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The present study was undertaken to investigate diuretic effect of methanol extracts of the roots of Heteropogon contortous L., in normal rats. Method: methanol extract of Heteropogon contortous L. were administered to experimental rats orally at various doses ( $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) p.o. Furosemide $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ was used as positive control in the study. The diuretic effect of the extracts was evaluated by measuring urine volume, diuretic index and Lipschitz value, sodium and potassium content, and chloride content.Saluretic index,Natriuretic index and Ion quotient were calculated. Result: Urine volume was significantly increased by the two doses of methanol extracts from root of Heteropogon contortous L., in comparison to control group. While the excretion of sodium was also increased by both extracts, The highest dose of extract significantly excreted sodium,chlorides in excess and had good saluretic,natriuretic activity which was comparable to that of standard drug. There was no potassium sparing effect found. There was no significant change in the pH of urine but they were mildly alkaline after administration of the Heteropogon contortous L., extracts. The diuretic effect of the extracts was comparable to that of the reference standard (Furosemide). Conclusion: We can conclude that methanol extracts of Heteropogon contortous L., produced diuretic effect. Present study provides a quantitative basis for explaining the diuretic use of Heteropogon contortous $L$., as a diuretic agent.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Water constitutes about $60 \%$ of the average adult body weight and is responsible for many physiological processes in the human body. Thus, fluid and electrolyte homeostasis is critical for human survival, as exemplified by the potentially devastating consequences of fluid imbalance. Renal excretion of urine also ensures the elimination of products of metabolic activity and excess electrolytes in addition to water, thus maintaining fluid homeostasis. Diuretic therapy is generally used to treat edematous states in the cases of renal insufficiency, nephrotic syndrome, liver cirrhosis, and heart failure Diuretics are medications that are designed to increase the flow of urine, promoting the removal of excess of water, salts, metabolic products, and toxins from the body. Excessive diuretic use can result in compromised physical performance and health consequences. These synthetic diuretics typically inhibit potassium secretion and leads to potassium retention. $(1,2,3)$. To overcome these side effects there is a need to study about plant based drugs with less or no toxicity and also to avoid abuse of diuretics.

It is a common misconception that all weeds are useless or a nuisance to the public; however, some of these weeds have good ethno medicinal values around the world and are good sources for new drug discovery, and they grow naturally in large quantities without the need for specialised good agricultural practises and are available all year. It is our job to safeguard these incredible natural resources. (4)
One such weed is Heteropogon contortous L.,It is tropical perennial grass with a native distribution that includes southern Africa, southern Asia, northern Australia and Oceania, and is one of the dominant species in the soil seed bank and aboveground vegetation in dry-hot valleys .It is known as kumeria in Hindi. bunchgrass; Culms tufted, 100 to 120 cm tall, usually branching well above the base; Sheaths compressedkeeled, glabrous with a few short hairs at the junction with the blade, Ligule short, truncate, fringed with short, stiff hairs; Blades mostly 5 to 8 mm wide, flat or folded, scabrous, 20 to 30 , occasionally 36 cm long, usually ciliate on the margins with a few long, pappilose-hispid hairs; Racemes 3 to 7 cm long, produced on slender lateral culm branches as well as terminating the main culm; Rachis joints readily disarticulating at maturity; Glumes of staminate spikelet about 7 mm long, bright green, several-nerved, hirsute; Fertile spikelet about 1 cm long from the base of the long, stiffly-hispid callus to the glume apex, the first glume dark brown, correaceous, hispid, enclosing the second glume; Awn of fertile lemma stout, twisted, twice geniculate, pubescent below with spreading hairs mostly 0.5 to 1 mm long, readily deciduous at maturity, usually falling entangled with the awns of other spikelets. Root is stimulant and diuretic. Plant is used in toothache, fever, atrophy, emaciation, haematuria, dysentery, muscular pain and scorpion sting, arthritis ,treating rheumatism,asthma. $(5,6,7,8)$

As there are no scientific evidences on its diuretic potential an attempt has been made to study its diuretic properties.
Methodology: The plants Heteropogon contortous $(L) . D C$ was collected from around Telangana region in the month of January, 2020 and was authenticated by Dr. K Madhava chetty, Department of Botany, sri Venkateshwara University,Tirupati,Andhra Pradesh India.Voucher number for the authentication of plant are 0450. Roots are thoroughly washed under tap water dried under shade and powdered by using a mechanical grinder.
Approximately 200 g of root powder was placed in the soxhlet device and extracted with (95\%) methanol. The extraction procedure was carried out for 18 to 20 hours until a colourless solvent appeared in the side tube. The extract collected was dried by evaporating the solvent on a water bath maintained at $\angle 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.(9)
The extract was examined for their colour and consistency and their percentage yield was calculated with reference to the quantity used for extraction. The extract was stored in airtight containers in a refrigerator below $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. The preliminary phytochemical investigations were carried out with Heteropogon contortous Lor qualitative identification of phytochemical constituents present in extract by following standard methods.(10)

## Experimental Animals:

Male and female albino rats (Rattus norvegicus) weighing 130-160 g were used for the acute toxicology studies. The rats were obtained from the animal house, LNCT, Bhopal, India. The animals were acclimatized to laboratory conditions for seven days prior to the experiments. The rats were maintained at a room temperature of $22-24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a 12 h light/dark cycle and humidity around $(50 \pm 5) \%$. During acclimatization, the rats were randomized into experimental and control groups and housed individually in sanitized polypropylene cages housed with sterile paddy husk as'bedding. Animals were given free access to standard pellet diet and water ad libitum. All experimental procedures were in compliance with the Animal Ethical Committee, Committee for the Purpose of Control And Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA) and were approved by Institute Ethical Committee.

## Acute Oral Toxicity Study (11, 12):

An acute oral toxicity study was performed according to the Organization of Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) guideline 425 for testing of extracts. The animals were observed constantly for 3 h after oral dose administration of the sample for behavioral, autonomic, and neurological profiles and then every 30 min for the consecutive 4 h and lastly for mortality after $24 \mathrm{~h}, 48 \mathrm{~h}, 7$ days, and 14 days ( 2 weeks) for any change in behavior or mortality. The mice were analyzed for signs of toxicity. on their skin, hair, pupils, mucous membrane, salivation, lethargy, sleep, coma, convulsions, tremors, diarrhoea, oral activity, abdominal, and external genitalia. The mice were separated from their cages during the study to assess the survival, morbidity, and general health. The $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ value was determined.

## Diuretic activity ( 13,14 )

Lipschitz method:A method for testing diuretic activity in rats has been described by Lipschitz et al. (1943).
The test is based on water and sodium excretion in test animals and compared to rats treated with a high dose of urea. The "Lipschitz-value" is the quotient between excretion by test animals and excretion by the urea control.

Procedure: Male Wistar rats weighing 150-200 g are used. Three animals per group are placed in metabolic cages provided with a wire mesh bottom and a funnel to collect the urine. Stainless-steel sieves are placed in the funnel to retain feces and to allow the urine to pass. The rats are fed with standard diet (Altromin® pellets) and water ad libitum. Fifteen hours prior to the experiment food and water are withdrawn. Three animals are placed in one metabolic cage. For screening procedures two groups of three animals are used for one dose of the test compound.

## Evaluation of Diuretic Activity of Heteropogon contortous (L.): Lipschitz Model/Hydrated rat model:

Albino rats weighing between $150-200 \mathrm{~g}$ and each group containing 6 animals were divided into 5 groups.
Group 1
Group II - Standard Furosemide ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, p.o) in vehicle
Group III - Low dose of Heteropogon contortous (L.) $(100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ in vehicle
Group IV - Medium dose of Heteropogon contortous (L.) $(200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ in vehicle
Group V
High dose of Heteropogon contortous (L.) $(400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ in vehicle
Urine excretion is recorded after 5 h and 24 h . Various parameters like total urine volume and concentration of Sodium, Potassium and Chloride in the urine were measured and estimated respectively. Routine urinalysis including determination of pH and specific gravity along with presence of occult blood, bilirubin, urobilinogen, ketone bodies, proteins, nitrite, glúcose, and leucocytes in urine was carried out using urocolor test strips (Standard Diagnostics Inc. South Korea) for urine samples of control and extract treated rats Urine volume excreted per 100 g body weight is calculated for each group. Results are expressed as the "Lipschitz-value", i.e., the ratio $T / F$, in which $T$ is the response of the test compound, and $F$, that of Furosemide treatment. Indices of 1.0 and more are regarded as a positive effect.. Calculating this index for the 24 h excretion period as well as for 5 h indicates the duration of the diuretic effect. Similar to urine volume, quotients can be calculated for sodium excretion. Dose response curves can be established using various doses. Loop diuretics are characterized by a steep dose-response curve. Saluretic drugs, like hydrochlorothiazide, show Diuretic index around 1.8, whereas loop diuretics (or high ceiling diuretics) like furosemide, bumetanide or piretanide reach values of 4.0 and more.The Lipschitz test has been proven to be a standard method and a very useful tool for screening of potential diuretics.
Estimation of Urinary Electrolytes:Electrolytes in urine like Sodium, Potassium and Chloride were determined by Ion Selective Electrode method as described by the user instruction manual of the biochemical kits ( Roche Diagnostics Pvt. Ltd, Gurgaon, Haryana.)

## Evaluationof Salurietic activity and Natriuretic activity

- The sum of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$excretion is calculated as parameter for saluretic activity.
- The ratio $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$is calculated for natriuretic activity. Values greater than 2.0 indicate a favorable natriuretic effect. Ratios greater than 10.0 indicate a potassium-sparing effect.
The ratio $\mathrm{CL}^{-} / \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{K}^{+}$is calculated to estimate carbonic anhydrase inhibition $\bullet$ Carbonic anhydrase inhibition can be excluded at ratios between 1.0 and 0.8 . With decreasing ratios slight to strong carbonic anhydrase inhibition can be assumed.
All values were expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM (standard error of mean) of six rats $(n=6)$. The statistical analysis was done by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison tests. The value of $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ was considered as significant.(15)


## Results and Discussion

The Heteropogon contortous L., roots were examined for their colour and consistency and their percentage yield was calculated with reference to the quantity used for extraction and the extract was pale brown in colour with a yield of $8.53 \%$ (Table 1) Preliminary phytochemical screening showed the presence steroids, triterpenes, phenols, saponins. Heteropogon contortous L., (Table 2)
In the present study Heteropogon contortous L., methanolic root extract(HCMRE) was subjected for acute oral toxicity studies. For the LD50 dose determination HCMRE was administered upto the dose level of 2000 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ body weight orally and the extract did not produce any mortality. Hence from the maximum dose tested $(2000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg})$ with each extract, three different doses were selected as $1 / 20^{\text {th }}$ low $(100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}), 1 / 10^{\text {th }}$ medium ( $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), $1 / 5^{\text {th }}$ high ( $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) doses respectively. (Table 3)
Routine urinalysis including determination of pH and specific gravity along with presence of occult blood, bilirubin, urobilinogen, ketone bodies, proteins, nitrite, glucose, and leucocytes in urine showed that they were absent and urinary pH was 5.86 . the urine pH after administration of HCMRE at doses $100,200,400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ bw were $7.05,7.58,6.89$ respectively at 24 h urine sample. Furosemide increased the urine pH to 7.56 thus making the urine slightly alkaline. The specific gravity was normal and no abrupt change in any extract treated animals (Table 4) The effect of methanolic extract of roots of Heteropogon contortous L., was found to be dose dependent, i.e., among the three doses studied, higher dose produced more effect. A comparison was made with the standard diuretic drug furosemide, the diuretic effect observed after treatment with methanolic extract of roots of Heteropogon contortous L., was found to be significant in terms of urinary output and Diuretic activity. The Diuretic Index and Lipschitz value of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ $\operatorname{HCMRE}(1.62,0.49,1.72,0.56$ at end of 5 hr and 24 hr respectively) ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and the Diuretic Index and Lipschitz value of $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \operatorname{HCMRE}(2.06,0.63,2.40,0.79$ at end of 5 hr and 24 hr respectively) ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) The $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \operatorname{HCMRE}(2.75,2.95$ at end of 5 hr and 24 hr respectively) ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) showed high diuretic activity.The Lipschitz values were 0.84 and 0.97.The diuretic activity of extracts were significantly comparable to standard $(3.29,1,3.05,1$, at end of 5 hr and 24 hr respectively.An increase in the urinary
excretion of electrolytes exhibited by the reference diuretic drugs over 5 hr and 24 h period was found significant $(\mathrm{P}<0.01)$ in comparison with control group. (Table 5,6,Fig 1)

Although, the dose dependent rise in urinary excretion of water was observed the increase in urinary electrolyte excretion was found to be independent of the dose administered The sodium and chloride electrolyte excretion was found to be high and significant in urine sample of animals which received $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ HCMRE $(298.30 \pm 21.14,204.19 \pm 18.14)$ and was comparable to standard drug Furosemide received urine samples.( $278.22 \pm 11.21,270.45 \pm 7.46$ ) All the extracts $100 . \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of HCMRE showed CAI below 0.8 and proved to be having good CAI activity.The values are $0.53,0.62,0.41$ respectively)The 400 mg HCMRE showed appreciable diuretic,saluretic and natriuretic activity as compared to Furosemide.(Table 7,8;Fig 2)

Remarkably, the diuretic activity of the plant extract was dose and time-dependent indicating that this effect is intrinsic, genuine, and possibly receptor-mediated. 136 Renal excretion of electrolytes is as salient as the excretion of water for treatment of hypertension, peripheral edema, ascites, and congestive heart failure. 137 The increase in diuresis caused by the extracts reflected correspondingly in the excretion of electrolytes. It significantly increased the excretion of urinary electrolytes ( $\mathrm{Na}+, \mathrm{K}+$, and $\mathrm{Cl}-$ ) in a dose-dependent manner. Although the methanol extracts $(400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ increased the excretion of $\mathrm{K}+$ as compared to the negative control, it was significantly lower than that induced by the standard drug(Table 7,Fig 2). The natriuretic activity (aldosterone secretory index) of the plant extract can be determined by taking the ratio of $\mathrm{Na}+/ \mathrm{K}+$ and values greater than 2.0 indicate a favorable natriuretic effect, whereas ratios greater than 10.0 indicate a potassiumsparing effect. 137 Since the DGMRE and HCMRE did not increase the Na+/K+ratio, it is not acting as a potassium-sparing diuretic. Because, potassium-sparing diuretics are usually yery weak, have a slow onset of action, 138 and increase the urinary $\mathrm{Na}+/ \mathrm{K}+$ ratio. 137 The ratio of $\mathrm{Cl}-<[\mathrm{Na}++\mathrm{K}+]$ is used to estimate the carbonic anhydrase-inhibitory activity of the extract. The values between 1.0 and 0.8 can exclude carbonic anhydrase inhibition. With a decreasing ratio, enzyme inhibitory activity can be assumed. 137 The DGMRE and HCMRE had carbonic anhydrase inhibitory indices of $0.53,0.31,0.59$ and $0.53,0.62,0.41$ at the doses of $100,200.400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ respectively(Table 7,8)

## Conclusion

This study indicates that the extracts might have an inhibitory action on carbonic anhydrase enzyme in the renal tubules. The active principle(s) responsible detected in Heteropogon contortous L., after qualitative phytochemical screening revealed the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, polyphenols, and tannins (Table 2). It is reasonable to suggest that these secondary metabolites may act individually or synergistically to produce the observed diuretic and natriuretic activities of Heteropogon contortous L. Flavonoids are one of the natural antagonist ligands for A1 adenosine receptors, while antagonistic activity to the receptor is known to associate with diuretic activity. 154 The enzyme carbonic anhydrase has a role in the regulation of pH and reabsorption of sodium in the PCT. Interestingly, flavonoids have both diuretic and potassium-sparing activities. 156 The
plant extract has less effect on the excretion of potassium (So, this might be another evidence for the potassium-sparing activity of the plant extract as it was found to contain flavonoids Additionally, tannins are implicated in decreasing blood pressure by promoting the excretion of water and electrolytes.157,158 Collectively, shreds of evidence suggested that the plant Heteropogon contortous $L$ has diuretic activity via several mechanisms due to the phytochemicals it contained.

The findings from present study support the folklore use of Heteropogon contortous L., (roots) for their diuretic actions. Methanolic extracts of the plant do not seem to have renal toxicity in rats at doses selected in the present study. Based on the pattern of excretion of water and electrolytes, it appears that that there are active principles present in these extracts having a frusemide-like activity. Moreover, efforts should also be geared toward identifying the specific phytochemicals at molecular level responsible for the observed activity and also quantitative estimation should be done for constituents present in extracts.

## TABLE NO. 1 NATURE AND PERCENTAGE YIELD OF THE EXTRACTS

| S.No. | Name of the extract | Nature | Colour | \%Yield <br> $(\mathbf{w} / \mathbf{w})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Heteropogon contortous $L$ | Creamy | Pale brown | 8.53 |

## TABLE NO. 2 PHYTOCONSTITUENTS REPORTED AFTER PRELIMINARY CHEMICALTESTS

| PHYTOCONSTITUENTS | Heteropogoncontortous <br> $($ L. $)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alkaloids | Absent |
| Carbohydrates | Present |
| Steroids, Triterpenes,Phenols | Present |
| Saponins | Absent |
| Tannins | Absent |
| Flavonoids | Absent |
| Proteins and Aminoacids | Absent |
| Glycosides | Absent |
| Fixed oils and Fats |  |

TABLE NO. 3 Determination of acute toxicity ( $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ ) value of methanolic root extract of Heteropogoncontortous (L.)

| Group | Dose (mg/kg) | D/T | Sign of toxicity/Behavioral changes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | $0.25 \mathrm{ml}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} 0\right)$ | $0 / 6$ | No toxic effects |
| I | 500 | $0 / 6$ | No toxic effects |
| II | 1000 | $0 / 6$ | No toxic effects |
| III | 2000 | $0 / 6$ | No toxic effects |
| IV | 5000 | $0 / 6$ | Calm, agile after 2 h. |

$\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T}=$ Number of rat deaths/Total number of rats used.
TABLE NO. 4 Effect of Heteropogon contortous (L.)root extracts on miscellaneous urinary parameters

|  |  | in control and experimental rats |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. No. | Groups( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) | pH | Specific gravity | Glucose | Protein |
| 1 | Control ( $15 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{b}$. wt)Saline | 6.02 | 1.021 | Absent | Absent |
| 2 | Standard (Furosemide 10 mg/kg b.wt) | 7.56 | 1.015 | Absent | Absent |
| 3 | Methanolic root extract of Heteropogon contortous L., ( $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.wt) | 7.05 | 1.018 | Absent | Absent |
| 4 | Methanolic root extract of Heteropogon contortous L., ( $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.wt) | 7.58 | 1.013 | Absent | Absent |
| 5 | Methanolic root extract of Heteropogon contortous L., ( $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.wt) | 6.89 | 1.009 | Absent | Absent |

TABLE NO. 5 Effect of Heteropogon contortous (L.)root extracts on urine excretion volume(at 5hr),diuretic action and Diuretic Index.

| S. <br> No <br> . | Groups(n=6) | Total Urine Vol <br> $(\mathbf{m l} / \mathbf{k g}$ b.wt/5 h) | Urinary <br> excretion | Diuretic <br> Index | Lipschitz value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Control $(15 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{b}$. <br> wt) Saline | $2.55 \pm 0.12$ | 17 | --- | ----- |
| 2 | Standard (Furosemide <br> $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.wt) | $8.41 \pm 0.06$ | 56 | 3.29 | 1 |
| 3 | Methanolic root <br> extract <br> of Heteropogon <br> contortous $L$. <br> $(100$ mg/kg b.wt) | $4.13 \pm 0.04$ | 27.53 | 1.62 | 0.49 |
| 4 | Methanolic root <br> extract <br> of Heteropogon <br> contortous L., <br> (200 mg/kg b.wt) | $5.25 \pm 0.04$ | 35 | 2.06 | 0.63 |
| 5 | Methanolic root <br> extract <br> of Heteropogon <br> contortous L., <br> (400 mg/kg b.wt) | $7.02 \pm 0.06$ | 46.8 | 2.75 | 0.84 |

Values expressed as mean $\pm$ S.E.M., $\mathrm{n}=6$, Significance at $\mathrm{p}<0.05^{*}, \mathrm{p}<0.01^{* *}, \mathrm{p}<0.001^{* * *}$, Compared with control group (One Way ANOVA followed by Dunnetts ' $t$ ' test).

TABLE NO. 6 Effect of Heteropogon contortous (L.)root extracts on urine excretion volume(at 24hr),diuretic action and Di uretic Index.

| S. <br> No <br> - | Groups(n=6) | Total Urine Vol <br> $(\mathbf{m l} / \mathbf{k g}$ b.wt/24 h) | Urinary <br> excretion | Diuretic <br> Index | Lipschitz value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Control (15 ml/Kg b. <br> wt) Saline | $4.32 \pm 0.14$ | 28.8 | --- | ----- |
| 2 | Standard (Furosemide <br> 10 mg/kg b.wt) | $13.17 \pm 0.48$ | 87.8 | 3.05 | 1 |
| 3 | Methanolic root extract <br> of Heteropogon <br> contortous L., <br> (100 mg/kg b.wt) | $7.43 \pm 0.12$ | 49.53 | 1.72 | 0.56 |
| 4 | Methanolic root extract <br> of Heteropogon <br> contortous L., <br> (200 mg/kg b.wt) | $10.37 \pm 0.16$ | 69.13 | 2.40 | 0.79 |
| 5 | Methanolic root extract <br> of Heteropogon <br> lontortous L., <br> (400 mg/kg b.wt) | $12.75 \pm 0.34$ | 85 | 2.95 | 0.97 |

Values expressed as mean $\pm$ S.E.M., $\mathrm{n}=6$, Significance at $\mathrm{p}<0.05^{*}, \mathrm{p}<0.01^{* *}, \mathrm{p}<0.001^{* * *}$, Compared with control group (One Way ANOVA followed by Dunnetts ' $t$ ' test).

Fig NO. 1 Effect of Heteropogon contortous (L.) root extracts on Diuretic index and Lipschitz value(at 5hr and 24hr)


TABLE NO. 7 Effect of Heteropogon contortous (L.) root extracts on electrolytes concentration in urine

| S. <br> No. | Groups(n=6) | $\mathbf{N a}^{+}(\mathbf{m e q} / \mathrm{L})$ | $\mathbf{K}^{+}(\mathbf{m e q} / \mathrm{L})$ | CL' ${ }^{-(m e q / L)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Control ( $15 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{b}$. wt)Saline | $86.30 \pm 11$ | $108.60 \pm 13.45$ | $130.56 \pm 6.21$ |
| 2 | Standard (Furosemide 10 mg/kg b.wt) | $278.22 \pm 11.21$ | $128.30 \pm 4.19$ | $270.45 \pm 7.46$ |
| 3 | methanolic root extract <br> of Heteropogon contortous <br> (L.) ( $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.wt) | $181.13 \pm 11.27$ | $182.56 \pm 15.23$ | $194.52 \pm 7.21$ |
| 4 | methanolic root extract <br> of Heteropogon contortous <br> (L.) $(200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.wt) | $131.26 \pm 13.50$ *** | $122.87 \pm 15.20 * * *$ | $157.0 \pm 12.18 * * *$ |
| 5 | methanolic root extract <br> of Heteropogon contortous <br> (L.) $(400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.wt) | $298.30 \pm 21.14 * * *$ | $205.00 \pm 18.63^{* * *}$ | $204.19 \pm 18.14^{* *}$ |

Values expressed as mean $\pm$ S.E.M., $\mathrm{n}=6$, Significance at $\mathrm{p}<0.05^{*}, \mathrm{p}<0.01^{* *}, \mathrm{p}<0.001^{* * *}$, Compared with control group (One Way ANOVA followed by Dunnetts ' $t$ ' test).

Fig No. 2: Effect of Heteropogon contortous (L.)root extracts on electrolytes concentration in urine


TABLE NO. 8 Effect of Heteropogon contortous (L.)root extracts on Saluretic, Natriuretic index and Ion Quotient in Urine

| S. No. | Groups(n=6) | Saluretic Index |  |  |  | Ion Quotient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | $\mathbf{K}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ |  | $\left.\mathbf{C L} / \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{K}^{+}\right)$ |
| 1 | Control ( $15 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{b} . \mathrm{wt}$ )Saline |  | 1 | 1 | 0.79 | --- |
| 2 | Standard (Furosemide $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> b.wt) | 3.22 | 1.18 | 2.07 | 2.16 | 0.67 |
| 3 | methanolic root extract <br> of Heteropogon contortous (L.) <br> ( $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.wt) | 2.09 | 1.68 | 1.49 | 0.99 | 0.53 |
| 4 | methanolic root extract <br> of Heteropogon contortous (L.) <br> ( $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.wt) | 1.52 | 1.13 | 1.20 | 1.06 | 0.62 |
| 5 | methanolic root extract <br> of Heteropogon contortous (L.) ( $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.wt) | 3.45 | 1.89 | 1.56 | 1.45 | 0.41 |
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