ISSN: 2320-2882

IJCRT.ORG



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

EVALUATION OF AEROBIC AND TRUNK MUSCLE ENDURANCE AMONG UNDERGRADUATE PHYSIOTHERAPY STUDENTS –AN OBSERVATIONAL STUDY

¹Dr. Pradnya Mahajan, ² Varsha Bankar, ³ Dr. Rajkumar Jayaraman, ⁴ Dr. Amar Prakash

¹Assistant Professor, ² Intern, ³ Professor, ⁴ Associate Professor

Dr. Ulhas Patil College Of Physiotherapy, Jalgaon.

Abstract

Background: Physiotherapists were desired to have a good level of physical fitness to meet their job demands. As educators of Physical Therapy profession, it was important to expose students to their professional physical fitness demanded that they would face in employment setting. The professional demands of physiotherapy profession required the therapist to engage in activities which demanded good amount of strength, endurance.

Methods: An observational study was conducted on 156 male and female Physiotherapy students, Cooper 12 min walk test for aerobic endurance, Sorensen test for trunk extensor endurance, Plank test for trunk flexor endurance were performed on students, BMI was calculated and subjects were divided on basis of BMI that overweight and normal was done.

Results: The aerobic endurance of physiotherapy students between the age of 22-23 was lowest (i.e. 32.60 ml.kg-1min

1). trunk extensor and flexor endurance of the student lowest between the age 18-19 was 01:12 sec. 47:48 respectively. The Comparison between the overweight and normal BMI students for the trunk extensor and trunk flexors endurance showed the significant difference that is p = 0.02, p = 0.06. The comparison between the overweight and normal BMI students for the aerobic endurance showed no significant difference that is p = 0.088 difference that is p = 0.021, p = 0.061.

Conclusion: It was found that Physiotherapy students have low to moderate level of aerobic endurance and trunk muscle endurance. The aerobic endurance didn't show statically significant between normal BMI and overweight Physiotherapy students. The trunk muscle endurance statically significant between normal BMI and overweight Physiotherapy students.

Key words: Aerobic endurance , BMI , Physical activity , trunk muscle endurance

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity is defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that require energy expenditure.

The term "physical activity" could not be interchanged with "exercise". Exercise, is a subgroup of physical activity that is planned, structured, repetitive, and purposeful in the sense that the improvement or maintenance of one or more components of physical fitness is the objective. Physical activity comprised of exercise as well as other activities which involved bodily movement and were done as a part of playing, working, active transportation, house chores and recreational activities.

Regular physical activity (PA) and health-related physical fitness were key indicators of health outcomes. Physiotherapy professional bodies had been recognizing that physical activity and exercise were integral to professional practice and were core contributors to health along with reducing the risk of developing diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases. PA also improved emotion and stress control.^[1]

Physiotherapists were desired to have a good level of physical fitness to meet their job demands. As educators of Physical Therapy profession, it was important to expose students to their professional physical fitness demanded that they would face in employment

setting. The professional demands of physiotherapy profession required the therapist to engage in activities which demanded good amount of strength, endurance. The Physiotherapists were widely involved with and flexibility.^[2]

A reasonably high level of physical fitness was required to carry out the routine job activities of a physiotherapist. However, in the curriculum and academic experience no attention was given to the physical fitness of physiotherapy students. Therefore, as students of Physiotherapy, it was all the more relevant that they understand the demands of the profession and their actual physical fitness.^[2]

The functions performed by physical therapists in health care delivery system were diverse. The therapist worked in hospitals with all varieties of patients.^[2]

Physiotherapist is required to be engaged in various activities .The therapist work in hospitals and in rehabilitation centers with varities of patients .Their work involves giving various exercises to patients, transferring of patients for that they need good amount of strength ,endurance and flexibility .Therefore they need to maintaingood physical fitness level to meet their demands.^[3]



Fig No.1 Illustration of people doing different types of physical activities

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

- 1. Type of Study Observational Study.
- **2**. Method of Sampling Cluster Sampling.
- **3**. Study setting –Dr.Ulhas Patil College Of Physiotherapy , Jalgaon.
- 4. Study Population Physiotherapy students of age between 18-23 yrs.
- 5. Sample Size 156 physiotherapy students
- **6**. Study Duration 6 Months.
- 7. Study place Dr. Ulhas Patil College of Physiotherapy, Jalgaon.
- 8. Materials required
 - i. Informed consent form
 - ii. Pen
 - iii. Paper
 - iv. Measuring tape
 - v. Plinth
 - vi. Weighing machine
 - vii. Inch tape

JCR

9. Outcome Measure -

- i. Cooper 12 min walk test
- ii. Sorensons test
- iii. Plank test
- 10.Statistical Tool
 - i. Unpaired test

SELECTION CRITERIA

- Inclusion criteria :-
- 1. Subjects with informed consent.
- 2. Subjects of Age Group of 18-23 years were included
- **3**. Both the genders.
- Exclusion criteria:-
- 1. Any recent abnormal cardiovascular and pulmonary condition.
- 2. Any gait abnormality
- **3**. Any musculoskeletal abnormality of UE ,LE, Spine
- 4. Post covid subjects
- 5. Individuals involved in sports and gym activities
- 6. Any recent surgery

PROCEDURE



Outcome Measures Physical Activity Measurement

A) COOPER 12 min Walk test

• VO2max=distance in meters+504.9/44.73

Subject was asked to cover the maximum distance as they can cover in 12 minutes.

Place markers at set interval around the track to aid measuring the completed distance ,participants run for 12 min and the total distance covered was recorded. Walking is allowed ,though the participants must be encouraged to push themselves as hardas they can to miximize the distance covered.

B) To Measure Endurance of Trunk Extensors Muscles (TE)

• Sorenson's Test: - Subject was asked to lie prone on the examination table with the upper edge of the iliac crests within alignment with the edge of the table. The lower body was fixed to the table by three straps around the pelvis, knee and ankle, with the arms folded across the chest; the patient isometric ally maintained the upper body in horizontal position while time was recorded. (Fig No.2)



C) To measure Endurance of trunk flexor muscles (TF)

• Plank Test: - Subject in prone position with forearm placed on the ground with the elbows aligned below the shoulders and arms parallel to the badh at about shoulder width distance and time was recorded. (Fig No.3)

JCR



Fig No.3

 D) To calculate Body mass index: Measurement of body fat based on height and weight The formula is :- BMI = KG/M2 Where KG- Persons weight in kilograms M2- Is their height in Meter square

DATA ANALYSIS

Table No. 1: Age distribution

| AGE | No. of students |
|-------|-----------------|
| 18-19 | 43 |
| 20-21 | 68 |
| 22-23 | 44 |

Table No.1



Graph No.1

Table no.1 and graph no. 2 suggest that here the total no of students where divided according to the age group they where divided into 3 age group like wise age group between 18-19 there where total 43 students, in age group 20-21 total no of students where 68, in age group 22-23 there where 44 students.

Table No. 2: Gender distribution

| GENDER | No.Of Students |
|--------|----------------|
| Female | 112 |
| Male | 44 |



Graph No.2

Table no. 2 and graph no.2 suggest that there where total 156 students among them 112 students where female and 44 where male .

COOPER TEST

Table No.3

| | Cooper Test | | | | | |
|-------|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Age | Mean | Std. Deviation | | | | |
| 18-19 | 32.5300 | 3.58040 | | | | |
| 20-21 | 33.2996 | 3.29511 | | | | |
| 22-23 | 30.7953 | 2.65381 | | | | |



Graph No.3

Table no. 3 and graph no. 3 shows the mean and the standard daviation of the cooper 12min walk test of 3 different age group . The age group 18-19 the mean 32.53 ± 3.58 , the age group 20-21 mean 33.29 ± 3.29 , and in age group 22-23 mean 30.79 ± 2.65 .

SORENSEN TEST

Table No.4

| Sorensen Test | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Age | Mean | Std. Deviation | | | | |
| 18-19 | 01:12 | 00:24 | | | | |
| 20-21 | 01:24 | 00:36 | | | | |
| 22-23 | 01:23 | 00:37 | | | | |



Graph No.4

Table no. 4 and graph no. 4 shows that the mean and the standard deviation of Sorensen test of 3 different age group .The age group between 18-19 the mean $01:12\pm00;24$, for age group 20-21 the mean $01:24\pm00:36$, and for age group 22-23 the mean $01:23\pm00:33$

PLANK TEST

Table No.5

| Age | Mean(sec) | Std. Deviation |
|-------|-----------|----------------|
| 18-19 | 47.4884 | 20.56508 |
| 20-21 | 53.6912 | 25.99211 |



Graph No.5

Table no.5 and graph no. 5 shows the mean and the standard davitation of Plank test og 3 different age group .The age group between 18-19 the mean 47.48 \pm 20.56, for age group 20-21 mean 53.69 \pm 25.99 and for the age group 22-23 the mean 54.70 \pm 28.12.

COMPARISION OF AEROBIC ENDURANCE AMONG NORMAL BMI AND OVERWEIGHT STUDENTS

| Coopertest | |
|------------|---------|
| BMI | Mean |
| normal | 33.2996 |
| overweight | 30.7953 |
| | |

Table No.6



Table no. 6 and graph no. 6 shows the mean of the cooper test when compaired between the normal BMI students (33.2) and the overweight BMI students (30.7)

COMPARISION OF TRUNK EXTENSOR MUSCLE ENDURANCE BETWEEN NORMAL BMI AND OVERWEIGHT STUDENTS

| Sorensei | n Test |
|------------|--------|
| BMI | Mean |
| normal | 01:23 |
| overweight | 01:08 |
| | |

Table No.7



Graph No.7

Table no. 7 and graph no. 7 the mean of the Sorensen test when compaired between the normal BMI students (01:23) and overweight BMI students (01:08)

COMPARISION OF TRUNK FLEXOR MUSCLE ENNDURANCE BETWEEN NORMAL BMI AND OVERWEIGHT STUDENTS



Table no. 8 and graph no. 8 shows the mean of plank test when compaired between the normal BMI students (56.07) and overweight BMI students (41.39)

Table No.9

| Inc | lependent S | amples Test | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|-------------|-------|--------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances | | | t-test | for Equalit | y of Means | | | | |
| | F Sig. | | | t | df | Sig. (2- tailed) | Mean Difference | Std. Error Difference | 95% C Interval Difference | Confidence of the |
| | | | | | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| Plank Test | Equal variances assumed | 3.580 | 0.061 | 3.009 | 131 | 0.003 | 14.683 | 4.881 | 5.028 | 24.338 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 3.692 | ###### | 0.000 | 14.683 | 3.977 | 6.794 | 22.573 |

Table no.9 shows significant difference (0.061) when we compaired the normal BMI students and thr overweight M=BMI students for plank test

| Table No <mark>.1</mark> | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Indepe | endent Sam | ples Test | | | | | | | 2 | |
| | Levene Variances | s Test for I | Equality of | t-test | for Equalit | y of Means | | 8 | | |
| | | F | Sig. | t | Df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference | Std. Error Difference | 95% C Interval Difference | confidence of the |
| | | | | | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| Sorensen Test | Equal variances assumed | 5.434 | 0.021 | 2.307 | 131 | 0.023 | 00:15 | 00:06 | 00:02 | 00:28 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 3.049 | ####### | 0.003 | 00:15 | 00:04 | 00:05 | 00:25 |

Table no.10 shows significant difference (0.021) when we compaired the normal BMI students and the overweight BMI students for Sorensen test

Table No.11

Independent Samples Test

| | Levene Variances | t-test | for Equal | ity of Means | 5 | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference | Std. Error Difference | 95% Interval Difference | Confidence of the |
| | | | | | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| СТ | Equal variances assumed | 2.952 | 0.088 | 4.091 | 131 | 0.000 | 2.50431 | 0.61214 | 1.29335 | 3.71527 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 4.516 | 77.286 | 0.000 | 2.50431 | 0.55459 | 1.40005 | 3.60857 |

Table no. 11 shows no siggnificent difference (0.088) when we compaired the normal BMI students and the overweight BMI students for cooper test

RESULT

Data of 156 student was analysed there were 112 females and 44males ,students between the age group 18-19 were 43 ,between 20-21 were 68 and between 22-23 were 44

We found that the aerobic endurance of physiotherapy students between the age of 18-19 years was 33.53 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ between the age 20-21 years was 33.29 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ between age 22-23 was 32.60 ml.kg⁻¹min⁻¹

The mean of trunk extensor endurance of the student between the age 18-19 was 01:12 sec, between the age 20-21 was 01:24sec, between the age 22-23 was 01:23sec. The mean of trunk flexor endurance of the students between the age 18-19 was 47:48 sec, between age 20-21 was 53:69 sec, between age 22-23 was 52:25 sec

As we compare BMI between the normal and the overweight, it was found that the aerobic endurance of normal BMI students was 33.2 ml.kg^{-1} .min⁻¹ and of overweight was 30.79 ml.kg^{-1} .min⁻¹

For the trunk extensor endurance of normal BMI students was 01:23sec and of overweight students was 01:8 sec

For the trunk flexor endurance of normal BMI students was 56.07sec and of overweight was 41.39sec

The Comparision between the overweight and normal BMI students for the trunk extensor and trunk flexors endurance showed the significent difference that is p = 0.021, p = 0.061

The comparision between the overweight and normal BMI students for the aerobic endurance showed no signifficent difference that is p=0.088

DISCUSSION

The aim of the study was to evaluate the physical activity level and physical fitness parameter in Dr. Ulhas Patil college of Physiotherapy students.Regular physical activity and health related physical fitness were key indicator of health outcomes . Cardiorespiratory endurance or general endurance is the ability of the cardvascular abd respiratory systems to supply oxygenated blood to active muscles during prolonged physical activity, VO_{2max} is defined as the maximum capacity of the body to utilize oxygen during maximum effort. VO_{2max} depends upon the oxygen transport, the oxygen biding capacity of the blood, and the body's ability to extract oxygen and the muscles oxidative capacity. Physiotherapy professional bodies had been recognized that physical activity and exercise were integral to professional practice and were core contributers tp health along with reducing the risk of developing diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases .As a educators of Physiotherapy profession , it was important to expose students to there professional physical fitness demand that they would face in employment setting .

The students in this study were 112 female and 44 male[table no.1]. The age group was 18-23 among them they were divide into 3 groups majority of them were in between the age of 20-21 respectively [table no.2].

The result of the study shows that the aerobic endurance of the Physiotherapy students of between age group 18-19 years was 33.53 ml.kg⁻¹. min⁻¹, and between age group 20-21 was 33.29 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ and between age group 22-23 was 32.60 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹. It

suggest that the highest VO_{2max} observed among the youngest age group (18-19 years) and was 33.53 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹.[table no.3]. The results were similar to Henrik Loe study which showed that VO_{2max} was higher in the youngest age group. The Cooper's 12 min test was used to evaluate aerobic endurance. Validity of this test was given by Bandyopadhay.

The mean of trunk extensor endurance of students between age group 20-21 was the heighest and was 01:24sec and lowest in the age group between age 18-19 and was 01:12sec the minimal difference was seen in between all the 3 groups divided according to age.[table no.4] Chidozie E. Mbada, study results showed that there was no significant difference in the back muscles' endurance level of the adolescents and the young adults (2029yrs). Back muscles' endurance peaked between the 20 to 29 year age-category for mixed-sex groups. It suggested that the back muscle endurance decreases with increase in age. The result of this study showed that the mean endurance time was greater among men than women .

Elzbieta Szczygiel, et al. The study was done between the age group 18-23 years. It suggested that the deep muscle training could improve the trunk and respiratory control. The result confirmed that effect of deep muscle training on improved postural control .As Physiotherapist work bacically correlate with postural control of him self to avoid the injuries . In this study the result showed that the mean of the trunk flexors endurance of students between age group 20-21 was the highest and was 53.69 sec and the lowest in the age group between age 18-19 and was 47.48 sec the minimal difference was seen in between all the 3 groups divided according to age .[table no.5]

The Xiaobin Chen et al. study suggested that overweight and obese students had poor performance in physical index than the normal weight students. As we compare BMI between the normal and the overweight, Obesity students might be less likely to take part in physical activity because of fear of poor, the abnormal weight status showed a bad performance of vital capacity weight index. It was found that the aerobic endurance of normal BMI students was comparatively higher that was 33.2 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ then the overweight BMI students and was 30.79 ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹.[table no.6]

Comparision for the trunk extensor endurance here we found that the trunk extensor endurance of normal BMI student was comperatively higher and was 01:23 sec than that of the overweight BMI students and was 01:8 sec.[table no.7] Comparision for the trunk flexor endurance here we found that the trunk flexor endurance of normal BMI students was comparatively higher that's 56.07 sec than that of the overweight BMI students that's 41.39 sec.[table no.8]

Here we did the unpaired t test The Comparision between the overweight and normal BMI students for the trunk extensor and trunk flexors endurance showed the significent difference that is p=0.021, p=0.061[table no .9 and 10]

Kshitija Umesh Patkar et al, found no significant difference in obese and normal weight group indicating same cardiorespiratory performance in both the groups .

In fact Nevil et al, reported that the lighter person more likely to be placed in a low VO_{2max} category. In order to have a definite result, we amid at finding a correlation between the fat percent, lean body mass with VO_{2max} . Our results indicate that there was a significant positive correlation with lean body mass. Fat percent had a negative correlation but was not statistically significant.

Goran et al, examined the influence of body weight and body composition (FM vs FFM) on aerobic fitness. The result of this study indicated that the maximal oxygen consumption of fat free tissue is independent of body fat mass. FM does not have any effect on VO_{2max} , these findings suggest that obese individual do not have lower maximal aerobic capacity of their FFM compared with lean individuals or impaired cardiorespiratory and pulmonary responses to exercise. Thus inferring , VO_{2max} may be normal even in individuals with higher BMI. Also in the obese individuals there is increase in type II muscle fibers and decrease in type I muscle fibers which may have important effect on reduced oxygen uptake.

The comparision between the overweight and normal BMI students for the aerobic endurance showed no signifficent difference that is p=0.088[table no.11]

The decrease in VO_{2max} in overweight and obese might be due to the following reasons :The mitochondrial oxidative enzyme activity is very less in obese people as the number of mitochondria and their function is limited in the skeletal muscles of overweight and obese individuals. Whereas the Glycolytic enzymes that is the Phospho fructokinase and a-glycerol phosphate activity is more in obesity and type II diabetes .

The Type I muscle fibers also called the slow twitch oxidative fibers are more in number than type II fibers in obese individuals. As the name implies the type I fibers are rich in mitochondria and glutamines enhancing the glucose metabolism regulated by insuline . Some other studies have also implied the same .

Increasing adiposity is associated with lower skeketal muscle oxidative capacity and capillarization .Skeletal muscle capillaries are a fundamental component in diffusion of various substance including oxygen, glucose, insuline, and fatty acids from the circulation of skeletal muscle

The increase in body fat in obese individuals should have an increase in capillarization in order to provide adequate oxygen and nutrient diffusion but the results have shown the there is low adipose tissue capillary density, decrease in vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) suggesting that obese Adipose tissue is deprived of oxygen ...

CONCLUSION

It was found that Physiotherapy students have low to moderate level of aerobic endurance and trunk muscle endurance. The aerobic endurance did not statically significant between normal BMI and overweight Physiotherapy students. The trunk muscle endurance statically significant between normal BMI abd overweight Physiotherapy students.

LIMITATIONS

- 1. Study is limetd under Dr. Ulhas Ptil College of Physiotherapy
- 2. And here we just evaluated the aerobic endurance and the trunk muscle endurance

SUGGESTION / FUTURE SCOPE

Future study can be done to evaluate the Flexibility and Strength among the Physiotherapy students, As the flexibility and strength are the basic demand for the Physical Therapist to deal with there job demand

Funding: Self Funding

REFERENCES

- 1. Zishan Khan, Megha Sandeep Sheth, Physical activity level and physical fitness parameter in Physiotherapy students ,International Journal of Physiotherapy (2019),DOI:16965/ijpr.2019.117
- 2. Narinder Kaur Multani, Amandeep Singh , Level of physical fitness among students a study of Punjab and Haryana, World applied science Journal, DOI: 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.21.8.1839
- **3**. Sonia Pawari , Sajjan Pal , Sheetal Kalra ,Study on Crdio Respiratory Fitmess of Physiotherapy students: Cross sectional study , International Journal of Yoga, Physiotherapy and Physical education(2017)
- 4. Henrik Leo, Oivind Rognmo, Aerobic Capacity reference data in 3816 healthy men and women 20-90 years, journal of PLOS (2013)
- 5. Christophee Demoulin, Marc Vanderthommen ,Jean Michel Crielaard , Spinal muscle evaluation using Sorenson test: a critical appraisal of the literature , journal of JOINT BONE SPINE (2004)
- 6. Keller, Anne, Hellesnes, Jan PT, Reliability of isokinetic trunk extensor test, biering Sorenson test and astrand bicycle test assessment in patients with chronic low back pain and healthy individuals ,journal of Health services research (2001)
- 7. Chidozie E. Mbada, Olusola Ayanniyi, Rufus a. Adedoyin, Back muscle endurance in adolescent and adults "; normative data for a sub- Saharan African population, Journal musculoskeletal research, DOI:10.1142/S021857711500047(2011)
- 8. Ajediran i.,Bello, Emmanuel Bonney, Physical fitness of Ghanajan Physiotherapists and its correlation with age and exercise engagement: a Pilot study, Achivers of Physiotherapy, DOI:10.1186/s40945-016-0016-2(2016)
- **9**. Dhrmesh Parmar and Vishwas Vaghela, Study of physical fitness index modified Harvard Step test in relation with BMI in physiotherapy students, International Journal of recent advances in multidisciplinary research (2015)
- 10. Michale Weisgerber, Michale Danduran, John Meurer, Evaluation of Cooper 12 min walk / run test as a Maeker of cardiorespiratory fitness in young urban children with persistent Asthma, Journal of sport and medicine (2009)
- **11**. Michale G. Maksud and Kenneth D. Coutts, Application of Cooper Twelve minute run –walk test to young males, research quarterly American Association for health, Physical education and recreation, (2013)
- 12. Tom K. Tong, Shing Wu, Jinlei Nie, Sport specific endurance plank test for evaluation of global core muscle function, Physical therapy in sport (2013)
- **13**. Anoop Agrawal, Suraj Kumar and Dharmendar Kumar, Effect of core stabilization on the Lower back endurance in recreationally active individuals, Journal of musculoskeletal research, DOI10.1142/S02189577100002600,(2011)
- 14. Elzbieta Szczygeil, Jedrzej Blaut, The impact of deep muscle training on quality of posture and breathing, Journal of motor behaviour, (2017)
- 15. Sarah L.Strand , John Hjelm , Todd C. Shoepe , Norms for Isometric muscle endurance test , Journal of human kinetics (2014)
- 16. Atsushi Imai,Koji Kaneoka, The relationship betweentrunk endurance plank tests and athletic performance tests in adolescent soccer players, International journal of sports physical therapy, (2016)
- 17. Pravalika Pagadala, Original article comparison of maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max)in Obese and non obese young house wives, Indian Journal of Physiol Pharmacol (2019)
- 18. Claire Cleland ,Sara Ferguson ,Geraint Eills ,Validity of the International physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ) for assessing moderate to vigorous physical activity and sedentary behivour of older adults in the United Kingdom, BMC medical research methodology ,(2018)

- 19. Fatim Tahirah Mirza and Awatif Syazani Roslan, Work related musculoskeletal disorder and its association with physical fitness among Physiotherapy students attending public universities in Malyasia, Faculty of health sciences, (2019)
- 20. Bandyopadhyay A, Vlidity of Cooper 12 min run test for estimation of maximum oxygen uptake in male university students, Journal og biology of sports ,DOI:10.5640/20831862.1127283 9201

