ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

PROJECTIVE CHANGES OF FINSTER METRICS BY AN h-VECTOR

RAJ KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SRI JAI NARAIN P.G. COLLEGE, LUCKNOW

ABSTRACT

In the present paper we have determined the conditions under which a geodesic of a Finsler space $F^n = (M^n, L)$ is also a geodesic of Finsler space $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$ and vice versa underlying with the same manifold M^n , where $\overline{L} = f(L, \beta)$ is a positively homogeneous function of degree one in L and β , $\beta(x, y) = v_i(x, y)y^i$, $v_i(x, y)$ is an h-vector in $F^n = (M^n, L)$.

Keywords: Finsler space, (α, β) -metric,h-vector, Berwald connection, Cartan connection, β -change, Rander's change, Projective change.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $F^n = (M^n, L)$ be a Finsler space, M^n an n-dimensional differentiable manifold and L(x,y) is the metric function. A geodesic on $F^n = (M^n, L)$ which is an extremal of the length integral, is given by the system of differential equation ([8], [9])

 $dy^{i}|dt + 2G^{i}(x, y) = \tau y^{i},$ (1.1)

where $y^i = dx^i | dt, \tau = (d^2s | dt^2) | (ds | dt)$ and $G^i(x, y) = \gamma^i_{jk}(x, y) y^j y^k$ are (2)

p-homogeneous function in y^i , $\gamma_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2}g^{ir}(\partial_j g_{kr} + \partial_k g_{jr} - \partial_r g_{jk})$, $\partial_j = \partial |\partial x^j|$

Let
$$G^{i}_{j} = \dot{\partial}_{j}G^{i}, G^{i}_{k}_{j} = \dot{\partial}_{k}G^{i}_{j}, \dot{\partial}_{k} = \partial |\partial y^{k}.$$

The connection coefficients of Berwald connection B Γ are $(G_k^i_j, G_j^i, 0)$. The h- and v-covariant derivatives of a contravariant vector field X^i with respect to B Γ are given by ([8])

$$X_{;j}^{i} = \partial_{j}X^{i} - G^{m}_{j}(\dot{\partial}_{m}X^{i}) + X^{m}G_{m j}^{i} \qquad (1.2)$$
$$X_{,i}^{i} = \dot{\partial}_{j}X^{i} \qquad (1.3)$$

BΓ is neither h-metrical nor v-metrical since $g_{ij;k} = -2C_{ijk|0}$ and $g_{ij,k} = 2C_{ijk}$ in terms of the Cartan connection CΓ.

The Ricci identities with respect to BΓare given by ([8])

$$X_{;j;k}^{i} - X_{;k;j}^{i} = X^{h} H_{h}^{i}{}_{jk} - X_{\cdot h}^{i} R_{jk}^{h} \quad (1.4)$$
$$X_{;j,k}^{i} - X_{.k;j}^{i} = X^{h} G_{h}^{i}{}_{jk}^{i} \quad (1.5)$$
$$X_{.j,k}^{i} - X_{.k,j}^{i} = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

The tensors $H_h^{\ i}_{jk}$ and $G_h^{\ i}_{jk}$ are called the h- and h v- curvature tensors respectively and $R_{\ jk}^{\ h}$ is the v(h) – torsion tensor of B Γ . In terms of the coefficients $(G_j^{\ i}_{\ k}, G^{\ i}_{\ j}, 0)$ these tensors are written as. ([8])

$$R^{h}{}_{jk} = Q_{(jk)} (\partial_{k} G^{h}{}_{j} - G^{h}{}_{jm} G^{m}{}_{k}) \quad (1.7)$$

$$H^{i}_{hjk} = Q_{(jk)} \{\partial_{k} G^{i}{}_{hj} - G^{m}{}_{k} (\dot{\partial}_{m} G^{i}{}_{hj}) + G^{m}{}_{hj} G^{i}{}_{mk} \} \quad (1.8)$$

$$G^{i}_{hjk} = \dot{\partial}_{h} G^{i}_{jk}.$$

Throughout the paper, we shall use the notations

$$Q_{(ij)}(X_{im}Y_{jk}^m) = X_{im}Y_{jk}^m - X_{jkm}Y_{ik}^m$$

and $A_{(i,j,k)}(X^{i}Y_{jk}) = (X^{i}Y_{jk} + X^{j}Y_{ki} + X^{k}Y_{ij})$

Here $G_h^{\ i}_{\ jk}$ is symmetric in subscripts and $G_h^{\ i}_{\ j0}$ where '0' denotes the contraction with respect to the supporting element y^k throughout this paper.

Matsumoto [7] has introduced the metric

"L(x, y) = L(x, y) +
$$\beta$$
 (x, y), (1.9)
 β (x, y) = $v_i(x)y^i$

Hashiguchi and Ichijyo [3] called it a Rander's change.

The change

[']L (x, y) =
$$L^2(x, y) |\beta(x, y)|$$
 (1.10)

is called a Kropina change ([11])

Shibata ([13]) has introduced a β -change by

$$*\mathbf{L} = f(\mathbf{L}, \boldsymbol{\beta}), \tag{1.11}$$

 $\beta = v_i(x)y^i$ and f is a positively homogeneous function of degree one in L and β . If L is a Riemannian metric, then $*L = f(\alpha, \beta)$ becomes (α, β) metric. Many authors ([2], [4], [5], [10], [12], [14]) studied the properties of this metric with different physical and mathematical aspects. In all these works, $v_i(x)$ are assumed to be a function of coordinates only.

During the study of conformal transformation of Finsler space, Izumi ([6]) introduced an h-vector which is defined by $v_i|_j = 0$, $LC_i^h v_h = Kh_{ij}$, $K = \frac{LC^i v^i}{(n-1)}$, $C_{ij}^h = g^{hk}C_{ijk}$, $C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}_k g_{ij}$ is Cartan's C-tensor, $C^i = C^i v^i$

www.ijcrt.org

 $g^{jk}C_{jk}^{i}, h_{ij} = L(\partial^{2}L|\partial y^{i}\partial y^{j})$ is the angular metric tensor, $v_{i}|_{j}$ is the v-covariant derivative with respect to the Cartan connection CF $(F_{jk}^{i}, N_{k}^{i}, C_{jk}^{i}), [9]$

$$v_i|_j = \dot{\partial}_j v_i - v_m C_i^{\ m}_j$$

Thus the h-vector $v_i(x, y)$ is not only a function of coordinates but it is also a function of directional argument satisfying $\dot{\partial}_i v_i = (K|L)h_{ij}$.

Singh and Srivastava ([15]) studied the properties of Finsler space with a change.

$$\bar{L} = f(L,\beta). \tag{1.12}$$

where $\beta(x, y) = v_i(x, y)y^i$, $v_i(x, y)$ is an h-vector in F^n . We shall call this change ([1.12]) a generalized β -change by an h-vector.

In the present paper, we shall determine the conditions under which a geodesic of a Finsler space $F^n = (M^n, L)$ is also geodesic of the Finsler space $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$

2. THE FINSLER SPACE $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$

Let $F^n = (M^n, L)$ and $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$ be the Finsler spaces defined on the same manifold M^n , where L is obtained by a change

$$\overline{L} = f(L,\beta). \tag{2.1}$$

 $\beta(x, y) = v_j(x, y)y^j$, $v_j(x, y)$ is an h-vector in $F^n = (M^n, L)$ and $f(L, \beta)$ is a positively homogeneous function of degree one in L and β .

The terminology and notations are referred to Matsumoto's book ([9]) unless otherwise stated.

The quantities of Finsler spaces \overline{F}^n are denoted by barred symbols.

If l_i , g_{ij} , h_{ij} and C_{ijk} denote the normalized element of support, the metric tensor, the angular metric tensor and Cartan's C-tensor of F^n respectively, then these quantities of $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$ are given by ([15])

$$\begin{split} \bar{l}_{i} &= f_{1}l_{i} + f_{2}v_{i} \qquad (2.2) \\ \bar{h}_{ij} &= q'h_{ij} + r_{0}m_{i}m_{j} \qquad (2.3) \\ \bar{g}_{ij} &= q'g_{ij} + q_{0}v_{i}v_{j} + q_{-1}(v_{i}y_{j} + v_{j}y_{i}) + q'_{-2}v_{i}v_{j} \qquad (2.4) \\ \bar{C}_{ijk} &= q'C_{ijk} + q'_{-1}(h_{ij}m_{k} + h_{jk}m_{i} + h_{ki}m_{j}) + q_{02}m_{i}m_{j}m_{k}|2 \qquad (2.5) \\ \text{where we put } f_{1} &= \partial f|\partial L, \ f_{2} &= \partial f|\partial \beta, \ f_{11} &= \partial^{2}f|\partial L\partial L, \ f_{12} &= \partial^{2}f|\partial L\partial \beta \ etc, \\ \dot{\partial}_{i} &= \partial |\partial y^{i}, \partial_{i} &= \partial |\partial x^{i} \end{split}$$

$$(2.6) \begin{cases} q = ff_1|L, \quad r = ff_2, r_0 = ff_{22} \\ f = f_1L + f_2\beta, Lf_{12} + \beta f_{22} = 0, Lf_{11} + \beta f_{12} = 0, \\ q_0 = r_0 + f_2^2, \quad r_{-1} = ff_{12}|L, \quad q_{-1} = r_{-1} + qf_2|f \\ r_{-2} = f(f_{11} - f_1|L)|L^2, \quad q_{-2} = r_{-2} + q^2|f^2 \\ q' = f(f_1 + Kf_2)|L, \quad q'_{-2} = q_{-2} - Kr|L^3, \\ q'_{-1} = q_{-1} + (K|L)q_0 \text{ and } q_{02} = \partial q_0|\partial\beta. \end{cases}$$

 $m_i = v_i - \beta y_i | L^2$ is a non vanishing vector orthogonal to the supporting element y^i

The reciprocal tensor \bar{g}^{ij} of \bar{g}_{ij} can be written as ([15])

$$\bar{g}^{ij} = (1|q')q^{ij} - u'_0v^iv^j - u'_{-1}(v^iy^j + v^jy^i) - u'_{-2}y^iy^j (2.7)$$
where $v^i = g^{ij}v_j, v^i = g^{ij}v_j, v^2 = g^{ij}v_iv_j, \ \epsilon = v^2 - (\beta^2|L^2)$
 $u'_0 = f^2r_0|L^2v'q', u'_{-1} = (f^2|q'v'L^2)(q_{-1} + Kf_2^2|L)$
 $v' = (f^2|L^2)(q' + \epsilon r_0), u'_{-2} = \frac{q'_{-2}}{qq'} - (u'_{-1}|q)(\epsilon q_{-1} - Kr\beta|L^3)$

we shall assume that $q' + \epsilon r_0 \neq 0$ and $q + \epsilon r_0 \neq 0$ for all values of K. From the homogeneity, we have

$$r_{0}\beta + r_{-1}L^{2} = 0, \ r_{-1}\beta + r_{-2}L^{2} = -q, q_{0}\beta + q_{-1}L^{2} = r, \ r\beta + qL^{2} = f^{2}, q_{-1}\beta + q_{-2}L^{2} = 0$$
 (2.8)

3. RELATION BETWEEN PROJECTIVE CHANGE AND GENERALIZED β -CHANGE BY AN h-VECTOR

For two Finsler spaces $F^n = (M^n, L)$ and $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$, if any geodesic on

 $F^n = (M^n, L)$ is also a geodesic on $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$ and vice versa the change $L \to \overline{L} = f(L, \beta)$ of the metric is called projective. A geodesic is given by the differential equation ([8], [9]).

 $(dy^{i}|dt) + 2G^{i}(x, y) = \tau y^{i}$ (3.1)

$$\tau = (d^2s|dt^2)|(ds|dt), \ G^i(x,y) = \gamma^i_{gk}(x,y)y^jy^k$$

are (2)*p* homogeneous function in y^i .

Consider the Euler Lagrange Differential equation $E_i = 0$, where

$$E_{i} = \left(\partial L \big| \partial x^{i}\right) - (d|dt) \left(\partial L \big| \partial \dot{x}^{i}\right) \qquad (3.2)$$

Now Euler Lagrange Differential Equation Now for $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$ is given by $\overline{E}_i = 0$,

Now

$$\bar{E}_{i} = \left(\partial f \left| \partial x^{i} \right) - (d \left| dt \right) \left(\partial f \left| \partial \dot{x}^{i} \right)\right)$$

$$= f_{1}\partial_{i}L + f_{2}\partial_{i}\beta - \frac{d}{dt}(f_{1}\dot{\partial}_{i}L + f_{2}\dot{\partial}_{i}\beta)$$

$$= f_{1}\left\{\partial_{i}L - \frac{d}{dt}(\dot{\partial}_{i}L)\right\} + f_{2}\partial_{i}\beta - \frac{df_{1}}{dt}l_{i} - \frac{df_{2}}{dt}b_{i} - f_{2}\frac{db_{i}}{dt}$$

$$= f_{1}E_{i} - m_{i}\frac{df_{2}}{dt} + f_{2}(\partial_{i}b_{j} - \partial_{j}b_{i})y^{j} - f_{2}\dot{\partial}_{j}b_{i}\frac{dy^{j}}{dt}$$

$$= f_{1}E_{i} - m_{i}\frac{df_{2}}{dt} + f_{2}\{b_{j|i} + N^{m}_{i}\dot{\partial}_{m}b_{j} + b_{m}F_{j}^{m}_{i} - b_{i|j} - N^{m}_{j}\dot{\partial}_{m}b_{i} - b_{m}F_{i}^{m}_{j}\}y^{j} - f_{2}(K|L)h_{ij}\frac{dy^{j}}{dt}.$$

$$= f_{1}E_{i} - m_{i}\left(\frac{df_{2}}{dt}\right) + f_{2}(b_{j|i} - b_{i|j})y^{j} - (K|L)f_{2}h_{ij}(dy^{j}|dt + 2G^{j})$$

Using (3.1), we have

$$\bar{E}_i = f_1 E_i - m_i (df_2 | dt) + 2f_2 F_{oi} \quad (3.3)$$

where $b_{i|j}$ denotes the *h* -covariant derivative with respect to Cartan connection $C\Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} (F_{j}^{i}{}_{k}, N^{i}{}_{k}, C_{j}^{i}{}_{k}) \\ F_{ji} &= \frac{1}{2} (b_{j|i} - b_{i|j}), F_{oi} = F_{ji}y^{j} \\ \text{Hence } f \overline{E}_{i} &= ff_{1}E_{i} - m_{i}f (df_{2}|dt) + 2ff_{2}F_{oi} \qquad (3.4) \\ \text{Now } \frac{df_{2}}{dt} &= \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial L} \cdot \frac{dt}{dt} + \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \beta} \cdot \frac{d\beta}{dt} \\ &= f_{21} \left(\partial_{i}L \frac{dx^{i}}{dt} + \partial_{i}L \frac{dy^{i}}{dt} \right) + f_{22} \left(\partial_{i}\beta \frac{dx^{j}}{dt} + \partial_{j}\beta \frac{dy^{j}}{dt} \right) \\ \text{or } f \frac{df_{2}}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2} ff_{22} (b_{i|j} + b_{j|i} + 2b_{m}F_{i}^{m}) y^{i}y^{j} \\ &- (\beta|L)ff_{22} \partial_{i}L y^{i} + ff_{22} \frac{dy^{j}}{dt} (b_{j} - \frac{\beta}{L}l_{j}) \\ &= r_{0} E_{00} + r_{0} 2b_{m}G^{m} - \frac{\beta}{L}r_{0} (\partial_{i}L) y^{i} + r_{0} (b_{j} - \frac{\beta}{L}l_{j}) \frac{dy^{j}}{dt} \qquad (3.5) \\ \text{where } E_{00} &= E_{ij}y^{i}y^{j}, E_{ij} = b_{i|j} + b_{j|i} \\ \text{using the relation } \frac{dy^{r}}{dt} &= y\partial_{s}y_{r} + g_{rs} \frac{dy^{s}}{dt} \qquad (3.6) \\ \text{the above equation reduces to.} \end{aligned}$$

 $f\frac{df_2}{dt} = r_0 E_{00} + L r_0 E_r m^r \qquad (3.7)$

Hence from (3.4) and (3.7), we have

$$f\bar{E}_{i} = ff_{1}E_{i} - Lr_{0}E_{r}m^{r}m_{i} - r_{0}E_{00} + 2rF_{oi}$$

or $f\overline{E}_i = LqE_i - Lr_0E_rm^rm_i + B_i$, (3.8)

IJCRT2303372 International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT) www.ijcrt.org d

www.ijcrt.org where $B_i = 2rF_{oi} - r_0E_{00}$ (3.9)

THEOREM (3.1) A generalized β –change by an *h*-vector is projective iff $B_i = 0$.

Proof : Let the generalized β –change by an h-vector is projective. Then $E_i = 0$ implies $\overline{E}_i = 0$ and hence we have $B_i = 0$ by (3.8).

Conversely if $B_i = 0$ then from (3.8) $E_i = 0$ implies $\overline{E}_i = 0$ Again from (3.8) if $\overline{E}_i = 0$ and $B_i = 0$ then

we have

 $L q E_i + r_0 L m_i m^s E_s = 0$ (3.10)

Contracting (3.10) by y^{j} , we have

 $E_s m^s = 0$ since $q + \epsilon r_0 \neq 0$

 $\therefore E_{\rm s} = 0$

From the above theorem, we have the following results by Shibata ([13]) and Hashiguchi and Ichijyo ([3]).

COROLLARY (3.1) A β -change is projective iff $2rF_{oi} = r_0 E_{00} m_i$

COROLLARY (3.2) A Rander's change is projective iff b_i is gradient of some scalar function.

Definition(3.1) ([8]) If there exists a projective change $L \to \overline{L}$ of a Finsler space $F^n = (M^n, L)$ such that the Finsler space $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$ is a locally Minkowski space, F^n is called projectively flat and this change $L \to \overline{L}$ is an adapted projective change.

THEOREM (3.2) Let the generalized β -change by an h-vector ([1.12]) is projective and L is Minkowskian, then the Weyl torsion tensor \overline{W}_{jk}^{i} and the Douglas tensor \overline{D}_{jkl}^{i} of \overline{F}^{n} vanish. Hence F^{n} with n > 2 is projectively flat.

Proof The Weyl torsion tensor is given by ([81]).

$$W^{i}_{jk} = R^{i}_{jk} + \frac{1}{n+1}Q_{(jk)}\{y^{i}H_{jk} + \delta^{i}_{j}H_{k}\}$$

where $H_{jk} = H_i^{\ i}_{jk}$ and $H_k = \frac{1}{n-1}(nH_{0k} + H_{k0})$ Since F^n is Minkowskian then $H_j^{\ i}_{kl} = 0$ and therefore $H_{jk} = H_k = 0$ Hence $W^{i}_{ik} = 0$

Since W^{i}_{ik} is invariant under a projective change hence $\overline{W}^{i}_{ik} = 0$.

The Douglas Tensor D_{jkl}^{i} is given by $D_{jkl}^{i} = G_{jkl}^{i} - \{y^{i}G_{jkl} + A_{(j,k,l)}(\delta_{j}^{i}G_{kl})\}|(n+1)$

Since F^n is Minkowskian, then $G_{jkl}^i = 0$ and so $G_{kl} = 0$ Hence $D_{jkl}^i = 0$ Since D_{jkl}^i is invariant under a projective change hence we have $\overline{D}_{i}{}^{i}{}_{kl} = 0$.

Since $W_{ik}^{i} = 0$, $D_{ik}^{i} = 0$ and n >2, hence F^{n} is projectively flat. ([8])

THEOREM (3.3) If we suppose that generalized β -change by an *h*-vecter is projective and L is Riemannian, then Douglas tensor $\overline{D}_{i}^{\ i}_{\ kl}$ of \overline{F}^{n} vanishes.

www.ijcrt.org © 2023 IJCRT | Volume 11, Issue 3 March 2023 | ISSN: 2320-2882 Proof: Since F^n is Minkowskian, then $G_{jkl}^i = 0$ and $G_{jk} = 0$ Hence $D_j_{kl}^i = 0$ Since $D_j_{kl}^i$ is invariant under a projective change, hence $\overline{D}_i^{\ i}{}_{kl} = 0$.

THEOREM (3.4) If $B_i = 0$ then \overline{F}^n is of scalar curvature iff F^n is of scalar curvature.

Proof : By Szabo ([17]), a Finsler space is of scalar curvature iff the Weyl torsion tensor W^{i}_{ik} vanishes identicallyLet $B_i=0$, then due to Theorem (3.1) generalized β -change by an h-vector is projective. Let F^n be of scalar curvature, then $W^{i}_{jk}\mathbf{k} = 0$ But $\overline{W}^{i}_{jk} = W^{i}_{jk} = 0$ Hence \overline{F}^{n} is of scalar curvature.

In the Riemannian space, scalar curvature means constant curvature.

Thus we have the following Yasuda and Shimada result ([16])

COROLLARY (3.3) If $B_i = 0$ in a generalized β -change by an h-vector and F^n is Riemannian, then \overline{F}^n is of constant curvature iff F^n is of constant curvature.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fukui, Masaki and Yamada, T. On projective mappings in Finsler geometry. Tensor, N.S. Vol 35 (1981)
- 2. Hashiguchi, M. and Ichijyo, Y: On some special (α, β) metrics. Rap. Fac. Sci. Kajoshima Univ. (1975) pp. 39-46.
- 3. Hashiguchi, M. and Ichijyo, Y.: Rander's spaces with rectilinear geodesic. Rep.Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ 13 (1980) pp33-40.
- 4. Hashiguchi, M, Hojo, S. and Matsumoto, M.On Landsberg spaces of two dimensions with (α, β) metric J. Korean Math. Soc. 10, pp 17-26.
- 5. Ingarden, R.S.: On the geometrically absolute optical representation in the election microscope Wroclaw. B. 45 60p.
- 6. Izumi, H. Conformal transformation of Finsler spaces I and II. Tensor, N.S., 31 and 33, (1977 and 1980) pp. 33-41 and 376-379.
- 7. Matsumoto, M: On Finsler spaces with Rander's metric and special forms of important tensors. J. Math Kyoto Univ.. 14(1974), pp. 477-498.
- 8. Matsumoto, M. Projective changes of Finsler metrics and projectively flat Finsler spaces. Tensor, N.S. Vol 34 (1980).
- 9. Matsumoto, M.: Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces. Kaiseisha press, Otsu Japan, 1986.
- 10. Rander's, G. On the asymmetrical metric in the four space of general relativity. Phys. Rev 2 (1941) 59, pp 195-199.
- 11. Shibata, C. : On Finsler spaces with Kropina metric. Rap. on Math Phys 13 (1978) pp 117-128.
- 12. Shibata, C. : On Finsler spaces with an (α, β) -metric. Journal of Hokkaido University of Education Vol.35 (1984) pp 1-16.
- 13. Shibata, C. : On invariant tensors of β -charges of Finsler metrics. J. Math Kyoto Univ. (1984) pp 163-188.

- 14. Shibata, C., Shimada, H., Azuma, M. and Yasuda, H : On Finsler spaces with Rander's metric. Tensor, N.S. 31 (1977), pp. 219-226.
- 15. Singh, U.P and Srivastava, R.K. : On a transformation associated with sets of n- fundamental forms of Finsler hypersurfaces. Indian Jr. pure appl. Math 23(5)May 1992, pp. 325-332.
- 16. Yasuda, H. and Shimada, H. On Rander's spaces of scalar curvature Rep. Math. Phys 11 (1977) pp. 347-360.
- 17. Szabo, Z.I.E in Finslerschen raum ist gerade dann von skalarer krummung, wenn seine weylsche projektivkrümmung verschwindet, Acta Sci Math Szeged, 39 (1977), pp163-168.