IJCRT.ORG

ISSN: 2320-2882



## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

# AN ANALYSIS OF MUTTUSVĀMI DĪKŅITA'S RĀGAMĀLIKĀ 'SIMHĀSANASTHITĒ'

<sup>1</sup>Aarti Ananth Krishnan, <sup>2</sup>Prof. Dr. S. Subbu Lakshmi

<sup>1</sup>PhD Research Scholar, <sup>2</sup>Professor and Director <sup>1</sup>School of Music and Fine Arts <sup>1</sup>Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Chennai, India

Abstract: Rāgamālikā denotes a genre of musical composition in Karnatik music where various sections or segments are set to different  $r\bar{a}ga$ -s. Each  $r\bar{a}ga$  is typically essayed in a concise manner bringing out the key phrases. A study of  $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$ -s are imperative to understand the evolution of  $r\bar{a}ga$ -s and their  $svar\bar{u}pa$  (melodic structure) in the lifetime of the composer. Also, the  $r\bar{a}ga$ -s chosen by the composer could indicate their prevalence at the time. One such  $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lika$  composed by one of the Trinity of Karnatik music, Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita is ' $simh\bar{a}sanasthit\bar{e}$ '. This  $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$  is set in four  $r\bar{a}ga$ -s  $saur\bar{a}stra$ , vasanta, surati and  $madhyam\bar{a}vati$ . This composition was first found published in Sri Dikshita Kirtanamala by Sundaram Iyer in 1955. Subsequently, it is found notated in many other publications. This paper will analyse the  $r\bar{a}g\bar{a}m\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$  ' $simh\bar{a}sanasthit\bar{e}$ ' and will attempt to trace the changes in  $svar\bar{u}pa$  of these four  $r\bar{a}ga$ -s as gleaned from the notations of this composition.

*Index Terms* - Ragamalika, Muttusvami Dikshita, Simhasanas<mark>thite, M</mark>angalarag<mark>amalika</mark>, Saurashtram, Vasantha, Surati, Madhyamavati

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Rāgamālikā, as the name implies, means a garland of rāga-s. Rāgamālikā denotes a genre of musical composition in Karnatik music where various sections or segments are set to different rāga-s. The concept of rāgamālikā is seen applied in many musical forms like gītam, jatisvara, svarajati, varṇam and kīrtana. The earliest vāggēyakāra known to have composed rāgamālikā-s is King Śāhaji of Tanjore (Hariharan M, 1999). Among the Trinity of Karnatik music, only Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita has handled this form. The analysis of one of his rāgamālikā-s, 'simhāsanasthitē' has been taken up in this paper.

Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita, born in 1775, the youngest of the Trinity, was a versatile and prolific composer. He was a śrīvidyā upāsaka and well-versed in many subjects including saṃskṛta, vēdānta, vyākaraṇa, chandas and jyōtiśa apart from saṅgītaśāstra. His compositions in saṃskṛta, are descriptive and philosophical in content adhering strictly to the rules of poetic prosody and grammar. The compositions of Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita are characterised by expansive delineation of the rāga in a majestic vilamba kāla incorporating crisp madhayamakāla sāhitya passages. He followed the rāgāṅga rāga tradition (kanakāmbari-phēnadyuti) and composed kīrtana-s in many of the 72 rāgāṅga rāga -s, bringing out the svarūpa of the rāga in a succinct manner. The compositions of Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita are a treasure trove to any aspirant of Karnatik music.

Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita's compositions have been preserved and passed on through generations, mainly by the descendants of his family and a few direct disciples. Today, the stock of compositions of Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita is available to us through two main sources, the published material and renditions by musicians. The most valuable and authentic source of his compositions is Saṅgīta Sampradāya Pradarśini, published in 1904 by his nephew Subbarāma Dīkṣita. This publication contains notations of about two hundred and twenty compositions of Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita apart from compositions of other composers. Besides this, Subbarāma Dīkṣita also documents

the *lakṣaṇa* of *rāga*-s and various aspects of musicology as perceived by the *parampara* of Vēṅkaṭamakhi (Dikshitar, 1904).

Apart from Sangīta Sampradāya Pradarśini, in the last century, books authored by musicians belonging to the śişya parampara of the composer, containing exclusive collections of Muttusvāmi Dīksita kīrtana-s have also been published. Through these publications, many previously unheard and unpublished compositions have come to light.

One such composition is 'simhāsanasthitē', a rāgamālikā with four rāga-s - saurāstra, vasanta, surați and madhyamāvati, set in rūpaka tāla. This composition extols Dēvi in the form of Goddess Lalita. An analysis of the melodic aspects of this composition is taken up in this paper. The analysis of the sāhitya or lyric is outside the purview of this study.

#### II. **SOURCES**

The earliest available publication with svara notation of 'simhāsanasthitē' is found in Śrī Dīkṣita Kīrtanamāla Part VIII by Sundaram Iyer (1955). Notations of 'simhāsanasthitē' from the following publications have been taken up for analysis.

- Sundaram Iyer, A. (1955). Sri Dikshita Kirtanamala Part VIII(1st ed., pp. 48-49).
- Rajam Iyer, B. (1958). Muttusvāmi Dīkṣitar kīrtanaigal given by T.L.Venkatrama Iyer. Sudesa Mitran, 55.
- Iyengar, Rangaramanuja (1967). Sri Krti Mani Malai Part III: Krits of Muttusvami Dikshitar (1st ed., pp. 492-494).
- Thiagarajan, T M (1992). Sri Muttusvami Dikshitarin 101 Kirtanaigal (1st ed., pp. 188-190).
- Govinda Rao, T K (1997). Compositions of Muddusvami Dikshitar (1st ed., p. 416).
- Rajam Iyer, B. (2004). Selected Compositions of Sri Muttusvami Dikshitar with notations (1st ed., pp. 199-201).

#### III. **ANALYSIS**

#### 3.1 Structural analysis

This composition, set in rūpaka tāla, is divided into four sections in rāga -s saurāstra, vasanta, surați and madhyamāvati. Each section comprises of sāhitya spanning over eight āvarta-s of rūpaka tāla, followed by a cittasvara section. The length of the cittasvara for the first section is six avarta-s and four avarta-s each, for the other three sections. After each section, the first section (in  $r\bar{a}ga$  saurāstra) is repeated. This version is seen in the notations by Sundaram Iyer (Iyer A. S., 1955, p. 48), Rajam Iyer (Iyer B. R., 1958, p. 55) and Govinda Rao (Rao, 1997, p. 416).

A variance is observed in the notations presented by Rangaramanuja Iyengar (Iyengar, 1967, p. 492) and Thiagarajan (Thiagarajan, 1992, p. 188). The composition is set in ādi tāla tiśra nadai and each section comprises of sāhitya spanning over two āvarta-s. A few sangati-s are also seen in Thiagarajan's version. Each section is followed by a sorkattu svara (rhythmic syllables interspersed with solfa syllables) passage of two avarta-s each wherein the first *āvarta* is in the first degree of speed and the second *āvarta* is in the second degree of speed.

## 3.2 Melodic analysis

#### 3.2.1 Section 1 - Rāga Saurāstra

The first section is in rāga saurāṣṭra. In the Dīkṣita parampara, this rāga is a bhāṣānga janya of the fifteenth mēla mālavagaula. In Sangīta Sampradāya Pradarśini, the mūrchana is given as 's br g m p bd n s - s n bd p m g br s'. Further, Subbarāma Dīkṣita says that it is a rakti rāga with ṣaḍja as graha and can be sung at all times. In some contexts, pañcaśruti dhaivata and kaiśiki niṣāda are employed which is seen in the two kīrtana-s of Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita 'sūryamūrtē' and 'varalakṣmīm bhajarē' apart from the lakṣya gīta of Vēnkaṭamakhi and sañcāri by Subbarāma Dīkṣita (Dikshitar, 1904, p. 246). Sundaram Iyer follows this definition, but indicates only where kaiśiki niṣāda is to be used with an asterix symbol (\*) and does not give any symbol or indication as to where catuśruti dhaivata is to be employed. In the versions of Rangaramanuja Iyengar, Thiagarajan and Govinda Rao, saurāstra is given as a *bhāsānga janya* of the seventeenth *mēla suryakantām* with *ārōhana-avarōhana* 's r g m p m d n s - s n d n, dp m g r s' taking anya svara  $kaiśiki <math>niṣ\bar{a}da$  only in the phrase 'd n, d p'. This is akin to the version of the  $r\bar{a}ga$  seen in practice today.

| Rāga: Saurāṣṭra                                       |                                 |   |                   |   | Tāla: Rūpal        | ka  |
|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|---|--------------------|-----|
| <b>s</b> , , , <b>d n</b> * , <b>d p</b> , <b>m</b> , | , , m g r s <u>sr.,</u> m g m , | - | p,d, snsgr,s,     | - | śnd, nśrśśr,,      |     |
| sim . hā . sa na                                      | . sthi tē . sun .               |   | da ra gu ru gu ha |   | nu ta la li tē .   |     |
|   |                                 |   |                   |   |                    |     |
| <b>s</b> , d,,, d n*, dp,                             | p,,d <u>pm</u> m,gsr,,          | 1 | s, n, d, n, s, r, | 1 | g, m, p, d, n s r, |     |
| ci dā . nan . da                                      | nā . thō . ham                  |   | van dē ham        |   | van dē ham         |     |
|   |                                 |   |                   |   | /I A C 1055        | 10) |

(Iyer A. S., 1955, p. 48)

In the notation presented by Sundaram Iyer, this section commences on  $t\bar{a}ra$   $sth\bar{a}yi$  sadja and opens with the phrase ' $\dot{s}$ ,  $d,n^*d$  p m, m g r s  $\underline{sr}$ ,', which clearly brings out the  $svar\bar{u}pa$  of the  $r\bar{a}ga$ . The melody then ascends to and concludes on  $t\bar{a}ra$   $sth\bar{a}yi$  rsabha. The second line also follows a similar  $d\bar{a}tu$  as the first line, but descends up to mandra  $sth\bar{a}yi$  dhaivata before concluding on  $t\bar{a}ra$   $sth\bar{a}yi$  rsabha. Rajam Iyer also presents a similar structure, but the  $d\bar{a}tu$  of the first line concludes on  $t\bar{a}ra$   $sth\bar{a}yi$  sadja and the phrase 'g p m r,' is seen used in contrast to 'm g r,'. Though the notations by Rangaramanuja Iyengar and Thiagarajan commence with a similar phrase, variance is seen in progression of the melody. Phrases like 'p m d, n  $\dot{s}$   $\dot{r}$ ,' 'n  $\dot{s}$ , n - d n, d', 'g m p m d n  $\dot{s}$   $\dot{r}$ ' which are commonly rendered in  $saur\bar{a}stra$  today, are found in these versions.

| Rāga: Saurāṣṭra |   | 100 m   |  |                           | Tāla: Rūpal   | ka |
|-----------------|---|---|--|---------------------------|---------------|----|
| s,,-sndp,mgr-g  |   | mgr-sṇḍ,ṇsrgm                                       |  | g m p d , n s r , g m g r | snsrsnd, pdnd |    |
| pmgrsrg, mpdn   | ı | , <b>s r</b> , <b>s</b> , <b>n d</b> , <b>p d n</b> |  | (simhāsanasthitē)         |               |    |
| (I A C 1055 40) |   |   |  |                           |               |    |

(Iyer A. S., 1955, p. 48)

The *cittasvara* passage for this section in the notation presented by Sundaram Iyer, Rajam Iyer and Govinda Rao is similar, incorporating phrases like ' $\dot{s}$  n d, p m g r' and 's  $\dot{n}$  d, n s r g m p d, n  $\dot{s}$   $\dot{r}$ ' which highlight the  $\bar{a}r\bar{o}hana$  and  $avar\bar{o}hana$  of the  $r\bar{a}ga$ . No specific pattern is seen and svara-s move in an up and down manner. In the notations of Rangaramanuja Iyengar and Thiagarajan, this cittasvara portion is not seen and a sorkattu svara passage is seen instead. The first  $\bar{a}varta$  is split into two sections following the rhythmic pattern 2 - 4 - 2 - 4 (tom - takanaka - jham - n d d p). In second  $\bar{a}varta$  which is set in the second degree of speed, patterns of four are observed. The next section is in  $r\bar{a}ga$  vasanta.

#### 3.2.2 Section 2 - Rāga Vasanta

Similar to saurāṣṭra, in the Dīkṣita parampara, this rāga is a bhāṣāṅga janya of the fifteenth mēla māḷavagauḷa. In Saṅgīta Sampradāya Pradarśini, the mūrchana is given as 'br s g m bd n s- S n bd n d M g m m p m g br s'. Subbarāma Dīkṣita goes on to explain that vasanta has ṣaḍja as a graha svara, it is a dēśiya rāga, it can be sung at all times and that the ārōhaṇa is devoid of pañcama but in the avarōhaṇa, cyuta pañcama occurs in a vakra movement. Though it is a janya of māḷavagauḷa, śuddha dhaivata occurs only in the phrase 'm d m' and pañcaśruti dhaivata is used in all other phrases. The range of the rāga is limited and phrases below mandra sthāyi dhaivata are not seen (Dikshitar, 1904, p. 348). Sundaram Iyer follows this school of thought but in the notation for the composition, does not give any symbols or indication for the variety of dhaivata to be used. In the versions of Rangaramanuja Iyengar, Thiagarajan and Govinda Rao, vasanta is described as an upāṅga janya of the seventeenth mēla with the ārōhaṇa-avarōhaṇa 's m g m d n ṡ-s r g m d n ṣ-s n d m g r s', as is prevalent today.

| Rāga | : Vasanta                      |   |   |   |   |   | Tāla: Rūpa                          | ka |
|------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|----|
|      | , s n d , m , d ,<br>. mā . di |   | n,d,mdmgr,s,<br>sa ka la dē . va          | 1 | $s \stackrel{\cdot}{n} s , s , m , g , m ,$<br>$t \stackrel{\cdot}{a} . r \stackrel{\cdot}{a} . dhi ta$ |   | d, m, d, n, s,,,<br>pa da yu ga lē. |    |
|      | m , m ġ r , s ,<br>śu rā . ma  | I | s n d , n , r , s , r ,<br>bhā ga va ta . | I | ś n ġ ṙ ṡ n d , ṡ n d ,<br>pramu khō . pā .   | Ι | m,g,n,d,m,d,<br>si ta ba ga lē.     |    |

(Iyer A. S., 1955, p. 49)

In the notation presented by Sundaram Iyer, this section commences on  $t\bar{a}ra$   $sth\bar{a}yi$  sadja followed by phrase ' $\dot{s}$  n d, m, d, n, d, m d m g r s' which clearly bring out the  $svar\bar{u}pa$  of the  $r\bar{a}ga$ . Though the  $m\bar{u}rchana$  given is 's g m d n' s', 's m g m' is employed predominantly.  $R\bar{a}ga$   $ra\tilde{n}jaka$   $pray\bar{o}ga$ -s such as 'n  $\dot{r}$   $\dot{s}$ ', ' $\dot{s}$  n  $\dot{g}$   $\dot{r}$   $\dot{s}$ ', 'm g n d m' are incorporated aesthetically. The phrase 'm d m' seen in the first line in this version, could have been intended to be rendered with  $\dot{s}uddha$  dhaivata. This  $pray\bar{o}ga$  is not seen in the notation of Rajam Iyer. The phrase ' $\dot{r}$   $\dot{s}$   $\dot{r}$  n  $\dot{s}$  d g m

d n s' seems unique to Rajam Iyer's version. The versions presented by Rangaramanuja Iyengar and Thiagarajan present no similarities to the above version. The phrase 's r g m' is highlighted in Rangaramanuja Iyengar's notation.

| Rāga: Vasanta                                       |   |               | Tāla: Rūpaka              |
|---|---|---------------|---------------------------|
| n s n d n d m d m g r s     n d n s m g m d m d n s | _ | r, sndsmgrsg, | r, s n d r, s, n d n      |
|   |   |               | (Iyer A. S., 1955, p. 49) |

In the cittasvara passage, a few minor variations are observed in the notations by Sundaram Iyer, Rajam Iyer and Govinda Rao. Sundaram Iyer incorporates the phrase 'm d m' twice whereas in Rajam Iyer's version, it is seen only once. Patterns of four are seen in first two avarta-s. The next section is in raga surați.

### 3.2.3 Section 3 - Rāga Suraţi

In Sangīta Sampradāya Pradarśini, rāga suraţi is classified as a bhāṣānga janya of the twenty eighth mēla, harikēdāragauļa with the mūrchana 'n s r m p bN S - s bN d p M g R S'. Gāndhāra and dhaivata are omitted in the ārōhaṇa and the elongated niṣāda, madhyama and rṣabha indicate the importance of the svara-s in the rāga. Subbarāma Dīkṣita further says that *surați* is a *dēśiya rāga* and can be sung at all times. Only in the higher octave, in some places, use of  $s\bar{a}dh\bar{a}rana~g\bar{a}ndh\bar{a}ra$  as in ' $\dot{s}$   $\dot{r}$   $b\dot{g}$   $\dot{r}$   $\dot{s}$ ' and 'P  $b\dot{g}$   $\dot{r}$   $\dot{s}$ ' is seen. There are not many elaborations below *niṣāda* in the lower octave. In the compositions of Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita seen in Saṅgīta Sampradāya Pradarśini, the phrase 'p m m, g/r,' and 'p m m, g/r,' are seen predominately. (Dikshitar, 1904, p. 789). Sundaram Iyer follows Subbarāma Dīkṣita's *mūrchana* of the *rāga* but opines that in some phrases, the *śruti* position of *gāndhāra* is slightly lower and the śruti of niṣāda is slightly higher, and hence the rāga is bhāṣānga. The occurrence of sādhāraṇa gāndhāra is not mentioned. Though Sundaram Iyer mentions this definition in the rāga lakṣaṇa section, when presenting the  $\bar{a}r\bar{o}hana$ -avar $\bar{o}hana$  of the  $r\bar{a}ga$  before the composition, the  $m\bar{u}rchana$  is given as 's r m p n  $\dot{s}$  -  $\dot{s}$  n, d p m g m p m r, s,'. All other publications follow this arohana-avarohana of the raga. Rangaramanuja Iyengar classifies surați as an upānga janya of the twenty eighth mēla. Further, he refers to the famous quote 'ādi nāṭa anta surați' and says that surați is an auspicious rāga.

| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Rāga: Surați |  | Tāla: Rūpaka |
|--|--------------|--|--------------|
|  |              |  |              |
|  |              |  |              |

In the notation presented by Sundaram Iyer, this section commences on madhya sthāyi niṣāda, followed by phrase 'n, d, p, pm pm nd pmmg pmmr,' which clearly bring out the svar $\bar{u}pa$  of the  $r\bar{a}ga$ . The phrase 'm g p m r,' is characteristic of the modern *svarūpa* of *surați* and is seen repeated in the *tāra sthāyi* in the second line of this section. This phrase is not seen much in the compositions published by Subbarāma Dīkṣita. In the notation by Rajam Iyer, the phrase 'p n d n s' is highlighted and occurs in both the lines whereas in such ascending movements, Sundaram Iyer uses the phrase ' $p n \dot{s} n \dot{r} \dot{s}$ ' instead. The versions presented by Rangaramanuja Iyengar and Thiagarajan present no similarities to the above version. The first line commences on tāra sthāyi ṣadja and concludes on madhya sthāyi  $pa\tilde{n}cama$ . Phrases such as 'm g r, - n d p, ' and 'p n d m p n s  $\dot{r}$ ' are seen in Thiagarajan's version.

| Rāga: Surați                |   | Tāla: Rūpaka                      |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| sn,dpmgmr,ns   rmpndpmgpmr, | _ | sr, mpmpn, d, n     srpmgmr, sndn |
|                             |   | (Iver A. S., 1955, p. 49)         |

In the notations presented by Sundaram Iyer, Rajam Iyer and Govinda Rao, the cittasvara passage commences with the phrase 's n, d p m g m r,'. This phrase is seen commonly in Subbarāma Dīkṣita's surați but is not prevalent today. The phrase 'm g r s p m' is seen explicitly in Rajam Iyer's notation whereas in Sundaram Iyer and Govinda Rao's notation, 's r, m p m' is seen instead. The last section is in rāga madhyamāvati.

#### 3.2.4 Section 4 - Rāga Madhyamāvati

Madhyamāvati is classified as a janya of the twenty second mēla with the ārōhaṇa-avarōhaṇa 's r m p n s- s n p m r s'. This audava rakti rāga omits gāndhāra and dhaivata in ascent and descent. Rṣabha, madhyama and niṣāda are important amśa svara-s. Subbarāma Dīkṣita further says that traditionalists opine that even from the time rāga-s originated, to absolve one of the errors committed in singing  $r\bar{a}ga$ -s, this  $r\bar{a}ga$  has been sung in the end and bestows all good. To affirm this view, in the descriptive verse of madhyamādi (popularly known as madhyamāvati), the earlier preceptors have declared it as a 'sur $\bar{a}$ ga' (auspicious  $r\bar{a}$ ga). (Dikshitar, 1904, p. 648). The lakṣaṇa of this  $r\bar{a}$ ga is similar in all publications.

| Rāga: Madhyamāvati   |   | Tāla: Rūpa  |   |                                    | ka |
|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----|
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$                     |   | $p, m, n, p, \dot{s} n \dot{r},$<br>$p\bar{u}$ . $ji$ ta nit. | 1 | s, s n p, n, s,,,<br>ya man ga lē. |    |
| r, m, r, s, n, s, ni ra ti sa ya su   n, p, p m p, p n, that man . ga lē . | 1 | n ṙ̀ s̀ n p , m , r , s ,<br>na va na va vidha                | 1 | m, mrp mmr,,,,<br>maṅ. ga lē       |    |

(Iyer A. S., 1955, p. 49)

In the notation presented by Sundaram Iyer, the *dātu* commences on *tāra sthāyi ṛṣabha* followed by the phrase '*r*, *s*, *n*, *p*, *m*, *m*, *r*, *m*, *r*, *s*' which clearly brings out the *svarūpa* of the *rāga*. Typical *dāṭu* phrases like '*p m - n p - ś n - r ś*' are incorporated. The second line briefly forays into the *tāra sthāyi* and concludes on *madhya sthāyi ṛṣabha*. Rajam Iyer's version is similar except that the section concludes on *madhya sthāyi niṣāda*. The versions presented by Rangaramanuja Iyengar and Thiagarajan present no similarities to the above version.

| Rāga: Madhyamāvati                           |      | Tāla: Rūpaka                     |
|--|------|----------------------------------|
| p, p m p r m p n s r m     p n n p m p n s r | ṁ r, | pmrisnpmrisnpm     risnpmrnsrmpn |
|  |      | (T A C 1077 40)                  |

(Iyer A. S., 1955, p. 49)

#### IV. OBSERVATIONS

This  $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$  has been referred to in some publications as ' $v\bar{e}da$   $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$ ' (Iyer B. R., 2004). The number 'four' is commonly associated with the four Vedas, the oldest scriptures of Hinduism. Though the  $s\bar{a}hitya$  of this  $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$  has no direct reference to the Vedas, the name ' $v\bar{e}dar\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$ ' could have been adopted due to the presence of four sections.

Some other publications refer to it as 'mangala rāgamālikā' (Rao, 1997, p. 416) probably because of the choice of rāga-s. Traditionally the rāga-s saurāṣṭra, vasanta, suraṭi and madhyamāvati have been considered auspicious rāga-s and in practice, concerts are usually concluded with a composition or ślōka in one of these rāga-s. Interestingly, the same set of rāga-s vasanta, suraṭi, saurāṣṭra and madhyamāvati are the last four rāga-s used in Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita's daśāvatāra rāgamālikā 'mādhavō mām pātu'. Saurāṣṭra and vasanta are both janya-s of māyamālavagauļa taking both varieties of dhaivata and suraṭi and madhyamāvati structurally take the same svara-s in the ārōhaṇa. The placement of these rāga-s in succession in 'simhāsanasthitē' is noteworthy.

The choice to employ  $r\bar{u}paka\ t\bar{a}la$  in  $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$ -s seems to have been favoured by Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita. Three of the four  $r\bar{a}g\bar{a}m\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$ -s attributed to the composer are set in this  $t\bar{a}la$ . In all these  $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$ -s, each  $s\bar{a}hitya$  section is followed by a crisp cittasvara passage. The versions of ' $simh\bar{a}sanasthit\bar{e}$ ' presented by Sundaram Iyer, Rajam Iyer and Govinda Rao adhere to this pattern. In the versions of ' $simh\bar{a}sanasthit\bar{e}$ ' presented by Rangaramanuja Iyengar and Thiagarajan, the composition is set in  $\bar{a}di\ t\bar{a}la\ ti\acute{s}ra\ nadai$  and each  $s\bar{a}hitya$  section is followed by a  $sorkattu\ svara$  passage.  $\bar{A}di\ t\bar{a}la\ ti\acute{s}ra\ nadai$  is not seen used by Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita in any of his compositions. Though the total number of akṣara-s in  $\bar{a}di\ t\bar{a}la\ ti\acute{s}ra\ nadai$  and  $r\bar{u}paka$  can be mathematically equated, the gait of the composition changes completely. Hence, it is unlikely that the composer envisaged this format for ' $simh\bar{a}sanasthit\bar{e}$ '.

Regarding the  $svar\bar{u}pa$  of the  $r\bar{a}ga$ -s, a shift is seen to a more contemporary approach in the versions of Rangaramanuja Iyengar and Thiagarajan. Though a few of the older phrases are observed in the versions by Sundaram Iyer and Rajam Iyer, modern phrases of the  $r\bar{a}ga$  also find a place.

#### V. CONCLUSION

The analysis of compositions of great past masters provide a deep insight into the conception of music in the lifetime of the composer as well as his legacy. In a  $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$ , a series of  $r\bar{a}ga$ -s for a short duration in a succinct manner are presented in comparison to a  $k\bar{i}rtana$  where the composer has the freedom to unfurl the  $r\bar{a}ga$  more elaborately. Therefore the essence of each  $r\bar{a}ga$  is highlighted in a  $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$ . A study of this form reveals the characteristic phrases of  $r\bar{a}ga$  and the typical flow of melody.

In the course of study of the  $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lik\bar{a}$  'simhāsanasthitē', insights into the evolution and  $svar\bar{u}pa$  of each of the  $r\bar{a}ga$ -s  $saur\bar{a}stra$ , vasanta, surați and  $madhyam\bar{a}vati$  were brought out. An analysis of the lyric and  $s\bar{a}hitya$   $bh\bar{a}va$  along with a comparative study of all  $r\bar{a}gam\bar{a}lika$ -s of Muttusvāmi Dīkṣita provide scope for further research and will widen the understanding of the creative process of the composer and the music of his time.

#### REFERENCES

Dikshitar, S. (1904). Sangita Sampradaya Pradarsini. Ettaypuram.

Hariharan M, K. G. (1999). Ragamalika. The Journal of the Music Academy, 105-110.

Iyengar, R. (1967). Sri Krti Mani Malai Part III: Krtis of Muttusvami Dikshitar. Mumbai.

Iyer, A. S. (1955). Sri Dikshita Kirtanamala Part VIII. Chennai: Music Book Publishers.

Iyer, B. R. (1958). Muttusvami Dikshitar kirtanaigal given by T.L. Venkaramana Iyer. Sudesa Mitran, 55.

Iyer, B. R. (2004). Selected Compositions of Sri Muttusvami Dikshitar with notations. Chennai: R.A.M.A Trust.

Rao, T. G. (1997). *Compositions of Muddusvami Dikshitar* (1st ed.). Chennai: Ganamandir Publications. Thiagarajan, T. (1992). *Sri Muttusvami Dikshitarin 101 Kirtanaigal*. Chennai: Tanjore Music Tradition.

