JCRT.ORG

ISSN: 2320-2882



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

ANALYSIS OF THE INFLUENCE OF **CORPORATE IMAGE, CORPORATE** LEADERSHIP, IMMEDIATE MANAGER, COOPERATION, AND CONDITION OF WORK ON JOB SATISFACTION AND EMPLOYEE **LOYALTY AT PT X**

¹Erlyta Vivi Permatasari, ²Amelia, ³Ronald

¹²³Master of Management ¹²³Pelita Harapan University, Surabaya Campus, ¹²³Surabaya, Indonesia

Abstract: Human resources in a company will not be separated from leaders and employees. The leadership style implemented in the company contributes greatly to the sustainability and well-being of employees. The running of a company's business is influenced by 10% of corporate leaders (Zenger & Folkman, 2003), and the wise decisions taken by that group have a great impact on the human resources in it and the management function of the organization (Rad, 2006). Employees who are in companies with good corporate leadership based on research conducted by Palupi (2017) have a positive correlation with employee satisfaction levels because the right organization is formed for the scope of employees (Timmreck, 2001).

This model was developed in order to study employee loyalty at PT.X. This research model is formed by the relationship between job satisfaction and loyalty, with satisfaction variables influenced by the variables corporate image, corporate leadership, immediate manager, cooperation, and condition of work. This research is expected to answer research questions, namely, whether corporate image, corporate leadership, immediate managers, cooperation, and condition of work have a significant effect on satisfaction and their effect on loyalty to marketing dept employees at PT. X.

Based on the data processing that has been carried out, the results of 5 hypotheses were accepted and one hypothesis was rejected. There is a significant influence between corporate image, immediate manager, cooperation, and condition of work on job satisfaction, the same on the effect of job satisfaction on loyalty. Meanwhile, an insignificant influence occurs on corporate leadership with job satisfaction.

IndexTerms - Site Organization, Reliability, Responsiveness, User Friendliness, Personal Need, Efficiency Electronic Customer Satisfaction, Electronic Customer Loyalty

I. INTRODUCTION

Business development today is getting faster and more dynamic along with the occurrence of various phenomena in the world. The company continues to develop to keep its organization running and afloat. Human resources are the most important asset in the company, with good human resource management, the company can achieve good development as well (Armstrong, 2008). The sustainability of the company must be inseparable from the human resources in it. The emphasis on the increasing importance of the quality of human resources is one of the responses in responding to competition in order to stay afloat. Human resources that have a strong drive to remain members of the company and have the desire to make the most of it possible for the company need to be maintained. Employees with this loyalty are very important for the progress of the company because they will work optimally to achieve organizational goals, they are committed to willingly sacrificing for the sake of their company, which is manifested in the form of being willing to work overtime, willing to work outside of responsibility, and avoiding things that can harm the company. Loyal employees according to some studies are the result of the satisfaction received by employees with things that happen in the company. The feeling of happiness arising from such satisfaction will influence employees to devote their personal time to work activities, they will be creative and committed, and will look for ways to get through any obstacles that may exist in the realization of their work.

Human resources in a company will not be separated from leaders and employees. The leadership style implemented in the company contributes greatly to the sustainability and well-being of employees. The running of a company's business is influenced by 10% of corporate leaders (Zenger & Folkman, 2003), and the wise decisions taken by that group have a great impact on the human resources in it and the management function of the organization (Rad, 2006). Employees who are in companies with good corporate leadership based on research conducted by Palupi (2017) have a positive correlation with employee satisfaction levels because the right organization is formed for the scope of employees (Timmreck, 2001).

Employees are led directly by managers whose role is to supervise, conduct coaching, provide assistance in carrying out work, and bridge management to achieve the goals of the target organization (Luthans, 2006). Immediate managers are important to create safe and comfortable conditions so that employees can work effectively and efficiently. Employees who do not get a professional and fair boss will affect their performance and productivity as a result of not receiving satisfaction at work. The unpleasant circumstances obtained by employees in the work environment cause mutual relations to be disrupted so that according to Cornelius (2013) success between the two will be hampered.

Employee Loyalty is psychological attachment or commitment to the company, so that it is emotionally and physically attached to the company (Rajput, 2016). As an example, we can see from employees who work at PT. X, some employees survive until they reach retirement age, work for more than 20 years, so it can be concluded that some of them do intend to continue working in PT. X and less interested in finding another job.

After the phenomenon that has been explained, several variables need to be studied in depth and comprehensively through research to reveal the influence of corporate image, corporate leadership, immediate managers, cooperation, and condition of work job satisfaction and employee loyalty at PT X.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1.1 Employee Loyalty

According to Richman (2006) states that Employee Loyalty is actually an intellectual and affiliated commitment to the organization. Employee loyalty confirms that employees are emotionally, physically, and mentally bound in the achievement of organizational goals. Loyalty tends to increase efficiency and effectiveness which means an employee is fully engaged in his or her work (Richman, 2006). Employee loyalty can be defined according to Rajput (2016) as psychological attachment or commitment to the organization and develops as a result of increased job satisfaction. Job satisfaction results from an internal evaluation process, and if the employee's level of expectations is met or exceeded, then satisfaction grows. Employee loyalty according to Solomon (1992) is the willingness to stay with the organization. Employee loyalty is the citizenship behavior of an organization that reflects loyalty to the organization in order to promote its interests and image to outsiders. (Benttencourt, Gwinner and Meuter, 2001). Employee loyalty is a manifestation of organizational commitment, the relative strength of the identification of individuals with and involvement in a particular organization. Based on the theories above, the researcher concludes that employee loyalty is the willingness of employees to carry out company duties in full awareness and responsibility so that the company's goals succeed optimally.

2.1.2 Job Satisfaction

Job satisfaction is a complex and diverse concept that can mean different things for different people. Satisfaction is usually associated with motivation, but the nature of this relationship is not clear. Satisfaction is not the same as motivation. Job satisfaction is more of an attitude, an internal state, for example, being related to a feeling of personal achievement, either quantitative or qualitative (Rajput, 2016). Job satisfaction as a factor that affects organizational performance and effectiveness is important to pay attention to in order to achieve competitive advantage (Bakotic, 2016). Job satisfaction can be defined as an employee's sense of achievement and success. It is generally believed that it is directly related to productivity and work performance, as well as personal well-being. Job satisfaction means doing a job one like, doing it well and being rewarded for one's own efforts (Aziri, 2011).

H8: Job satisfaction has a significant effect on employee loyalty

2.1.3 Corporate Image

An image is a set of knowledge, experiences, judgments, and feelings (emotions) organized within the human cognition system, or the result of thought processes that are believed to be true. Ruslan (2014) suggests that the image is a broader public opinion and is the result of a sooner or later process of accumulating trust that has been given by individuals. According to Tang (2007) states that corporate image is an impression that arises in a person's thinking when hearing the name of an organization. Jefkins (1995) suggests that corporate image is the image of an organization as a whole. Meanwhile, Balmer (2019) defines corporate image as a collection of perceptions, beliefs, and impressions made by an organization so that stakeholders and the public have an opinion about identity. Boulding (1956) as an expert who proclaimed the meaning of corporate image, identified corporate image into two views, namely practical and psychological views. Kandampully (2007) argues that the practical component is related to something real that can be measured easily, while the psychological part according to Kennedy (1977) is related to psychological manifestations through feelings and behaviors. Based on the theories above, the researcher concludes that corporate image is an opinion formed from the accumulation of information, knowledge, and beliefs in a company.

H1: Corporate Image has a significant effect on job satisfaction.

2.1.4 Corporate Leadership

Leadership According to Victoria (2021) is defined as the capacity to influence others through inspiration and not manipulation in order to achieve predetermined goals, it is added that leadership is the result of modification and competence, which can then be exemplified by other members of the group. Leadership according to Kutz (2010) is the ability of individuals to facilitate and influence superiors, co-workers, and subordinates to make efforts that can be recognized as goals. In the frame of an organization or institution, the role of leadership is very important so that its functioning and well-being can run well. Leadership is broadly defined by Yukl & Van Fleet (1992) as a process that influences the actions of employees, the choice of goals for a group or organization and the dynamic interaction between superiors and employees. According to Subrahmanyam (2018) corporate leader is the capacity to influence and is then required to be able to find and apply the right leadership theory or the most possible combination of theories in the context of achieving the company. Based on the theories above, the researcher concluded that corporate leadership is a model that is able to drive all activities in the company in an effort to achieve common goals.

H1: Corporate leadership has a significant effect on job satisfaction.

2.1.5 Immediate Manager

Cornelius (2013) states that an *Immediate manager* is someone who communicates organizational needs, oversees employee performance, provides guidance, support, identifies subordinate development needs, and manages the mutual relationship between his subordinates and the organization so that each can achieve success. According to Kismono (2001) states that a manager is someone who coordinates and supervises the work of others so that the goals of the organization can be achieved. Immediate manager means a manager of a direct department or an employee who is at a senior level. The immediate manager is also said to be an employee who is not from the highest level of management but allows for a hierarchy in the business where he is subordinate to the higher level of management .

Immediate manager means a Department Manager or employee with authority at the senior level. The Department Manager is the person responsible for the success of the tasks assigned by the Board of Directors to the department he leads. The scope of his duties is very wide, which includes all coordination within his department. Starting from creating a work system, building a work system, building features, reporting to the Board, Director, and others. Added from Based on the theories above, the researcher concluded that an immediate manager is an individual who performs the role of a superior who assigns tasks, supervises, and develops his subordinates and as a bridge between management and employees.

H1: Immediate manager has a significant effect on job satisfaction

2.1.6Cooperation

Cooperation (2016) states that cooperation is the ability of individuals to carry out joint activities well in achieving the goals and objectives of the team, and its members are able to participate in the team and obtain satisfaction within the team, with the characteristics of having goals, understanding roles and tasks, trusting and supporting each other and being responsible in carrying out tasks to achieve common goals. Meanwhile, according to Jhonson (2000) cooperation is an important way to increase organizational effectiveness. Cooperation is defined by Levi (2001) as a set of skills needed to be developed during practice. Another opinion was also expressed by Johlke (2002) who stated that cooperation is the act of two or more people working together towards a general goal, dividing each other's time, talents, and knowledge and using methods that are suitable for all team members. Thomas and Johnson (2014) define cooperation as a grouping that occurs among the living beings we know. Cooperation is defined also by Castaner (2020) as a joint effort to achieve agreed goals in a manner consistent with a shared understanding of contributions and rewards. According to the Big Dictionary Indonesian (2008) states cooperation is something that is handled by several parties. Cooperation is an attitude of being willing to do a job together regardless of the background of the person being invited to work together to achieve a goal. Landsberger (2011) states that cooperation is a group process in which members support and rely on each other to achieve a consensus. Based on the theories above, the researcher concluded that cooperation is the ability of individuals to carry out joint efforts that are interconnected with each other which in these activities contains elements of honesty, support, trust, and is responsible for carrying out tasks in achieving common goals.

H1: Cooperation has a significant effect on job satisfaction

2.1.7 Condition of Work

Condition of work is defined by Komarudin (1997) as a form of social, psychological and physical life in organizations that affects the work of employees in carrying out their duties. According to Schultz & Schultz (2006) the work environment is defined as a condition related to the characteristics of the workplace to the behavior and attitudes of employees where it is related to the occurrence of psychological changes due to things experienced in their work, According to Al-Omari (2017) states that the work environment can be anything around employees and can affect how employees perform their duties. Based on the theories above, the researcher concluded that the work environment is a condition related to work, both physical, social, and psychological. According to Robbins (2010) the environment is institutions or forces of outside forces that have the potential to affect organizational performance. Broadly speaking, the work environment is divided into two, namely the physical work environment and the nonphysical work environment (Seladarmayati: 2009).

H1: Condition of work has a significant effect on job satisfaction

III. RESEARCH ISSUE AND METHODOLOGY

The approach used in this study is a quantitative approach because the research is based on data. Data obtained from the distribution of online questionnaires to employees in the Marketing Department of PT. X.

This research is a causal study because it aims to find out the causal relationship between two or more variables that explain the influence of changes in the value of one variable on other variables.

A population is a whole group of people, events or something that the researcher will study. According to Sugiarto (2017), states that the population is a group of individuals who have distinctive characteristics that are of concern in a study (observation) within the scope to be studied. The population used in this study was male or female, aged 18-30 years, permanent employees, working more than 1 year in the marketing department of PT. X. The total number of employees of the Marketing Department is 53 people. Employees who do not have a position and only have a position as non-managerial employees are 50 people.

The sample is a sub or part of the population. In other words, a number of sample elements will form a population (Sekaran, 2006). The sampling technique used in this study was total sampling. Total sampling is a sampling technique when all members of the population are used as samples (Sugiyono, 2005). This was done in the study because the population is not very large.

 \sum Population = \sum Sample

So that the sample used is 50 people.



Figure 3.1 Research Model Source: Researcher, 2022.

IV. FINDING AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Characteristics of Respondents

Mayoritas employees who work for the company PT. X was dominated by men with 34 people (percentage 68%) followed by women who filled the remaining respondents with a total of 16 people (percentage 32%). It can be concluded that the employees of the Marketing Dept. who work at PT. X Surabaya is dominated by Men. Alarge number of marketing dept employees who work for PT. X region Surabaya, are people who have an age range between 18-35 years, namely 31 respondents or covering 62%, followed by employees who have an age range between 36-50 years with 18 respondents or 36% percent, and finally 1 employee from the Marketing Department who has an age range over 50 years with a percentage of 2% of all respondents.

4.2 Validity Test

Variables	Item Code	r	rtable	Criteria
	I1	0.577		Valid
Image	I2	0.709	0.279	Valid
	I3	0.740		Valid

Table 4. 1 Validity Test Result on Image variable

Variables	Item Code	R	rtable	Criteria
	I1	0.696		Valid
Corporate	I2	0.522	0.279	Valid
Leadership	I3	0.556		Valid
	I4	0.599		Valid

Table 4. 2 Validity Test Result on Corporate Leadership variable

Variables	Item Code	R	rtable	Criteria
Immediate	IM1	0.644		Valid
Manager	IM2	0.718	0.279	Valid
	IM3	0.719		Valid

Table 4. 3 Validity Test Result on Immediate Manager variable

Variables	Item Code	R	rtable	Criteria
	C1	0.713		Valid
Cooperation	C2	0.722	0.279	Valid
	C3	0.522		Valid

Table 4. 4 Validity Test Result on Cooperation variable

Variables	Item Code	R	rtable	Criteria
	CW1	0.465		Valid
	CW2	0.599		Valid
Condition of	CW3	0.619	0.279	Valid
Work	CW4	0.625		Valid
	CW5	0.639		Valid
	CW6	0.526		Valid

Table 4. 5 Validity Test Result on Condition of Work variable

Variables	Item Code	R	rtable	Criteria
	MS1	0.785		Valid
Satisfaction	MS2	0.767	0.279	Valid
	MS3	0.806		Valid
	MS4	0.860		Valid

Table 4. 6 Validity Test Result on Motivation and Satisfaction variable

Variables	Item Code	R	rtable	Criteria
	L1	0.783		Valid
	L2	0.719		Valid
Loyalty	L3	0.758	0.279	Valid
	L4	0.790		Valid
	L5	0.778		Valid
	L6	0.768		Valid

Table 4. 7 Validity Test Result on Motivation and Satisfaction variable

From the table above, it can be seen that all the values of the calculated r for validity testing are above the table r number, which is 0.138. Therefore, it can be concluded that all indicators for each variable can be said to be valid in explaining the variables used in this study.

4.3 Reliability Test

Table 4. 6 Reliability Test

No	Variable <mark>s</mark>			N of Items	Status
1	Image	0.953	0.600	3	Reliable
2	Corporate	0.954	0.600	4	Reliable
	Leadership				
3	Immediate	0.953	0.600	3	Reliable
	Manager			/3	
4	Cooperation	0.953	0.600	3	Reliable
5	Condition of	0.954	0.600	6	Reliable
	Work				
6	Motivation and	0.952	0.600	4	Reliable
	Satisfaction				
7	Loyalty	0.952	0.600	6	Reliable

Source: Data processed, 2022

Based on the results of the table above, all variables have a Cronbach Alpha greater than 0.60. So it can be concluded that all variables in this study are reliable.

4.4 Multiple Regression Analysis

Table 4. 8 Multiple Regression Test

140	ne at a minimple regression rest
Variables	Standardized Coefficient
Image	0.160
Corporate Leadership	0.009
Immediate Manager	0.272
Cooperation	0.190
Condition of Work	0.416

From the data in table 4.23, an equation with details can be made:

MS = b1. I + b2. CL + b3. IM + b4. C + b5. CW

S=0.160CI + 0.009CL + 0.272IM + 0.190C + 0.416CW

- a) The regression coefficient for the Corporate Image (CI) variable is 0.160 and is positively marked. This determines that when the Corporate Image increases or decreases by one unit, Satisfaction will increase or decrease by 0.160 units. This shows the significant influence of Corporate Image (CI) in the same direction on Satisfaction (S).
- b) The regression coefficient for the Corporate Leadership (CL) variable is 0.009 and positive. This determines that when Corporate Leadership (CL) rises or falls by one unit, then Satisfaction (S).will rise or decrease by 0.009 units. This shows the significant influence of Corporate Leadership (CL) in the same direction on Satisfaction (S).
- c) The regression coefficient for the Immediate Manager (IM) variable is 0.272 and has a positive sign. This determines that when the Immediate Manager (IM) increases or decreases by one unit, satisfaction (S) will increase or decrease by 0.272 units. This shows a significant influence from the Immediate Manager (IM) in the same direction on Satisfaction (S).
- d) The regression coefficient for the Cooperation (C) variable is 0.190 and is positively marked. This determines that when Cooperation (C) rises or falls a unit, then Satisfaction (S). will go up or down by 0.190 units. This indicates a significant influence of Unidirectional Cooperation (C) on Satisfaction (S).

e) The regression coefficient for the Condition in Work (CW) variable is 0.416 and is positively marked. This determines that when the Condition in Work (CW) increases or decreases by one unit, then the Satisfaction (S) will increase or decrease by 0.416 units. This indicates a significant influence of Condition in Work in the same direction on Satisfaction (S).

4.5 T-test

Table 4. 9 t Test Results

Variables	Significance	Standard	Decision
I	0.034	0.05	Hypothesis Accepted
CL	0.844	0.05	Hypothesis Rejected
IM	0.011	0.05	Hypothesis Accepted
С	0.029	0.05	Hypothesis Accepted
CW	0.000	0.05	Hypothesis Accepted
S	0.000	0.05	Hypothesis Accepted

Source: Data processed, 2022.

- a. The significance value of the Corporate Image variable is 0.034 which is smaller than the standard value of 0.05. Because the significance is smaller than the standard of 0.05, it can be concluded that the Corporate Image variable individually has a significant effect on Satisfaction in PT. X.
- b. The significance value of the Corporate Leadership variable is 0.844, greater than the standard value of 0.05. Because the significance is greater than the standard of 0.05, it can be concluded that the individual Corporate Leadership variable does not have a significant effect on Satisfaction at PT. X.
- c. The significance value of the Immediate Manager variable is 0.011 which is less than the standard value of 0.05. Because the significance is smaller than the standard of 0.05, it can be concluded that the Immediate Manger variable individually has a significant effect on Satisfaction in PT. X.
- d. The significance value of the Cooperation variable is 0.029, greater than the standard value of 0.05. Because the significance is greater than the standard of 0.05, it can be concluded that the Cooperation variable individually has a significant effect on Satisfaction in PT. X.
- e. The significance value of the Condition of Work variable of 0.000 is less than the standard value of 0.05. Because the significance is smaller than the standard of 0.05, it can be concluded that the Condition of Work variable individually has a significant effect on Satisfaction in PT. X.
- f. The significance value of the Satisfaction variable of 0.000 is less than the standard value of 0.05. Because the significance is smaller than the standard of 0.05, it can be concluded that the Satisfaction variable individually has a significant effect on Loyalty in PT. X.

V. DISCUSSION

Based on the overview of respondents, it is known that most of the employees of the Marketing Department of PT. X was 68% or 34 respondents of the male sex, followed by women who filled the remaining respondents with a total of 16 people (32%). Based on age, it can be known that most of the employees of the Marketing department who work for PT. X region Surabaya, are people who have an age range between 18-35 years (62%), followed by employees who have an age range between 36-50 years with 18 respondents or 36% percent, and finally 1 employee from the Marketing Dept. who has an age range over 50 years with a percentage of 2% of all respondents, so it can be concluded that the employees of the Marketing Dept at PT X are dominated by the age of 18-35 years.

Based on the results of the calculations and interpretations of the research that have been described previously, this section will discuss the results of the research in order to explain the research problem without going out of the context described in the previous chapter.

Based on the results of the overall model analysis, it is known that the employee loyalty variable is formed from the employee satisfaction variable. This means that the more satisfied the employees of PT X, it will increase employee loyalty.

Meanwhile, the employee satisfaction variable is formed by five variables Corporate Image (I), Corporate Leadership (CL), Immediate Manager (IM), Cooperation (C), and Condition of Work (CW). Based on the results of the study, it was found that the condition of work has the greatest influence on employee satisfaction, followed by the variables of immediate manager, cooperation, and corporate image. This is because the condition of work has a wide scope and almost 2/3 of the day is spent in the work environment, so that when the work environment can make employees satisfied, it will have more influence on employee loyalty to the company, if the system of division of labor, work environment, pressure, benefits obtained, security, and development received by employees is perceived positively, then employees will feel more satisfied working in related companies. Then, the immediate manager is in the second order in its influence on employee satisfaction, this is because the immediate manager plays a role in providing influence, orders, and direct contact with employees, then the cooperation of colleagues who can be cooperative, because if on the contrary, superiors who are not good, cannot be fair, and do not have qualified leadership skills are even more dangerous even though colleagues are supportive. Furthermore, only from the outside, namely corporate image, if the image is formed well, employees tend to classify themselves into the identity of company X. As a result, employees will be proud to be identified with a company that has a good reputation and is satisfied with it.

The linear relationship of free variables with bound variables is quite strong. Based on the results of the multiple correlation analysis or R between CI, CL, IM, C, and CW to S and L, values of 0.937 and 0.799 were found to show a large relationship. This large correlation can also be seen from the F test which shows a value of 0.000 each, or below 0.05.

Job satisfaction is significantly influenced by five variables, namely Corporate Image (I), Corporate Leadership (CL), Immediate Manager (IM), Cooperation (C), and Condition of Work (CW). The regression coefficients of such variables are 0.160, 0.009, 0.272, 0.190, and 0.416. From this figure, it can be concluded that the Condition of Work is the variable that most affects employee job satisfaction, then immediate managers, and followed by cooperation and then corporate image. This shows that the work environment of employees in the Marketing department has been well formed, so that it can have an influence in the form of increasing employee

satisfaction. If the system of division of labor, work environment, pressure, benefits obtained, security, and development received by employees are perceived positively, then employees will feel more satisfied working in related companies. Then, the immediate manager is second in its influence on employee satisfaction, this is because the immediate manager plays a role in providing influence, command, and direct contact with employees so that it can have a significant influence on employee satisfaction. Furthermore, cooperation and immediate manager variables that can form job satisfaction. The last variable is corporate leadership, which does not significantly affect satisfaction. This shows that corporate leadership is not the reason why employees are satisfied in a company.

The output result for the coefficient of determination in model 1 obtained the number R2 of 0.864 or 86.4%. This shows that the percentage of contribution to the influence of corporate image, corporate leadership, immediate managers, cooperation, and condition of work on job satisfaction is 86.4%. This means that the free variable is able to explain as much as 86.4% of the bound variables, while the remaining 13.6% is influenced by other variables that are not included in this research model.

Furthermore, based on the output obtained the number R2 of 0.630 or 63%. This shows that the percentage of donations affecting satisfaction on loyalty is 63%. This means that free variables are able to explain as much as 63% of bound variables, while the remaining 27% are influenced by other variables that are not included in this research model.

Of the six hypotheses studied, five hypotheses were accepted and one hypothesis was rejected. The first hypothesis is that corporate iamge has a significant effect on job satisfaction. It was found that Corporate Image has a significant relationship with employee job satisfaction with a significance below 0.05, which is 0.03. These findings are in line with the results of previous studies (Alniacik, 2011), which illustrates the positive relationship between corporate image and job satisfaction. Perceptions of company reputation, affective commitment and job satisfaction are significantly related to the intention of moving. Furthermore; The company's perceived reputation was found to be significantly positively correlated with affective commitment and job satisfaction. The perceived Corporate Image is an important asset of the organization that can help them improve the performance of human resources in it. Management should consider improving the image of the company, so that, with higher job satisfaction in employees, it can cause the employee's desire to stay can also be achieved more easily. In Table 4.4, it shows that the company's image according to employees is very good with a mean value of 4,240, employee pride towards the company can also be said to be quite large with a mean value of 3,960, and the employee's view of the company's image in the eyes of the public can be said to be of very positive value as indicated by a mean value of 4,620. From the three statements, if the company's image turns out to be negative, then employee job satisfaction also decreases considering the significance of the corporate image and job satisfaction variables of 0.034, far from the standard of 0.05. Thus, a company that is created with a good image can encourage its employees to be satisfied where they work because they are in one of the best companies and can significantly influence their ability not to move and be loyal to the company.

These findings are in line with the social identity theory (SIT) introduced by Tajfel and Turner (1985) and have been frequently used in research related to corporate image (Ashforth & Mael, 1989). According to this theory, people tend to classify themselves and others into different groups, such as gender, organizational membership, and religious affiliation. In addition, the perceived identity of the group affects the self-concept of the members. As a result, employees will be proud to be identified with a reputable company and their work attitude is positively influenced(Ashforth & Mael, 1989; Dutton et al., 1994; Peterson, 2004). Therefore, JS will be enhanced through employees who identify themselves to the company and are proud of CR (Kim, Lee, Lee, & Kim, 2010).

The test conducted to determine the influence of corporate Leadership on satisfaction shows that there is no significant influence, it can be seen from table 3 which states that the significance of 0.84 is greater than 5% / 0.05 which indicates the absence of correlation. The results of the study do not support a study conducted by Chang and Lee (2007) that investigated the relationship and interaction between leadership style, organizational culture, and job satisfaction among 134 private field employees who stated that the greater the value received in corporate leadership, the greater the job satisfaction.

Insignificant hypothetical results were also shown in an earlier study of Cetin, et al (2012) which stated that leadership did not have a significant relationship with the satisfaction of bank employees as respondents. According to Anggreni et al (2018) corporate leadership cannot stand alone, but is used as one of the shapers of the work environment that can produce better expectations and ultimately can determine higher job satisfaction. Although partially corporate leadership does not have a significant effect on job satisfaction, when tested together (test F) corporate image, immediate manager, cooperation, and condition of work, variables affect job satisfaction with a significance of 0.000, so that the corporate leadership variable still needs to be maintained in increasing job satisfaction.

The third hypothesis is that Immediate Manager has a significant effect on satisfaction. This hypothesis is accepted, considering that the table shows that the significance of the manager's direct influence on job satisfaction is 0.011, far from the standard 0.05. This proves that immediate managers have a significant effect on job satisfaction. Thus the hypothesis that immediate managers have a positive effect on job satisfaction is proven. This is in line with research conducted by Madlock (2008) that managers have a strong relationship with employee job satisfaction. The relationship is supported by Macneil (2001) that managers are not only responsible for producing a productive and pleasant working atmosphere but also lead to deep job satisfaction within an organization. The Marketing Dept. at PT X has managers who play an important role in structuring the work environment and coaching their subordinates, the appreciation given by managers is one of the reasons for the formation of satisfaction in employees. According to Gok (2015) there is a relationship between employee and manager satisfaction, it has been proven through his research that support managers are related to employee satisfaction. When a leader transfers his emotions and thoughts to employees in a powerful way, it affects the employee's emotions, performance (Goleman, 2002) and employee job satisfaction. This happens because the leader has an effect not only on the emotions and thoughts of employees but also their motivations, demands and desires, which play an important role in their actions towards the identified goals (Burns, 2007). So that the existence of an immediate manager who supports, has good leadership skills, acts professionally, has a great influence on the work satisfaction of employees under him.

The fourth hypothesis is that cooperation has a significant effect on satisfaction. This hypothesis is accepted with a Cooperation value in the T test of 0.029 meaning p < 0.05 (5%). So that it can be concluded cooperation among employees and company leaders at PT. X has been well imprinted which can then lead employees to be satisfied and loyal to the company. This is supported by Hanaysha's research (2019) which explains the influence of cooperation on satisfaction, the higher the cooperation created, it will motivate employees to give more effort to the company and achieve better job satisfaction. Teamwork or team building is a process and strategy built to achieve goals, with teamwork in the form of good communication and the ability to solve problems together, empower work and support them to develop autonomy, stress will be minimized (Oso, 2005) and satisfaction with what has been completed can be achieved. Therefore, cooperation in the organization is very important for the development of employee satisfaction and directly impacts organizational performance. Effective cooperation can encourage employees to improve performance and selfefficiency. In addition, efficiency and effectiveness formed as a result of good cooperation can be a source of job satisfaction.

The fifth hypothesis, namely Condition in Work, has a significant effect on satisfaction. The results of this study show a significant relationship between work condition and job satisfaction with the significance value of the T test far below 0.05, namely 0.000. Based on research, employees show that job satisfaction depends on the work environment. To achieve goals with a perspective according to the vision and mission of the organization, ensuring a better work environment is a mandatory concept. Employees pay attention to their facilities such as the system of division of labor, work pressure, Work security, etc., therefore this research was created to understand the work environment as a label of the physical, social, security, and development environment of employees. This is in line with the research of Vohra et al. (2022) that job satisfaction depends on the work environment. By providing maximum work environment facilities, organizational goals can be achieved. The study was conducted to understand the impact of the work environment with the aim of the insight component.

In accordance with table 4.20 regarding the multicholinearity test, the condition of work is the variable that has the most significant effect on job satisfaction with a beta value of 0.416. So that the higher the comfort at work, the higher the satisfaction received by employees. Thus, it can be concluded that the conditions or atmosphere in the company need to be built properly and comfortably so that the company does not have to worry about employee satisfaction and loyalty.

The sixth hypothesis is that satisfaction has a significant effect on Loyalty. This hypothesis is accepted, given that its significance is 0.000 which is less than 0.05. Thus, it can be concluded that the more employees are satisfied with PT X, the greater the possibility of employees being loyal to the company. A similar study was conducted by Arsic et al (2012) who showed similar results in statements related to the significant influence between satisfaction and loyalty. According to Hore and Pandey (2012) job satisfaction has a correlation with employee loyalty. For workers with a high level of job satisfaction, it allows the emergence of efforts to increase the happiness of life through their loyalty. The feeling of having been fulfilled and enough with the work of employees at the Marketing Dept. of PT X makes them will stay in the company. Thus, the acceptance of the sixth hypothesis can be interpreted that the satisfaction created from the work environment can decrease the percentage of the likelihood of employees leaving the company.

In the validity test used to see the accuracy of the data, the higher the accuracy between the data that occurs on the object and the reported one, the higher the validity of the data.

VI. RESEARCH LIMITATION

- 1. Seeing the limitations regarding the research object that only takes respondents of employees of the Marketing Dept of PT X Surabaya, it is hoped that the next study will use different models and objects to get more general results on the factors that affect job satisfaction and loyalty.
- 2. Further research is expected to complement the variables that already exist in this research so that it can further improve the understanding of factors that affect job satisfaction, such as the addition of salary variables, flexible working, or other variables.
- 3. Further research can be developed by linking the factors that influence satisfaction based on income level, age, and gender. Future research can also expand the scope of respondents to be studied, or conduct research in areas that are different from the current research, so that further research conducted further provides a broad picture of job satisfaction and employee loyalty and its effect on organizations.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The research for this study was supported by Pelita Harapan University, Surabaya Campus.

REFERENCES

- [1] AbuAlRub, Raeda; El-Jardali, Fadi; Jamal, Diana; Abu Al-Rub, Nawzat. (2015). Exploring the Relationship between Work Environment, Job satisfaction, and Intent to Stay of Jordanian Nurses in Underserved areas. Applied Nursing Research. doi:10.1016/j.apnr.2015.11.014
- [2] Anggreni, Ni Wayan., Sitiari, Ni Wayan., Indiani, Ni Luh Putu. (2018). The Effect of Leadership and Work Environment on Employee Performance Through Mediation of Work Spirit at Prama Sanur Beach Hotel. Journal of Economics and Business Jagaditha Volume 5, Number 2, 2018, pp. 84-91
- [3] Ahmadi, Ruslan. (2014). Qualitative Research Methodology. 1st printing. Yogyakarta: Ar-ruzz Media.
- [4] Akkermans J, de Lange AH, van der Heijden BIDT, Jansen PG, Dikkers JS. (2016). What about time? Examining chronological and subjective age and their relation to work motivation. Career Dev Int. 21:419-39. doi: 10.1108/CDI-04-2016-0063
- [5] Alniacik, Umit., Cigerim, Ersan. (2011). Independent and joint effects of perceived corporate reputation, affective commitment and job satisfaction on turnover intentions. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences 24. 1177-1189
- Andrade, Maureen & Westover, Jonathan & Peterson, Jeff. (2019). Job Satisfaction and Gender. Journal of Business Diversity Vol. 19(3).
- [7] Anser MK, Ali M, Anwar F and Usman M. (2020). Subjective Age and Job Satisfaction: A Moderated Mediation Model of Job Burnout and Chronological Age. Front. Public Health 8:62. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.00062
- [8] Arsic, Milica., Nikolic, Djordje. (2012). The effect of TQM on employee loyalty in transition economy, Serbia. Total Quality Management Vol. 23, No. 6, June 2012, 719-729.
- [9] Ashforth, B. E., & Mael, F. (1989). Social identity theory and the organization. Academy of Management Review, 14(1),
- [10] Aziri, B. (2011). Job satisfaction: a literature review, Management Research And Practice, 3(4), 77-86
- [11] Bakotic, D., & Babic, T. B. (2013, February). Relationship between Working Conditions and Job Satisfaction: The Case of Croatian Shipbuilding Company. International Journal of Business and Social Science, 4(2), 206-213.
- [12] Balmer, J.M.T., Mahmoud, R., Chen, W. (2019). Impact of multilateral place dimensions on corporate brand attractiveness and identification in higher education: business school insights. J. Bus. Res. 0-1.
- [13] Baruch, Judah. (2004). Managing careers theory and practice. London: Prentice Hall.

- [14] Bettencourt, L. A., Gwinner, K. P., and Meuter, M.L. (2001). A comparison of Attitude, Personality and Knowledge Predictors of Service-Oriented Organizational citizenship Behaviour. Journal of Applied Psychology 86 (1), 29-41.
- [15] Brenninger, H-J. (2015). Employee satisfaction and its impact on company value, Doctoral thesis. University Of Latvia, Faculty Of Economics and Management, Riga Latvia.
- [16] Burns, J. D. (2007). Analyses of transactional and transformational leadership on job satisfaction of college faculty. (Order No. 3294383, Northcentral University).
- [17] Carvalho, A. da C., Riana, I. G., & Soares, A. de C. (2020). Motivation on job satisfaction and employee performance. International Research Journal of Management, IT and Social Sciences. https://doi.org/10.21744/irjmis.v7n5.960
- [18] Castaner, Xavier; Oliveira, Nuno. (2020). Collaboration, Coordination, and Cooperation Among Organizations: Establishing the Distinctive Meanings of These Terms Through a Systematic Literature Review. Journal of Management Vol. 46 No. 6, July 2020 965-1001
- [19] Çetin, Munever., Karabay, Melisa Erdilek ., Efe, Mehmet Naci . 2012. The Effects of Leadership Styles and the Communication Competency of Bank Managers on the Employee's Job Satisfaction: The Case of Turkish Banks,
- [20] Chang, S., & Lee, M.S. (2007). A study on relationship among leadership, organizational culture, the operation of learning organization and employees' job satisfaction. The Learning Organization, 14(2), 155-185.
- [21] Chen, C. F. (2006). Job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and flight attendants' turnover intentions: A note. Journal of Air Transport Management, 12(5), 274-276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2006.05.001
- [22] Citra, L. M. (2019). The Effect of Leadership, Job Satisfaction and Work Motivation on Employee Loyalty. Maneggio: Scientific Journal of Master of Management 2(2): 214-225.
- [23] Cooper-Judge A, Viswesvaran C. (2005). The construct of work commitment: testing an integrative framework. Psychol Bull. 131:241-59. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.131.2.241
- [24] Cornelius, Marla. (2013). Supervisor Roles and Responsibilities: Helping People Succeed. CompassPoint Nonprofit Services
- [25] Cortini, M. (2016), "Workplace identity as a mediator in the relationship between learning climate and job satisfaction during apprenticeship: suggestions for HR practitioners", Journal of Workplace Learning, Vol. 28 No. 2, pp. 54-65
- [26] D. Bakotic. (2016). Relationship between job satisfaction and organizational performance. Economic Research-Economist Istraživanja, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 118-130, 2016.
- [27] Danica Bakotić. (2016). Relationship between job satisfaction and organisational performance. Economic Research-Economist Istraživanja, 29:1, 118-130, DOI: 10.1080/1331677X.2016.1163946
- [28] Eckstrom, Elizabeth., Tilde, Virginia. (2020). Teamness, burnout, job satisfaction and decision-making in the VA Centers of Excellence in Primary Care Education. Journal of Interprofessional Education & Practice 19.
- [29] Edrak, Bahrulmazi & Yin-Fah, Benjamin & Gharleghi, Behrooz & Zinc, Thiam. (2013). The Effectiveness of Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivations: A Study of Malaysian Amway Company's Direct Sales Forces. International Journal of Business and Social Science. 4. 96-103.
- [30] Eskildsen, J.K., Westlund, A.H. and Kristensen, K. (2004) Measuring Employee Assets—The Nordic Employee Index. Business Process Management Journal, 10, 537-550.
- [31] Finn, B. (2020). Exploring interactions between motivation and cognition to better shape self-regulated learning. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 9(4), 461-467.
- [32] George, J.M., Jones, G.R. (2008). Understanding and Managing Organizational behaviour, Pearson/Prentice Hall, New
- [33] Goleman, D. (2002). Yeni liderler. Nayır, F. and Deniztekin, O. (C. ev.), I stanbul: Varlık Yayınları
- [34] Grant, A. M.; Berg, J. M.; Cable, D. M. (2014). Job Titles as Identity Badges: How Self-Reflective Titles Can Reduce Emotional Exhaustion. Academy of Management Journal, 57(4), 1201–1225. doi:10.5465/amj.2012.0338.
- [35] Güney S. (2013). Behavioral Sciences. Ankara, Turkey: Nobel Academic Publishing.
- [36] Huo, Meng-Lo., Jiang, Zhou. (2021). Trait conscientiousness, thriving at work, career satisfaction and job satisfaction: Can supervisor support make a difference?. Trait conscientiousness, thriving at work, career satisfaction and job satisfaction: Can supervisor support make a difference? Personality and Individual Differences 183 (2021) 111116.
- [37] Ilke Inceoglu; Jesse Segers; Dave Bartram. (2012). Age-related differences in work motivation., 85(2), 300-329. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8325.2011.02035.x
- [38] Joo, B.-K., Yoon, H.J. and Jeung, C. (2012), "The effects of core self-evaluations and transformational leadership on organizational commitment", Leadership & Organization Development Journal, Vol. 33 No. 6, pp. 564-582.
- [39] Kandampully, J., Sunny Hu, H.-H. (2007). Do Hoteliers need to manage Image to Retain Loyal Customers? Int. J. Contemp. Hospit. Manag. 19 (6), 435–443.
- [40] Kanungo, Rabindra, N., Mendonca, Manuel. (1994). Work Motivation: Models for Developing Countries. India: Sage **Publication India**
- [41] Karagün, Elif & Ekiz, Dilay. (2020). Educational Research and Reviews Examination of intrinsic motivation, and job satisfaction of physical education and other branch teachers. Educational Research and Reviews. 15. 632-638. 10.5897/ERR2020.4040.
- [42] Keith R. Aronson, Jean-Phillipe Laurenceau, Nicholas Sieveking, and William Bellet. (2005). JOB SATISFACTION AS A FUNCTION OF JOB LEVEL. Administration and Policy in Mental Health, Vol. 32, No. 3, January 2005 (2005) DOI: 10.1007/s10488-004-0845-2.
- [43] Kennedy, S.H., (1977). Nurturing corporate images total communication or ego trip. Eur. J. Market. 11 (3), 119–164.
- [44] Keyes CL, Westerhof GJ. (2012). Chronological and subjective age differences in flourishing mental health and major depressive episode. Aging Ment Health. 16:67-74. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2011.596811
- [45] Kian, T., Wan, W., Rajah, S. (2014). European journal of business and social sciences. Eur. J. Bus. Soc. Sci. 3 (2), 94–
- [46] Kim, H. R., Lee, M., Lee, H. T., & Kim, N. M. (2010). Corporate social responsibility and employee-company identification. Journal of Business Ethics, 95(4), 557-569.

- [47] Knoop, R. (1995). Relationship among job involvemet, job satisfation and organizational commitment for nurses. The journal of psychology, 643-649.
- [48] Kooij D, Van de Voorde K. (2011). How changes in subjective general health predict future time perspective, and development and generativity motives over the lifespan. J Occup Organ Psychol. (2011) 84:228–47. doi: 10.1111/j.2044-8325.2010.02012.x
- [49] Kreitner, Kinicki. (2004). Organizational Behavior. 6th Ed. Mc Graw Hill Companies. Inc..
- [50] Kretschmer, T., Vanneste, B. S. (2017). Collaboration in strategic alliances: Cooperation and coordination. In Mesquita, L., Ragozzino, R., Reuer, J. (Eds.), Collaborative strategy: A guide to strategic alliances: 53-62. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [51] Kutz, M. R. (2010). Leadership and Management in Athletic Training. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins
- [52] Kuvaas B., Buch R., Weibel A., Dysvik A., Nerstad C.G. (2017). Do intrinsic and extrinsic motivation relate differently to employee outcomes? J. Econ. Psychol. 2017;61:244–258. doi: 10.1016/j.joep.2017.05.004.
- [53] Lundberg, C., Gudmundson, A., Andersson, T. (2009). Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory of work motivation tested empirically on seasonal workers in hospitality and tourism. Tourism Manag. 30 (6), 890–899.
- [54] Luthans, Fred. (2006). Organizational Behavior. Yogyakarta. ANDI.
- [55] M. Eugenia Fabra, Cesar Camisón. (2009). Direct and indirect effects of education on job satisfaction: A structural equation model for the Spanish case. Economics of Education Review. Volume 28, Issue 5,
- [56] Madlock, P.E. (2008). The Link Between Leadership Style, Communicator Competence and Employee Satisfaction. Journal of Business Communication, 45, 61-78.
- [57] Mandigo JL Holt NL. (2000). Putting theory into practice: How cognitive evaluation theory can help motivate children in physical activity environments. Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance 71(1):44-4.
- [58] Maryati, Tri,. Astuti, June. 2019. The Effect of Leadership and Organizational Culture on Employee Performance: The Mediating Role of Job Satisfaction. International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change. www.ijicc.net Volume 9, Issue 3, 2019.
- [59] Metle, Meshal Kh. and Adnan A. Alali. (2018). Gender Disparity and Its Impact On Job Satisfaction: A Comparative Field Study. (2018). Research Article: 2018 Vol. 10 Issue: 1.
- [60] Mohd. Ahamar Khan, Shah Mohd. Khan and Kalyan Kumar Sahoo. (2018). Age As Moderator Of Relationship Between Job Satisfaction And Organizational Commitment. Int. J. of Adv. Res. 6 (Jan). 995-1001] (ISSN 2320-5407).
- [61] Montani F, Courcy F, Battistelli A, de Witte H. (2021). Job insecurity and innovative work behaviour: A moderated mediation model of intrinsic motivation and trait mindfulness. Stress Health. 2021 Oct;37(4):742-754. doi: 10.1002/smi.3034. Epub Feb 23. PMID: 33580914.
- [62] Moyes, G.D., Williams, P.A. and Koch, B. (2006). The effects of age and gender upon the perceptions of accounting professionals concerning their job satisfaction and work-related attitudes, Managerial Auditing Journal, Vol.21 No.5, pp.536-561.
- [63] Munanda, Ashar Sunyoto. (2001). Industrial and Organizational Psychology. Tangerang: UI Press.
- [64] Munjal S, Goyal N. (2017). Assessment of motivational factors at workplace for midlevel professionals. Amity Journal of Training and Development 2(2):34-44.
- [65] Oso, W, Y. 2002. State Control and The Management of Public Universities in Uganda, the case of Makerere University. Unpublished Master's thesis. Makerere University. Kompala.
- [66] Pagan R. . (2011). Ageing and disability: job satisfaction differentials across Europe. Soc Sci Med. 72:206–15. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.11.007
- [67] Pancasila, I., Haryono, S., & Sulistyo, B. A. (2020). Effects of work motivation and leadership toward work satisfaction and employee performance: Evidence from Indonesia. Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 7(6), 387-397. https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no6.387
- [68] Phuong and Vinh, Tran Trung. (2020). Job Satisfaction, Employee Loyalty and Job Performance in the Hospitality Industry: A Moderated Model, Asian Economic and Financial Review, 10, issue 6, p. 698-713.
- [69] Pio, Luigi & Cavaliere, Luigi Pio Leonardo & Garunja, Evis & Ihsan, Hajra & Patel, Vinod & Bagale, Girish & Chakravarthi, Kalyan & Rajest, Suman & Rajan, Regin. (2021). The Impact of Corporate Image on Employees Productivity: The Mediating Effect of Reputation. Türk Fizyoterapi ve Rehabilitasyon Dergisi/Turkish Journal of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation. 32. 21378-21394.
- [70] Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, Volume 58, Pages 227-235, ISSN 1877-0428, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.996.
- [71] Rajput, shweta., Singhal, Mayank. Tiwari, Shivant. (2016). Job Satisfaction And Employee Loyalty: A Study of Acedemicians. Asian J. Management; 7(2).
- [72] Rasool, A., Jundong, H., and Sohail, M. T. (2017). Relationship of Intrinsic and Extrinsic Rewards on Job Motivation and Job Satisfaction of Expatriates in China. Journal of Applied Sciences, 17 (3), 116-125. https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2017.116.12
- [73] Raziq, A., Maulabakhsh, R. (2015). Impact of Working Environment on Job Satisfaction. Procedia Economics and Finance, 23, 717-725, DOI: 10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00524-9
- [74] Reilly, C.R. (1991). Organizational Behavior. Annual Review of Psychology, pp. 427-458
- [75] Richman, A. (2006). Everyone wants an engaged workforce how can you create it? Workspan, 49, 36-90.
- [76] Riza SD, Ganzach Y, Liu Y. (2015). Time and job satisfaction: a longitudinal study of the differential roles of age and tenure. J Manag. 44:2558–79. doi: 10.1177/0149206315624962
- [77] Ryan R.M., Deci E.L. (2000). Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivations: Classic Definitions and New Directions. Contemp. Educ. Psychol. 25:54–67. doi: 10.1006/ceps.1999.1020.
- [78] Schwall, Alexander. (2012). Defining Age and Using Age-Relevant Constructs. The Oxford Handbook of Work and Aging. 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195385052.013.0080.
- [79] Scott J, Marshall G. (2009). A Dictionary of Sociology. 3rd ed. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

- [80] Scott, Dow & Bishop, James & Chen, Xiangming. (2003). An examination of the relationship of employee involvement with job satisfaction, employee cooperation, and intention to quit in US invested enterprise in China. International Journal of Organizational Analysis. 11. 3-19. 10.1108/eb028960.
- [81] Sell, L., & Bryan, C. (2011). Job Satisfaction, Work Environment, and Rewards: Motivational Theory Revisitedlabr. LABOUR, 25(1), 1-23
- [82] Shinan-Altman S, Werner P. (2019). Subjective age and its correlates among middle-aged and older adults. Int J Aging Hum Dev. 88:3-21. doi: 10.1177/0091415017752941
- [83] Short, S. E., Yang, Y. C., & Jenkins, T. M. (2013). Sex, gender, genetics, and health. American journal of public health, 103 Suppl 1(Suppl 1), S93-S101. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301229
- [84] Sobaih, A. E. E., & Hasanein, A. M. (2020). Herzberg's theory of motivation and job satisfaction: Does it work for hotel industry in developing countries?. Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality & Tourism, 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1080/15332845.2020.1737768
- [85] Solomon, C.M. (1992). The loyalty factor, Personnel Journal, 52-62.
- [86] Sugiyono. (2017). Quantitative, Qualitative, and R&D. Research Methods Bandung: Alfabeta.
- [87] Sutisna. (2006). Consumer Behavior and Marketing Communications, Third Printing, PT. Juvenile Rosdakarya. Bandung
- [88] Shihab, Shiabudhin. (2007). THE INFLUENCE OF CORPORATE CULTURE AND IMAGE ON EMPLOYEE ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT. Journal of Modernization Economics. 3. 10.21067/JEM.v3i2.932.
- [89] Taifel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1986). The social identity theory of inter-group behavior. In Worchel and L. W. Austin (Eds.) Psychology of Intergroup Relations. Chicago: Nelson-Hall.
- [90] Tang, W. (2007). Impact of corporate image and corporate reputation on customer loyalty. A review. Journal of Management Science and Engineering.
- [91] Tanwar, Karnica & Prasad, Asha. (2016). Exploring the Relationship between Employer Branding and Employee Retention. Global Business Review DOI: 10.1177/0972150916631214
- [92] Tiiptono, F. (2008). Total Quality Management Revised Edition. CV. Andi Offset. Yogyakarta.
- [93] Vallerand RJ, Ratelle CF. (2004). Instrinsic, and extrinsic motivation: A hierarchical model in Deci EL, Ryan RM. (Eds.) Handbook of selfdetermination theory. Rochester: University of Rochester Press pp. 37-65
- [94] Verma, Monica, et al. (2013). "Impact of Gender Sensitive Practices on Job Satisfaction & Stress Levels." Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, vol. 49, no. 2, Shri Ram Centre for Industrial Relations and Human Resources, 201pp.
- [95] Vohra, Ovais., Ozyesil, Mustafa. Akturk, Benhur. 2022. Impact of Working Environment on Job Satisfaction. Journal of Positive School Psychology. Vol 6.
- [96] Waqas, Athar & Bashir, Umair & Sattar, Muhammad & Abdullah, Hafiz & Hussain, Imtiaz & Anjum, Waqas & Ali, Muhammad & Arshad, Rizwan. (2014). Factors Influencing Job Satisfaction and Its Impact on Job Loyalty. International Journal of Learning and Development. 4. 10.5296/ijld.v4i2.6095.
- [97] Young, Brian S.; Worchel, Stephen; Woehr, David J. (1998). Organizational Commitment Among Public Service Employees. Journal of Public Personal Management. Vol.27.
- [98] Yu, Z. (2021). The effects of gender, educational level, and personality on online learning outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Educ Technol High Educ 18, 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00252-3
- [99] Yukl, G., & Van Fleet, D.D. (1992). Theory and research on leadership in organizations. In M.D. Dunnette L.Nl. Hough (EDS.). Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology (2nd ed., Vol. 3, pp. 147-197). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists.
- [100] Yuwono, Ino. (2005). Industrial & Organizational Psychology. Surabaya: Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Airlangga