Does Corporate Governance Affect Financial Performance: A Study of Select Indian Companies

Sanjit Sarkar
Research Scholar
Kazi Nazrul University, Asansol, West Bengal, India

Abstract

Aim: The purpose of this study is to examine the effect of corporate governance on financial performance. The objective of this study is to provide insight into relation between financial performance of Indian banks and current corporate governance.

Background: Corporate governance is a key process influencing the organization's financial performance. The observation from the literature has asserted that banking sectors are in a prime position to impact corporate governance directly. Debt issues, director issues, the board size, agency and stakeholders and shareholders are major challenges for corporate governance. The theories of agency and stakeholders have been identified to reduce the challenges and develop firm performance.

Methodology: The research philosophy interpretivism has been taken for this study, and the inductive approach is used to provide theory. The design of this study is followed by a descriptive research design. The secondary data collection and qualitative data analysis are used to provide confirmation. The search strategy followed inclusion and exclusion criteria and constructed the selection procedure of 6 articles. Articles have been selected through PRISMA model followed by Boolean strategy. Identified articles have been chosen from different database such as Google scholar, Proquest and all are develop as per primary findings.

Results: Secondary findings highlight that board size changes decision-making, an attribute of corporate governance. Additionally, average remuneration and female directors have different impacts on financial performance.

Conclusion: This research concludes that corporate governance has a crucial role in directing the operations of Indian banking sector.
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Introduction
Corporate governance is a system or process by which companies are controlled and regulated, directed by a board of directors. Corporate governance is emerging, which is setting a benchmark for comparing corporate excellence in terms of international and national practice. Principles of corporate governance include shareholders, other stakeholders, directors' remuneration, audit and accountability. Indian banks are the linking factor of the Indian financial system and imperative for balancing the Indian economy. This research is focused on current corporate governance and the effect of corporate governance (CG) on financial performance.
Background

Several banks collapsed in 1997 due to poor corporate governance, which led people to concentrate on improving corporate governance to alleviate the crisis. Considering this matter, Asian Corporate Governance Association (ACGA) produced a report regarding state affairs from 2004 to 2005, highlighting some key determinants of Asian financial market CG standards such as political and regulatory environment, acceptance of international accounting standards, and culture of CG and rules and regulations. According to Almaqtari et al. (2020), commercial banks are found to dominate the Indian financial system and public sector banks account for about 70 to 73% assets of banking institutions. Regulator of Indian financial market is the Securities exchange commission of India, which includes the “Kumaramangalam Birla Corporate Governance Committee”.

![Debt to equity ratio](source: Rbi, 2022)

The above figure indicates the current number of banks that exist in India. The current report of Reserve bank of India indicates that debt to equity ratio has been reduced, which indicates increased profitability and better inventory management.

Rationale

The major problem is the worsening financial performance of Indian banking institutions that is causing the collapse of banks. As per the Reserve bank of India's annual report, a sharp decline in “non-performing assets (NPA)” of public sector banks was observed in 2017 (Almaqtari et al. 2020). Additionally, about 12.1% advance in 2017 has been extended, which creates stress on local banks. This increasing trend of NPA determines profitability. An increase in liabilities and deposits to loans causes an increase in borrowing amounts of Indian banks. Moreover, “return on assets (ROA)” and “return on equity (ROE)” have been observed to worsen in 2017. Due to improper corporate governance, various Indian banks are leaving the financial market. Poor corporate governance is a problem now, as financial intermediation has declined after the pandemic. Empirical estimation also shows that due to the pandemic, growth has been lost in terms of credit to GDP ratio (0.5).
The above figure indicates that the pandemic has reduced financial intermediaries. Additionally, deterioration signs are estimated in the assets quality of banks in the first quarter of the pandemic outbreak.

The above figure indicates the reduction of asset quality. This research has been considered to shed light on actions required to improve the existing corporate governance system to enhance annual financial performance.

**Aims and objectives**

The research aims to analyze corporate governance attributes concerning the Indian banking sector to improve overall financial performance.

**Objectives**

- To find the current corporate governance status of the Indian banking sector.
- To measure the impact of corporate governance on the overall financial performance of Indian banks.
- To identify challenges encountered by Indian banks in implementing corporate governance.
- To suggest ways to improve corporate governance system and its implication process to achieve desired financial performance.

**Research question**

- What is the current status of Indian banking institutions in corporate governance?
- What are the attributes that hinder the financial performance of Indian banks?
- What are the complications that arise with the implementation of corporate governance in the Indian banking sector?
- What are mitigation strategies to improve financial performance by correcting problems with the corporate governance of banks in India?

**Research significance**

This study attempts to highlight the impact of corporate governance on financial performance. Various corporate governance committees have proposed various codes and regulations, such as optimal should be maintained by non-executive and executive directors. Additionally, individual Indian banks must appoint an independent committee of audit, which includes a director, chairman and non-executive directors (Sebi, 2022). Moreover, remuneration plans for directors must define benefits, incentives, pensions, wages and stock options. The significance of this research is corporate governance attributes in context of the performance of Indian banks.
Literature review

Corporate governance and impacts on banks
The essence of control and direction for the firm has been performed with effective governance of corporate influence. According to Bezawada (2020) and Iqbal et al. (2019), the post-financial crisis in 2008 developed the importance of corporate governance implementation. The financial and banking sectors experienced a significant crisis with an inventory crisis due to external incompetence’s. Corporate governance is the key to success for Microfinancial firms as the startups with banks seem to have a greater responsibility for development. "Good Corporate Governance" (GCG) influences the firm's financial performance. In contrast, according to Singh and Das (2018), the merging intervention is asserted as the other process of developing the firm's performance. Hence, the accumulated interferences of studies reflect the efficiency development of firms in regulated GCGH influences. The relations between infrastructure and external structure effectively drive the overall firm's performance. The operational management, HRM, financial and service dimensions are interlinked with positive corporate governance. As pointed out by Goel (2018), the financial aspect of a firm is significantly incorporated with social performance development. Similarly, the study of Maharani and Soewarno (2018), CSR application with corporate governance, developed the firm's financial performance. Therefore, the potential impact is merging with differentiated parts of the organization as well. Unlike other sectors, observation has asserted that the banking sector has been in greater need of having a precise structure of corporate governance for performance, motivation and services management.

Effects of corporate governance on the financial aspect
Corporate governance in a firm is controlled by the overall structure, performance and directorial function. In the case of banks, corporate governance principles have been identified with responsibilities distribution within the firm. According to Kyere and Ausloos (2021), the board's responsibility is interpreted as constructing the objectives, corporate culture and framework of governance. Customer management and services development are critically adjusted with GCG. Therefore, the financial issues related to funding destruction and inventory management are the centralized issues that negatively affect the banking and other financial sectors. In another way, as represented by Greening and Bratanovic (2020), ownership concentration and direct performance have positively affected the financial dimension as well as another dimension of the firm. The policies and soft principles of the organization affect the performance of employees in the bank. The huge size of the board results in decision-making issues and services management issues. Moreover, corporate governance's impact is recognized as a shared major concern with financial segments.

Corporate governance implementation issues in banks to sustain financial performance
The driving force for the economic growth of the banking sector is considered to have had an impact on corporate governance in the last two decades. As the agency's issues with shareholders and stakeholders, management has limited corporate governance at a normal functional level (Felício et al. 2018). However, from this, shareholder and stakeholders' issues in banks are linked to the secondary issues related to distance development has been evident. The strategies of monitoring and relationship management are common actions to take against agency regulation. As pointed out by Goel (2018), the implementation of corporate governance is the most viable way to limit every action of the firm with specific principles of management. Other issues are described as debt and takeover are two common issues in banking sectors to reduce the outcomes and financial performance. On the other hand, Maharani and Soewarno (2019) have mentioned that debt is increased with improper decision-making.

Theoretical framework
The challenges associated with Agencies in association with banks have been asserted as the key relationship management issues. The theory related to the agency is described the manager's and stakeholders' relationship issues. The “Agency theory” states that the resolving of conflicts is relevant to promote the financial performance of the organization (Felício et al. 2018). Therefore, corporate control and market development are influenced by this theory of agency management. Therefore, the principal, agency cost, agency losses and agents are four elements of this theory. In a bank, these four elements act according to corporate governance for conflict resolved, market growth and financial performance sustainability.

The shareholder issues and stakeholder issues within the banking sectors commonly affect the outcomes of services. According to Maharani and Soewarno (2018), the “Shareholders and stakeholders model and theory “are being applied to the bank to ensure the services outcomes with competency development. The relationship between shareholders of the bank and stakeholders of the bank is important to the sustenance of major financial performance.
Literature gap
The existing literature lacks a major concentration on different performance outcomes of the financial dimension. Poor decision-making about investment and control directive actions are common threats to the organization. The interconnection between corporate governance and the financial performance of banks is not investigated properly. Lowered evidence about the Indian context required to be filled in. The particular limitation of the previous is to reflect the thick description and evaluation flaws among the major literature.

Summary
The overall description has suggested that corporate governance within existing literature is mentioned as the key governance to maintain financial performance.

Methodology
Research Philosophy, design and approach
The interpretivism philosophy is used for the overall structured research. Interpretivism refers to the interpretation based on real-world observation of a phenomenon (Alharahsheh and Pius, 2020). Therefore, the research design has been adopted with descriptive research design. This has significant usage to represent a descriptive evaluation of the overall structure of the study. Hence, the inductive approach of research allowed us to perform thick observation and new theory development. According to Sileyew (2019), the interpretivism philosophy is effectively incorporated with descriptive design and inductive approach research. Moreover, the data collection and data analysis are selected with all these research elements.

Data collection
The data collection process of this study has followed the secondary data collection. The secondary data collection is performed through online database searching. The literature searched with specific strategies of searching. The below mention table has mentioned details of searching for literature as a secondary resource of the study:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Keywords</th>
<th>Boolean operator</th>
<th>Filters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Google Scholar</td>
<td>&quot;Corporate governance&quot;, &quot;Financial performance&quot;, and &quot;Banking.&quot;</td>
<td>And</td>
<td>● 2018 to 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchgate</td>
<td>“Financial performance” and “Corporate governance”</td>
<td>Or</td>
<td>● 2018 to 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proquest</td>
<td>&quot;Financial performance&quot;, &quot;banking sectors&quot;, &quot;Indian corporate governance&quot;, and &quot;Corporate governance principles &quot;</td>
<td>&quot;And&quot; and &quot;or.&quot;</td>
<td>● 2018 to 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● India</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Search strategy
(Source: Self-created)
Inclusion and exclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Other countries than India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector</td>
<td>Banking</td>
<td>Other sectors than banking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Other languages than English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time scale</td>
<td>Recent five years</td>
<td>Before 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer reviewed</td>
<td>Peer reviewed</td>
<td>Non-peer review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Banking employees</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulltext</td>
<td>Full-text availability</td>
<td>Abstract and fragments of part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research type</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Secondary and reviewed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Inclusion and exclusion criteria
(Source: Self-created)

PRISMA

Figure 8: PRISMA framework
(Source: self-developed)

Data analysis
The literature collected with a specific search strategy is further extracted into major parts for data analysis. The data analysis of secondary resources has been followed by qualitative analysis. The quantitative analysis is significantly performed with the most advanced tool of *descriptive analysis* (Castleberry and Nolen, 2018). The articles are critically analyzed with descriptive analysis processes and outline the importance of evaluation.
Ethical Consideration
The ethics for secondary research design is performed with bias maintenance. The sampling bias has been controlled with research aims and objectives. Therefore, the proposed recommendation and intervention are safe to adopt. The data used in this study are authentic, which are a major ethical concern. Used datasets are well cited with full-text variables for supporting evidence mentioned for an ethical prospect.

Summary
The overall methodology has been mentioned with interpretivism philosophy, indicative approach and descriptive research design for this study. The secondary data collection and descriptive analysis of qualitative data have been used throughout the study.

Result
Introduction
Secondary research has been performed to find corporate government objectives affecting India's financial performance. 6 articles have been considered, which are primary in nature and have been analyzed in result section in tabular format. [Refer to Appendix]

The productivity of Indian banking has been improved with reformed corporate governance. As stated by Goel (2018), change of stock returns depend on corporate social responsibility. Encouraging a better working environment influences the improvement of financial performance for banks which is the role of a board of directors. Similarly, as opined by Almqtari et al. (2021), firm's profitability varied with macroeconomic factors, which are measured using ROA and profit after tax. Capital invested and per capita income determines the financial performance. According to Agnihotri and Gupta (2019), corporate governance protects stakeholders' interests. Smaller board size produces better financial efficiency. Therefore, ICICI and HDFC banks scored higher. Business and ethics balance is maintained with good corporate governance. Therefore, it can be stated that maintaining good corporate governance is required by Indian banking sectors to get more return on assets, reflecting higher profitability.

Corporate governance attributes represent a strict authorization structure of the Indian banking sector. Literature findings indicate that financial performance is also related to corporate social responsibility. The secondary research analysis also indicates that CSR affects banking operations, which helps in obtaining competitiveness (Maqbool and Zameer, 2018). On the other hand, as mentioned by Molla et al. (2021), board independence must be present in a percentage of 20%. This produces better financial performance. A higher percentage of board is associated with reduced financial performance. Moreover, the director's stock ownership is correlated with financial performance. Secondary results indicate a positive impact when the directors hold a higher percentage of ownership (Agnihotri and Gupta, 2019). Therefore, it can be stated that corporate governance attributes such as board size, ownership and others affect return of assets and profitability.

Challenges come with the implementation of corporate governance, which negatively impacts profitability and overall financial performance. The literature review highlights that distance management, monitoring and corporate relationship management with stakeholders, decision making and director's performance are major challenges tagged with corporate governance in the Indian financial banking sector (Maharani and Soewarno, 2019). Along with mentioned factors, board size and committee development create challenges with initial performances. Results show that the board of directors makes decisions that decide the bank's operations direction (Kumar and Prakash, 2018). Henceforth, board size problems and board directors' inability can cause a loss of profitability.

Conclusion
The research concludes that the banking sector is different from other sectors as it directly operates the economic system of the country. As stated by Gupta and Sharma (2022), country-level CG is responsible for affecting the profitability of banks in India. Private Indian banks produce higher financial performance compared to public banks. Poor CG is reflected in ROE and ROA in Indian banks. However, the net interest margin is less affected by corporate governance.

Recommendation
- It can be suggested that Indian banks can reduce independent direct to strengthen the corporate governance structure which will improve financial performance.
- It can be recommended that female directors can be a choice for Indian banking sector which products maximum be befits in financial performance.
Linking with objectives
- The first objectives have been obtained as research highlights that Indian corporate governments follow Sebi guidelines.
- Research provides insights into attributes of CG, which are board size, rules and regulations and others that meet this research's second objective.
- Results indicative of changing CG with respect to overall banking performance indicate the achievement of third objective.
- The fourth objective has also been achieved, as research findings state that restructuring corporate governance can improve profitability.

Research limitation
The limitation of this research is sustainable practice of corporate governance-related information. Moreover, technology involvement in CG has remained unexplored, an emerging attribute in corporate governance in the Indian banking sector. The information has been gathered from secondary resources. A primary research article has been considered for this research which has been conducted based on financial reports of Indian banks. Therefore, the validity of financial disclosure limits the quality of this research.

Future scope
Primary research on this topic can be conducted in future, which will help gather information about the current corporate governance structure after the pandemic followed by Indian banks. Moreover, sustainable banking performance can also be explored with additional objectives in future.
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