-aluminium-strontium alloys for more fuel-efficient automobiles. Automotive:45–47
- 8. Association EA (2008) Aluminium in cars. European Aluminium Association
- 9. Sun M, Niknejad S, Gao H, Wu L, Zhou Y (2016) Mechanical properties of dissimilar resistance spot welds of aluminum to magnesium with Sn-coated steel interlayer. Mater Des 91:331–339
- Ambroziak A, Korzeniowski M (2010) Using resistance spot welding for joining aluminium elements in automotive industry. Arch Civ Mech Eng 10(1):5–13
- Automotive Trends in Aluminum, The European perspective: part one. <u>http://www.totalmateria.com/Article135.htm</u> Accessed 12 Dec 2015
- 12. Rodriguez R, Jordon J, Allison P, Rushing T, Garcia L (2015) Microstructure and mechanical properties of dissimilar friction stir welding of 6061-to-7050 aluminum alloys. Mater Des 83:60–65
- Shi Y, Guo H (2013) Fatigue performance and fatigue damage parameter estimation of spot welded joints of aluminium alloys 6111-T4 and 5754. Fatigue Fract Eng Mater Struct 36(10):1081–1090
- Wu S-n, Ghaffari B, Hetrick E, Li M, Z-h J, Liu Q (2014) Microstructure characterization and quasi-static failure behavior of resistance spot welds of AA6111-T4 aluminum alloy. Trans Nonferrous Metals Soc China 24(12):3879–3885
- 15. Li YB, Wei ZY, Li YT, Shen Q, Lin ZQ (2013) Effects of cone angle of truncated electrode on heat and mass transfer in resistance spot welding. Int J Heat Mass Transf 65:400–408

www.ijcrt.org

- 16. Hamidinejad SM, Kolahan F, Kokabi AH (2012) The modeling and process analysis of resistance spot welding on galvanized steel sheets used in car body manufacturing. Mater Des 34:759–767
- 17. Hayat F (2011) The effects of the welding current on heat input, nugget geometry, and the mechanical and fractural properties of resistance spot welding on Mg/Al dissimilar materials. Mater Des 32(4):2476–2484
- Luo Z, Ao S, Chao YJ, Cui X, Li Y, Lin Y (2015) Application of pre-heating to improve the consistency and quality in AA5052 resistance spot welding. J Mater Eng Perform 24(10):3881–3891
- 19. Hassanifard S, Zehsaz M, Tohgo K (2011) The effects of electrode force on the mechanical behaviour of resistance spot-welded 5083-O aluminium alloy joints. Strain 47(s1):e196–e204
- 20. Florea R, Bammann D, Yeldell A, Solanki K, Hammi Y (2013) Welding parameters influence on fatigue life and microstructure in resistance spot welding of 6061-T6 aluminum alloy. Mater Des 45:456–465-----
- Pouranvari M, Marashi S (2013) Critical review of automotive steels spot welding: process, structure and properties. Sci Technol Weld Join 18(5):361–403
- 22. Rashid M, Medley J, Zhou Y (2011) Nugget formation and growth during resistance spot welding of aluminium alloy 5182. Can Metall Q 50(1):61–71
- 23. Manladan S, Yusof F, Ramesh S, Fadzil M (2016) A review on resistance spot welding of magnesium alloys. Int J Adv Manuf Technol:1-21. doi: <u>10.1007/s00170-015-8258-9</u>
- 24. Florea R, Solanki K, Bammann D, Baird J, Jordon J, Castanier M (2012) Resistance spot welding of 6061-T6 aluminum: failure loads and deformation. Mater Des 34:624–630
- 25. 2015 North American light vehicle aluminum content study: ex- ecutive summary http://www.drivealuminum.org/research-resources/PDF/Research/2014/2014-ducker-report. Accessed 12 Dec 2015
- 26. Wang J, Wang H-P, Lu F, Carlson BE, Sigler DR (2015) Analysis of Al-steel resistance spot welding process by developing a fully coupled multi-physics simulation model. Int J Heat Mass Transf 89:1061–1072
- 27. Li Y, Luo Z, Yan FY, Duan R, Yao Q (2014) Effect of external magnetic field on resistance spot welds of aluminum alloy. Mater Des 56:1025–1033
- Fukumoto S, Lum I, Biro E, Boomer D, Zhou Y (2003) Effects of electrode degradation on electrode life in resistance spot welding of aluminum alloy 5182. Weld J 82(11):307-S
- 29. Bi J, Song J, Wei Q, Zhang Y, Li Y, Luo Z (2016) Characteristics of shunting in resistance spot welding for dissimilar unequal- thickness aluminum alloys under large thickness ratio. Mater Des 101:226–235
- Yao Q, Luo Z, Li Y, Yan F, Duan R (2014) Effect of electromag- netic stirring on the microstructures and mechanical properties of magnesium alloy resistance spot weld. Mater Des 63:200–207
- Balasubramanian V, Ravisankar V, Reddy GM (2008) Effect of postweld aging treatment on fatigue behavior of pulsed current welded AA7075 aluminum alloy joints. J Mater Eng Perform 17(2):224–233
- 32. Afshari D, Sedighi M, Karimi M, Barsoum Z (2013) On residual stresses in resistance spot-welded aluminum alloy 6061-T6: experimental and numerical analysis. J Mater Eng Perform 22(12): 3612–3619
- Pouranvari M, Marashi S (2013) Critical review of automotive steels spot welding: process, structure and properties. Sci Technol Weld Join 18(5):361–403
- 34. Nodeh IR, Serajzadeh S, Kokabi AH (2008) Simulation of welding residual stresses in resistance spot welding, FE modeling and X-ray verification. J Mater Process Technol 205(1):60–69
- Long X, Khanna SK (2005) Residual stresses in spot welded new generation aluminium alloys part B-finite element simulation of residual stresses in a spot weld in 5754 aluminium alloy. Sci Technol Weld Join 10(1):88–94
- Afshari D, Sedighi M, Barsoum Z (2012) Residual stress in resis- tance spot welded aluminum alloy sheets. i-Manager's J Mech Eng 2(4):6
- Babu NK, Brauser S, Rethmeier M, Cross C (2012) Characterization of microstructure and deformation behaviour of resistance spot welded AZ31 magnesium alloy. Mater Sci Eng A 549:149–156
- 57 Li Y, Yan FY, Luo Z, Chao YJ, Ao SS, Cui XT (2015) Weld growth mechanisms and failure behavior of three-sheet resistance spot welds made of 5052 aluminum alloy. J Mater Eng Perform 24(6):2546–2555
- Automotive Trends in Aluminum, The European perspective: part one. http://www.totalmateria.com/Article135.htm Accessed 12 Dec 2015
- Behravesh SB, Jahed H, Lambert S (2011) Characterization of magnesium spot welds under tensile and cyclic loadings. Mater Des 32(10):4890–4900
- 41. 58 Yao Q, Luo Z, Li Y, Yan F, Duan R (2014) Effect of electromag- netic stirring on the microstructures and mechanical properties of magnesium alloy resistance spot weld. Mater Des 63:200–207
- Pereira A, Ferreira J, Antunes F, Bártolo P (2014) Assessment of the fatigue life of aluminium spot-welded and weld-bonded joints. J Adhes Sci Technol 28(14-15):1432–1450
- 63 Xiao L, Liu L, Chen DL, Esmaeili S, Zhou Y (2011) Resistance spot weld fatigue behavior and dislocation substructures in two different heats of AZ31 magnesium alloy. Mater Sci Eng A 529: 81–87
- 44. 64 Patel VK, Bhole SD, Chen DL (2014) Fatigue life estimation of ultrasonic spot welded Mg alloy joints. Mater Des 62:124-132
- 45. Behravesh SB, Jahed H, Lambert S (2014) Fatigue characteriza- tion and modeling of AZ31B magnesium alloy spot-welds. Int J Fatigue 64
- 46. Khanna SK, Long X, Porter WD, Wang H, Liu C, Radovic M, Lara-Curzio E (2005) Residual stresses in spot welded new gen- eration aluminium alloys part A-thermophysical and thermomechanical properties of 6111 and 5754 aluminium alloys. Sci Technol Weld Join 10(1):
- 47. Kang JD, McDermid JR, Bruhis M (2013) Determination of the constitutive behaviour of AA6022-T4 aluminium alloy spot welds at large strains. Mater Sci Eng a-Struct 567:95–100
- 48. Hayat F (2012) Effect of aging treatment on the microstructure and mechanical properties of the similar and dissimilar 6061-T6/7075-T651 RSW joints. Mater Sci Eng A 556:834-843
- 49. Babu NK, Brauser S, Rethmeier M, Cross C (2012) Characterization of microstructure and deformation behaviour of resistance spot welded AZ31 magnesium alloy. Mater Sci Eng A 549:149–156
- 50. Yao Q, Luo Z, Li Y, Yan F, Duan R (2014) Effect of electromag- netic stirring on the microstructures and mechanical properties of magnesium alloy resistance spot weld. Mater Des 63:200–207
- 87 Karimi M, Sedighi M, Afshari D (2015) Thermal contact conduc- tance effect in modeling of resistance spot welding process of aluminum alloy 6061-T6. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 77(5-8):885–895
- 52. 88 Feng Y, Luo Z, Li Y, Ling Z (2016) A novel method for resistance plug welding of 7075 aluminum alloy. Mater Manuf Process: 1– 7. doi:10.1080/10426914.2015.1103853 =
- 89 Li Y, Luo Z, Yan FY, Duan R, Yao Q (2014) Effect of external magnetic field on resistance spot welds of aluminum alloy. Mater Des 56:1025–1033
- 54. Senkara J, Zhang H, Hu S (2004) Expulsion prediction in resis- tance spot welding. Weld J NY 83(4):123-S

www.ijcrt.org

- Han L, Thornton M, Boomer D, Shergold M (2011) A correlation study of mechanical strength of resistance spot welding of AA5754 aluminium alloy. J Mater Process Technol 211(3):513–521
- 56. Radakovic D, Tumuluru M (2008) Predicting resistance spot weld failure modes in shear tension tests of advanced high-strength automotive steels. Weld J 87(4):96
- 57. Gean A, Westgate S, Kucza J, Ehrstrom J (1999) Static and fatigue behavior of spot-welded 5182-0 aluminum alloy sheet. Weld J 78: 80-s
- 58. Sun X, Stephens EV, Davies RW, Khaleel M, Spinella DJ (2004) Effects of fusion zone size on failure modes and static strength of aluminum resistance spot welds. Weld J 83(11):308
- 59. Behravesh SB, Jahed H, Lambert S (2011) Characterization of magnesium spot welds under tensile and cyclic loadings. Mater Des 32(10):4890–4900
- 60. Kang J, Chen Y, Sigler D, Carlson B, Wilkinson DS (2015) Fatigue behavior of dissimilar aluminum alloy spot welds. Procedia Eng 114:149–156
- 61. Pereira A, Ferreira J, Antunes F, Bártolo P (2014) Assessment of the fatigue life of aluminium spot-welded and weld-bonded joints. J Adhes Sci Technol 28(14-15):1432–1450
- 62. Xiao L, Liu L, Chen DL, Esmaeili S, Zhou Y (2011) Resistance spot weld fatigue behavior and dislocation substructures in two different heats of AZ31 magnesium alloy. Mater Sci Eng A 529: 87
- 63. Patel VK, Bhole SD, Chen DL (2014) Fatigue life estimation of ultrasonic spot welded Mg alloy joints. Mater Des 62:124-132
- 64. Behravesh SB, Jahed H, Lambert S (2014) Fatigue characteriza- tion and modeling of AZ31B magnesium alloy spot-welds. Int J Fatigue 64:1–13
- 65. Han L, Thornton M, Shergold M (2010) A comparison of the mechanical behaviour of self-piercing riveted and resistance spot welded aluminium sheets for the automotive industry. Mater Des 31(3):1457–1467 = 15
- 66. 102 Han L, Thornton M, Li D, Shergold M (2011) Effect of governing metal thickness and stack orientation on weld quality and mechanical behaviour of resistance spot welding of AA5754 aluminium. Mater Des 32(4):2107–2114
- 67. Han L, Thornton M, Shergold M (2010) A comparison of the mechanical behaviour of self-piercing riveted and resistance spot welded aluminium sheets for the automotive industry. Mater Des 31(3):1457–1467 = 15
- 102 Han L, Thornton M, Li D, Shergold M (2011) Effect of governing metal thickness and stack orientation on weld quality and mechanical behaviour of resistance spot welding of AA5754 aluminium. Mater Des 32(4):2107–2114
- 69. Hassanifard S, Zehsaz M (2010) The effects of residual stresses on the fatigue life of 5083-O aluminum alloy spot welded joints. Procedia Eng 2(1):1077–1085
- Lin F, Li TP, Yu Q, Sun LL, Meng QS Diffusion bonding between AZ91 magnesium alloy and 7075 aluminum alloy. In: Advanced Materials Research, 2011. Trans Tech Publ, pp 800–803
- 71. Balasubramanian V, Ravisankar V, Reddy GM (2008) Effect of postweld aging treatment on fatigue behavior of pulsed current welded AA7075 aluminum alloy joints. J Mater Eng Perform 17(2):224–233
- 72. spot welded joint between aluminium alloy and austen- itic stainless steel. Mater Sci Technol 26(2):243-246
- 73. Qiu R, Satonaka S, Iwamoto C (2009) Effect of interfacial reaction layer continuity on the tensile strength of resistance spot welded joints between aluminum alloy and steels. Mater Des 30(9):3686–3689
- 74. Qiu R, Iwamoto C, Satonaka S (2009) Interfacial microstructure and strength of steel/aluminum alloy joints welded by resistance spot welding with cover plate. J Mater Process Technol 209(8): 4186–4193
- 75. Qiu R, Shi H, Zhang K, Tu Y, Iwamoto C, Satonaka S (2010) Interfacial characterization of joint between mild steel and alumi- num alloy welded by resistance spot welding. Mater Charact 61(7):684–688
- Arghavani M, Movahedi M, Kokabi A (2016) Role of zinc layer in resistance spot welding of aluminium to steel. Mater Des 102: 106– 114
- 131 Luo H, Hao C, Zhang J, Gan Z, Chen H, Zhang H (2011) Characteristics of resistance welding magnesium alloys AZ31 and AZ91. Weld J 90:249–257
- 78. 132 Senkara J, Zhang H (2000) Cracking in spot welding aluminum alloy AA5754. Weld J 79(7):194-s = 140
- 133 Ghazanfari H, Naderi M (2014) Expulsion characterization in re- sistance spot welding by means of a hardness mapping technique. Int J Miner Metall Mater 21(9):894–897
- 134 Hao M, Osman K, Boomer D, Newton C (1996) Developments in characterization of resistance spot welding of aluminum. Weld J Incl Weld Res Suppl 75(1):1–4
- 81. Sun X, Stephens EV, Khaleel MA, Shao H, Kimchi M (2004) Resistance spot welding of aluminum alloy to steel with transition materialfrom process to performance—part I: experimental study. Weld J 83:188-S
- Oikawa H, Ohmiya S, Yoshimura T, Saitoh T (1999) Resistance spot welding of steel and aluminium sheet using insert metal sheet. Sci Technol Weld Join 4(2):80–88
- 83. Oikawa H, Saitoh T (1999) Resistance spot welding of steel and aluminium sheet using insert metal. Weld Int 13(5):349-359