CRT.ORG

ISSN: 2320-2882



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE **RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)**

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

"A Study On Investment Preference Of Salaried **Individual Toward Different Investment** Avenues With Reference To Vapi And Vadodara City"

- ¹ Dr. Mayur Rao, ² Bhavik Bhanushali, ³ Jay Bhagat
 - ¹ Associate Head, ² MBA student, ³ MBA student
 - ¹ Parul Institute of Management & Research (MBA),
 - ¹ Parul University, Vadodara, India

Abstract: In today's time one cannot depend on their salary for the rest of their life everyone needs to save for their future goals and objective which they wants to achieve. Everyone has their own needs and wants to fulfill that one needs money whether it is personal objective or financial objective. This study focuses on an investment preference of a salaried individual while investing in different investment avenues what they think while investing what are their perception towards different factors, the sample were drawn from Vadodara and Vapi equally.

Index Terms -: investment, Perception, investment avenues, awareness, Vapi, Vadodara, factor affecting investment

I. INTRODUCTION

In current economic scenario every individual need fund for their needs and wants to achieve that wants they need money but it is not possible to get everything with that limited salary so the individual invests in various investment avenues such as precious metals, fixed deposit, mutual funds, share market, real estate, bonds, life insurance, crypto currency, forex market. Individuals' investment avenues differ from each other on the basis of the level of awareness, risk, return on investments, liquidity, volatility. Investor who are not expert they rely on advices the major advices are received from friends and family, media, newspaper financial planner, financial application, magazines and journals...

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

- 1. (Shukla, 2016) Author attempted this research paper, about investor's preference towards investment avenues and the study focused on the salaried person only. Both primary and secondary data sources are used. The author concluded that there was no significant difference in investment based on the gender and qualification and they invested in purchasing home and long-term investment. Respondents have the criteria of investment as safety and low risk.
- (KANAGARAJ, N.PRIYANANDHINI., & R.VENKATESAN, 2014) A Study on Perception of Women Investors towards Investments explored that the impact of the level of awareness among the women investors about various features about investment and ascertains the investment pattern and factors influencing investment decision of the women investors. Author indicated there, various investments opening available, majority of the women investors' only preferred chit funds, insurance, and bank deposits so, open exposure must give about the feature of the investments
- (DAS & JAIN, 2014) A study on the influence of demographical variables on the factors of investment, this paper focused on the relationship between the four demographic variables age, gender, education and occupation with the four most important aims of investment such as risk, return, retirement and tax which influences on buying behaviors of the investors. Concluded that underscores the fact that demographic variables indeed play a vital role on the approach of the investor society which motivated by age and educational skill
- (Bhushan Puneet & Medury Yajulu, 2012), this paper observed that most of the investment were made by working adults of the age group 31-40 years. The individual who earns between 10 to 15 lakhs prefer investing more in avenues who offer high return and are riskier. where adult prefer safe investments. Males prefer mutual funds and life insurance whereas female prefers recurring deposit and market investment. The government employees tend to invest in safe avenues whereas private employees prefer the avenues who offer high return and are riskier, married employees goes with safe investment whereas unmarried prefer avenues who offer high return and are more risky. But at the end it was concluded that investment preferences are not affected by age, gender, income, marital status and employment status

5. (Atul Vijay Bhave & Gaurav Gautam Pawar, 2022) The study was carried out in Ratnagiri, Maharashtra to analyze the awareness and perception of individual investors towards the selected investment avenues. 63.8% of investors have moderate level of awareness about different investment avenues. The study found that, there is no significant difference between investment awareness index of male and female investors. Majority of investors made investments for earning regular return and for creation of wealth.

III. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY:

- To study the preference of salaried individual for different investment avenues
- To study the impact of gender, age, risk associated with avenues, annual income, employment status, location on investment avenues toward the preference
 - To analyze several investments avenues, present in the market.

Hypothesis

There is no significant difference between (1) gender (2) location with the level of awareness towards different investment avenues

There is no significant difference between (3) age (4) type of organization the work with perception towards factor while investing

Research methodology

3.1Population and Sample

Population size is all the salaried individual from Vadodara and Vapi city who invest in different investment avenues and sample size is distributed equally (i.e:50 from Vadodara and 50 from Vapi) among both the city.

3.2 Data and Sources of Data

For this study primary and secondary data both has been collected. Primary data was collected with the help of google forms and secondary data from various website

3.3 Statistical tools and econometric models

3. SPSS 20

SPSS 20 was used for finding the value and results of level of significance in the hypothesis and the result are being analyzed using T-test and ANOVA test.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Data analysis and interpretation:

Table 1:demographic data

| To | otal number | of sample n | 1 | 100 |
|---------------------------|---|-------------|---|-----------|
| Demographic variables | | C | haracter | Frequency |
| Gender | | | Male | 63 |
| | | | Female | 37 |
| Age | | | 20-30 | 57 |
| | | | 30-40 | 17 |
| | | | 40-50 | 20 |
| | | | 50-60 | 6 |
| Marit <mark>al sta</mark> | tus | | Single | 52 |
| | |] | Married | 48 |
| organization (e | organizati <mark>on (employe of)</mark> | | Private | 72 |
| | | Go | overnment | 28 |
| Qualificati | ion | | Graduate | 42 |
| | | Pos | t-Gr <mark>aduate</mark> | 54 |
| | | | Other | 4 |
| Annual inco | ome | Belov | v ₹ 2,00,000 | 13 |
| | | | 2,00, <mark>000-₹</mark> 3,99, <mark>999</mark> | 27 |
| 20 | | | 4,00, <mark>000-₹</mark> 5,99,9 <mark>9</mark> 9 | 35 |
| | | | 5,00,0 <mark>00-₹</mark> 7,99,999 | 16 |
| | | ₹8, | 00,000 and above | 9 |

Demographic summary:

By table 1 we can interpret that the total number of response were 100 out of which 63 were male and 37 were female; in age category the response were categorized in 4 category, there was 57 response by the age of 20 to 30 ,17 by 30 to 40, 20 by 40 to 50, 6 by 50 to 60, so we can say that most of the responses were from the category of 20 to 30 years age; out of the total responses 52 were single and 48 were married; out of 100 responses most of the responses (i.e. 72) work with private firms and other works with government organizations; out of 100 responses 54 were post graduate, 42 were graduate and 4 responses were from other category; the annual income was again categorized in 5 category, 13 responses in below Rs 2,00,000 , 27 responses in Rs 2,00,000 to Rs 3,99,999 , 35 responses in Rs 4,00,000 to Rs 5,99,999 , 16 responses in Rs 6,00,000 to Rs7,99,999 and 9 responses in Rs 8,00,000 and above.

• Preferred investment avenues from the respondents on the basic of rank received while survey:

While asked to give rank to the investment avenues without replacements most respondents ranked fixed deposit at 1st followed by gold & silver followed by share market, mutual funds, real assets, life insurance, bonds, crypto, forex.

• Source of advice of the respondents / investors:

Most of the respondent's source of advice was from friends and family (54) and followed by Internet (45) and financial planner (38), financial applications (30), Media (24), newspaper and journal & magazines (15)

• Most preferred objective of investors while investing in any investment avenues

In the study it was found that the most preferred objective of investment was capital growth (55) which is more than 50% of the total respondent followed by saving for emergency (17), New house(9), Tax benefits(7), children's education (6), retirement(4), children's marriage(2)

Testing of hypothesis:

H1: There is significant difference between awareness of the investment avenues & gender

Table 2: t test

| | | 1 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----|---------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|--|--|
| | | t-test for Equality of Means | | | | | | | |
| | | | | P value Sig. (2- | Mean Differe | Std. Error | Results | | |
| | | t/ | df | tailed) | nce | Difference | of H1 | | |
| Average awareness of investment avenues | Equal variances assumed | .810 | 98 | .420 | 1.1877 | 1.46576 | Rejected | | |
| Awareness of Fixed deposits | Equal variances assumed | .863 | 98 | .390 | .259 | .300 | Rejected | | |
| Awareness of Gold | Equal variances assumed | .248 | 98 | .805 | .067 | .270 | Rejected | | |
| Awareness of Real estate | Equal variances assumed | 1.33 | 98 | .186 | .337 | .253 | Rejected | | |
| Awareness of share market | Equal variances assumed | 2.00 | 98 | .047 | .472 | .235 | Accepte d | | |
| Awareness of mutual funds | Equal variances assumed | .248 | 98 | .805 | .057 | .232 | Rejected | | |
| Awareness of bonds | Equal variances assumed | -1.01 | 98 | .314 | 272 | .269 | Rejected | | |
| Awareness of Life insurance | Equal variances assumed | .124 | 98 | .901 | .032 | .259 | Rejected | | |
| Awareness Crypto currency | Equal variances assumed | .889 | 98 | .376 | .266 | .299 | Rejected | | |
| Awareness Forex market | Equal variances assumed | 880 | 98 | .381 | 279 | .317 | Rejected | | |

While finding the level of awareness of different investment avenues in genders by t-test using SPSS 20 we get to know that there was no significant difference(as P value was > 0.05) in the average awareness level of all investment avenues in male and female and while cross verifying that it was found that there was no significant difference awareness level of Fixed Deposits, Gold &Silver, Real estate, Bonds, Life insurance, Crypto currency, Forex market with gender as the P value was > 0.05 in all the mentioned cases but we found that there was significant difference between the level of awareness level of Share market and gender. In the case of shar market it was found more male (Mean: 3.80) were aware the female (Mean: 3.43), but in general it can be said that the average awareness level of male and female are equal.

H2: There is significant difference between awareness of the investment avenues & locations (smart city & regular city)

Table 3: t-test

| | | | | t-test for E | Equality of Mea | ns | |
|--|--|--------|----|-------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | | t | df | P value Sig. (2- tailed | Mean Differ ence | Std. Erro r Diff eren ce | Resul t of H2 |
| Awareness of Fixed deposit | Equal vari <mark>ances</mark> assumed | 0.000 | 98 | 1.000 | 0.000 | .291 | Rejected |
| Awareness of Gold | Equal variances assumed | .461 | 98 | .646 | .120 | .260 | Rejected |
| Awareness of Real estate | Equal vari <mark>ances</mark> assumed | .162 | 98 | .872 | .040 | .247 | Rejected |
| Awareness of share market | Equal vari <mark>ances</mark> assumed | 953 | 98 | .343 | 220 | .231 | Rejected |
| Awareness of mutual funds | Equal variances assumed | .717 | 98 | .475 | .160 | .223 | Rejected |
| Awareness of bonds | Equal variances assumed | .153 | 98 | .878 | .040 | .261 | Rejected |
| Awareness of Life insurance | Equal variances assumed | 080 | 98 | .936 | 020 | .250 | Rejected |
| Awareness Crypto currency | Equal variances assumed | 207 | 98 | .836 | 060 | .289 | Rejected |
| Awareness Forex market | Equal variances assumed | -1.914 | 98 | .059 | 580 | .303 | Rejected |
| Average awareness of investment avenues | Equal variances assumed | 003 | 98 | .998 | 0044 | 1.41 | Rejected |

While finding the level of awareness of different investment avenues with location as Vadodara is Smart city and Vapi is regular city or we can say it is still developing city by t-test using SPSS 20 we get to know that there was no significant difference(as P value was > 0.05) in the average awareness level of all investment avenues in investors of Vadodara and Vapi city and while cross verifying that it was found that there was no significant difference awareness level of Fixed Deposits, Gold & Silver, Real estate, Share market Bonds, Life insurance, Crypto currency, Forex market with gender as the P value was > 0.05 in all the mentioned cases.

H3: There is significant difference between perception towards factor consideration while investing & age

Table 3:ANOVA test

| Considered fa | ctor | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | P value Sig. | RESULTS OF H1 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----|----------------|-------|--------------------|------------------|
| Risk during investment | Between Groups | 34.267 | 3 | 11.422 | 8.659 | .000 | Accepted |
| Return on investment | Between Groups | 17.635 | 3 | 5.878 | 5.773 | .001 | Accepted |
| Investment period | Between Groups | 15.161 | 3 | 5.054 | 4.472 | .006 | Rejected |
| Liquidity | Between Groups | 5.363 | 3 | 1.788 | 1.053 | .373 | Rejected |
| Taxation | Between Groups | 1.887 | 3 | .629 | .294 | .830 | Rejected |
| Inflation | Between Groups | 6.015 | 3 | 2.005 | .930 | .429 | Rejected |
| Volatility | Between Groups | 1.376 | 3 | .459 | .210 | .889 | Rejected |

While analyzing the factors they consider while investing we get to know that out of 7 factors in 2 factor there was significant difference in the perception towards factor consideration and age; the factor were Risk factor considered during investment, consider return on investment, as there results of P value were < 0.05, so the H_1 got accepted and in all the other 5 cases there was no significant difference in the perception towards factor consideration and age as the results P value were > 0.05 those factor were Consideration of investment period, consider liquidity, consider taxation, consider inflation, consider volatility.

H4: There is significant difference between perception towards factor while investing & type of organization they work

Table 4 t-test

| | | t-test for Equality of Means | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|----|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Considered Factors | | t | df | Sig.2- tailed | Mean Differen ce | Std. Error Differ ence | Results of H1 |
| risk factor | Equal variances assumed | -1.613 | 98 | .110 | 454 | .282 | Rejected |
| return on investment | Equal variances assumed | -1.308 | 98 | .194 | .31349 | .23959 | Rejected |
| investment period | Equal variances assumed | .262 | 98 | .794 | .06548 | .25007 | Rejected |
| liquidity | Equal variances assumed | 211 | 98 | .834 | 06151 | .29185 | Rejected |

| Taxation | Equal variances assumed | .509 | 98 | .612 | .16468 | .32357 | Rejected |
|------------|-------------------------|------|----|------|--------|--------|----------|
| Inflation | Equal variances assumed | 109 | 98 | .914 | 03571 | .32832 | Rejected |
| Volatility | Equal variances assumed | 091 | 98 | .928 | .02976 | .32679 | Rejected |

By using the t-test in spss20 we found that there was no such significant difference between the perception towards factor while investing and type organization they work (Government & private).as the results (P value) were >0.05 so the h1 got rejected in every factors.

Findings:

By the whole study the follow were the findings based on 100 responses which were collected from Vadodara and Vapi on equal sample size of 50 from each city:

- 1. Demographic findings: The ratio of male were more than female investors; most of the investors belongs from 20 30 years category (57) followed by 40 50 years category (20); in marital status there were 52 single and 48 were married, out of 100 investors 72 were working for the private organization and 28 were working for government organization; most of the respondents were post graduate(52) followed by graduates(42) and 4 were from 10th 12th and under graduates; if respondents were classified on the bases of salary most of them were earning Rs 4,00,000 to Rs 5,99,999 (35) followed by Rs 2,00,000 to Rs 3,99,999 (27)
- 2. We have found that most of the respondents were aware about all the investment avenues which were asked in the survey and there was no significant difference between awareness of male and female expect in the case of share market in which male (Mean: 3.80) were more aware than female (Mean: 3.43), when we have compared awareness between the location (i.e. Vadodara city and Vapi city) we have found that there was no significant difference between awareness of both the cities.
- 3. It was found that most of the respondents had ranked fixed deposit as their 1st preference which was followed by gold & silver and least rank were appointed to forex market, crypto market and Bonds.
- 4. It was found that most of the respondents were taking advice from friends and family (54) followed by internet (45), financial planner (38), financial application (30), Media (24), and the least respondents were dependent on newspapers and journals & magazine's (15 each)
- 5. By the study it was found that most of the investor's most preferred objective was capital growth followed by saving funds for emergency, new house for achieving their objective most of the respondents / investors were investing 11 to 15 % (47) of their annual salary followed by 5 to 10 % (38) and 16 to 20 % (14) and only 1 in more than 20 %
- 6. In the study it was found that there was no significant difference between the perception toward factor consideration and gender but while applying test for the significancy between perception towards factor consideration it was found that there was significant difference between perception towards factor consideration and age by the tables we have found that by the increase in the age, the capacity towards risk taking and factor of return on investment changes as both have direct inverse relationship the more the risk the more the return it is also called risk premium.

Conclusion: With the help of study, we can conclude that there was no significant difference between average awareness of different investment avenues between (1) both male and female (2)location, and there is significant difference in factor considered and age. While considering the organization where they work there was no significant difference between location and perception towards factor they consider while investing.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

- 1) https://www.slideshare.net/NagendraBabuP2/components-of-financial-system
- 2) https://www.investopedia.com/ask/answers/030315/what-financial-services-sector.asp
- 3) https://thinkindiaquarterly.org/index.php/think-india/article/view/11325
- 4) Atul Vijay Bhave, & Gaurav Gautam Pawar. (2022). Accounting For Investments And Investment Avenues Selected By Investors In The Ratnagiri District Of Maharashtra. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 487-495.
- 5) Bhushan Puneet, & Medury Yajulu. (2012). INVESTMENT PREFERENCES OF SALARIED INDIVIDUAL TOWARDS FINANCIAL PRODUCTS . INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND BEHAVIOURAL SCIENCE, 95-107.
- 6) DAS, S., & JAIN, R. (2014). "A STUDY ON THE INFLUENCE OF DEMOGRAPHICAL VARIABLES ON THE FACTORS OF INVESTMENT- A PERSPECTIVE ON THE GUWAHATI REGION". IMPACT: International Journal of Research in Humanities, Arts and Literature, 97-102.
- 7) KANAGARAJ, D., N.PRIYANANDHINI., & R.VENKATESAN. (2014). A Study on Perception of Women Investors Towards Investments. PARIPEX INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH, 1..
- 8) Shukla, N. S. (2016). Inves<mark>tors' Preference towards Investment Avenues with Special Reference to Salaried Personnel in North Gujarat Region. IJSART, 43-49.</mark>

