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1. Introduction

By Λ represent the set of all sequences with complex terms and note that any subspace of Λ is said to be as a sequence space. By symbols \(N\) and \(C\), we represent the set of non-negative integers and the set of complex numbers, respectively. As in [7, 15, 16], we denote by \(\ell_\infty\), \(c\) and \(c_0\), respectively, the space of all bounded sequences, the space of convergent sequences and the sequences converging to zero. Also, by \(\ell_1\), \(\ell(p)\), \(cs\) and \(bs\) we denote the spaces of all absolutely, \(p\)-absolutely convergent, convergent and bounded series, respectively, as can be seen in [8, 18, 44].

As in [9]-[27], for an infinite matrix \(T = (t_{ij})\) and \(\nu = (\nu_k) \in \Lambda\), the \(T\)-transform of \(\nu\) is \(T\nu = ((T\nu)_i)\) provided it exists \(\forall i \in \mathbb{N}\), where

\[
(T\nu)_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_{i,j} \nu_j.
\]

For an infinite matrix \(T = (t_{ij})\), the set \(G_T\), where

\[
G_T = \{\nu = (\nu_j) \in \Lambda : T\nu \in G\},
\]

is known as the matrix domain of \(T\) in \(G\) [30, 31, 40].

A infinite matrix \(G = (g_{nk})\) is said to be regular if and only if the following conditions hold:
Let \((q_k)\) be a sequence of positive numbers and let us write, \(Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} q_k\)

for \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Then the matrix \(R^q = (r_{nk})\) of the Riesz mean \((R,q_n)\) is given by

\[
r_{nk}^q = \begin{cases} 
q_k/Q_n & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\
0 & \text{if } k > n 
\end{cases}
\]

The Riesz mean \((R,q_n)\) is regular if and only if \(Q_n \to \infty\) as \(n \to \infty\) as can be seen in [35, 42].

Quite recently, in [38], the author has introduced the following:

\[
r^{q}(u,p) = \left\{ \zeta = (\zeta_k) \in \Lambda : \sum_{k} \left| \frac{1}{Q_k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} u_j q_j \zeta_j \right|^p < \infty \right\}
\]

where, \(0 < p, H < \infty\).

In [29], the author introduced the following difference sequence spaces \(W(\Delta)\):

\[
W(\Delta) = \{ \zeta = (\zeta_k) \in \Lambda : (\Delta \zeta_k) \in W \},
\]

where, \(W \in \{ \ell_{\infty}, c_0 \}\) and \(\Delta \zeta_k = \zeta_k - \zeta_{k+1} \).

In [2], the author has studied the sequence space as

\[
\text{bv}_p = \left\{ \zeta = (\zeta_k) \in \Lambda : \sum_{k} |\Delta x_k|^p < \infty \right\},
\]

where \(1 \leq p < \infty\). With the notation of (1), the space \(\text{bv}_p\) can be redefined as

\[
\text{bv}_p = (l_p)_{\Delta}, 1 \leq p < \infty
\]

where, \(\Delta\) denotes the matrix \(\Delta = (\Delta_{nk})\) defined as

\[
\Delta_{nk} = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^{n-k} & \text{if } n - 1 \leq k \leq n, \\
0 & \text{if } k < n - 1 \text{ or } k > n.
\end{cases}
\]
In [32], the author introduced the concept of modulus function. We call a function $F : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ to be modulus function if

(i) $F(\zeta) = 0$ if and only if $\zeta = 0$,

(ii) $F(\zeta + \eta) \leq \{\zeta\} + \{\eta\} \forall \zeta \geq 0, \eta \geq 0$

(iii) $F$ is increasing, and

(iv) $F$ is continuous from the right at 0.

One can easily see that if $F_1$ and $F_2$ are modulus functions then so is $F_1 + F_2$; and the function $F^j (j \in \mathbb{N})$, the composition of a modulus function $F$ with itself $j$ times is also modulus function.

Recently, in [36] the new space was introduced by using notion of modulus function as follows:

$$L(\mathcal{F}) = \left\{ \zeta = (\zeta_r) : \sum_r |\mathcal{F}(\zeta_r)| < \infty \right\}$$

The approach of constructing a new sequence space by means of matrix domain of a particular limitation method has been studied by several authors. $(\ell_{\infty})_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $c_{\mathbb{N}}$ (see, [43]), $(\ell_p)_{\mathbb{C}} = X_p$ and $(\ell_{\infty})_{\mathbb{C}} = X_\infty$ (see, [34]), $(\ell_{\infty})_R^R = r_{\infty}^t$, $(c)_R^R = r_{c}^t$ and $(c_0)_R^R = r_{c}^t$ (see, [28]), $(\ell_p)_R^R = r_p^t$ (see, [1]), $(c_0)_A^R = a_0^t$ and $c_A^R = a_0^t$ (see, [3]), $[c_0(u, p)]_A^R = a_0^t(u, p)$ and $[c(u, p)]_A^R = a_0^t(u, p)$ (see, [4], $r_\infty(u, p) = \{l(p)\}_{R_{\text{rot}}}$ (see, [38]) and etc.

2. The sequence space $r_{\mathcal{F}}^q (\Delta^p_s)$ of non-absolute type

In this section, for $s \geq 0$, we define the Riesz sequence space $r_{\mathcal{F}}^q (\Delta^p_s)$, and prove that the space $r_{\mathcal{F}}^q (\Delta^p_s)$ is a complete paranormed linear space and show it is linearly isomorphic to the space $l(p)$.

Let $\Lambda$ be a real or complex linear space, define the function $\tau : \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathbb{R}$ as set of real numbers. Then, the paranormed space is a pair $(\Lambda; \tau)$ and $\tau$ is a paranorm for $\Lambda$, if the following axioms are satisfied for all $\zeta, \eta \in \Lambda$ and for all scalars $\beta$:

(i) $\tau(\theta) = 0$,

(ii) $\tau(-\zeta) = \tau(\zeta)$,

(iii) $\tau(\zeta + \eta) \leq \tau(\zeta) + \tau(\eta)$, and

(iv) scalar multiplication is continuous, that is, $|\beta - \beta_n| \to 0$ and $h(\zeta_n - \zeta) \to 0$ imply $\tau(\beta_n \zeta_n - \beta \zeta) \to 0$ for all $\beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\zeta_n \in \Lambda$, where $\theta$ is a zero vector in the linear space $\Lambda$. Assume here and after that $(p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers with $\sup_k = \text{Hand} M = \max \{1, H\}$. Then, the linear space $\ell_{\infty}(p)_R^R$ was defined by Maddox [30] as follows:

$$\ell_{\infty}(p)_R^R = \{\zeta = (\zeta_k) : \sup_k |\zeta_k|^{p_k} < \infty\}$$

which is complete space paranormed by

$$\tau_1(\zeta) = \left(\sup_k |\zeta_k|^{p_k}\right)^{1/M}.$$
We shall assume throughout that \( p_k^{-1} + \{p_k\}^{-1} \) provided \( 1 < \inf p_k \leq H < \infty \), and we denote the collection of all finite subsets of \( N \) by \( F \), where \( N = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots \} \).

Following Altay et al. [1]-[2], Ba, sarir et al. [5], Choudhary et al. [6], Ganie et al. [9, 18], Ruckle [36], Sengo`nu`l [37], Mursaleen [33], Sheikh et al. [38]-[41], we define the difference sequence space \( r^q_F(\triangle_s) \) as follows:

\[
 r^q_F(\triangle_s) = \left\{ \zeta = (\zeta_k) \in \Lambda : \sum_k k^{-s} \left| \mathcal{F} \left( \frac{1}{Q_k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} q_j \triangle \zeta_j \right) \right|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}
\]

where, \( 0 < p_k \leq H < \infty \) and \( s \geq 0 \).

By (1), it can be redefined as

\[
 r^q_F(\triangle_s) = \{ l(p) \} R^p_F(\triangle_s).
\]

Define the sequence \( \xi = (\xi_k) \), which will be used, by the \( R^p_F(\triangle_s) \) transform of a sequence \( \zeta = (\zeta_k) \), i.e.,

\[
(2)
\]

Now, we begin with the following theorem which is essential in the text.

**Theorem 2.1.** \( r^q_F(\triangle_s) \) is a complete linear metric space paranormed by \( h_\triangle \) defined as

\[
h_\triangle(\zeta) = \left[ \sum k^{-s} \left| \mathcal{F} \left[ \frac{1}{Q_k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (q_j - q_{j+1}) \left( \zeta_j + \eta_j + \frac{q_k}{Q_k} \zeta_k \right) \right]^{p_k} \right|^{\frac{1}{M}} \right]^{\frac{1}{M}}
\]

with \( 0 < p_k \leq H < \infty \).

**Proof:** The linearity of \( r^q_F(\triangle_s) \) with respect to the co-ordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication follows from from the inequalities which are satisfied for \( \zeta, \xi \in r^q_F(\triangle_s) \) (see [14], p.30)

\[
\left[ \sum k^{-s} \left| \mathcal{F} \left[ \frac{1}{Q_k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (q_j - q_{j+1}) \left( \zeta_j + \eta_j + \frac{q_k}{Q_k} \zeta_k \right) \right]^{p_k} \right|^{\frac{1}{M}} \right]^{\frac{1}{M}}
\]

\[
\leq \left[ \sum k^{-s} \left| \mathcal{F} \left( \frac{1}{Q_k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (q_j - q_{j+1}) \zeta_j + \frac{q_k}{Q_k} \zeta_k \right) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{M}}
\]

\[
+ \left[ \sum k^{-s} \left| \mathcal{F} \left( \frac{1}{Q_k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (q_j - q_{j+1}) \eta_j + \frac{q_k}{Q_k} \eta_k \right) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{M}}
\]

and for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) (see, [13])

\[
|\alpha|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\alpha|^M).
\]

It is clear that, \( h_\triangle(\theta) = 0 \) and \( h_\triangle(\zeta) = h_\triangle(-\zeta) \) for all \( \zeta \in r^q_F(\triangle_s) \). Again the inequality (3) and (4), yield the subadditivity of \( h_\triangle \) and
Let \( \{ \zeta^n \} \) be any sequence of points of the space \( R^q_{\mathcal{T}}(\Delta^a_s) \) such that \( h_{\Delta}(\zeta^n - \zeta) \to 0 \) and \( (\alpha_n) \) is a sequence of scalars such that \( \alpha_n \to \alpha \).

Then, since the inequality,

\[
h_{\Delta}(x^n) \leq h_{\Delta}(x) + h_{\Delta}(x^n - x)
\]

holds by subadditivity of \( h_{\Delta} \), \( \{ h_{\Delta}(\zeta^n) \} \) is bounded and we thus have

\[
h_{\Delta}(\alpha_n \zeta^n - \alpha \zeta) = \left[ \sum_k h_k^{-\alpha} \left| \mathcal{F} \left( \frac{1}{Q_k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (q_j - q_{j+1}) (\alpha_n \zeta_j^n - \alpha \zeta_j) \right) \right| \right]^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq |\alpha_n - \alpha|^\omega h_{\Delta}(\zeta^n) + |\alpha|^\mu h_{\Delta}(\zeta^n - \zeta)
\]

which tends to zero as \( n \to \infty \), which shows that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Hence, \( h_{\Delta} \) is paranorm on the space \( R^q_{\mathcal{T}}(\Delta^a_s) \).

It remains to prove the completeness of the space \( R^q_{\mathcal{T}}(\Delta^a_s) \). Let \( \{ \zeta \} \) be any Cauchy sequence in the space \( R^q_{\mathcal{T}}(\Delta^a_s) \), where \( \zeta^i = \{ \zeta_0^i, \zeta_1^i, \ldots \} \). Then, for a given \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists a positive integer \( n_0(\epsilon) \) such that

\[
|h_{\Delta}(\zeta^i - \zeta^j)| < \epsilon
\]

for all \( i,j \geq n_0(\epsilon) \). Using definition of \( h_{\Delta} \) and for each fixed \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), we have

\[
\left| (R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta^i)_k - (R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta^j)_k \right| \leq \left[ \sum_k \left| (R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta^i)_k - (R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta^j)_k \right|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \epsilon
\]

for \( i,j \geq n_0(\epsilon) \), which leads us to the fact that \( \{(R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta^i)_0, (R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta^i)_1, \ldots \} \) is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers for every fixed \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Since \( R \) is complete, it converges, say, \( (R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta^i)_k \to ((R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta)_k \) as \( i \to \infty \). Using these infinitely many limits \( (R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta^i)_0, (R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta^i)_1, \ldots \), we define the sequence \( \{(R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta)_0, (R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta)_1, \ldots \} \). From (5) for each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( i,j \geq n_0(\epsilon) \),

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{m} \left| (R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta^i)_k - (R^q_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta_s \zeta^j)_k \right|^p \leq h_{\Delta}(\zeta^i - \zeta^j)^M \leq \epsilon^M
\]

Take any \( i,j \geq n_0(\epsilon) \). First, let \( j \to \infty \) in (6) and then \( m \to \infty \), we obtain

\[
h_{\Delta}(\zeta^i - \zeta) \leq \epsilon.
\]

Finally, taking \( \epsilon = 1 \) in (6) and by letting \( i \geq n_0(1) \), we have by Minkowski’s inequality for each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) that
which implies that $\zeta \in r_{q,F}^p(\Delta s)$. Since $h_{\Delta}(\zeta - \zeta) \leq \epsilon$ for all $i \geq m(\epsilon)$, it follows that $\zeta \to \zeta$ as $i \to \infty$, hence we have shown that $r_{q,F}^p(\Delta s)$ is complete, hence the proof of the theorem follows.

Note that one can easily see the absolute property does not hold on the spaces $r_{q,F}^p(\Delta s)$, that is, $h_{\Delta}(\zeta) = h_{\Delta}(|\zeta|)$ for at least one sequence in the space $r_{q,F}^p(\Delta s)$ and this says that $r_{q,F}^p(\Delta s)$ is a sequence space of nonabsolute type.

3. Inclusion relations

In this section, we investigate some of its inclusions properties.

**Theorem 3.1.** For $s \geq 0$, if $p_k$ and $t_k$ are bounded sequences of positive real numbers with $0 < p_k \leq t_k < \infty$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then for any modulus function $F$, $r_{q,F}^p(\Delta s) \subseteq r_{q,F}^t(\Delta s)$.

**Proof:** For $\zeta \in r_{q,F}^p(\Delta s)$ it is obvious that

$$
\sum_k k^{-s} \left| \frac{1}{Q_k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (q_j - q_{j+1}) \zeta_j + \frac{q_k}{Q_k} \zeta_k \right|^{p_k} < \infty.
$$

Consequently, for sufficiently large values of $k$ say $k \geq k_0$ for some fixed $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

But $F$ being increasing and $p_k \leq t_k$, we have

$$
\sum_{k \geq k_0} k^{-s} \left| \frac{1}{Q_k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (q_j - q_{j+1}) \zeta_j + \frac{q_k}{Q_k} \zeta_k \right|^{t_k} < \infty.
$$

From this, it is clear that $\zeta \in r_{q,F}^t(\Delta s)$ and the result follows.
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